

no time did diazepam produce statistically significant decreases in the ventilatory response to CO₂. As stated in "Materials and Methods," we used a form of multivariate analysis for repeated measures to show no change in the slope of \dot{V}_E/P_{ETCO_2} after diazepam. That test statistic was $F_{4, 20} = 1.6013$, $P = 0.2129$. As the joint hypothesis was not rejected, Bonferroni adjusted paired t tests were not used. The P value 0.0508 represents a simple t test without Bonferroni adjustment. Admittedly, this is not pointed out and has perhaps led Dr. Gross to misinterpret our findings. Therefore, contrary to what Dr. Gross suggests, the P value for ventilatory depression at 5 min should, if anything, be larger, not smaller. Therefore, we believe our conclusions and title should remain the same to emphasize the significant variability of the respiratory response to diazepam.

PETER LEE BAILEY, M.D.
KIRK P. ANDRIANO, M.S.

Anesthesiology
65:349-350, 1986

Partial Expiratory Limb Obstruction by a Foreign Body Abutting upon an Ohio® 5400 Volume Monitor Sensor

To the Editor:—Obstruction of the expiratory limb of an anesthetic circuit is a rare but potentially lethal occurrence. Such obstructions are associated with incomplete pre-use circuit checking,¹ inappropriate circuit assembly,^{1,2} the presence of a foreign body,^{1,3-6} or anesthetic apparatus placed in the expiratory limb.^{1,7} We wish to describe an episode of expiratory limb obstruction by an accidentally created foreign body abutting upon an Ohio® 5400 Volume Monitor Sensor.

An otherwise healthy patient was to undergo mediastinal node biopsy under general anesthesia. Prior to induction, an Ohmeda Modulus® II anesthetic circuit and ventilator, assembled by a previous operator, were tested for leaks and alarm function *via* thumb occlusion of the patient connector with the mask attached. Both inspiratory and expiratory valves had appropriate movement with positive pressure, and both nitrous oxide and oxygen flow meters demonstrated flow. After induction adequate positive pressure ventilation *via* mask was obtained with no inspiratory or expiratory obstruction noted. Following tracheal intubation, breath sounds were equal bilaterally with manual ventilation. Subsequently, the ventilator was engaged, whereupon 10–15 cmH₂O of positive pressure was noted in the circuit during the expiratory phase. The expiratory limb was immediately disconnected from the Ohio® 5400 Volume Monitor Sensor. A disc of clear plas-

MICHAEL GOLDMAN, M.D.
THEODORE H. STANLEY, M.D.
NATHAN L. PACE, M.D.
*Department of Anesthesiology and the Department of
Medicine
Division of Respiration
Critical Care and Occupational Medicine
University of Utah School of Medicine
Salt Lake City, Utah 84132*

REFERENCES

1. Bourke DL, Rosenberg M, Allen PD: Physostigmine: Effectiveness as an antagonist of respiratory depression and psychomotor effects caused by morphine or diazepam. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 61:523-528, 1984
2. Power SJ, Morgan M, Chakrabarti MK: Carbon dioxide response curves following midazolam and diazepam. *Br J Anaesth* 55: 837-841, 1983

(Accepted for publication June 9, 1986.)



FIG. 1. Plastic disc abutting upon volume monitor sensor in expiratory limb.

tic was seen partially obstructing the expiratory limb, abutting upon the patient side of the volume monitor sensor (fig. 1). It was removed, and the circuit was reassembled, with no further expiratory obstruction being present.

In our hospital sterilized anesthetic masks come in disposable, clear plastic bags. If the bag is not removed prior to circuit assembly, attaching the curved mask/endotracheal tube connector to the mask through the plastic cuts the plastic bag off flush with the joint, creating a loose plastic disc within the circuit. Pressurizing the circuit during the preanesthetic check probably forced the plastic disc into the expiratory limb of the circuit. During induction the plastic disc may have migrated down the expiratory limb, producing obstruction only when it met the volume monitor sensor.

Preanesthetic circuit integrity is not assured unless both inspiratory and expiratory flow have been checked. As recommended by Grundy *et al.*¹ and Dorsch and Dorsch,⁸ someone (presumably the anesthetist) should breathe through the system *via* the patient port. Application of negative pressure at the patient port will reveal obstruction in the inspiratory limb; application of positive pressure will reveal obstruction in the expiratory limb. In this case, such a maneuver might not have detected the foreign body, as it did not appear to obstruct the circuit until it reached the volume monitor sensor. Thus, any apparatus in the expiratory limb has the potential to create obstruction. Cases of expiratory obstruction and pneumothorax have been reported associated with bacterial filters^{1,7} and foreign bodies⁴ in the expiratory limb, respectively. The volume monitor sensor present on the Ohmeda Modulus® II anesthetic machine, although providing a valuable measure of ventilation, does pose a risk for expiratory obstruction if a foreign body should enter the circuit.

Anesthesiology
65:350-351, 1986

BRADLEY J. HINDMAN, M.D.
Chief Resident in Anesthesia

STEVEN J. SPERRING, M.D.
Instructor in Anesthesia

*Department of Anesthesia
Beth Israel Hospital
330 Brookline Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02215*

REFERENCES

1. Grundy EM, Bennett EJ, Brennan T: Obstructed anesthetic circuits. *Anesth Rev* 3:35-36, 1976
2. Ringrose NH: Design of the Boyle absorber. *Anaesth Intens Care* 2:269-271, 1974
3. Poulton TJ: Potentially fatal complication with a T-adapter. *Crit Care Med* 7:324-325, 1979
4. Dean HN, Parsons DE, Raphaely RC: Bilateral tension pneumothorax from mechanical failure of anesthesia machine due to misplaced expiratory valve. *Anesth Analg* 50:195-198, 1971
5. Frankel DZN: Adhesive tape obstructing an anesthetic circuit. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 59:256, 1983
6. Bishay G, Echiverri E, Abu-Zaineh M, Lee C: An unusual cause for airway obstruction in a young healthy adult. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 60:611-612, 1984
7. Escobar A, Aldrete JP: Bacteria filters for anesthesia apparatus: Review and case report. *Anesth Rev* 4:25A-25B, 1977
8. Dorsch JA, Dorsch SE: *Understanding Anesthesia Equipment: Construction, Care and Complications*, 2nd edition. Baltimore, Williams and Wilkins, 1984, p 404

(Accepted for publication June 9, 1986.)

Treatment of Hyperkalemia with Epinephrine

To the Editor:—Several authors have outlined how beta-2 adrenergic agonists lower serum potassium concentrations.¹⁻⁴* Epinephrine has been used to reduce serum potassium of hemodialysis patients.⁵ I write to report my experience using epinephrine infusions to treat hyperkalemia.

My first experience involved a patient with 90% body burns. The patient had developed anuria, septic shock, respiratory failure, and hyperkalemia resistant to all therapy. The serum potassium concentration increased to 8.5 mEq/l, and cardiac arrest occurred. Calcium chloride re-

established a junctional tachycardia, but hyperkalemia persisted. Norepinephrine was discontinued, and an epinephrine infusion was begun (20 µg/min). Serum potassium was 8.3 mEq/l immediately prior to epinephrine. Ten minutes later, the serum potassium was 6.7 mEq/l, and a sinus rhythm appeared.

My second case involved an anuric, hemodialysis patient who underwent coronary artery bypass. At the end of cardiopulmonary bypass, the patient's serum potassium was 6.7 mEq/l, unchanged from 20 min prior. The electrocardiogram revealed prolonged P-R interval, biphasic QRS, and tall, peaked T waves. An infusion of epinephrine (2 µg/min) was begun. In 5 min, the serum potassium dropped to 4.9 mEq/l. The electrocardiogram was within normal limits, and bypass was discontinued without difficulty.

* Peters KR, Hurlbert BJ, Edelman JD: Potassium supplementation in beta2-agonist induced hypokalemia (abstract). *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 57:A388, 1982.