

7. Duracher C, Baugnon T, Blanot S, Di Rocco F, Meyer PG; Craniofacial Group: Intraoperative hyponatremia: Is it related to surgical procedure or fluid maintenance? *Paediatr Anaesth* 2009; 19:711-2

(Accepted for publication November 9, 2011.)

In Reply:

We thank Vergnaud *et al.* for their interest in our publication.¹ We partly agree with them concerning the difference of blood loss in function of type of craniofacial malformation. Effectively, cranial malformation with multiple suture involvement requiring complex surgery has a lot of risk of substantial bleeding and transfusion requirements.² Nevertheless, surgery for multiple suture malformation is less common and, in our study, both groups were comparable concerning the type of malformations. The number of patients included in our study is low, but it relates statistically to the answer of our primary hypothesis. Sample-size calculation was evaluated by our institutional biostatistics department from the previous study of Helfaer *et al.*³ We agree again that our results concerning blood loss are slightly different from Goobie's, but we used a lower tranexamic acid initial bolus. This probably explains the nonsignificant difference between our two groups. Goobie *et al.*⁴ used calculation of estimated erythrocyte volume lost to evaluate the intraoperative blood losses. Nevertheless, with strict hemodilution guidelines and the regular hematocrit measurements used in our study,¹ the evaluation of blood losses measured from surgical aspiration and weighing surgical sponges is a surrogate of erythrocyte volume losses. Moreover, concerning the transfusion threshold, in the study of Goobie *et al.*,⁴ the hematocrit threshold for packed erythrocytes transfusion was 25% and not 30% (estimated hemoglobin 7 to 8 g/dl), close to the threshold that we used in our study (hemoglobin: 7 g/dl).

We again thank Vergnaud *et al.* for their interest in our publication and we encourage them to realize other studies with large homogenous series of children in this field to determine the real benefit of tranexamic acid: to limit transfusion requirements in major pediatric craniofacial surgery.

Christophe Dadure, M.D., Ph.D.,* Xavier Capdevila, M.D., Ph.D. *Lapeyronie University Hospital, Montpellier, France. chdadure@yahoo.fr

References

- Dadure C, Sauter M, Bringuier S, Bigorre M, Raux O, Rochette A, Canaud N, Capdevila X: Intraoperative tranexamic acid reduces blood transfusion in children undergoing craniosynostosis surgery: A randomized double-blind study. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2011; 114:856-61
- Czerwinski M, Hopper RA, Gruss J, Fearon JA: Major morbidity and mortality rates in craniofacial surgery: An analysis of 8101 major procedures. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2010; 126:181-6
- Helfaer MA, Carson BS, James CS, Gates J, Della-Lana D, Vander Kolk C: Increased hematocrit and decreased transfusion requirements in children given erythropoietin before undergoing craniofacial surgery. *J Neurosurg* 1998; 88:704-8
- Goobie SM, Meier PM, Pereira LM, McGowan FX, Prescilla RP,

Scharp LA, Rogers GF, Proctor MR, Meara JG, Soriano SG, Zurakowski D, Sethna NF: Efficacy of tranexamic acid in pediatric craniosynostosis surgery: A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2011; 114:862-71

(Accepted for publication November 9, 2011.)

In Reply:

We appreciate the interest of Meyer *et al.* in our article and thank them for their thoughtful comments. We would like to respond to each comment individually.

We agree with Meyer and the editorial viewpoint by Holcomb that tranexamic acid (TXA) "works, but how? ... and in whom?"¹ The goal of our study was to investigate the efficacy of TXA in craniosynostosis surgery in a defined group of children at our institution. Therefore, we determined that "it works" in our patient population of children aged 6 months to 6 yr having craniosynostosis reconstruction surgery. We agree that the exact mechanism of action is not fully understood and requires further research.

We agree with Meyer *et al.* in their statement that "to be relevant, clinical studies" need to have "a valuable evaluation of perioperative blood losses" (as they point out, we used calculated blood loss instead of the estimated blood loss, which is inaccurate) and "need for strict hemodilution guidelines." Indeed, we performed strict hemodilution in our study and administered conservative volumes of crystalloids/colloids to maintain safe and stable mean arterial blood pressure of 45 mmHg or greater without using medication for pressure support. As pointed out by Meyer *et al.*, there tends to be rapid and substantial blood loss during craniosynostosis surgery that can lead to persistent hypotension, permanent neurologic impairment, cardiac arrest, and death.² Our standard intraoperative approach for treating rapid blood loss is similar to that reported in the literature and by Meyer's own institution: "Isovolemic compensation of blood loss was strictly observed with fluid replacement based upon hemodynamic variables (to maintain mean arterial blood pressure in range of 45-55 mmHg) using colloid and blood transfusion."^{3,4}

We disagree with Meyer *et al.* for characterizing our study as including "acute normovolemic hemodilution," which is defined as "an autologous blood collection technique involving removal of blood from a patient on the day of surgery shortly before surgical blood loss."⁵ Our study did not include this technique.

In our study, the hematocrit threshold for blood transfusion was not 30%, as Meyer *et al.* suggest. It was 25% (hemoglobin of approximately 8g/dl), as stated on page 863 in the Materials and Methods section.⁶

It is true that all our patients required transfusion intraoperatively; however, we concluded that TXA significantly reduced the volume of packed erythrocytes transfused by a mean value of 32%. In addition, TXA significantly reduced the calculated blood loss by a mean value of 38% intraoper-