removed, place the 3-ml plastic syringe, without disturbing the position of the piston, alongside the catheter. Slowly withdraw the catheter until the third mark on the catheter coincides with the front black ring on the piston. Exactly 2 cm of catheter are in the epidural space. In patients who are obese, restless, or having edematous backs, one may choose to leave an extra 1 cm of catheter in the epidural space. The catheter is fixed in the routine secure fashion.

We are using this procedure in clinical practice and are impressed with excellent results.

SHREENIWAS R. JAWALEKAR, M.B.; B.S.
Clinical Assistant Professor
Department of Anesthesiology
West Virginia University, Charleston Division
Charleston, West Virginia 25304

ASA PS Classification Is Not Risk Classification

To the Editor:—We agree with Dr. Bayes\(^1\) conclusion that asymptomatic cigarette smokers should be classified at least as an ASA PS Class II and that smokers have a systemic disease. They may indeed have pulmonary and vascular abnormalities. Bayes, however, made the not infrequent error of referring to this system of ASA PS classification as a “risk classification.” This classification has survived less accurate references. In their comprehensive study of perioperative mortality, Beecher and Todd\(^2\) incorrectly equated physical status with perioperative risk. Feinberg\(^3\) erred in the same manner in titling his article on obesity. Goldman \textit{et al.}\(^4\) erroneously referred to the ASA PS classification as the “Dripps—American Surgical Association (sic),” and mistakenly described it as a “preoperative assessment of surgical risk.”

The ASA PS classification was devised by Drs. Saklad, Rovenstine, and Taylor more than 40 years ago.\(^5\) They originally were given the task of defining a classification of “operative risk.” They wisely concluded that operative risk was influenced by too many intangibles and they settled on a system of physical status classification alone. Throughout these past four decades the system has endured several minor changes and its spirit remains intact. In the words of the originators, “No attempt should be made to prognosticate the effect of a surgical procedure upon a patient of a given Physical State . . . it may be difficult, at first, for the anesthetist to classify patients with reference to their physical state alone. Subconsciously, he is apt to allow his knowledge of the contemplated surgical procedure to influence him in his grading of patients.”

HONORIO B. SANTOS, M.D.
Instructor in Anesthesiology
Department of Anesthesiology
Albert Einstein College of Medicine
Bronx, New York 10461
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