Lidocaine Constricts or Dilates Rat Arterioles in a Dose-dependent Manner
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The microvascular effects of varying concentrations of lidocaine were evaluated with the use of videomicroscopy in an in vivo rat cremaster muscle preparation. Animals were anesthetized with chloralose and urethane and breathed room air spontaneously. Mean arterial pressure and heart rate were measured via a carotid artery cannula. The cremaster muscle was stimulated with a balanced electrolyte solution and pH, temperature, P_{co2}, P_{co2}, and osmolarity were controlled. Internal diameters of fourth-order arterioles in the cremaster muscle were measured with an electronic vernier system. In one group of animals (n = 7), arteriolar diameters were measured every 30 s during a 10-min control period, a 10-min period of topical application of lidocaine hydrochloride, and a 10-min recovery period. Lidocaine hydrochloride, 10^6, 10^5, 10^4, or 10^3 μg·ml^-1, produced changes in arteriolar diameters to 88.9 ± 0.9, 79.0 ± 1.3, 67.5 ± 2.4, 60.1 ± 3.4, and 127.1 ± 7.2 per cent of control, respectively (P < 0.001). In a second group of animals (n = 4), fourth-order arteriolar diameters were measured during administration of intravenous lidocaine, 1.2 mg·kg^-1 bolus plus 0.5 mg·kg^-1·min^-1. Vasoconstriction to 91.3 ± 0.9 per cent of control was observed (P < 0.001). These results demonstrate a biphasic dose-dependent response to lidocaine. At lesser concentrations, including those that occur in the plasma of patients during intravenous infusion or nerve blocks, dose-related vasoconstriction occurred. Lidocaine, 10^3 μg·ml^-1, a concentration similar to that which occurs at the site of injection during infiltration, nerve block, or epidural anesthesia, produced vasoconstriction. It appears likely that the observed effects are a result of peripheral rather than central actions of the drug. (Key words: Anesthetics, local: lidocaine. Arteries: arterioles; microcirculation. Microcirculation: arterioles.)

REPORTS of the peripheral vascular effects of local anesthetics are confusing, since they contain evidence for both vasodilating and vasoconstricting actions of these drugs. Most assessments of vasoactivity have employed indirect methods, such as calculations of regional vascular resistances, or crude measurements, such as the degree of skin blanching after local infiltration. Others have involved isolated preparations such as strips of vascular smooth muscle; and, in most instances, only one or two concentrations of drug were tested.

A definition of the microvascular actions of local anesthetics would be of value in understanding the systemic circulatory effects of these drugs, as well as in evaluating their absorption from various sites of injection. Therefore, we investigated the dose-related effects of lidocaine on fourth-order arteriolar diameters with the use of an in vitro preparation that allowed direct observation of the microvasculature in the cremaster muscle of the rat and that permitted us to obtain data for widely varying doses of the drug.

Methods

Male Sprague-Dawley rats (160 ± 5 g) were anesthetized with chloralose, 60 mg·kg^-1, plus urethane, 800 mg·kg^-1, intraperitoneally. This combination has been shown to have minimal effects on systemic hemodynamics and microvascular responses in the rat. The animals breathed room air spontaneously through a tracheostomy. Rectal temperature was measured continuously and maintained at 37°C by a heat lamp. Mean arterial pressure was recorded continuously from a catheter in the left common carotid artery. Heart rate, obtained from the phasic arterial pressure tracing, was recorded at 5-min intervals.

The left cremaster muscle was exposed through a midline scrotal incision and prepared for observation of the microvasculature according to the technique of Baez. The rat was placed on a platform; and the muscle, with nerves and vessels intact, was spread over a heated transparent pedestal. The whole preparation then was mounted on the stage of a compound microscope. A warmed, buffered balanced salt solution (containing in mM: NaHCO_3, 25.5; NaCl, 131.9; KCl, 4.7; CaCl_2, 2.0; MgSO_4, 1.17) with or without lidocaine, continuously was suffused over the muscle at a constant flow rate of 1.5 ml·min^-1. The pH of all suffusion solutions was controlled at a value of 7.2 throughout. When lidocaine was added to the balanced salt solution, the electrolyte concentration was decreased slightly so as to maintain constant osmolarity. The suffusion solution was equilibrated with 95% nitrogen and 5% CO₂ to maintain a constant P_{co2} and to provide a surface oxygen tension similar to that within the muscle, since increased oxygen tension has been shown to alter the microvasculature. Cremaster muscle temperature was measured continuously and maintained at 34–35°C, the physiologic temperature of the rat scrotum. The microscopic image was displayed continuously on a video monitor (total magnification, X625) equipped with an electronic vernier, which was used to measure internal vessel diam-
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FIG. 1. The time course of arteriolar response to topical lidocaine. Values in parentheses represent average control diameters (±SEM). Significant \( P < 0.001 \) constriction occurred at \( 10^6, 10^4, 10^2, \) and \( 10^0 \) \( \mu \)g \( \cdot \) ml\(^{-1} \) (\( n = 8 \) for each group) and significant \( P < 0.025 \) dilation at \( 10^0 \) \( \mu \)g \( \cdot \) ml\(^{-1} \) (\( n = 4 \)).

In the experiments involving topical drug administration, the cremaster was suffused continuously with balanced salt solution with or without lidocaine. The protocol consisted of three 10-min intervals: a control period, a topical lidocaine administration period, and a recovery period. Lidocaine hydrochloride monohydrate was applied in one of five concentrations: \( 10^6, 10^4, 10^2, 10^0 \), or \( 10^4 \) \( \mu \)g \( \cdot \) ml\(^{-1} \) (3.46 \( \times \) \( 10^{-6} \) to 3.46 \( \times \) \( 10^{-2} \) ml, respectively). Lidocaine, \( 10^6, 10^4, 10^2, \) and \( 10^0 \) \( \mu \)g \( \cdot \) ml\(^{-1} \), was applied to seven animals, and lidocaine, \( 10^4 \) \( \mu \)g \( \cdot \) ml\(^{-1} \), to four animals.

In the experiments involving the intravenous administration of lidocaine, the protocol consisted of two 45-min intervals: a saline infusion followed by a saline with lidocaine infusion. The lidocaine was administered as a 1.2 mg \( \cdot \) kg\(^{-1} \) bolus followed by 0.3 mg \( \cdot \) kg\(^{-1} \) \( \cdot \) min\(^{-1} \) in each of four rats.

Saline, or saline plus lidocaine, was infused via the left femoral vein at a flow rate of 20 ml \( \cdot \) min\(^{-1} \). Arteriolar diameters were measured only during the final 15 min of each interval because previous studies demonstrated that 15–30 min were required for plasma lidocaine concentrations to stabilize when this infusion protocol was used in rats.\(^{11} \)

Plasma concentrations of lidocaine were measured by gas chromatography\(^{12} \) after \( 10^4 \) and \( 10^4 \) \( \mu \)g \( \cdot \) ml\(^{-1} \) topical lidocaine applications and after intravenous lidocaine infusion.

Arteriolar diameters were normalized as per cent of control by determining the mean diameter for each control period and then dividing all values by the average control value (ACV). Average data for arteriolar diameters were determined for each measurement interval (30 s), and overall average responses during the 10 min of topical lidocaine application also were calculated. Heart rate and arterial pressure were averaged for both the control and drug application intervals. Arteriolar diameter, mean arterial pressure, and heart rate comparisons were made with the use of paired \( t \) tests; and \( P < 0.05 \) was accepted as significant. Values are expressed as the mean ± the standard error of the mean throughout.

**Results**

Heart rate and mean arterial pressure were not altered by either topical or intravenous lidocaine. For all groups, heart rate averaged 436 ± 10 beats \( \cdot \) min\(^{-1} \) during control and 434 ± 13 beats \( \cdot \) min\(^{-1} \) during lidocaine; mean arterial pressure was 107 ± 3 mmHg during control and 105 ± 3 mmHg during lidocaine.

A biphasic dose–response relationship was found with...
increasing doses of topically applied lidocaine (figs. 1 and 2). Lidocaine, 10⁰, 10¹, 10², and 10³ µg·ml⁻¹, constricted arterioles to 88.9 ± 0.9, 79.1 ± 1.3, 67.5 ± 2.4 and 60.1 ± 3.4% of control, respectively (P < 0.001). Lidocaine, 10⁴ µg·ml⁻¹, produced arteriolar dilation to 127.1 ± 7.2% of control (P < 0.025). Plasma lidocaine concentrations averaged 0.60 ± 0.02 µg·ml⁻¹ after lidocaine, 10³ µg·ml⁻¹, and 1.20 ± 0.16 µg·ml⁻¹ after lidocaine, 10⁴ µg·ml⁻¹.

During the topical application of lidocaine, 10⁴ µg·ml⁻¹, we noted varying degrees of apparent contraction of the cremaster muscle, as well as the appearance of red blood cells in the suffusion solution. In four animals not included in this portion of the study, the muscle contraction resulted in movement of the vessel out of the field of view, such that arteriolar diameters could not be measured.

The intravenous infusion of lidocaine resulted in arteriolar constriction to 91.3 ± 0.9% of control (fig. 3). The mean plasma lidocaine concentration with intravenous infusion was 3.61 ± 0.47 µg·ml⁻¹.

**Discussion**

The vascular effects of lidocaine have been evaluated in animals and humans in a variety of experimental conditions that have included varying concentrations and dosages, and both systemic and local administrations. The results, however, are confusing, since evidence for both vasoconstriction and vasodilation is reported. Jorfeldt *et al.* and Klein *et al.* demonstrated increases in total systemic vascular resistance in man at plasma lidocaine concentrations of 3–6 µg·ml⁻¹, implying that peripheral constriction must have occurred in some vascular beds. Aps and Reynolds used the degree of blanching or erythema of the skin after intradermal injection as a crude index of vasoconstriction or vasodilation. Blanching (implying vasoconstriction) occurred with the use of lidocaine, 5 × 10⁴ µg·ml⁻¹, while erythema (implying vasodilation) predominated with lidocaine, 2 × 10⁴ µg·ml⁻¹. Lescanić *et al.* used radioactively labeled microspheres to demonstrate decreased regional blood flows to the brain, heart, and skeletal muscle of rats during the intravenous infusion of lidocaine. Although they did not calculate regional vascular resistances, systemic arterial pressure did not change while cardiac output decreased, implying an increase in systemic vascular resistance. Aström reported increased contraction in the isolated rabbit aorta after the application of lidocaine.

Nishimura *et al.* observed increased blood flow in the hind limb of dogs after femoral artery injection of 2% lidocaine, suggesting that vasodilation occurred peripherally. Goldman *et al.* observed vasodilation of the peripheral vessels of the rabbit ear after intradermal injection of 2% lidocaine; and Altmann, using video microscopy, observed dilation of rat mesenteric arterioles after application of 2.5 × 10⁴ µg·ml⁻¹ of lidocaine. Dose-response data were not obtained in these experiments.

In *vitro* studies that use isolated blood vessels may not be representative of the results that would be obtained in *vivo* because of trauma, isolation from vasoactive substances, and the highly artificial environment of the
tissue. Intravascular administration of vasoactive drugs may produce changes in systemic arterial pressure, resulting in microvascular alterations that are consequent to the change in arterial pressure and, perhaps, independent of any direct peripheral vascular actions of the agents. Many of these potential pitfalls were minimized or eliminated in the present study, which employed topical and intravenous administration of lidocaine to the rat cremaster muscle. Careful control of the solution suffusing the microvascular environment allowed us to obtain results that were independent of changes in temperature, pH, osmolarity, \( P_{O_2} \) or \( P_{CO_2} \). The preparation also allowed us to obtain dose–response data in a noncumulative manner.

Our data demonstrated a biphasic dose response to increasing concentrations of topically applied lidocaine. At lower concentrations, including those that occur in the plasma of patients during intravenous infusion or nerve blocks, dose-related vasoconstriction was observed. Lidocaine, \( 10^4 \, \mu g \cdot ml^{-1} \), a concentration similar to that at which occurs at the site of injection during infiltration, nerve block, or epidural anesthesia, produced vasodilation.

Animals receiving intravenous lidocaine had plasma concentrations of \( 3.60 \pm 0.47 \, \mu g \cdot ml^{-1} \) and showed about the same degree of vasoconstriction as did those receiving similar concentrations \( 10^3 \) and \( 10^1 \, \mu g \cdot ml^{-1} \) applied topically. This supports the hypothesis that the vasoconstriction was the result of peripheral rather than central action.

Aps and Reynolds, after intradermal injections of lidocaine, \( 2 \times 10^4 \, \mu g \cdot ml^{-1} \), observed that local hemorrhage often accompanied vasodilation and proposed that the dilatation might be secondary to tissue irritation. In a recent review, Covino noted that histologic studies have shown skeletal muscles to be particularly sensitive to the irritative effects of local anesthetics. Our observations of cremaster muscle contraction and extravasation of red blood cells may be related to these irritative effects.

In summary, in using an in vivo microscopic preparation, we have demonstrated a biphasic response of fourth-order arterioles to increasing concentrations of lidocaine. Progressive constriction occurred with lidocaine concentrations from \( 10^6 \) to \( 10^3 \, \mu g \cdot ml^{-1} \), and vasodilation was observed with lidocaine, \( 10^4 \, \mu g \cdot ml^{-1} \). Our results suggested that the peripheral circulatory action of lidocaine during systemic concentrations of approximately \( 3-4 \, \mu g \cdot ml^{-1} \) were the result of local, not central, actions of the drug, because similar peripheral vascular effects were seen when the drug was administered either topically or intravenously.