

CORRESPONDENCE

cycles, language barriers, fear of others knowing of sexual activity); therefore, urine or serum pregnancy testing is done preoperatively. In the authors' routine, pregnancy testing was performed within 6 days of the scheduled surgery if possible; otherwise, it was performed the day of surgery. In all, 7 of 2,056 patients (0.3%) were found to be pregnant, resulting in the cancellation of all 7 planned procedures. We are curious how many of these seven patients who tested positive for pregnancy were discovered by testing performed on the day of surgery. If a majority of them were diagnosed by testing on the day of surgery, should we reconsider ever testing these patients for pregnancy before the day of the scheduled procedure, or test them immediately on arrival for their procedure or with home testing kits the night before?

Norman J. Zeig, M.D.
Chair

Anesthesiology
1996; 84:1261
© 1996 American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc.
Lippincott-Raven Publishers

In Reply:—Lewis and Cooper raise a valid concern of positive and negative predictive values. Although briefly addressed in an earlier version of our original manuscript, it was dropped because of editorial considerations. However, we are concerned about their use of data from a preliminary study¹ presented in abstract form to calculate specificity and the positive predictive value of preoperative pregnancy testing. Inclusion of the abstract by Malviya *et al.*² in our discussion was not an endorsement of their data to serve a role in calculation of the specificity of pregnancy testing. Their data, which examined 179 patients, included a single case of a "questionably positive" test result in a patient who was not pregnant. Had this result been interpreted as a true negative rather than a false positive, their specificity would have been the same as that calculated from our much larger data: 100%. Because of small sample size, the single questionable result had a major impact on specificity and, thus, the positive predictive value of the test. In our series of 2,056 patients, clinical laboratory pregnancy testing of UCG in either urine or blood yielded neither false nor ambiguous results, with a 95% confidence interval of false result between 0.0 and 0.0018.

The scope of our study was to examine the incidence and impact of previously unsuspected pregnancy in patients scheduled for ambulatory surgery discovered during routine preoperative pregnancy testing. We understand Lewis and Cooper's concerns about the specificity of pregnancy testing in a population with an overall low prevalence of pregnancy, and perhaps further studies are warranted to examine the predictive value of routine preoperative pregnancy testing in ambulatory surgery patients.

The letters from Rosenberg and Zeig and Herschman raise the issue of performing pregnancy testing as close to the time of surgery as possible, preferably on the same day. In our study, pregnancy testing often was performed at the time of their laboratory testing, albeit often several days in advance, to lessen inconvenience to the patient. We agree that "closing the window as tightly as possible" is preferable, especially considering the available testing kits, which can accurately and quickly be used in the ambulatory surgery unit. Zeig and Herschman raise the provocative suggestion regarding the use

Zvi Herschman, M.D.
Director of Critical Care
Department of Anesthesiology
Saint Barnabas Medical Center
Old Short Hills Road
Livingston, New Jersey 07039

Reference

1. Manley S, de Kelaita G, Joseph NJ, Salem MR, Heyman HJ: Preoperative pregnancy testing in ambulatory surgery. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 1995; 83:690-3

(Accepted for publication January 29, 1996.)

of home testing kits. We are not confident that this is an acceptable alternative because test performance and interpretation would be unmonitored.

Steven Manley, M.D.
Attending Anesthesiologist
Illinois Masonic Medical Center
Clinical Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology
University of Illinois College of Medicine
Ninos J. Joseph, B.S.
Research Associate
Illinois Masonic Medical Center
M. Ramez Salem, M.D.
Chair
Illinois Masonic Medical Center
Clinical Professor of Anesthesiology
University of Illinois College of Medicine
Harold J. Heyman, M.D.
Attending Anesthesiologist
Illinois Masonic Medical Center
Clinical Associate Professor of Anesthesiology
University of Illinois College of Medicine
Grace de Kelaita
Research Assistant
Department of Anesthesiology
Illinois Masonic Medical Center
836 West Wellington Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60657

Reference

1. Malviya S, Reynolds P, D'Errico C, Huntington J, Voepel-Lewis T, Pandit U: Should pregnancy tests be routine prior to surgery in adolescent patients (abstract). *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 1994; 81:A1385

(Accepted for publication January 29, 1996.)