CORRESPONDENCE

Early Application of the Cross-suture Splint to Teeth Avulsed at Tracheal Intubation

To the Editor.—A 53-yr-old, 152-cm, 41-kg woman was scheduled for removal of a pheochromocytoma. The patient had no obvious dental caries or periodontal disease. After induction of anesthesia with 250 mg intravenous thiamylal and 10 mg vecuronium, the anesthetic resident ventilated the lungs with 5% sevoflurane for 5 min and attempted tracheal intubation. However, more than 30 s passed before the vocal cords were seen, and arterial blood pressure increased to 243/96 mm Hg. The resident rushed the intubation, which led to complete avulsion of the maxillary incisors. We immediately consulted a dentist, who strongly recommended early stabilization of the teeth. The teeth were replaced into the socket in their original position, and a cross-suture splint was applied within 10 min. The patient was instructed to report to the dental clinic for subsequent observation and treatment.

On reimplantation, the durability of the teeth depends on which of three courses is followed: (1) Nearly normal function of the periodontal ligament is restored, in which the durability is almost the same as untraumatized teeth. (2) The root of the replanted tooth undergoes osseous replacement and eventually may cause loss of the tooth. (3) Root resorption occurs with a necrotic pulp and early loss of the tooth. The outcome depends largely on the first-aid treatment. Teeth are held to the alveolar bone by collagenous tissue that forms the periodontal ligament. It is imperative not to damage or dry the ligament if avulsed teeth are to be replanted successfully. The less time the tooth is out of its socket, the more successful the replantation will be. A 90% success rate occurs if the extraoral period of the teeth avulsed does not exceed 30 min. Early dental consultation even before surgery is crucial to achieve satisfactory replantation.
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Vasomotor Effects of Isoflurane in the Coronary Circulation

To the Editor.—Park et al. reported that isoflurane caused constriction of isolated coronary resistance vessels obtained from rat. This finding conflicts with observations obtained in vivo in several laboratories, including ours, demonstrating that, when hemodynamic conditions are controlled, isoflurane causes significant increases in coronary blood flow (CBF). Because most of the resistance to CBF lies in the arteriolar segments, these latter findings suggest that isoflurane is a dilator, rather than a constrictor, of coronary resistance vessels.

Park et al. acknowledge the increases in CBF caused by isoflurane in our in vivo studies and, in light of their in vitro findings, theorize that they are due to an opening of "nonnutritive" shunts. However, Park et al. provide no anatomic or functional evidence for these shunts, nor do they explain why isoflurane would cause opposite changes in vasomotor tone of the shunts and the coronary resistance vessels. Their use of the study of Gelman et al. as support for their theory is puzzling, because Gelman et al. found that isoflurane anesthesia had no effect on shunting of 9 µm microspheres in the coronary circulation. These findings from Gelman et al. are consistent with those from our laboratory and others that indicate that coronary vasodilators, including isoflurane, do not increase the coronary shunting of microspheres.

We disagree with two points raised by Park et al. in their discussion. First, they state that our method of direct venous collection for assessing the amount of microsphere shunting in the coronary circulation is inferior to the technique used by Gelman et al., in
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