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Introduction: We studied the effects of progesterone on cellular growth. Human teratocarcinoma neuro-epithelial (NT2) cells are neuronal precursor cells. These cells can be induced to differentiate into neurons, which function as a good model for CNS neurons. We hypothesize that progesterone has a positive effect on cellular growth.

Methods: The NT2 cells are grown and maintained in media having either high (50 ng/dL) or low (<10 ng/dL) concentrations of progesterone. The rate of DNA synthesis was measured as an index of cellular proliferation. The NT2 cells are incubated for 2 hours with 0.2 µCi/ml [3H]thymidine at indicated timepoints. The DNA was precipitated and the incorporated [3H]thymidine measured by liquid scintillation counting.

Results: These preliminary data represent the results of the [3H]thymidine incorporation studies to date. Each number below represents an average of two experiments, each timepoint was measured in triplicate. The data are expressed as percentage of baseline at 2 hours [3H]thymidine incorporation (Table). The cells exposed to the high progesterone had a higher rate of DNA synthesis than did those in the low progesterone environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Baseline [3H]Thymidine Incorporation</th>
<th>8 hours</th>
<th>24 hours</th>
<th>48 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Progesterone</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Progesterone</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion: These results suggest that progesterone promotes growth in the NT2 cell line. Further studies are underway to investigate the role of progesterone in decreasing cellular injury.
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We studied the segmental dose requirement of epidural lidoacaine [SDREL] for producing extradural neural blockade of a given number of spinal segments in 20 pregnant women [mean age 30.8 years; range 18-35 years] scheduled for elective lower segment Caesarean section [LSCS]. All of them were free from systemic disease and belonged to the American Society of Anesthesiologists [ASA] grade I or II. Informed consent was secured from all the patients for participation in the study and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute. In all patients, height [m] and weight [kg] were measured and the body surface area [BSA; m²] was calculated. Under strict aseptic precautions, epidural catheter was introduced and fixed to the back. No drug was injected at this stage. The patient was turned into supine position, a wedge was placed under the left buttock and 15 ml of 2% lidoacaine [300 mg] was injected through the epidural catheter over 3 minutes. After 25 minutes of injection, the upper and lower extent of sensory blockade was assessed on both the sides and the LSCS was allowed to commence. SDREL was calculated by dividing the dose of injected lidoacaine [300 mg] by the number of spinal segments blocked.

Linear regression analysis was used to study the relation between SDREL and anthropometric variables. Moderately positive correlation was observed between SDREL and height [r=0.51, p=0.001]; weight [r=0.52, t=3.75, p=0.001]; body surface area [r=0.57, t=2.49, p=0.001]. An index combining the third power of BSA and age [BSA³/age] [Figure] exhibited a strong correlation with SDREL [r=0.68, t=5.69, p=0.001].

The regression equation for this relationship was as follows:

SDREL [mg/seg] = 12.56 + [3.2xBSA³/age]

We conclude that exact SDREL can be calculated using the regression equation listed above and this knowledge will be useful in rendering the technique safe.
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The aim of this study, approved by our hospital Ethics Committee, was to evaluate the effects of two different concentrations of epidural Ropivacaine for cesarean delivery (Starck technique), focusing on their safety, analgesic power, main side effects most hypotension related.

Fifty women, ASA I-2 with uncomplicated pregnancy, after written consent were randomized into two groups comparable for age, weight, weeks of pregnancy, parity. Fifteen had undergone to previous caesareans.

All patients received i.v. infusion of Ringer's Lactate 500 ml with Ephedrine 25 mg over 20 min, stayed in the sitting position and the epidural space was incuated at L2-3 or L3-4 with 3 cm catheter left in before returning to lie with a left lateral tilt 30°.

25 patients (group A) received Rop 0.50%, up to 12 ml (height <160 cm) or 15 ml over 8-10 min and Fentanyl 75 mcg. 25 patients (group B) received Rop 0.75% and Fentanyl by the same way.

Blood pressure and the anesthesia level were tested every 2 min, Bromage motor block score (0 to 3) just before starting, Agar score at 1 and 5 min, the needs of supplemental analgesia (i.v. Fentanyl) and the main side effects were recorded (hypotension = 90 mmHg). Onset time was considered when analgesia was at T5. The surgery was invited to start when at around T4. We arbitrarily assumed to classify as "very good" the patients without main side effects and not needing any further analgesics.

The surgical mean time was 23, averaging between 12 and 48 min. Onset time didn't differ between the two groups, averaging 13-14 min, so the Bromage score. The percentage of hypotension was much lower in the Rop 0.50, the Agar scores a bit higher. The needs for supplemental analgesia were about the same, while increased along with the surgical time. One patient required general anesthesia in group A, none in group B.

Finally, this little experience indicates that epidural Rop 0.50 may offer a good and safe alternative to usual Rop 0.75 for cesarean delivery.
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Closed-tip multipor epidural catheters have fewer missed segments but more intravenous insertions than open-tip unipor catheters.(1) Multipor catheter holes may be in different body compartments.(2) A multipor catheter test dose should be safe if the entire dose is injected IV (3 IV holes) but effective if only partially injected IV (1 IV hole). Because injectates preferentially exit the proximal hole but the distal hole is the one most frequently malpositioned, I estimate that an ideal test dose should have a >10-fold therapeutic window.(2,3) Clearly, ephinephrine, isoprenaline, air, fentanyl, and local anesthetics lack therapeutic window this large.

Indocyanine green (ICG) is a dye used to measure cardiac output that is detectable over a wide concentration range. Studies reported in abstract form find no spinal cord pathology after spinal injection in rats and rabbits.(4,5) The animal study authors have patented the idea of an ICG test dose (#5,402,779). Pulse-oxygenometer-like detectors for ICG are available (Sumimono Electric). I believe that ICG may be the best multipor test dose candidate and would like to test it in humans. However, questions arise.

1. Is there any point to using any test dose with multipor catheter?
2. Has sufficient animal neurotoxicity testing of ICG been done?
3. Does the patent represent an insurmountable obstacle?
4. How large should the therapeutic window be?
5. Even if ICG were an ideal test, would clinicians buy ICG monitors?
6. Would ICG tests be viewed with the report that greeted air tests?
7. Would research interests alone justly developing an ICG test dose?
8. Are either of the two current catheter designs the best possible? Is it acceptable that 5-15% of obstetric epidural catheters end up in veins?