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Background: Potent inhaled anesthetics degrade in the presence of the strong bases (sodium hydroxide or potassium hydroxide) in carbon dioxide (CO₂) absorbents. A new absorbent, Amsorb (Armstrong Medical Ltd., Coleraine, Northern Ireland), does not employ these strong bases. This study compared the scavenging efficacy and compound A production of two commercially available absorbents (soda lime and barium hydroxide lime) with Amsorb in humans undergoing general anesthesia.

Methods: Four healthy volunteers were anesthetized on different days with isoflurane, sevoflurane, enflurane, and desflurane. End-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂) and anesthetic concentrations were measured with infrared spectroscopy; blood pressure and arterial blood gases were obtained from a radial artery catheter. Each anesthetic exposure lasted 3 h, during which the three fresh (normally hydrated) CO₂ absorbents were used for a period of 1 h each. Anesthesia was administered with a fresh gas flow rate of 2 l/min of air:oxygen (50:50). Tidal volume was 10 ml/kg; respiratory rate was 8 breaths/min. Arterial blood gases were obtained at baseline and after each hour. Inspired concentrations of compound A were measured after 15, 30, and 60 min of anesthetic administration for each CO₂ absorbent.

Results: Arterial blood gases and ETCO₂ were not different among three CO₂ absorbents. During sevoflurane, compound A formed with barium hydroxide lime and soda lime, but not with Amsorb.

Conclusions: This new CO₂ absorbent effectively scavenged CO₂ and was not associated with compound A production.

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) absorbents cause all potent inhaled anesthetics to degrade.¹ ² The initial step in this degradation is removal of a labile proton. Most commercial absorbents contain the alkali bases, potassium or sodium hydroxide. Degradation of the anesthetics is slightly greater with absorbents containing a higher composition of potassium hydroxide.³ Barium hydroxide lime (Baralyme; Collins Medical Inc., Braintree, MA) contains 12% barium hydroxide and 6% potassium hydroxide and degrades the anesthetics to a greater extent than does soda lime (which contains less potassium hydroxide and some sodium hydroxide).⁴ The reaction of halothane and sevoflurane with carbon dioxide absorbents results in degradation of these anesthetics to haloalkenes.⁵–⁷ Halothane degrades to form trace amounts of BCDFE (2-bromo-2-chloro-1,1-difluoroethene), and sevoflurane degrades to form trace amounts of compound A (2-(fluoromethoxy)-1,1,3,3,3-pentafluoro-1-propane). Although clinically significant renal effects of haloalkene formation in surgical patients have not been reported, these haloalkenes have been shown to be nephrotoxic in rats.⁸ ⁹ Desflurane, enfurane, and isoflurane degradation to carbon monoxide occurs when the CO₂ absorbent is dehydrated (approximately 90% of the water content must be removed).² ¹⁰

Recently, a new absorbent, Amsorb (Armstrong Medical Ltd., Coleraine, Northern Ireland), has been described that does not seem to be associated with the degradation of current volatile anesthetics.¹¹ In vitro testing shows no compound A formation when Amsorb is exposed to 2% sevoflurane at a fresh gas flow (FGF) of 1 l/min and no carbon monoxide formation when desflurane, isoflurane, or enfurane is administered through hydrated CO₂ absorbents.¹¹ The essential change in the new absorbent is the removal of the strong bases (KOH and NaOH) from the absorbent. Calcium hydroxide forms the bulk of the absorbent (70%). Calcium chloride (0.7%) is a humectant along with two setting agents, calcium sulfate (0.7%) and polyvinyl pyrrolidone (0.7%). The moisture content is 14.5% by weight and is similar to soda lime and barium hydroxide lime.

This study extends the laboratory studies with the new absorbent, Amsorb, by evaluating its scavenging efficacy in human volunteers anesthetized with sevoflurane, enfurane, isoflurane, and desflurane. Sevoflurane degradation to compound A was also determined. Comparisons were made with commercially available CO₂ absorbents, soda lime, and barium hydroxide lime.

Materials and Methods

Four healthy volunteers (three women and one man) participated; all were classified as American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status I. After Institutional Review Board approval (VA Medical Center and Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin), healthy volunteers provided informed consent and participated on four occasions. Each session was separated by at least 1 week and consisted of a 3-h exposure to one minimum alveolar concentration (MAC) of a randomly assigned volatile anesthetic (enflurane, sevoflurane, isoflurane, or desflurane). During each hour of the 3-h anesthesia, one of three randomly assigned, fresh, normally hydrated CO₂ absorbents was used in the circuit: Amsorb, soda lime, or barium hydroxide lime.
The volunteers were screened within 1 day before anesthesia. The experimental session began after a radial arterial catheter was placed and standard American Society of Anesthesiology monitors were applied. Thirty milliliters of a nonparticulate antacid were administered. General anesthesia was established with 2.5 mg/kg propofol, and endotracheal intubation was aided with 0.1 mg/kg rocuronium bromide. Mechanical ventilation was established at a tidal volume of 10 ml/kg and a respiratory rate of 8 breaths/min. End-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂) and anesthetic concentrations were monitored via infrared spectrometry. Arterial blood gases were obtained at awake baseline and at the end of each hour of the 3-h anesthetic just before switching the absorbent from one to another. Carboxyhemoglobin concentrations were determined by cooximetry. The mean arterial blood pressure was maintained above 60 mm Hg at all times during general anesthesia and aided by head-down tilt, intravenous fluid boluses, and the use of pressors as needed.

During the sevoflurane trial, at an FGF of 2 l/min, compound A was measured at 15, 30, and 60-min intervals for each absorbent. Inspiratory limb gas samples were taken from an airtight stopcock system positioned at the junction of the plastic inspiratory hosing with the anesthetic CO₂ absorber. Samples were drawn into 5-ml syringes, injected into airtight 30-ml glass vials, and mailed overnight to Seattle (the laboratory of Evan Kharasch, M.D., Ph.D., University of Washington, Seattle, WA) for analysis within 36 h of collection. Compound A concentrations were determined by gas chromatography with flame ionization detection using the same validated assay as described previously. The limit of quantification of this assay is 1.5 ppm, and the coefficients of variation are 8.5% (4.4% bias), 8.7% (6.0% bias), and 8.5% (4.4% bias) in standard addition and recovery experiments at compound A concentrations of 4, 9, and 32 ppm, respectively (n = 4).

### Statistical Analysis

Data presented are mean ± SD (and ranges, where indicated). Repeated-measures analysis of variance was performed to determine differences among absorbents and anesthetics. A level of significance less than 0.05 was chosen.

### Results

With the exception of compound A, there were no significant differences in any variable in response to the four anesthetics and the three absorbents. There were no differences in arterial blood gases at any measurement time for any anesthetic or absorbent. The mean values of pH, partial pressure of oxygen (PO₂), and bicarbonate for all anesthetics and absorbents were 7.4 ± 0.0, 196 ± 24 mm Hg, and 24.6 ± 1.7 mEq, respectively. There were no significant differences in ETCO₂ recorded every 15 min, among the three absorbents exposed to the four different anesthetics (table 1). Arterial CO₂ partial pressure (Paco₂) was measured at the end of every hour of exposure to each absorbent and was not different among anesthetics or absorbents (mean, 39.6 ± 2.8 mm Hg). Baseline levels of carboxyhemoglobin were negligible, averaging 0.4%, and did not change with any of the three normally hydrated absorbents when exposed to different anesthetics.

There was significant formation of compound A, detected from the inspired limb, during sevoflurane administration with soda lime and barium hydroxide lime (fig. 1). This contrasted markedly and significantly to the lack of compound A formation when Amsorb was the CO₂ scavenger (fig. 1).

### Discussion

This study provides the first clinical evaluation of a novel CO₂ absorbent that does not cause sevoflurane...
degradation to compound A, presumably because of the absence of sodium and potassium hydroxide as scavenging bases. During 2.4% (1 MAC) sevoflurane in an FGF of 2 l/min, there was no detectable compound A formation when using Amsorb, in contrast to significant concentrations of compound A noted with soda lime and barium hydroxide lime absorbents. The three absorbents were equally effective in scavenging CO₂. We were unable to detect carboxyhemoglobin formation with isoflurane, desflurane, or enflurane, although, for ethical reasons, the experiment was not designed to maximize the potential for carbon monoxide production (i.e., we did not dehydrate [remove the normal water content from] the absorbents).

The mechanism of anesthetic degradation by standard CO₂ absorbents recently has been reviewed. The presence of strong bases (sodium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide) in CO₂ absorbents results in removal of a labile proton from the anesthetics and serves as the first step in anesthetic degradation. The formation of compound A with sevoflurane is enhanced by drying and by increasing the temperature of the absorbent. Amsorb does not contain NaOH or KOH. Instead, calcium hydroxide is mixed with calcium chloride. The calcium chloride provides the moisture (water) that is essential for the first step of CO₂ removal (by forming carbonic acid). Subsequently, calcium hydroxide combines with the carbonic acid to form calcium carbonate and generates hydrated calcium chloride (or regenerates sodium and potassium hydroxide with conventional absorbents).

Degradation of anesthetics has led to safety concerns, and these concerns have led to considerable discussion and debate in the anesthesiology literature. In the case of sevoflurane, high concentrations of compound A administration to rodents have resulted in renal injury. In one human volunteer study, the use of barium hydroxide lime resulted in transient subclinical renal effects during prolonged sevoflurane administration. However, this was not confirmed in subsequent work. Based on theoretical concerns, the Food and Drug Administration has limited potential human exposure to compound A by recommending that FGF less than 1 l/min be avoided to reduce the level of compound A during sevoflurane administration. However, in the majority of countries that use sevoflurane, no FGF restriction has been applied to the use of sevoflurane.

In summary, the formation of compound A during sevoflurane anesthesia with the use of barium hydroxide lime or soda lime absorbent did not occur during sevoflurane anesthesia with Amsorb absorbent. Earlier in vitro evaluations also indicate that Amsorb prevented compound A formation at lower FGFs. These findings support the concept that replacement of the strong alkali in the granules of currently used absorbents renders this new absorbent effective in preventing the degradation of sevoflurane to compound A.
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