Spinal Ropivacaine for Cesarean Section

A Dose-finding Study


Background: The dose–response relation for spinal ropivacaine is undetermined, and there are few data available for obstetric patients.

Methods: In a prospective, randomized, double-blind investigation, the authors studied 72 patients undergoing elective cesarean delivery. An epidural catheter was placed at the L2–L3 vertebral interspace. Lumbar puncture was then performed at the L3–L4 vertebral interspace, and patients were randomized to receive a dose of spinal ropivacaine diluted to 3 ml with normal saline: 10 mg (n = 12), 15 mg (n = 20), 20 mg (n = 20), or 25 mg (n = 20). Sensory changes assessed by ice and pin prick and motor changes assessed by modified Bromage score were recorded at timed intervals. A dose was considered effective if an upper sensory level to pin prick of T7 or above was achieved and epidural supplementation was not required intraoperatively.

Results: Anesthesia was successful in 8.3, 45, 70, and 90% of the 10-, 15-, 20-, and 25-mg groups, respectively. A sigmoid dose–response curve and a probit log dose–response plot were obtained, and the authors determined the ED$_{50}$ (95% confidence interval) to be 16.7 (14.1–18.8) mg and the ED$_{95}$ (95% confidence interval) to be 26.8 (23.6–34.1) mg. Duration of sensory and motor block and degree of motor block, but not onset of anesthesia, were positively related to dose.

Conclusions: The ED$_{50}$ and estimated ED$_{95}$ for spinal ropivacaine were 16.7 and 26.8 mg, respectively. Ropivacaine is a suitable agent for spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery.

ROPIVACAINE is a recently introduced amino amide class of local anesthetic with structural and pharmacodynamic similarity to bupivacaine.1 Several recent reports have described the use of ropivacaine for spinal anesthesia.2–6 Doses used in clinical studies have ranged from 8 to 22.5 mg, and it has been suggested that ropivacaine is less potent than bupivacaine.2–5 How-ev-er, the optimum dosage regimen for spinal ropivacaine has not been determined. Furthermore, few data are available on dose requirements of spinal ropivacaine in obstetric patients; data from nonobstetric patients cannot be directly extrapolated to obstetrics because of lower dose requirements.7 Because the optimal dose of spinal ropivacaine in obstetric patients is unknown, we have designed this randomized double-blind study to determine the dose–response of spinal ropivacaine for elective cesarean delivery.

Methods

This study received approval from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Chinese University of Hong Kong (Hong Kong, China), and all patients gave informed written consent. We planned to enroll 80 patients with American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status I or II who were scheduled to undergo cesarean delivery at term during regional anesthesia. Patients with multiple pregnancies, suspected fetal abnormality, or complicated pregnancies were excluded. All patients received premedication of 150 mg ranitidine orally the night before and on the morning of surgery. Sodium bicarbonate, 30 ml orally, was administered at the time of arrival to the operating room. Patients had standard monitoring, including continuous electrocardiography, pulse oximetry, and noninvasive measurement of arterial blood pressure, cycled at 1-min intervals. Intravenous access was secured in the nondominant forearm during local anesthesia, and intravenous preload of 20 ml/kg lactated Ringer’s solution was administered over approximately 15 min.

Before the commencement of anesthesia, patients were instructed on the method of sensory and motor assessments, and baseline measurements were performed. Sensory changes were recorded bilaterally along the midclavicular line by assessing changes in pin-prick sensation using a safety pin protruding 2 mm though a guard, and changes in temperature sensation were assessed using frozen plastic ampules of water. Motor block in the lower limbs was graded according to the modified Bromage scale8 (0 = able to lift extended leg at the hip; 1 = able to flex the knee but not lift extended leg; 2 = able to move the foot only; 3 = unable to move even the foot). A combined spinal and epidural technique was used with the patient in the right lateral position. After skin disinfection and infiltration with 1% ropivacaine, the epidural space was located at the L2–L3 lumbar vertebral interspace using a 16-gauge Tuohy needle, and an epidural catheter was inserted 2–3 cm and secured aseptically. The catheter was gently aspirated and checked for the presence of blood or cerebrospinal fluid, but no test dose was administered. Midline lumbar puncture was then performed at the L3–L4 interspace, using a 25-gauge Whitacre needle oriented with the orifice facing...
cephalad. Insertion was aided with an 18-gauge introducer needle. Patients were randomly allocated by drawing coded shuffled opaque envelopes to receive one of four doses of spinal ropivacaine: 10, 15, 20, or 25 mg. To facilitate blinding, all doses were diluted to 3.0 ml with normal saline and were prepared by an investigator not involved with subsequent patient assessments. After confirming free flow of cerebrospinal fluid, spinal solutions were injected over approximately 60 s.

Immediately after spinal injection, patients were turned supine with left lateral tilt. Sensory and motor assessments were performed at 1 min, 2.5 min, and subsequently at 2.5-min intervals for the first 30 min. Thereafter, the blocks were assessed at 15-min intervals until complete recovery of motor function and sensation at the S2 dermatome.

After 30 min, surgery was allowed to commence if the upper dermatomal level of loss of discrimination to pin prick was at or above T7. Otherwise, the epidural catheter was topped up using 2% alkalinized lidocaine with 1:200,000 epinephrine and 75–100 μg fentanyl administered in incremental doses until satisfactory dermatomal anesthesia was obtained. Patients who reported intraoperative pain with moderate to severe discomfort were treated with an intravenous bolus dose of 10 mg ketamine, which was repeated if pain was unrelieved after 5 min. If pain remained intolerable, with a score of 7 or greater on a 10-point numerical score (0 = no pain and 10 = most severe pain) after the second dose of ketamine, the epidural was topped up. For patients requiring epidural top-up, the spinal anesthesia was classified as a failure, but data for the onset of spinal anesthesia before epidural top-up were included for analysis.

Hypotension, defined by a decrease in systolic arterial pressure to less than 100 mmHg or less than 80% from baseline,9 was treated with intravenous boluses of 9 mg ephedrine as required. Nausea and vomiting were treated with 10 mg intravenous metoclopramide, after ephedrine as required. Nausea and vomiting were treated with an intravenous bolus dose of 10 mg ketamine, which was repeated if pain was unrelieved after 5 min. If pain remained intolerable, with a score of 7 or greater on a 10-point numerical score (0 = no pain and 10 = most severe pain) after the second dose of ketamine, the epidural was topped up. For patients requiring epidural top-up, the spinal anesthesia was classified as a failure, but data for the onset of spinal anesthesia before epidural top-up were included for analysis.

Hypotension, defined by a decrease in systolic arterial pressure to less than 100 mmHg or less than 80% from baseline,9 was treated with intravenous boluses of 9 mg ephedrine as required. Nausea and vomiting were treated with 10 mg intravenous metoclopramide, after hypotension had been excluded.

Times of skin incision, uterine incision, delivery, and completion of surgery were recorded. After delivery, Apgar scores were assessed at 1 and 5 min by the attending pediatrician, and arterial and venous blood samples were taken from a double-clamped segment of umbilical cord for immediate blood gas analysis using a Ciba-Corning 278 Blood Gas System (Ciba-Corning, Medfield, MA) blood gas analyzer.

Postoperative analgesia was provided via patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) using a Graseby 9300 (Graseby Medical Ltd., Watford, Herts, United Kingdom). The PCA device was set to deliver a morphine bolus of 1.5 mg with a lockout time of 5 min and a maximum 4-h limit of 30 mg. The time of first PCA demand was subsequently recorded from the electronic memory of the PCA device. All patients had routine follow-up by an anesthesiologist on the day after surgery and an assessment by a research nurse 24 h postoperatively, with instructions to report the occurrence of complications, such as residual neurologic symptoms or back pain.

For assessment of the onset of anesthesia, the time for sensory block to develop to T10, T7, and maximum block height and the time to achieve each increment of Bromage score were compared. To assess the duration of the sensory block, the two-segment regression time from the maximum block height and time for regression to L1 and S1 were compared. Duration of motor block was assessed by comparison of time to each decrement of Bromage score.

**Statistical Analysis**

Results are presented as mean and SD or median and range where appropriate. Measurements from the right and left sides were averaged, and statistical comparisons were performed using analysis of variance with post hoc analysis using the Tamhane T2 test.10 We used the two-tailed two proportions test to compare patients with complete motor block in the two asymmetric groups, and we used linear regression and chi-square test for trend to determine the dose–response relation of motor block. Analyses were performed using SPSS version 10.0 (Chicago, IL) and PASS version 6.0 (Kaysville, UT). P < 0.05 was considered significant.

The dose–response relation for spinal ropivacaine was determined using probit analysis. An effective dose (success) was defined as a dose that provided adequate sensory dermatomal anesthesia to pin prick to T7 or higher and required no epidural top-up for surgery to be completed. Data for successful responses for each dose–category were used to plot a sigmoid dose–response curve and a probit log dose–response relation. Probit regression was performed, the coefficients were estimated using the maximum likelihood estimation, and interpolation was used to obtain the ED50, ED90, and ED95. For positive findings, we used linear regression to determine the dose–response relation, and we used nonlinear regression iterative methodology to simulate a dose–response sigmoid curve.

**Results**

Seventy-two patients completed the study. Recruitment to the 10-mg group was stopped after 12 patients when interim analysis of the first 40 cases showed an unacceptably high failure rate of 11 of 12 cases (92%). The investigator was unable to locate the subarachnoid space in one patient, and epidural anesthesia was used instead. This patient was excluded, and the randomization repeated for recruitment of another patient as a replacement.
Demographic data were similar between the respective 10-, 15-, 20-, and 25-mg groups for age, weight, and height. Surgical durations were similar, ranging from 29 to 80 min, and there were no differences in the 1- and 5-min Apgar scores and parameters of umbilical cord gases.

The outcomes of anesthesia are summarized in figure 1. In 18 patients (25%), the upper dermatomal level of sensory anesthesia to pin prick was below T7, and the epidural was topped up before starting surgery. Twelve patients (17%) experienced intraoperative pain that was not relieved by intravenous boluses of ketamine, and these patients required epidural supplementation. In these 12 patients, median (range) upper dermatomal segment before the commencement of surgery was T3 (T2 – T7) to pin prick and T2 (C7 – T4) to ice, and pain was felt 24 (± 9) min after the commencement of surgery. Of note, only four of these patient patients (33%) had complete motor block (Bromage score of 3), compared with 41 (98%) patients with successful anesthesia (P = 0.00003 [< 0.05 critical region]).

Overall, 42 patients (58%) successfully completed their surgery solely during spinal anesthesia. According to our definition, spinal anesthesia was effective in 8.3, 45, 70, and 90% of the 10-, 15-, 20-, and 25-mg groups, respectively. Using probit analysis, the dose–response curve from these data was plotted, and linear regression analysis of the log (dose) response (fig. 2) showed a regression coefficient (r) of 0.991 (P < 0.01) and a coefficient of determination (r²) of 0.981. Based on these results, we determined the ED₅₀ (95% confidence interval [CI]) to be 16.7 (14.1–18.7) mg and the ED₉₀ (95% CI) to be 24.5 (21.9–30.3) mg. By extrapolation, the ED₉₅ (95% CI) for spinal ropivacaine was estimated to be 26.8 (23.6–34.1) mg. Using nonlinear regression iterative method, we were able to simulate a dose–response sigmoid curve with an r² of 0.993.

Block characteristics are illustrated in figures 3–5. There were no incidences of unilateral sensory block. The rate of onset of sensory anesthesia and motor block
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Fig. 1. Anesthetic outcome for all patients.

Fig. 2. Linear regression plot of the probit value against the log (dose).

Fig. 3. Time course of dermatomal anesthesia (median) to pin prick.

Fig. 4. Time course of dermatomal anesthesia (median) to ice.
The times for two dermatomal regression of upper sensory segments to both ice and pin prick were similar among groups. However, the duration of sensory anesthesia to both ice and pin prick at L1 and S1 was greater with increasing dose ($P < 0.01$). The time to first PCA demand was also greater with increasing dose ($P < 0.05$).

The incidence of hypotension, consumption of ephedrine, and episodes of nausea and vomiting were similar among groups. One patient had post-dural puncture headache on the first day after surgery; her symptoms resolved after conservative treatment with oral analgesics. No patient had residual neurologic changes or back pain when examined 24 h after operation.

**Discussion**

In this study, we have shown a dose-dependent relation between the duration of sensory analgesia, the extent and duration of motor block, and the success rate of spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. The rate of onset of analgesia and motor blockade and the number of segments blocked were not influenced by the dosage. The primary aim of this study was to determine an effective dose of spinal ropivacaine for cesarean delivery. Using probit analysis, we determined the ED$_{50}$ (95% CI) to be $16.7$ (14.1–18.7) mg and the ED$_{95}$ (95% CI) to be $26.8$ (23.6–34.1) mg. However, it should be noted that our value for ED$_{95}$ is slightly above the range of doses we tested and was obtained by extrapolation; therefore, some caution should be exercised when interpreting the upper confidence limit because this is well above the range of doses tested.

Previously, ropivacaine has been regarded as equipotent to bupivacaine. However, this assumption has been
challenged recently.\textsuperscript{2,3,6,11} For comparison, we are unaware of dose-finding studies of spinal bupivacaine for cesarean delivery that have used methodology similar to ours. However, most commonly, doses in the range of 10–15 mg are recommended.\textsuperscript{12,15} Therefore, our results are in broad agreement with previous suggestions that spinal ropivacaine is significantly less potent than spinal bupivacaine.\textsuperscript{2,3,6} Although our results suggest that this difference in potency may be quite large, accurate quantification would require a separate study using direct comparison.

Interestingly, we found no difference in the maximum height of sensory block, despite a difference in success rates among groups. For cesarean delivery, it has been proposed that to achieve optimum conditions for surgery, one should aim to achieve an upper level of sensory anesthesia of T4.\textsuperscript{4,14,15} However, in our study, all 12 patients in whom spinal anesthesia failed because of intraoperative pain had an upper sensory level assessed by ice above T4, and 10 patients had an upper sensory level assessed by pin prick above T4. Of these patients, the proportion with complete motor block was significantly smaller compared with patients who had successful anesthesia. This implies that as well as spread, the quality or density of the block is important, and our results suggest that this is related to dosage. Our results would also support the practice of adding an opioid to the local anesthetic, which may reduce intraoperative pain that occurs with small doses of local anesthetic despite apparently adequate spread of sensory anesthesia.\textsuperscript{16}

We diluted all of our doses to 3 ml with normal saline to facilitate blinding. Previous studies using bupivacaine have shown that volume is not an important determinant of local anesthetic spread.\textsuperscript{17,18} We found no differences in the rate of onset of sensory and motor anesthesia among groups, which is in accordance with previous reports using both plain and hyperbaric spinal ropivacaine that indicate that onset is not dose dependent.\textsuperscript{2,5} However, we found that increasing the dose of spinal ropivacaine prolonged the duration of motor and sensory analgesia as evidenced by greater time for block regression and greater time to first analgesic requirement. With increasing dosage of ropivacaine, the proportion of patients developing full motor block increased, and this trend is similar to other published findings.\textsuperscript{2,5} Incomplete motor block (low Bromage scores) was associated with failed spinal anesthesia. This suggests that assessment of motor block is an important part of assessing adequacy of spinal anesthesia as well as the height of sensory anesthesia.

We did not record any postoperative neurologic symptoms in any of our patients at 24 h after administration of spinal ropivacaine. Together with other published reports, this suggests that ropivacaine is a suitable alternative spinal anesthetic, provided that a higher dose is used to compensate for its lower potency. It should however be cautioned that the available data regarding neurotoxicity of spinal ropivacaine are still sparse.

In conclusion, we have found that ropivacaine is a suitable agent for spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. When used for this purpose, we calculated the ED\textsubscript{50} of spinal ropivacaine to be 16.7 mg and the ED\textsubscript{95} to be 26.8 mg. Adequacy of spinal anesthesia was related to dose and to the degree of motor block but was poorly correlated with upper level of sensory changes assessed by ice and pin prick.
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