Continuous Peripheral Nerve Blocks at Home for Treatment of Recurrent Complex Regional Pain Syndrome I in Children
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Background: Recurrent complex regional pain syndrome I is not rare in the pediatric population. The authors conducted this study to evaluate the efficacy of continuous peripheral nerve blocks with elastomeric disposable pumps associated with initial Bier blocks for the treatment of recurrent complex regional pain syndrome I in children.

Methods: After parental informed consent, 13 children who did not respond to conventional complex regional pain syndrome treatment were included (mean age, 13 yr; range, 9–16 yr). After general anesthesia, peripheral nerve block was performed using 0.5 ml/kg lidocaine, 1%, with epinephrine and 0.5% ropivacaine injected in the peripheral nerve block catheter. Then, a 20-min Bier block was performed using a tourniquet and 0.2 ml/kg lidocaine, 1%; 3 ml/kg hydroxyethyl starch 130/06; and 5 mg/kg buflomedil injected intravenously. A solution of 0.1 ml · kg⁻¹ · h⁻¹ continuous ropivacaine, 0.2%, was infused through the catheter using an elastomeric pump for 96 h. Need for rescue analgesia, occurrence of side effects, and status of motor and sensory block were recorded at hours 1, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96. Children and parents completed a satisfaction assessment. All of the children had follow-up visits after 2 months.

Results: Postoperative analgesia was excellent. The median pain score was 0 for each period studied. Motor blockade was minimal before 12 h (median, 1) and absent thereafter. One child needed rescue analgesia. All children were able to walk easily after the initial 24-h period (walking score, > 4). Children and parents were all satisfied. Children returned home under parental surveillance beginning in the 24th hour. Neither peripheral nerve block nor Bier block caused side effects. After 2 months, none of the children exhibited any clinical symptom of recurrent complex regional pain syndrome.

Conclusion: Ambulatory continuous peripheral nerve block associated with an initial Bier block seems to be a significant and novel contribution to treat recurrent pediatric complex regional pain syndrome I. It allows complete pain relief, early mobilization, and rapid return home, representing a psychological advantage for these children.
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Materials and Methods

After we received institutional review board approval (Montpellier, France) and consent of each patient or family, 13 consecutive children who had upper or lower...
and an anti-ischemic agent. This intravenously. Buflomedil is a peripheral vascular dilator tourniquet, 0.2 ml/kg lidocaine, 1%; 5 mg/kg buflomedil; guination of the limb extremity and inflation of the catheter over a 5-min period.

Mechanical ventilation was used after tracheal intubation or insertion of a laryngeal mask airway. Anesthesia was maintained with 50% nitrous oxide in oxygen and a 2% inspired fraction of sevoflurane.

Peripheral nerve blocks were performed before the Bier block procedure using a nerve stimulator for precise nerve location (Stimuplex®, Braun, Melsungen, Germany). The landmarks described by Singelyn et al. were used for popliteal blocks, and the landmarks described by Dalens et al. were used for axillary blocks. With a starting output of 1.5 mA (frequency, 1 Hz; time, 50 ms), needles were advanced until they triggered the required muscle contraction. The position was judged adequate when muscle contractions were still elicited at 0.5 mA. A 20-gauge multiperforated catheter (Plex-olong®, Pajunk, Geisingen, Germany) was inserted along the guide from 3 to 5 cm. Contrast medium (0.1 ml/kg Iopamidol 200; Shering Pharmaceutical, Lys-Lez-Lannoy, France) was injected into the catheter, and an anteroposterior radiograph of the region was obtained within 5 min. Children with unreliable catheter location were excluded. After catheter placement, 0.5 ml/kg of an equal-volume mixture of 0.5% ropivacaine and 1% lidocaine with 1:200,000 epinephrine was injected via the catheter over a 5-min period.

Then, a 20-min Bier block was performed. After exsanguination of the limb extremity and inflation of the tourniquet, 0.2 ml/kg lidocaine, 1%; 5 mg/kg buflomedil; and 3 ml/kg hydroxyethyl starch 130/06 were injected intravenously. Buflomedil is a peripheral vascular dilator and an anti-ischemic agent. This α1 and α2 adreno lytic drug has a relaxant effect on vascular smooth muscle increasing the arterial and venous blood flow with functional restoration of the microcirculation. Hydroxyethyl starch is used to expand the volume of lidocaine and buflomedil after limb exsanguination. The tourniquet was deflated after 20 min without complication, and general anesthesia was discontinued.

In the postoperative period, a continuous infusion of 0.2% ropivacaine was administered at 0.1 ml · kg⁻¹ · h⁻¹ using disposable elastomeric pumps (Infusor LV; Baxter Laborato ries, Maurepas, France). Disposable multirate infusion pumps were used at a flow of 2, 3, 5 or 7 ml/h. A nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug (40 mg/kg niflumic acid twice daily the first 48 h) was administered intravenously over a 15-min period to all children. In the postoperative period, sensory blockade was evaluated using cold sensation (ether on a ball of cotton wool) tested at hours 1, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96. At the same time, motor blockade was evaluated for the selected muscles of each nerve territory using a Bromage scale.16 Nurses evaluated postoperative pain in the surgical ward at hours 1, 6, 12, and 24 and at home at hours 48, 72, and 96 with a visual analog scale ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 cm (most imaginable pain), as well as amounts of rescue analgesia. If pain control was considered insufficient (visual analog scale score > 3) in the postoperative period, an intravenous injection of 0.2 mg/kg nalbuphine in the first 24 h and a codeine-acetaminophen tablet at home were administered as rescue analgesia. At 24 h, a walking score was noted in all children ranging from 0 (rest in bed) to 5 (complete free walk). If there was no complication, the patient returned home under parental surveillance after 24 h. Intense exercises (elbow flexion and extension [1 patient], ankle movements [12 patients] against resistance: plantar flexion, eversion, dorsal flexion, proprioceptive physiotherapy, and massages) conducted by a physiotherapist were started 1 h after the continuous peripheral nerve block induction and at 6, 12, and 24 h at the hospital and twice daily at home. At the end of the study period, satisfaction levels of children and parents were recorded (unsatisfied, satisfied, or very satisfied). Adverse effects (pruritus, nausea, vomiting, dysesthesia, hematoma, urinary retention, local infection, or local anesthetic toxicity) were noted. All of the children had a follow-up visit after 2 months, at which time absence or severity of pain, walking disorders, and disabling symptoms were recorded.

Statistical analysis was performed using SAS software version 8.02 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The quantitative anthropometric scores were expressed as median (range), motor blockade was expressed as median (10th–90th percentiles), and pain scores were expressed as median (25th–75th percentiles and range). Repeated-measures analysis of variance was used for continuous variables. Comparisons between values at the times studied were made using the Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric data, and the chi-square test was used for categorical data. A significance threshold of \( P < 0.05 \) was retained.

**Results**

Thirteen patients, four boys and nine girls, were included in this study. The median age was 13 (9–16) yr and the median weight was 57 (26–95) kg. Information on the clinical course of the children before we performed the continuous peripheral nerve blocks is shown in table 1. Twelve popliteal nerve blocks and one axillary nerve block were performed. No block failures were
noted. Confirmation of correct location of all catheters was obtained with contrast medium radiographs. Postoperative analgesia was excellent. The median pain score was 0 for each period studied. Pain during physiotherapy (visual analog scale values) is reported in figure 1. Rescue analgesia was necessary in only one patient, whose pain scores were outside the 25th–75th percentile range. This child had previously been treated with oral morphine. This patient received two injections of intravenous nalbuphine during the first 24 h of the study.

Observed motor blockade was minimal before 12 h (median value, 1) and absent thereafter (fig. 2). The 13 children in the study were able to walk easily after a 24-h period (walking score, 4).

All parents and children reported high satisfaction (both parents and child were satisfied in one case, and in the other cases, both parents and children were very satisfied) with the pain management at rest and during all periods of intense physiotherapy throughout the study.

None of the children had associated hematoma or catheter infection. No dysesthesia, urinary retention, or any other sign of local anesthetic toxicity was noted. No neurologic symptom was noted after withdrawal of the disposable pumps by a nurse in the surgical ward at 96 h. There was no accidental removal of the catheter during the study.

After 2 months, no child presented any clinical symptom of recurrent CRPS, and all of the patients were able

Table 1. Information on the Clinical Course of the Children before Treatment with Continuous Peripheral Nerve Blocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Age, yr</th>
<th>VAS Score before PNB</th>
<th>Site of Injury</th>
<th>Type of Injury</th>
<th>Duration of Conservative Treatment</th>
<th>Treatment before Block</th>
<th>Cognitive Behavioral Treatment</th>
<th>Physical Therapy</th>
<th>Disabling Syndrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Left ankle</td>
<td>Sprain</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Amitriptyline, clonazepam, calcitonin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Alodynia, hyperesthesia edema, vasomotor disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Right ankle</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Amitriptyline, paracetamol, tramadol</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Alodynia, hyperesthesia edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Left ankle</td>
<td>Sprain</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Amitriptyline, paracetamol, paroxetine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Alodynia, hyperesthesia edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Wrist right</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Calcitonin, amitriptyline</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hyperesthesia edema, vasomotor disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Right ankle</td>
<td>Sprain</td>
<td>7 months</td>
<td>Amitriptyline, clonazepam, tramadol, calcitonin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Alodynia, hyperesthesia edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Left ankle</td>
<td>Sprain</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Clonazepam, amitriptyline</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hyperesthesia edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Left ankle</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>7 months</td>
<td>Clonazepam, amitriptyline, calcitonin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Alodynia, hyperesthesia edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Right ankle</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Amitriptyline, paracetamol, paroxetine, tramadol</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Alodynia, hyperesthesia vasomotor disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Right ankle</td>
<td>Sprain</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Clonazepam, amitriptyline, calcitonin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Alodynia, hyperesthesia edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Left ankle</td>
<td>Sprain</td>
<td>8 months</td>
<td>Clonazepam, amitriptyline, calcitonin, tramadol</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Alodynia, hyperesthesia edema, vasomotor disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Left ankle</td>
<td>Sprain</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Clonazepam, amitriptyline, calcitonin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hyperesthesia edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Right ankle</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>8 months</td>
<td>Clonazepam, amitriptyline, calcitonin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Alodynia, hyperesthesia vasomotor disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Right ankle</td>
<td>Sprain</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Clonazepam, amitriptyline, calcitonin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Alodynia, hyperesthesia edema</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PNB = peripheral nerve block; VAS = visual analog scale.
to resume normal activity. During the period of recruitment of these 13 patients, a total of 37 children were referred to us for CRPS I.

**Discussion**

This study shows that ambulatory CPNB associated with an initial Bier block is effective against intractable or recurrent CRPS I in children. This treatment provided complete short-term pain relief and allowed early intense mobilization and a rapid return home.

The absence of a comparative control group with saline in the CPNB or without CPNB merits comment. Despite recent case reports,7 many cases of recurrent CRPS I have been reported in the literature in children treated with serial Bier blocks.17 In case of recurrent CRPS I, the poor success rate of isolated Bier blocks is already established.1,17 Furthermore, our ethics committee ruled against a randomized comparative design in children in view of the pain levels anticipated during intensive physiotherapy.

In adults, few studies have shown short- and long-term benefits of peripheral nerve blocks in the treatment of CRPS I.2,3 Recently, several case reports have emphasized the importance of continuous or repeated peripheral nerve blocks4,5,18 for control of acute pain and improvement of CRPS I treatment. Because recurrent CRPS I remains a therapeutic challenge in pediatric patients,19 we evaluated the use of 4-day continuous peripheral nerve blocks with disposable elastomeric pumps. We chose ambulatory pumps because of their documented efficacy, simplicity, and safety for ambulatory postoperative analgesia in adult outpatients.9–12 These devices permit optimal pain relief and rapid restoration of a child’s autonomy in the postoperative period.1,17,21 Although repeated sympathetic blocks have been shown to be effective against the pain associated with CRPS I in adults, especially in recurrent cases,20 repeated intravenous guanethidine injections do not improve long-term results regarding the treatment or prevention of CRPS I.1,17,21 Furthermore, intravenous guanethidine has been associated with many adverse effects, including nausea, vomiting, orthostatic hypotension, dizziness, diarrhea, and weakness.1,17 We used a buflomedil–lidocaine mixture to reduce these adverse effects during the initial Bier block and adjuvant 4-day continuous peripheral nerve block, which provided complete pain relief at rest and during exercise. The excellent control of pain achieved in this manner facilitates the other two aspects of CRPS treatment: physiotherapy and psychotherapy.5,7,22

Intense exercise therapy is necessary to treat childhood CRPS effectively and to decrease the high incidence of recurrence reported in patients treated using only physical therapy and cognitive–behavioral treatment.8,19 Continuous nerve blocks are recommended primarily to reduce pain and facilitate intensive physical therapy and functional rehabilitation,5,22 which are essential goals of CRPS I treatment.22,23 Because physiotherapy is very painful during CRPS I and CPNB is indicated during postoperative mobilization in children,15 we used CPNB for physiotherapy in these children. Pain relief was complete in our patients, and intense physiotherapy was fully applied. Continuous epidural analgesia allows the same level of pain management for physical therapy. Nevertheless, continuous peripheral nerve block should be easier at home than is continuous epidural analgesia.

Kotiniemi et al.24 emphasized the usefulness of postoperative pain control and play activities influenced by the hospital environment for behavioral modification in children. The authors recommended encouraging such play to help children cope with the challenging experience of hospitalization. The use of disposable pumps permitting rapid discharge of children would certainly...
reduce the need for behavioral modification training designed to improve tolerance of extended hospitalization (fig. 3). Moreover, although cognitive behavioral theory has documented efficacy against chronic pain, the value of cognitive-behavioral psychotherapy has not been fully demonstrated in patients with CRPS I.22

In conclusion, this study shows that 4-day CPNB associated with initial Bier block is effective against intractable and recurrent CRPS I in children. A relevant decrease in short-term pain was observed in our population of children. This reduction in pain facilitated physiotherapy and cognitive-behavioral treatment for CRPS I. The use of disposable pumps permitted reduced hospital stay and continuation of this treatment at home. However, if ethically possible, further randomized controlled studies with a greater number of patients may be necessary to establish the usefulness of this double postganglionic sympathetic blockade definitively in children or adults.
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