LOCAL anesthetics, when injected intravascularly, result in serious cardiac complications. We report the first clinical case in which cardiovascular collapse occurred after intravenous administration of levobupivacaine (125 mg).

Case Report

A 63-yr-old, 77-kg man with localized prostate cancer but no other medical history presented for brachytherapy. The patient was premedicated with 10 mg oral diazepam and 1 g oral paracetamol 60 min before anesthesia. At the time of arrival in the operating room, saline infusion was started, and the monitors were connected: five-lead electrocardiogram, end-tidal carbon dioxide, end-tidal sevoflurane concentration, noninvasive arterial blood pressure, pulse oximetry, Entropy index (GE Health Care Finland, Helsinki, Finland), and peripheral nerve stimulator. For prophylaxis, infusion of 200 mg ciprofloxacin (100 ml) was started 5 min before the induction of anesthesia. General anesthesia was induced with thiopental (425 mg), fentanyl (0.1 mg), and rocuronium (50 mg). Tracheal intubation was performed, and controlled ventilation was started (40% oxygen in air with 1.1–1.7% sevoflurane). The patient’s arterial blood pressure and heart rate were 152/95 mmHg and 75 beats/min, respectively, initially, and 125/85 mmHg and 70 beats/min 5 min after induction. Ten minutes after induction (15 min after the initiation of antibiotic infusion), arterial blood pressure was 85/60 mmHg, heart rate was 60 beats/min, and end-tidal carbon dioxide was 32 mmHg. To increase arterial blood pressure, the patient was given 1 mg intravenous etilefrine. Five minutes later, when the infusion of the prescribed antibiotic dose (100 ml) was completed (during the past 20 min), it was noticed that the administered infusion was not antibiotic but 125 mg levobupivacaine (Chirocain®; Abbot, Espoo, Finland). The levobupivacaine, which was intended for epidural injection, was packed in a similar 100-ml plastic infusion bag as the antibiotic. At the same time, arterial blood pressure was not measurable noninvasively, and there was no pulse in the left radial artery. Electrocardiogram showed a heart rate of 55 beats/min, and there were no changes in ST level or rhythm. There were no changes in the Entropy indexes or end-tidal carbon dioxide (52 mmHg), either. The patient was immediately given 0.2 mg intravenous epinephrine, and his arterial blood pressure increased to 85/65 mmHg. His left radial artery was cannulated, blood gas analysis was performed, and the oxygen fraction in the ventilator was increased to 100%. His blood gas values were as follows: pH, 7.37; partial pressure of carbon dioxide, 45 mmHg; base excess, −0.9 mmHg; partial pressure of oxygen, 187 mmHg. Seven minutes later, arterial blood pressure decreased again, to 62/45 mmHg, and 0.1 mg epinephrine was given intravenously. Arterial blood pressure then increased to 95/55 mmHg, and the infusion of norepinephrine was started. Norepinephrine was infused during the first 5 min at a dose of 0.17 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ and thereafter at 0.09 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ over the next 70 min until the end of anesthesia. When systolic blood pressure stabilized at the level of 95–100 mmHg after starting the norepinephrine infusion, the surgical procedure was performed. During this period, there were numerous extra supraventricular beats, multiple transitory changes in ST levels, and short periods of nodal rhythm. After the operation, the patient awakened normally, and he was kept in the recovery room overnight for monitoring. There were no changes in his postoperative electrocardiogram, and his glucose, electrolyte, and troponin plasma concentrations were normal. The arterial levobupivacaine concentrations were 1.74 mg/l at 40 min (after starting the infusion of levobupivacaine), 0.81 mg/l at 100 min, and 0.60 mg/l at 160 min. The patient had no sequelae, and he was informed about the accidental infusion. A thorough investigation was performed in the department to find out how this accident had been possible and how a similar instance could be prevented in the future. The pharmaceutical company (Abbot) was also informed of the fact that their epidural 0.125% levobupivacaine (100 ml) was packaged similarly to some intravenous antibiotics.

Discussion

Levobupivacaine, which is a pure S(−)-enantiomer, was developed to improve the safety profile of racemic bupivacaine.1–3 There are several case reports of convulsions after peripheral nerve blockade with a total dose of 142–150 mg levobupivacaine, but no cardiovascular complications have been reported.4–8 All of the patients in these reports had toxic central nervous system symptoms immediately after the peripheral nerve blockade, but it is not clear how large a percentage of the total injected dose was intravascular. In one of these cases, in which the total dose of levobupivacaine was 142 mg, the venous serum concentrations were 2.7 and 1.1 mg/l at 14 and 120 min after the cessation of the intended epidural injection, respectively.4 The patient had transient central nervous system agitation but no arrhythmias or other severe cardiovascular disorders.4 In our case, the concentration of levobupivacaine was 1.74 mg/l at 40 min after the start of the infusion of 125 mg levobupivacaine (20 min after the total dose had been infused). In studies of healthy volunteers, levobupivacaine was infused at a dose of 10 mg/min until central nervous system symptoms occurred or when a total dose of 150 mg had been given.9,10 In these studies, the first central nervous system symptoms occurred after a mean dose of 36.9–56.1 mg, and the maximum mean concentration was 1.93 mg/l.9 These patients had no change in cardiac...
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HALOGENATED anesthetics are safely used worldwide for induction and maintenance of general anesthesia. Fatal cases related to administration of halogenated anesthetics have been rarely reported, mainly in children. Routine monitoring of end-tidal halogenated anesthetic concentrations is likely to have improved the safety of their administration. We report a case of sudden and transient cardiac arrest due to a very high concentration of desflurane delivered inadvertently because of a vaporizer malfunction.

Case Report

A healthy 36-yr-old woman (height, 1.65 m; weight, 68 kg) was scheduled to undergo surgery because of Dupuytren disease of the left upper limb. During the preoperative visit, she declined regional block and asked for general anesthesia. Hydroxyzine, 100 mg, was given orally 1 h before surgery. Induction of anesthesia was performed with propofol (2.5 mg/kg), sufentanil (3 μg), and atracurium (0.5 mg/kg). A laryngeal mask was inserted and anesthesia was induced with 1.5% desflurane. Anesthesia was maintained with a F:1:1 mixture of 0.6% desflurane, 0.5% nitrous oxide, and 70% oxygen. During surgery, the vaporizer was set at a concentration of 5%, instead of 0.5% desflurane. On recovery, the patient complained of otalgia and complained that her mouth was dry.
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mask was inserted, and the lungs were mechanically ventilated with a mixture of oxygen-nitrous oxide (50/50%). Anesthesia was maintained with desflurane delivered at 3.5% using a Tec 6 Plus Vaporizer (Datex Ohmeda, Steeton, England) via a partially closed circuit with a low flow of fresh gases (1 l/min). Partial pressure of end-tidal carbon dioxide was maintained within 30–35 mmHg. Five minutes after induction, hypoxia and bradycardia occurred, rapidly followed by cardiac arrest. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation was initiated while nitrous oxide and desflurane administration were stopped, ventilation being performed manually with 100% oxygen. The continuous electrocardiogram showed an asystole. Epinephrine, 1 mg, was administered intravenously. Ventricular fibrillation was then observed and treated by external countershock (250 J), which enabled a return to spontaneous circulation with sinus rhythm (arterial pressure 90/50 mmHg, oxygen peripheral saturation 100%). Ectopic ventricular extrasystoles were noted and treated with intravenous lidocaine (70 mg). The patient was then sedated with midazolam (10 mg/h) and admitted to the postanesthesia care unit. Chest x-ray showed a pulmonary edema. Except for transient lactic acidosis (blood lactates 3.8 mM), biologic analysis remained uncontrolled; this matter was considered by experts to be due to a vaporizer malfunction. Cardiac arrest was probably due to the negative inotropic effect observed with halogenated anesthetics, explaining the reversible pulmonary edema. Similar cases have been previously reported a few decades ago with halothane and less sophisticated vaporizers.1–6

Among the halogenated anesthetics, desflurane possesses the highest volatility and the lowest blood-air partition coefficient (0.42 at 37°C), with a vapor pressure of 669 mmHg at 20°C and a boiling point of only 23.5°C, which is lower than room temperature, which implies the use of a boiling vaporizer. The Tec 6 vaporizer is an electrically heated, thermostatically controlled, constant-temperature, pressurized, electromechanically coupled dual-circuit, gas–vapor blender. It has both electronic monitors of vaporizer function and alarms. The pressure in the vapor circuit is electronically regulated to equal the pressure in the fresh gas circuit. At a constant fresh gas flow rate, the operator regulates vapor flow with a conventional concentration control dial. When the fresh gas flow rate increases, the working pressure increases proportionally. At a specific dial setting at different fresh gas flow rates, vaporizer output is constant because the amount of flow through each circuit is proportional. Independent confirmation of vaporizer performance and design characteristics awaits vaporizer availability.7–9 This vaporizer differs from previous ones designed for anesthesia in that electromechanical rather than mechanical controls accommodate the different physical characteristics of desflurane. This design may offer an increased risk of failure because of the sophisticated electronic components and circuit.9

Theoretically, the vaporizer offers the decreased likelihood of accidental delivery of very large concentrations of liquid anesthetic resulting from tilting or overfilling and alarms and warnings not previously incorporated into the design of other anesthetic vaporizers. The output characteristics of the vaporizer are as expected, based on the design: The accuracy of the output of desflurane concentration in oxygen (±15%) is similar to that of the mechanical vaporizers. Output decreases when nitrous oxide is added, as a result of the lower viscosity, but remains within 20% of the dial setting or 0.5% absolute. The new filling system is a significant improvement over previous Tec filling systems. The vaporizer requires a warm-up period before it may be used, but, when activated, it provides an output that is appro-

**Discussion**

We report a case of cardiac arrest related to the accidental delivery of a high concentration of desflurane, due to a vaporizer malfunction. Cardiac arrest was probably due to the negative inotropic effect observed with halogenated anesthetics, explaining the reversible pulmonary edema. Similar cases have been previously reported a few decades ago with halothane and less sophisticated vaporizers.1–6

![Fig. 1. Evolution of desflurane concentration during the initial period of anesthesia.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Tec 6 Plus scheme.](image2)

This damage did not limit the rotation of the control valve (R2), which remained uncontrolled; this matter was considered by experts to be responsible for the massive administration of desflurane in the inhalation circuit.
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imately linear between 1 and 18% vapor concentrations, at flow rates between 0.2 and 10 l/min. The major problem presented by desflurane is that it is extremely volatile: At 22.8°C, its boiling point is only slightly above normal room temperature, which precludes the use of a normal variable bypass–type vaporizer. The Tec 6 vaporizer avoids this problem by heating the desflurane liquid to above its boiling point in a sealed chamber and mixing pure desflurane gas with the carrier gas. Liquid desflurane is heated to 39°C by a heating element, at which temperature its saturating vapor pressure is approximately 1,300 mmHg. The carrier gas flow is restricted by an orifice so that the pressure of the carrier gas within the vaporizer is proportional to gas flow. These devices need an annual assessment and must be completely checked by the firm every 2 yr. Riddle reported the recall by the manufacturer Ohmeda of a series of vaporizers that delivered the anesthetic at a concentration superior to that posted on the regulating valve; this dysfunction was related to a malfunction of the control valves. More recently, a similar case report was published. Our case report shows the relative weakness of this kind of vaporizer, with an increased risk of failure because of sophisticated electronic components and internal circuitry. We suggest that a complete checkup of this apparatus is mandatory after a shock, and this information should be provided to all anesthesia teams. This case report also reemphasizes the need for systematic monitoring of the halogenated anesthetic concentration during every anesthetic procedure using these gases.
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