Late Thrombosis of a Drug-eluting Stent Presenting in the Perioperative Period
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DRUG-ELUTING stents (DES) represent the latest percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) designed to deal with the problem of in-stent restenosis. These stents are impregnated with a drug (sirolimus or paclitaxel) that retards the neointimal proliferation that leads to restenosis. The thrombogenic profile of DES differs from that of bare metal stents. Postprocedure dual antiplatelet therapy (clopidogrel and aspirin) is required for all types of stents. The recommended duration is 1 month for bare metal stents, 3 months for sirolimus DES, and 6 months for paclitaxel DES.1 Patients are then maintained on lifelong aspirin. Stent thrombosis is described as acute, subacute, or late (>30 days). An emerging phenomenon is the observation that DES may carry a higher risk of late stent thrombosis. We report a case of perioperative very late stent thrombosis occurring in a patient with a DES.

Case Report

A 69-yr-old male patient was scheduled to undergo hand-assisted laparoscopic nephroureterectomy for a proximal ureteral tumor. He had coronary artery disease with two paclitaxel DES (Taxus, Boston Scientific Natick, MA) placed 29 months previously. Dual antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel and aspirin was maintained for 1 yr, after which clopidogrel was stopped and the patient continued to take aspirin. Preoperative assessment showed him to be angina free, with good effort tolerance and no symptoms of congestive heart failure. Consequently, no preoperative cardiac testing was performed. Preoperative medications included metoprolol and atorvastatin, which were taken on the day of surgery. At the request of the surgeon, aspirin was stopped 10 days preoperatively.

Anesthesia induction and maintenance were uneventful. Surgery took 5 h, during which time the patient maintained stable hemodynamics without tachycardia. Blood loss was minimal. The trachea was successfully extubated, and the patient was taken to the postanesthesia care unit. After 70 min in the postanesthesia care unit, the bedside nurse noticed ST-segment changes on the monitor. Blood pressure and heart rate were normal, and the patient did not report any chest pain. During preparation for an urgent electrocardiogram, the rhythm deteriorated into ventricular tachycardia without a palpable pulse. The patient was immediately electrically cardioverted. He required a total of four shocks to convert to sinus rhythm. The patient continued to have nonsustained runs of ventricular tachycardia. He was given 2 g magnesium sulfate and 150 mg amiodarone. His blood pressure was 70/40 mmHg. The electrocardiogram demonstrated deep ST-segment depressions across the anterior precordial leads, suggestive of posterior myocardial infarction. With cardiogenic shock secondary to presumed myocardial infarction and an unstable cardiac rhythm, a decision was made to secure the airway and emergently proceed to the cardiac catheterization laboratory. Coronary angiography revealed acute thrombosis at the site of his paclitaxel DES in the proximal circumflex artery (fig. 1). The paclitaxel DES in the left anterior descending artery was patent. Balloon angioplasty restored vessel patency (fig. 2).

Discussion

The development of DES has ushered in a new era in PCI due to the significantly lower rate of in-stent restenosis2,3 and no apparent increase in risk. After US Food and Drug Administration approval in April 2003, DES have rapidly become the stent of choice for the approximately 1 million PCI performed each year in the United States. It is clear that interruption of dual antiplatelet therapy is known to carry a high risk of stent thrombosis with significant mortality.4 Therefore, if possible, surgery should be delayed to allow completion of the recommended course of dual antiplatelet therapy. When preoperative cardiac testing reveals a need for PCI, the appropriate choice of stent is dictated by the urgency of the planned surgery.5

Recently, there has been gathering evidence that late stent thrombosis may be occurring at a higher rate in DES.6,7 Late stent thrombosis in bare metal stents is extremely rare in the absence of intracoronary radiation. The risk of late stent thrombosis with bare metal stents lessens over time, presumably due to endothelialization of the stent. However, the risk of late stent thrombosis in DES seems to persist and is associated with a high mortality rate. Predictors of stent thrombosis include premature cessation of antiplatelet therapy, bifurcation lesions, renal failure, and low ejection fraction.8 In September 2006, the Food and Drug Administration released a statement saying that they are “aware of a small but significant increase in the rate of death and myocardial infarction possibly due to stent thrombosis in patients treated with DES . . . . At this time the Food and Drug Adminis-
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The trials that established the efficacy of DES versus bare metal stents in the reduction of restenosis were confined to low-risk lesions. The reality of clinical practice is that a large number of PCI with DES occurs as off-label use in patients with high-risk lesions (lesion type or patient comorbidity) where the incidence of stent thrombosis is believed to be higher and the reduction in the rate of restenosis is attenuated.

Against this backdrop, the implications for the perioperative period are significant. Our patient was 29 months removed from DES placement and 17 months removed from stopping clopidogrel. Recommendations to continue clopidogrel for 12 months after DES placement would not have impacted our patient, who had completed 1 yr of dual antiplatelet therapy. McFadden et al. reported four cases of late stent thrombosis (average 12 months) after stopping aspirin. Three of the cases occurred when aspirin was stopped preoperatively.

More than 3 million patients in the United States have DES, and many of them will present for surgery after having completed dual antiplatelet therapy. Therefore, we believe that our patient represents a common clinical scenario of underappreciated risk. Guidelines for perioperative management after completion of dual antiplatelet therapy do not exist at this time. The combination of stopping aspirin and the prothrombotic state likely caused stent thrombosis. Our case demonstrates that patients with DES are not free from risk after they have completed their regimen of dual antiplatelet therapy. On the contrary, the risk of stent thrombosis persists and can lead to major perioperative morbidity. We urge physicians caring for such patients to exercise extreme caution when considering stopping aspirin preoperatively, and we eagerly await guidelines for the treatment of DES patients in the perioperative period.
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ETOMIDATE is a hypnotic drug used for both induction and maintenance of anesthesia. An induction dose typically has little effect on cardiovascular performance, and its rapid onset, quick recovery, and maintenance of blood pressure make etomidate a good choice for ambulatory external cardioversion.1,2 Some consider this drug to be the agent of choice in patients who may become hemodynamically unstable.3,4 One of the most common side effects of etomidate is transient skeletal muscle movements, particularly myoclonus.1–5 Although arrhythmias are rarely encountered with etomidate, we present a case of etomidate-induced pacemaker-mediated ventricular tachycardia.

Case Report

A 27-yr-old woman presented for cardioversion during general anesthesia. Her medical history was remarkable for multiple congenital heart defects, including subaortic stenosis, coarctation of the aorta, ventricular septal defect, and anomalous return of the left superior vena cava to the coronary sinus. Previous surgeries included repair of coarctation of the aorta and pulmonary artery banding (in infancy); repair of ventricular septal defect, subaortic resection, and tricuspid valvuloplasty (age 7 yr); and aortic valve replacement with a St. Jude valve (age 14 yr). The patient underwent pacemaker placement at age 7 yr due to post-surgical complete heart block, with several subsequent revisions. She presented in atrial fibrillation that had been unresponsive to previous attempts at electrical direct current cardioversion. Her medications were lisinopril, furosemide, warfarin, and digoxin. There were no known allergies and no history of adverse reaction to anesthetic agents. Vital signs and serum electrolytes were within normal limits, and the electrocardiogram showed atrial fibrillation with normal ventricular capture at a rate of 70 beats/min (fig. 1).

Before the procedure, the pacemaker (Prodigy Model DR 7860; Medtronic Inc, Minneapolis, MN) was found to be operating normally with ventricular pacing at 70 beats/min in a rate-responsive mode. The patient was observed closely until there were no traces of myoclonus. When the sensor-driven pacemaker-mediated ventricular tachycardia was identified, cardioversion was attempted a second time, but the atrial fibrillation could not be resolved. At this point, the cardiology team decided to make no further attempts at cardioversion, and the procedure was terminated. When the responsive function on the pacemaker was restored, the patient’s electrocardiogram immediately showed pacemaker-mediated ventricular tachycardia with a rate of 140 beats/min (fig. 2). After the responsive function was disabled again, the electrocardiogram resolved to the patient’s preprocedure rhythm at a rate of 70 beats/min. The patient was observed closely until there were no traces of myoclonic activity; then, the pacemaker was restored to its precardioversion settings once again, without any further disturbances in rhythm. The patient was subsequently noted to be feeling well and talking comfortably.

Discussion

Although tachycardia, bradycardia, and other arrhythmias have occasionally been observed during induction and maintenance of anesthesia, there have been no published reports of etomidate-induced arrhythmias in patients with pacemakers. However, it is well known that adaptive-rate devices that sense vibration, impedance changes, or the QT interval may be triggered by mechanical or physiologic interference, leading to inappropriate high-rate pacing. This sensor-driven pacemaker-mediated tachycardia can be precipitated by such mechanical factors as direct pressure on the device (e.g., prone position), bone hammers and saws, or even a bumpy ride in a stretcher or hospital bed. Postoperative shivering could also trigger this phenomenon.7

The most frequent adverse reaction associated with
use of intravenous etomidate (other than brief venous pain on injection) is transient skeletal muscle movements, including myoclonus. In our case, it is likely that the etomidate caused the patient’s myoclonic activity, which then triggered the pacemaker’s responsive function, leading to a ventricular paced rate of 140 beats/min, which is by definition ventricular tachycardia.

The effect we report here would be rare with most uses of etomidate because myoclonus has either resolved or been blocked by muscle relaxants before the end of the surgical procedure. Only in ultrashort cases such as cardioversion would there be a significant risk of postprocedural myoclonus. Given that several studies have demonstrated the utility and safety of etomidate for use during ambulatory external electrical cardioversion, we can recommend two steps to minimize the incidence of this adverse effect in the future. First, the incidence of myoclonus associated with etomidate administration can be reduced by giving the drug as an infusion instead of an intravenous bolus. Second, premedicating the patient with a narcotic or benzodiazepine can minimize the occurrence of myoclonic activity. However, these recommendations may not always be feasible in the context of the ambulatory setting, and the advantages and disadvantages must be considered.

There are several standard precautions that can help to minimize the incidence of any mechanically induced pacemaker-mediated tachycardia. It is essential that the pacemaker should be programmed to an asynchronous mode, preferably one that maintains atrial–ventricular sequence, especially in patients with impaired ventricular function. We also stress the importance of carefully evaluating the patient for any signs of myoclonic activity before restoring the pacemaker to its responsive setting. Similarly, any other external mechanical disturbances must also be resolved before returning the device to normal operation. Following these recommendations may help to decrease the incidence of etomidate-induced or any other mechanically induced pacemaker-mediated ventricular tachycardia and allow for rapid and effective diagnosis and treatment of this rhythm.
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Fig. 1. The patient’s baseline electrocardiogram showed atrial fibrillation with normal ventricle capture by the pacemaker at a rate of 70 beats/min.

Fig. 2. The patient’s electrocardiogram showed pacemaker-mediated ventricular tachycardia at a rate of 140 beats/min. The rhythm returned to the preprocedure rate of 70 beats/min when the responsive function was disabled again, at the point indicated by the asterisk (*)
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