

- Pölz W, Schimetta W, Schneeberger R, Volgger R, Wilhelm HJ, Zelger J: Hydroxyethylstarch-related pruritus: A prospective multicentre investigation of 544 patients. *Perfusion* 1998; 11:62-9
18. Rudolf J: Hydroxyethyl starch for hypervolemic hemodilution in patients with acute ischemic stroke: A randomized, placebo-controlled phase II safety study. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2002; 14:33-41
 19. Schmidt-Hieber M, Loddenkemper C, Schwartz S, Arntz G, Thiel E, Notter M: Hydrops lysosomal generalisatus—an underestimated side effect of hydroxyethyl starch therapy? *Eur J Haematol* 2006; 77:83-5
 20. Zaar M, Lauritzen B, Secher NH, Krantz T, Nielsen HB, Madsen PL, Johansson PI: Initial administration of hydroxyethyl starch vs lactated Ringer after liver trauma in the pig. *Br J Anaesth* 2009; 102:221-6
 21. Bickell WH, Wall MJ, Pepe PE, Martin RR, Ginger VF, Allen MK, Mattox KL: Immediate versus delayed fluid resuscitation for hypotensive patients with penetrating torso injuries. *N Engl J Med* 1994; 331:1105-9
 22. Hüter L, Simon TP, Weinmann L, Schuerholz T, Reinhart K, Wolf G, Amann KU, Marx G: Hydroxyethylstarch impairs renal function and induces interstitial proliferation, macrophage infiltration and tubular damage in an isolated renal perfusion model. *Crit Care* 2009; 13:R23
 23. Schabinski F, Oishi J, Tuche F, Luy A, Sakr Y, Bredle D, Hartog C, Reinhart K: Effects of a predominantly hydroxyethyl starch (HES)-based and a predominantly non HES-based fluid therapy on renal function in surgical ICU patients. *Intensive Care Med* 2009; 35:1539-47
 24. Jungheinrich C, Neff TA: Pharmacokinetics of hydroxyethyl starch. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2005; 44:681-99
 25. Laxenaire M, Charpentier C, Feldman L; Le Groupe Français d'Etude de la Tolérance des Substituts Plasmatiques: Anaphylactoid reactions to colloid plasma substitutes: Frequency, risk factors, mechanisms. A French multicenter prospective study. *Ann Fr Anesth Réanim* 1994;13: 301-10
 26. de Jonge E, Levi M: Effects of different plasma substitutes on blood coagulation: A comparative review. *Crit Care Med* 2001; 29:1261-7
 27. Neff TA, Doelberg M, Jungheinrich C, Sauerland A, Spahn DR, Stocker R: Repetitive large-dose infusion of the novel hydroxyethyl starch 130/0.4 in patients with severe head injury. *Anesth Analg* 2003; 96:1453-9

(Accepted for publication November 3, 2009.)

The Evidence Shows That Allogeneic Transfusion Is Associated with Reduced Survival after Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery

To the Editor:

Beginning with the 2002 landmark publication by Engoren *et al.* on the effect of transfusion on survival after cardiac surgery, multiple investigators have shown an association between transfusion and adverse events including short- and long-term mortality.¹⁻⁷ All these studies included larger numbers of patients than in the study by Weightman *et al.* in *ANESTHESIOLOGY*. This large body of studies is remarkably consistent in the finding that transfusion in a dose-dependent manner confers an increased risk of short- and long-term mortality in cardiac surgery. Contrary to these earlier findings, the recent study by Weightman *et al.*⁸ concludes by stating “Patients who have undergone coronary artery sur-

gery and who have received moderate amounts of blood . . . should be reassured that they are unlikely to experience a reduction in long-term survival.” This statement reaches far beyond what the data in their study show.

How should we interpret the findings of Weightman *et al.* in the context of the previously published evidence? In a variety of well-designed, although nonrandomized, studies, tallying more than 30,000 cardiac surgery patients, there is a consistent “hazard signal” regarding the effect of erythrocyte transfusion on short- and long-term outcomes in cardiac surgery. None of the previously published evidence suggests that erythrocyte transfusion is either safe or effective therapy for anemia in patients undergoing cardiothoracic surgery. One possible explanation offered by the author is that previous studies failed to include preoperative anemia as a mortality risk factor in their analysis. Preoperative anemia is a marker for transfusion; it may also independently predict reduced long-term survival in patients with coronary artery disease.⁹ However, it is highly unlikely that decreased short- and long-term survival in transfused cardiothoracic surgery patients simply reflect the risk of preexisting anemia.

Examination of the data of Weightman *et al.* suggests a number of serious limitations. The data are stratified into four groups based on the number of units transfused: no transfusion, 1–2 units, 3–6 units, and >6 units. Stratification severely dilutes the conclusions and leads to a type II error. Furthermore, the 95% confidence limits for the point estimates for the groups receiving 1–2 units or 3–6 units are very wide and only powered to exclude hazard rates greater than 40%; the possibility of a hazard rate less than 40% may have been falsely rejected. This is a significant limitation to this study given the size of earlier studies and should limit the breadth of any conclusions. As Weightman *et al.* state, this study was inadequately powered.

Plasma, platelet, and cryoprecipitate units transfused were included in the data in equal weight to erythrocyte transfusions. This confounds the analysis and is different from any previous study. It is unlikely that the short-term or any long-term consequences of platelet transfusion, and especially transfusion of acellular blood components such as plasma and cryoprecipitate, will be identical to that of erythrocytes. These products should not have been included in the analysis.

The authors state that “There were 250 subjects who died during follow-up who did not have a history of malignant disease at the time of surgery, comprising 77 subjects in group 1 and 183 in the transfused groups.” Because this total is 260 rather than 250, one or both of these numbers is incorrect. (The total number of deaths stated elsewhere in the article is 266. The number of deaths occurring in patients who reported a history of malignancy before surgery and subsequently died during the follow-up is stated to be 16, leaving 250 deaths in patients without a history of malignancy, not 260).

Finally, there is the influence of new malignant conditions on the cumulative hazard of mortality by (the) transfu-

sion group. A new cancer-related diagnosis after surgery was not included in the model, yet there was a disproportionate number of subjects who died with a cancer-related diagnosis in the group not receiving transfusion compared with the transfused groups ($P = 0.03$). If subjects who died from a cancer-related diagnosis are excluded from the analysis, mortality data from the three transfusion groups pooled, and the cumulative mortality rate recalculated to compare patients who received any transfusion with those who were not transfused, the mortality rate for transfused patients is more than twice that of patients who were not transfused (13.2% *vs.* 6.0%). This is a striking finding consistent with previous studies.

What should we tell our patients and how should we approach the transfusion decision in the setting of cardiothoracic surgery? First, as we demonstrated, the data presented in this study do not provide reassurance that patients who receive perioperative transfusion are unlikely to experience a reduction in long-term survival; this remains an open question, with current evidence favoring a restrictive transfusion strategy being associated with lower mortality. At a minimum, there seems to be no benefit to transfusion in the majority of patients. Transfusion is associated with substantial cost¹⁰ and a host of well-documented risks, including disease transmission, hemolytic reactions, acute lung injury, and circulatory overload. Therefore, strategies to optimize hemoglobin and minimize bleeding and transfusion should be used.

Transfusion rates of greater than 50% in uncomplicated coronary artery bypass procedures should no longer be tolerated. A number of programs have demonstrated the ability to perform coronary artery bypass surgery with transfusion rates consistently less than 20%.¹¹ Transfusion in cardiac surgery should be an uncommon event. More data are needed, but until then, the decision to transfuse should continue to be viewed as one that carries substantial risk but no proven benefit in the hemodynamically stable patient.

The authors thank the Society for the Advancement of Blood Management, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

Irwin Gross, M.D.,* Aryeh Shander, M.D., Jonathan H. Waters, M.D. *Eastern Maine Medical Center, Bangor, Maine. igross@emh.org

References

1. Engoren MC, Habib RH, Zacharias A, Schwann TA, Riodan CJ, Durham SJ: Effect of blood transfusion on long-term survival after cardiac operation. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002; 74:1180-6
2. Koch CG, Li L, Duncan AI, Mihaljevic T, Loop FD, Starr NJ, Blackstone EH: Transfusion in coronary artery bypass grafting is associated with reduced long-term survival. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006; 81:1650-7
3. Rogers MA, Blumberg N, Saint SK, Kim C, Nallamotheu BK, Langa KM: Allogeneic blood transfusions explain increased mortality in women after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Am Heart J* 2006; 152:1028-34
4. Scott BH, Seifert FC, Grimson R: Blood transfusion is associated with increased resource utilization, morbidity, and

mortality in cardiac surgery. *Ann Card Anaesth* 2008; 11: 15-9

5. Kuduvali M, Oo AY, Newall N, Grayson AD, Jackson M, Desmond MJ, Fabri BM, Raghid A: Effect of perioperative red blood cell transfusion on 30-day and 1-year mortality following coronary artery bypass surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2005; 27:592-8
6. Murphy GJ, Reeves BC, Rogers CA, Rizvi SI, Culliford L, Angelini GD: Increased mortality, postoperative morbidity, and cost after red blood cell transfusion in patients having cardiac surgery. *Circulation* 2007; 116:2544-52
7. Surgenor SD, Kramer RS, Olmstead EM, Ross CS, Sellke FW, Likosky DS, Marin CA, Helm RE, Leavitt BJ, Mortin JR, Charlesworth DC, Clough RA, Hernandez F, Frumiento C, Benak A, Diodata C, O'Connor GT: The association of perioperative red blood cell transfusions and decreased long-term survival after cardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2009; 108:1741-6
8. Weightman WM, Gibbs NM, Sheminant R, Newman MA, Grey DE: Moderate exposure to allogeneic blood products is not associated with reduced long-term survival after surgery for coronary artery disease. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2009; 11:327-33
9. Kulier A, Levin J, Moser R, Rumpold-Sertlinger G, Tudor IC, Snyder-Ramos SA, Moehle P, Mangano DT: Impact of preoperative anemia on outcome in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Circulation* 2007; 116:471-9
10. Shander A, Hofmann A, Gombotz H, Theusinger OM, Spahn DR: Estimating the cost of blood: Past, present and future directions. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol* 2007; 21:271-89
11. Moskowitz DM, Klein JJ, Shander A, Cousineau KM, Goldweit RS, Bodian C, Perelman SI, Kang H, Fink DA, Rothman HC, Ergin MA: Predictors of transfusion requirements for cardiac surgical procedures at a blood conservation center. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004; 77:626-34

(Accepted for publication November 20, 2009.)

Blood Transfusion and Survival in Cardiac Surgery

To the Editor:

It is postulated that blood transfusion has been associated with cancer promotion because of the adverse effect of blood transfusion on the immune system. In contrast to a number of cited studies, Weightman *et al.* found no association between transfusion of up to six units of blood and long-term survival in cardiac surgery patients.¹ They attributed this discrepancy, at least in part, to their multivariate analysis that included anemia as a risk factor.

Because cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) causes a state of temporary immunodeficiency, it has been suggested that CPB negatively affects the host defense against malignancy. Platell² found that the cancer-specific survival rates of patients with colon cancer were reduced after surgery with CPB. Thus, as an alternative explanation for their discrepancy, I hypothesize two related possibilities that will need to be tested. Perhaps, the incremental adverse effect of blood transfusion on the immune system in patients who have been on CPB is not enough to make a measurable effect on tumor promotion and long-term outcome until a sufficiently large number of packed erythrocytes have been transfused. Sec-