Effects of Maxillary Protraction on Craniofacial Structures and Upper-Airway Dimension
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Abstract: This study was conducted to examine the effect of treatment with a maxillary protraction appliance on the development of the craniofacial structures and upper-airway dimensions. A total of 25 patients (mean age: 9.8 years) with Class III malocclusions were evaluated by the use of lateral cephalograms. A significant increase in maxillary forward growth, inhibition of mandibular forward growth, and clockwise rotation of the mandible were observed. The maxillary incisors were significantly proclined and the mandibular incisors significantly retroclined. A multiple-regression analysis revealed that maxillary growth had a significant positive effect on the superior upper-airway dimension. These findings indicate that the superior upper-airway dimension can be altered during maxillary protraction. (Angle Orthod 2002; 72:43-47.)
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INTRODUCTION

A maxillary protraction appliance (MPA) is used to treat skeletal Class III growing patients with maxillary hypoplasia. Previous studies have demonstrated that this appliance can facilitate maxillary growth.1-3 In addition, other various effects of the appliance on craniofacial growth, such as counter-clockwise rotation of the palatal plane, inhibition of anterior mandibular growth, clockwise rotation of the mandible, labial tipping of maxillary incisors, lingual tipping of mandibular incisors and mesial movement and elongation of maxillary molars, have been reported.4-14 The combination of these changes improves the maxillo-mandibular jaw relation and the occlusal balance of the upper and lower dentition. Although many studies have reported the skeletal and dental changes associated with treatment using an MPA, the relationship between maxillary growth and the change in the upper-airway dimension during MPA treatment has not been well discussed.

Mandibular growth has a favorable influence on the upper-airway dimension.15,16 Recently, Özbek et al17 reported that the sagittal dimension of the upper airway was significantly increased as a consequence of treatment with a functional appliance for growing patients with Class II malocclusion. They also suggested that the mandibular growth induced by such treatment had a beneficial effect on the constricted upper airway. In addition, in Apert’s syndrome or Crouzon’s disease, which are characterized by severe maxillary hypoplasia, it has been suggested that constriction of the upper airway, including the nasal cavity and velopharynx, may be the source of upper-airway obstruction commonly observed in these patients.18,19 Considering that mandibular growth has a definite influence on the upper-airway dimension, we can speculate that maxillary growth could also have beneficial effects on the upper airway. In fact, it was recently reported that rapid maxillary expansion could induce a change in the respiratory function and it could be a useful treatment modality for patients with obstructive sleep apnea.20

The purpose of this study was to examine the effect of changes in craniofacial structures on the upper-airway dimension. As an aid in modifying maxillary growth, an MPA was used in the present study.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

The sample consisted of 25 patients (7 males and 18 females, mean age: 9.8 years) who visited our orthodontic clinic at Tokyo Medical and Dental University. Several orthodontic specialists belonging to our orthodontic clinic treated them under the same orthodontic concept. The sample was collected based on the following criteria: (1) Pretreatment (T1) and posttreatment (T2: 12 months after T1) lateral cephalograms of good quality; (2) the presence of a skeletal Class III malocclusion, defined as an ANB angle more than one standard deviation smaller than the Japanese average (ANB = −1.9 ± 2.0°); (3) the subjects were treated with the MPA alone; and (4) no other congenital anomalies or endocrine problems were present. The MPA employed in this study was a combination of chin cap and the Petit type appliance. The bilateral forces were set at 180–250 gm for maxillary protraction and 600–800 gm for mandibular retraction. Patients were instructed to wear the appliance for 12 hours per day.

One experienced orthodontist traced the lateral cephalograms of each patient. Values at T1 and T2 and the differences between the 2 values were evaluated for each variable. Reference points and cephalometric variables in this study are shown in Figure 1. In addition to the conventional cephalometric variables, some specific variables to evaluate the sagittal upper-airway dimension and head posture were defined as:

- SPPS: The anteroposterior width of the pharynx measured between the posterior pharyngeal wall and the dorsum of the soft palate on a line parallel to the FH plane (the line through Po and Or) that runs through the middle of the line from PNS to P.
- MPS: The anteroposterior width of the pharynx measured between the posterior pharyngeal wall and the dorsum of the tongue on a line parallel to the FH plane that runs through P.
- IPS: The anteroposterior width of the pharynx measured between the posterior pharyngeal wall and the dorsum of the tongue on a line parallel to the FH plane that runs through C2i.
- SN-CVT: The angle formed by the SN plane and CVT (the line through C2 and C4).

A paired t-test was used to compare values at T1 and T2. To evaluate the relation between changes in the upper-airway dimension and craniofacial morphology, a multiple-regression analysis was performed.

RESULTS

Changes in the craniofacial morphology and upper-airway dimension

Table 1 shows the means and standard deviations of each cephalometric variable at T1 and T2, and the differences between them. The mean value of SNA significantly increased from 77.7° to 79.0° (P < .01), and that of SNB significantly decreased from 79.7° to 79.0° (P < .05). As a consequence of these changes, the mean value of ANB significantly increased from −1.9° to −0.1° (P < .01). The mean value of SN-MP at T1 was 39.8° and it increased to 40.3° at T2 (P = .0644). The mean value of U1 to SN significantly increased from 104.1° to 110.3° (P < .01), which indicated labial tipping of the maxillary incisors. On the other hand, the mean value of L1 to MP significantly decreased from 82.7° to 78.9° (P < .01), which indicated lingual tipping of the mandibular incisors. Figure 2 shows changes in the upper-airway dimension and head posture. Both SPPS and MPS width increased in 14 patients and decreased in 11 patients. The IPS width increased in 13 patients and decreased in 12 patients. As a result, no significant changes were demonstrated in the upper-airway dimension. The SN-CVT angle increased in 13 patients and decreased in 12, and again there was no significant change.
TABLE 1. Measurement Values at T1 and T2 in Each Variable and the Differences Between Them

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean at T1</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean at T2</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Difference (T2 - T1)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN-MP</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>NS (P = .0644)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1 to SN</td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>110.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 to MP</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPPS</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPS</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN-CVT</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS indicates not significant.
* P < .05, ** P < .01.

FIGURE 2. Changes in the upper-airway dimension and head posture. T1: Value measured before treatment, T2: Value measured 12 months after T1. N.S.: not significant

Relationship between changes in the craniofacial morphology and upper-airway dimensions

In the multiple-regression analysis, the change in the upper-airway dimension was considered a dependent variable, whereas changes in SNA, SNB, SN-MP, and SN-CVT were selected as independent variables. Then the following regression model can be determined.

\[ Y = a + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 X_3 + b_4 X_4 \]

Y: Change in the upper-airway dimension (Δ SPPS or Δ MPS or Δ IPS)

a: Intercept
b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4: Regression coefficient
X_1: Change in SNA (Δ SNA)
X_2: Change in SNB (Δ SNB)
X_3: Change in SN-MP (Δ SN-MP)
X_4: Change in SN-CVT (Δ SN-CVT)

In all 3 cephalometric variables of upper-airway dimension (SPPS, MPS, IPS), statistically useful regression equations could be established (Table 2). The standardized regression coefficient indicates the magnitude and direction of the influence of an independent variable on a dependent variable excluding the effect of other independent variables. The change in SN-CVT had a significantly positive effect on changes in all variables regarding the upper-airway dimension (P < .01). Furthermore, the change in SNA was judged to be a contributing factor that had a significantly positive influence on the change in SPPS (P < .05). On the other hand, changes in SNB and SN-MP were not significantly related to the change in the upper-airway dimension.

DISCUSSION

Changes in craniofacial morphology during treatment with the MPA

The orthopedic force of the MPA stimulates cellular activity in circummaxillary sutures and maxillary tubercula, and this facilitates maxillary forward displacement. Several studies have reported the effect of treatment with this appli-
in head posture. In fact, there was a strong correlation between the changes in SN-CVT and the change in the upper-airway dimension during treatment (Figure 2), the result of pressure exerted by the chin cap and soft tissue. The present study had no untreated control to compare to the patients who were treated by the MPA because it was extremely difficult to obtain such untreated patients. However, the change in the upper-airway dimension during natural growth should be elucidated to determine whether the increase in the upper-airway dimension is actually related to maxillary growth induced by maxillary protraction. In a previous report, Özbek et al demonstrated that 15 untreated subjects (7 boys and 8 girls, mean age: 11.3 years) showed only negligible changes in the upper-airway dimension during a 1.8-year observation period (mean reduction: 0.44 ~ 0.87 mm). Therefore, the increase in the upper-airway dimension could be related to the increased maxillary growth induced by MPA treatment, whereas we should not anticipate the upper-airway dimension to increase unless the patients were treated by the MPA.

Although no significant changes were demonstrated in the upper-airway dimension during treatment (Figure 2), the upper-airway dimension was likely influenced by changes in head posture. In fact, there was a strong correlation between the changes in head posture and those in the upper-airway dimension (Table 3). Accordingly, it is necessary to control the influence of confounding factors including SN-CVT when we evaluate the actual relationship between changes in the craniofacial morphology and upper-airway dimension.

When we select dependent variables for multiple-regression analysis, we must follow the principle that the sample size should be at least more than 5 times the number of independent variables to predict the dependent variable accurately. Based on this principle, it would be reasonable to restrict the number of independent variables to within 4 in the present study instead of including all cephalometric variables regarding the craniofacial structure. Therefore, in addition to the variable regarding head posture (SN-CVT), skeletal variables such as SNA, SNB, and SN-MP were used as independent variables in this study. Cephalometric variables regarding the denture pattern (i.e., U1 to SN and L1 to MP) were not included because the main purpose of MPA treatment is not to change the denture pattern, but rather to control the growth of craniofacial skeletal structures.

The multiple-regression analysis demonstrated that the change in SN-CVT had a significantly positive influence on changes in SPPS, MPS, and IPS. Thus, a greater change in SN-CVT was associated with a greater change in the upper-airway dimension. Moreover, the change in SNA also had a significantly positive effect on the change in SPPS. Thus, a greater forward maxillary growth was associated with a greater increase in the superior upper-airway dimension. Based on the findings in this study, facilitation of maxillary growth in growing patients during MPA treatment could contribute to increase the upper-airway dimension and improve the respiratory function of patients with maxillary hypoplasia.

Although the underlying mechanism of the increase in the superior upper-airway dimension by maxillary protraction is unclear, possible explanations include the increase in the volume of the oral cavity possibly induced by increased maxillary forward growth could bring the tongue to a more anterior position. This change in tongue posture could induce the soft palate to a more anterior position, which might result in an increase in the superior upper-airway dimension. Clockwise rotation of the mandible might also influence the tongue posture. The orthopedic force applied by the MPA might induce an anterior displacement of PNS, which could result in a forward move-

### Table 3. Correlation Coefficients Between the Change in the SN-CVT and the Change in the Upper-Airway Dimension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta$ SPPS</th>
<th>$\Delta$ MPS</th>
<th>$\Delta$ IPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$ SN-CVT</td>
<td>.727</td>
<td>.678</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Delta$ indicates the amount of change during treatment.
ment of the soft palate and an increase in the superior upper-airway dimension.

On the other hand, no significant relationship was found between changes in upper-airway dimensions and those in SNB or SN-MP. It is generally thought that a change in the mandibular position could influence the upper-airway morphology. However, the result of the multiple-regression analysis did not indicate that this change had any significant effect on the upper airway. Although we do not have a conclusive explanation, the finding that the inhibition of mandibular forward growth during MPA treatment does not have a negative effect on the upper-airway dimension should be favorable for orthodontists who use an MPA to control maxillary growth in growing skeletal Class III patients.

One of the most critical limitations of this study might be that the upper-airway dimension was evaluated based on a 2-dimensional cephalometric measurement. Therefore, it is still unknown whether changes in respiratory function could be induced following the increased maxillary growth during MPA treatment. In future studies, the clinical effect of an MPA on respiratory function should be carefully examined by monitoring respiratory function during wakefulness and sleep.
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