

# INTRODUCTION

## MUSLIM WOMEN, CONSUMER CAPITALISM, AND THE ISLAMIC CULTURE INDUSTRY

**BANU GÖKARIKSEL AND ELLEN McLARNEY**



This special issue of *JMEWS* examines the intersection of consumer capitalism, women, and the Islamic culture industry. While capitalist forms of economic development have long been part of Muslim societies in various (and often contested) forms (Gran 1979), in the last decade there has been a marked change in both the substance and the scale of the relationship between Islam and capitalism.<sup>1</sup> Islamic movements and neoliberal consumer capitalism have arisen simultaneously in many settings, leading to newly articulated and contextually different manifestations of “Islamic capitalism” (Buğra 1998; Hefner 1998; Öniş 2000; Tuğal 2002; 2009; Kuran 2004; Adas 2006). A new market for commodities, media, advertising, businesses, and consumer segments identified as “Islamic” has helped in the creation of a new culture industry.<sup>2</sup> While by no means uniform, this Islamic culture industry is increasingly central to the production, packaging, and dissemination of religious products: from traditional print media, cassette sermons, and online fatwas (Bunt 2009; Hirschkind 2009) to the fashionable hijab (Kılıçbay and Binark 2002; Balasescu 2003; 2007; Akou 2007; Lewis 2007; Moors 2007; Sandıkcı and Ger 2007; Schulz 2007; Tarlo 2007; Gökarıksel and Secor 2009).

Islamic knowledge, performances, and selves are more and more mediated through increasingly commodified cultural forms and spaces. From memoirs, novels, lifestyle magazines, and newspapers to television channels; from religious education centers and *halal* markets and restaurants (where food is prepared according to Islamic rules) to holiday resorts and posh gated communities, Muslim identities are constructed

through commodities and consumption practices (Abu-Lughod 1995; 2005; Öncü 1995; Saktanber 1997; 2002; Bilici 1999; Göle 1999; 2002; Fealy and White 2008; Fischer 2008; Pink 2009). Muslims identify as such and connect with one another through Islamic products and spaces, forming new, transnational and transregional “Muslim networks” (Cooke and Lawrence 2005). At the same time, networks forged through capitalist consumption practices create new marginalizations, leaving some unconnected.

In the newly emergent “Islamic” culture industry, a series of images, practices, knowledges, and commodities are marketed specifically to “Muslim women.” Muslim women have been active participants in this industry as both consumers and producers (writers, editors, models, designers, business owners, etc.). New magazines, television programs, sports clubs, hairdressers, and clothing stores *for* and often *by* Muslim women have flourished in the last decades. Many have become entrepreneurs, establishing businesses that combine economic and religious motives. They have engaged in the creation, labeling, and advertising of the objects, narratives, representations, and performances of Muslim womanhood that combine Islamic teachings and practices with new (and old) conceptions of piety, beauty, fashion, lifestyle, motherhood, professionalism, and citizenship. Muslim women have been identified as a niche market with particular needs and desires, mostly attributed to an essentialized Muslimness. The papers in this special issue examine the images, practices, and ideals of Muslim femininity produced, circulated, and consumed in the global marketplace.

These papers collectively show that contemporary Muslim femininities are increasingly mediated through the market forces of consumer capitalism, impacting Muslim women’s identities, lifestyles, and belonging in complex ways. What it means to be a Muslim woman is constantly negotiated, defined, and redefined through or in reaction to the images, narratives, and knowledges about Muslim womanhood constructed in the marketplace. As Muslim women stake out their own positions, they actively engage with given Islamic practice and knowledge as well as with modalities of capitalism. They often navigate between certain Orientalist stereotypes that marketed images sometimes challenge and sometimes reify. The continuing centrality of the veil epitomizes the simultaneous challenge to and reification of stereotypes, as it becomes

a marker of agency, self-expression, and empowerment. At the same time, representations of self-determined, independent, and professional Muslim women conform to images of the ideal consumer. While the veiled images reinscribe Islamic norms and identifications by emphasizing particular ways of being Muslim for women, they also transform the very content and contours of Islamic piety and femininity. The essays in this issue also reveal how Muslim women's bodies circulate in the market, turning into commodities themselves. Muslim women are not only targeted as a consumer niche, but their bodies constitute a territory on which capitalism stakes its claims (once again). Yet Muslim women also mediate these market forces in their expression of piety, formulation of communities, and construction of identities.

This issue examines the centrality of gender in new forms of Islamic consumer culture, building on a body of recent work that explores the relationship between capitalism and religion. This research generally looks at the rise of "commodity communities" in the Middle East (Reynolds 2003) and consumerism in Muslim societies (Pink 2009). Some recent studies have focused on the critical role of gender in the Islamic culture industry, such as the role of veiled women in film and television (*al-fannanat al-islamiyya*) (Abu-Lughod 1995; Malti-Douglas 2001); the current boom in Muslim women's memoirs and autobiographies (Dabashi 2006; Whitlock 2006; Booth this issue); the marketing of the veil and other religious commodities (Jones 2007; Gökariksel and Secor 2009); and the appearance of shopping centers and department stores catering to Muslim women (Wynn 1997; Abaza 2001; Reynolds 2003). We pose questions about why these gendered identities are critical both to expressions of Islamic piety and to the operation of consumer cultures, questions that cannot be entirely resolved here. The articles in this issue proffer explanations through close readings of images and representations, analyses of contextual factors and sociopolitical trends, and attention to the operations of transnational capital.

Drawing from feminist theory, we recognize the importance of women not only to consumption, purchasing, and shopping, but also to the marketing and circulation of commodities (Goffman 1979; Wilson 1992a; 2003; Roberts 1998). The depiction and creation of women as consumers, juxtaposed to men as breadwinners, have been central elements of Western modernity (Benson 1986; Wilson 1992b; Lancaster 1995).

Given the intimate relationship between the performance of particular gender roles and the growth of consumer capitalism, feminist theory has long been concerned with the simultaneously empowering and subjugating effects of consumer capitalism on women (Wilson 1992b; de Grazia and Furlough 1996, 7). Some theorists characterize postfeminism as a “reconciliation with, and celebration of, consumer culture,” a stark shift from an earlier phase of anti-consumerist feminist activism (Catterall, Maclaran, and Stevens 2006, 223). The “postfeminist imaginary” celebrates the empowerment of product choice, self-fashioning through commodities, representation in the market of images and ideas, realization of consumer desires, and participation in the structures of economic power. We are critical of this celebratory stance as it is important to analyze the imperial, military, racist, sexist, and economic ends to which the capitalist imaginary has been put. At the same time, we recognize the modes of subjectivity consumer capitalism facilitates, mainly through the power of a bourgeois imaginary. The papers in this issue help in understanding the effects of marketing and consumption practices on how gender and piety are enacted, embodied, and represented.

### ISLAM AND CONSUMER CAPITALISM

There is a seeming tension between professed Islamic virtues and the logic of consumer capitalism. While the former is often defined as modesty, thrift, other-worldly devotion, spiritualism, and communitarianism, the latter is perceived to cultivate self-indulgence, conspicuous consumption, this-worldly orientation, materialism, and individualism. Islamic puritanists see capitalism as inherently incompatible with Islam and instead advocate “Islamic economics” (e.g. Maududi 1975) as an alternative system with its own set of rules, values, and practices, or as a “third way” between capitalism and socialism (Pfeifer 2001; Uddin 2003). Aversion to consumerism has generally been strong among Islamic thinkers and politicians, even among those who seek to combine Islamic ethics and capitalism.<sup>3</sup> For example, those Islamists who take a “moral capitalist” stand (Tuğal 2002, 93) emphasize religious ethics and solidarity in the everyday life of Muslim subjects, advocate moderation in consumption, and urge the avoidance of extravagance and waste (Kuran 2004). In this issue, Reina Lewis and Carla Jones show that producers of Mus-

lim women's magazines face the problem of formulating and putting into practice an Islam-oriented ethics while remaining profitable and competitive in a capitalist economy. In Gökariksel and Secor's analysis, women consumers of *tesettür*-fashion engage in daily mediations in an attempt to reconcile Islamic ideals with their multiple other sociospatial and cultural concerns and desires. Their everyday decisions about what to wear thereby involve navigating a complicated ethical terrain.

Even as Islamic movements present themselves in opposition to commodity cultures that spread Western lifestyles and values (or to Western lifestyles and values that spread commodity cultures), commodification is "a context and activity historically shared by Islamists and secularists alike, rather than being a domain that divided them" (Navaro-Yashin 2002, 225). For those who identify themselves as Islamists—wearing certain kinds of clothes, eating particular foods, shopping in special stores, starting Islamic businesses—consumption becomes a crucial means to fashion an identity. More broadly, Muslim identities, like secular ones, are expressed through commodities (Abaza 2001; Sandıkcı and Ger 2001; Salamandra 2004; Gökariksel 2007). While some conceptualize Islam and consumer capitalism as antithetical or as involving a one-way relationship in which capitalism transforms Islam, we approach their relationship as one that is more complex and multi-directional.<sup>4</sup> The articles in this issue examine how the different actors in the Islamic culture industry and consumer market mediate between these conflicting values of Islamic capitalism.

## COMMODYING MUSLIM FEMININITIES

Mediating the market involves the constant management of difference and diversity among Muslims. Marketization processes tend to produce universal essentialist tendencies to create a "Muslimwoman" in the singular who stands for global Muslim society (cooke 2007). Mino Moallem (2005) has identified homogenizing effects in "the fabrication of a transnational Muslim femininity" that has been "instrumental in the commodification of Islamic identity politics in the late capitalist global market" (125). Marilyn Booth's examination (this issue) of the recent explosion of Muslim women's memoirs reveals the literary and political problems of the genre (see also Dabashi 2006; Whitlock 2006). Despite

the homogeneous and homogenizing production of *the* Muslim woman in the Islamic culture industry, there is a multitude of Muslim women's identities that are differentiated in terms of gender, class, ethnicity, nationality, piety, sexuality, and politics. This diversity has recently been picked up by some actors in an attempt to provide a political corrective to the homogenizing effects of the marketplace. But as Booth and Lewis demonstrate in this issue, these representations rarely manage to avoid the market logic that turns difference into a marketable product, a logic of profitability that influences the value and legibility of Muslim products and practices (see also McLarney 2009a; 2009b). Certain kinds of representation and visibility are privileged, while others are rendered undesirable. Muslim identities unpalatable to the sensibilities of the market are excluded, often leading to further marginalizations at the intersection of class, race, and ethnicity (White 1999; Yavuz 2003). Nevertheless, some previously marginal or invisible progressive, feminist, and gay Muslim identities have gained public prominence, complicating the singular representations of Muslims and Islam (Göle 1999; 2002; Abu-Lughod 2001; Moghadam 2002; Safi 2003).

The articles in this issue illustrate the complexity of the relationship between Islam, gender, and capitalism. As Muslim women seek self-representation through their own magazines, writings, and publishing, they attempt to counter Orientalist representations by producing their own images. However, this endeavor inevitably entails producing a marketable image that is attractive and desirable, that of the "good" or ideal Muslim woman fashioned by the sensibilities of Islamic ethics and consumer capitalism (Mamdani 2004). On the cover of *Muslim Girl*, this may be an American Muslim girl with the US flag painted on her face, seamlessly integrating American patriotism with Muslim faith (Kassam 2008). In the pages of *Azizah*, it may be multicultural images (like the United Colors of Benetton) of colorful, friendly faces with headscarves tied in different styles. These images and others like them may produce internal Orientalizations or be self-Orientalizing (Jones and Leshkovich 2003), as in the case of the new publishing craze for Muslim women's writings. Authors are shown in hijab, veils are featured on the cover, and liberation narratives become the dominant narrative. As Booth points out in this issue, the translation process may be manipulated to produce an Orientalized version of Arabo-Islamic society, the "familiar stranger"

that combines both difference and readability for market audiences (Kasam 2008). Mona Russell emphasizes the fact that these differences are coded not just for class but for race, as ethnic markers are identified as marketable, hence assimilable, hence “white” (Ghannam 2008).

The iconography of the veil epitomizes the dialectic of Orientalism, with its colonial and postcolonial histories. In the market, veiling becomes a kind of brand or label of a consolidated Muslim femininity. “What if,” Minoo Moallem (2005, 114) has suggested, “the veil, which is portrayed as a site of activity and agency, is nothing but an empty signifier, a means to insert the body into the world of consumer capitalism?” Veiling transforms the iconic symbol of Muslim women and Islam into a commodity moving through the ever changing cycles of the global fashion industry. As Gökarıksel and Secor (2009) have shown, women’s veiling, on one hand, could be read as a sign of Islamicization, as the proponents of the veiling-fashion industry claim. On the other hand, the marketing of the veil as a fashion item blurs its meaning at the very moment that it is supposed to stand for *the* Muslim woman. Wearing a certain style of veil may simultaneously be a disciplinary practice crucial to the cultivation of piety (Mahmood 2005; Gökarıksel 2009) and a gendered performance of social distinction in terms of class, taste, and urbanity (White 1999; Navaro-Yashin 2002; Gökarıksel and Secor 2009) or of ethnicity and race (Dwyer 1999).

## THE MEDIA AND MUSLIM CONSUMER COMMUNITIES

The explosion in the production and trade of religious goods, objects circulated as markers of belief, aids in the execution of ritual practices and duties and the expression of religious identity. The articles in this issue are concerned with how the Islamic culture industry and the commodities, images, and meanings that circulate within it shape the expression and constitution of femininity and piety. The Islamic culture industry uses—and creates—networks to circulate signifiers of Islamic identity while also reconfiguring Islamic practice according to the exigencies of the capitalist market and its power structures. This entails the fashioning of Islamic subjectivities, where certain commodities, such as the fashionable veil, have multiple performative effects on the body.

We are especially interested in the ways that “consumer culture

provides the modalities through which national and international belongings could be imagined, and resistant identities recognized” (Grewal 2005, 17). Even as the marketing of Muslim identity is understood as alternative to the dominant media discourses around women’s bodies, “resistant identities” gain recognition through their visibility in the market and legitimacy through their purchase on popular imagination. Inderpal Grewal talks about neoliberalism’s marketing of social movements, but here we see a kind of marketing of religious movements as well, as Islamic piety circulates in the public sphere, in the written and spoken word, in television, print media, video and audio cassettes, and on the internet. The articles published here analyze consumer culture’s representations of Muslim women’s bodies and practices in a wide range of media: books, magazines, websites, newspapers, catalogs, and advertising. Some pieces have a regional or national focus (Egypt, Europe, Indonesia, Kuwait, North America, Turkey, and Saudi Arabia), but these different locales cannot be separated from the larger transregional market forces in which they are embedded. These articles examine consumer identities existing simultaneously in national and transnational space.

This special issue focuses on the role of commodities and media in creating these new parameters of identification. In this examination, we join a new body of work attuned to how religious movements use media, consumer cultures, and new technologies (Moallem 2005; Bunt 2009). Recent scholarship interprets media as “religious entities, taking on religion’s power to shape and order society and the individual” (Hirschkind and Larkin 2008, 3). The media become one of the “circulatory forms through which religious publics constitute themselves and their members, often in relation to, or in opposition to, competing forms of identity” (5). The Islamic culture industry and its consumer markets might be considered as taking on a similar role. The circulation not only of media, but of products, goods, and commodities, brings together religious publics to which the “aura” of Islam is supposed to impart authenticity and legitimacy. But whether they convey the spiritual meanings they profess is a question we pose in this issue.

#### STRUCTURE OF THE SPECIAL ISSUE

The papers in this issue are developed from a conference on “Marketing Muslim Women” sponsored by *JMEWS* at Duke University and the

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. The conference brought together an interdisciplinary group of scholars as well as artists and activists, most prominently the Egyptian novelist and feminist Nawal El Saadawi, and Tayyibah Taylor, founding editor of *Azizah*, North America's first Muslim women's magazine. The conference broadly explored the salience of a newly emergent transnational Islamic culture industry centering on Muslim women. The articles here focus on the production, circulation, and consumption of images, products, and narratives about Muslim femininities, primarily in the era of neoliberal globalization and the post-9/11 geopolitical context. Mona Russell's analysis of the representation of women's bodies in advertising in early twentieth-century Egypt opens the issue with a critical historical perspective. Russell explores how gendered—and racialized—images were used to market soap and beauty products, modesty garments and intimate apparel in women's journals of the period. She charts the rise of a Europeanized "Modern Egyptian Girl," demonstrating the continuing influence of colonial modernity even after Egypt's de facto independence from Britain in 1921. Focusing on the progressive exposure of women's bodies in the interwar era, Russell argues that these images not only sold commodities, but were also linked to the creation of a new body politic. Paradoxically, the female body revealed in advertisements was "a white, fine-featured woman—whether she was clad in Egyptian dress or ultimately in fashionable European garb." The images of women served several purposes; they sold commodities and helped in the construction of new femininities and national ideals and identities. Russell's emphasis on the importance of women's bodies and gendered commodities in these processes raises questions to which the following articles turn in the postcolonial, neoliberal context of global capitalism.

Reina Lewis and Carla Jones examine newly established lifestyle magazines that specifically target Muslim women. Both take the fashion pages of these magazines as revealing internal debates about representation of the female body, practices of piety, conceptions of modesty, and consumerism. Lewis argues that a new genre of English-language Muslim women's lifestyle magazines has recently emerged in the UK (*emel* and *Sisters*), the US and Canada (*Azizah* and *Muslim Girl*), and Kuwait (*Alef*). This new genre operates within the logic of neoliberal capitalism, where identity and difference are mutually constituted through the

consumer market. Drawing from her interviews with journalists, Lewis portrays the widely varied missions and business strategies of these magazines. Arguing that “dress does particular work in the mission of each magazine,” she shows how the fashion pages become an arena where “style mediators” engage in the difficult task of mediating between the expectations of different faith communities, the demands and limitations of the marketplace, and the magazine’s mission.

Similar kinds of mediation engage the Indonesian Muslim women’s lifestyle magazine *NooR*, the focus of Carla Jones’s article. She explores the disjuncture between piety as “merely image (*imej*)” and piety as “a reflection of deeper material and spiritual transformation.” She poses this as a perceived contradiction between value and virtue which “cannot, or ideally should not, coexist in the same form.” However, the image of the piously dressed woman complicates this presupposition. As both a consumer and a sign of piety, modest yet attractive, in the pages of *NooR* this image turns virtue into value and vice versa. As Jones’s interviews with *NooR*’s editorial staff reveal, central to this virtue-value transformation is the magazine’s concept of “spiritual beauty,” which presents fashion and beauty as the outward expression of piety through commodities and consumer practices. This concept helps to counter critiques of superficiality involved in fashionable Islamic dress. More importantly, the rise of Islamic fashion and women’s enjoyment of that fashion in contemporary Indonesia show “the reverse transubstantiation of an exalted abstraction, i.e. the potentially redemptive role of religion in individual and national life, into a commodity that can be exchanged for value.”

The tension between the display of fashion and the modesty associated with the veil is the focus of Banu Gökariksel and Anna Secor’s article on women’s Islamic dress (*tesettür*) in Turkey. Some leading *tesettür*-producing companies claim that their products are appropriately Islamic and serve the Islamicizing agenda. However, Gökariksel and Secor argue that in fact “the fashion industry is actively shifting the frontiers and diversifying the realm of *tesettür*.” In their catalogs, *tesettür*-fashion companies market “a cosmopolitan lifestyle that embraces covered women within the pleasures of consumption, personal style, and beauty.” Yet in focus-group discussions conducted with consumers, women questioned the acceptability of these images and the lifestyle and

Muslim womanhood they evoke. Consumers recognized both the role of piety and the place of style, fashion, and personal preference in their own sartorial practices. Gökariksel and Secor's discussion underlines the "fissured and hybrid practices that emerge in the gap between marketing and embodying Muslim women's dress in Turkey."

Marilyn Booth's critical examination of the current boom in Muslim women's writings analyzes how it perpetuates an "Orientalist ethnographicism" that lends a "truth effect" to memoirs, autobiography, and pseudo-documentary works (as distinct from literary fiction) in translation. She relates their predominance in anglophone publishing to this Orientalist ethnographicism. Drawing from her experience translating *Banat al-Riyadh* (Girls of Riyadh) into English, Booth analyzes the making of a "Muslim" "chick lit" for the anglophone readership. Her discussion reveals how the Western publishing and publicity machines, with the author's participation, emptied out the political potential, linguistic innovations, and literary content of *Banat al-Riyadh* in its Saudi context. Her analysis reveals that only certain kinds of "marketable" authors and literature make their way into the global book market, reifying certain essentialized interpretations of "Muslim women."

Nawal El Saadawi's Brief Communication reflecting on her own experiences with the publishing industry speaks to some of the same issues raised by Booth. Amal Amireh (2000) has discussed the marketing of Muslim women writers to foreign audiences and the consumption of images tailored for the public readership. While Amireh focused on the role of Western political imperatives in this process, here we emphasize how paradigms of consumerism give expression to faith-based identities. El Saadawi's early work, both her critical and her fiction writings, probed the relationship between women's bodies and the consumer market. Women's bodies are objects circulated among men, extracted for their labor and sexual value (McLarney 2009b). El Saadawi's searing critique of middle-class marriage as prostitution paralleled analogous critiques in Western feminism published at about the same time.<sup>5</sup>

The trope of veiling continues to inform much of this work, as El Saadawi talks about unveiling the mind, Booth about "'unveiling' the Muslim female author," Gökariksel and Secor about marketing tesettür-fashion, Russell about the unveiled "Modern Egyptian Girl," Lewis about balancing images that reveal and conceal, and Jones about the nature

of “spiritual beauty.” These articles discuss how women negotiate the requisites of accepted Islamic practice in response to pressures that are not only religious and social but economic and commercial. The authors explore how the objectives of piety and those of the market converge on some points and diverge on others. Western theories of the development of capitalism traditionally assume that a new secular rationality will take hold in society and undermine or limit the role of religion. This issue, on the contrary, demonstrates the mutual capitalization of religion and the market. The points where they diverge—in the tensions and oppositions between capitalism and piety—cause anxiety about virtue traded for commercial value. Here we explore both the benefits and the costs of that mutual capitalization.

## NOTES

1. The history of capitalist economic development in the Middle East has been analyzed by many scholars. See Pamuk’s work on the economic history of the Ottoman Empire and the Middle East (1987; Owen and Pamuk 1998). There is also a sizeable scholarship on the significance of consumption in different Muslim societies and its role in constructing nationalism as well as ethnic, gender, and class differences. See for example Reynolds 2003; Salamandra 2004; Russell 2004.

2. Max Horkheimer and Theodor Adorno coined the term “culture industry” in their chapter on “The Culture Industry: Enlightenment as Mass Deception” in *Dialectic of Enlightenment* (1995). They used the term to criticize mass culture as creating false needs that would be satisfied through capitalism. More importantly, they see mass culture as creating objects out of the masses and undermining the potential for subjecthood. While we use the term to highlight the centrality of commodities and commodification in today’s Islamic cultures, we depart from this original formulation in our view of the Islamic culture industry as not simply “deceiving” Muslims or turning them into objects.

3. Yael Navaro-Yashin (2002) discusses Islamist lamentation in Turkey over the “incorporation of Muslim ethics into the logic of a consumer market” (241). For example, a prominent conservative journalist rejected Islamic fashion shows on the grounds that they contradict Islamic principles of modesty and asceticism:

We have been defeated in front of the reality that one cannot be Muslim without being capitalist. What is the solution? There is no solution to this. For a lifestyle which befits a Muslim, one which emphasizes abstention from worldly pleasures, would paralyze all markets. If you were to remove the consumerist practice of fashion shows, the capitalistic structure would be destroyed. We are either, once and for all, going to remain under this wreck or we are going to, as long as we live, be entrapped in imperialism’s vicious circle of debt in continuing to sell Islam to one another. (Atilla Özdür, “Bu Son Yazıdır” [This is the last article], *Vakit*,

November 11, 1994, 4, quoted by Navaro-Yashin 2002, 242)

4. The view that Islam and capitalism are inherently antithetical often circulates through the writings of journalists (such as Thomas Friedman) in European and North American popular mainstream media. These writings deny the long history of capitalist forms of production and consumption in many Muslim societies and often present capitalism, especially in its neoliberal, free-market form, as an “antidote to Islam” and a precursor to “spreading democracy.” While Friedman (2000) and others see Muslim societies as not being capitalist enough, Islamic puritanists as well as secularists criticize the new religio-economic formations of “Islamic capitalism.”

5. El Saadawi’s critical work *The Hidden Face of Eve* (1980) and her novel *Woman at Point Zero* (1983) discuss in tandem the material dimensions of the marriage market and the marketing of women’s bodies in advertisement.

## REFERENCES

- Abaza, Mona  
2001 Shopping Malls, Consumer Culture, and the Reshaping of Public Space in Egypt. *Theory, Culture, and Society* 18 (5): 97–122.
- Abu-Lughod, Lila  
1995 Movie Stars and Islamic Moralism in Egypt. *Social Text* 42:53–67.  
2001 Orientalism and Middle East Feminist Studies. *Feminist Studies* 27 (1): 101–13.  
2005 *Dramas of Nationhood: The Politics of Television in Egypt*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Adas, Emin Baki  
2006 The Making of Entrepreneurial Islam and the Islamic Spirit of Capitalism. *Journal for Cultural Research* 10 (2): 113–37.
- Akou, Heather Marie  
2007 Building a New “World Fashion”: Islamic Dress in the Twenty-First Century. *Fashion Theory* 11:403–22.
- Amireh, Amal  
2000 Framing Nawal El Saadawi: Arab Feminism in a Transnational World. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society* 26 (1): 215–49.
- Balasescu, Alexandru  
2003 Tehran Chic: Islamic Headscarves, Fashion Designers, and New Geographies of Modernity. *Fashion Theory* 7:39–56.  
2007 *Haute Couture in Tehran: Two Faces of an Emerging Fashion Scene*. *Fashion Theory* 11:299–318.
- Benson, Susan Portez  
1986 *Counter Cultures: Saleswomen, Managers, and Customers in American Department Stores 1890–1940*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Bilici, Mücahit  
1999 İslam’ın Bronzlaşan Yüzü: Caprice Hotel Örneği Olayı (The suntanning face of Islam: The case of Caprice Hotel). In *İslamın Yeni Kamusal Yüzleri*

- (The new public faces of Islam), ed. Nilüfer Göle. Istanbul: Metis.
- Buğra, Ayşe  
1998 Class, Culture and State: An Analysis of Interest Representation by Two Turkish Business Associations. *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 30:521–39.
- Bunt, Gary  
2009 *iMuslims: Rewiring the House of Islam*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Catterall, Miriam, Pauline Maclaran, and Lorna Stevens  
2006 The Transformative Potential of Feminist Critique in Consumer Research. *Advances in Consumer Research* 33:222–6.
- Cooke, miriam  
2007 The Muslimwoman. *Contemporary Islam* 1:139–54.
- Cooke, miriam, and Bruce Lawrence, eds.  
2005 *Muslim Networks: From Hajj to Hiphop*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Dabashi, Hamid  
2006 Native Informers and the Making of the American Empire. *Al-Ahram Weekly On-line* 797, June 1–7. <http://weekly.ahram.org.eg/2006/797/special.htm> (accessed March 21, 2010).
- De Grazia, Victoria, and Ellen Furlough  
1996 *The Sex of Things: Gender and Consumption in Historical Perspective*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Dwyer, Claire  
1999 Veiled Meanings: Young British Muslim Women and the Negotiation of Differences. *Gender, Place and Culture* 6:5–26.
- El Saadawi, Nawal  
1980 *The Hidden Face of Eve: Women in the Arab World*. Trans. and ed. Sherif Hetata. London: Zed Press. Originally published in 1977 as *Al-Wajh al-'ari li'l-mar'a al-'arabiyya* (The naked face of the Arab woman), Beirut: Al-Mu'assasa al-'Arabiyya li'l-Dirasa wa al-Nashr.
- Fealy, Greg, and Sally White  
2008 *Expressing Islam: Religious Life and Politics in Indonesia*. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.
- Fischer, Johan  
2008 *Proper Islamic Consumption: Shopping Among the Malays in Modern Malaysia*. Copenhagen: Nordic Institute of Asian Studies Press.
- Friedman, Thomas L.  
2000 *The Lexus and the Olive Tree: Understanding Globalization*. Rev. ed. New York: Farrar, Straus, Giroux.
- Ghannam, Farha  
2008 Beauty, Whiteness, and Desire: Media, Consumption, and Embodiment in Egypt. *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 40:544–6.
- Goffman, Erving  
1979 *Gender Advertisements*. New York: Harper & Row.

- Gökarıksel, Banu  
 2007 A Feminist Geography of Veiling: Gender, Class and Religion in the Making of Modern Spaces and Subjects in Istanbul. In *Women, Religion, and Space*, ed. Karen Morin and Jeanne Kay Guelke. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.  
 2009 Beyond the Officially Sacred: Religion, Secularism, and the Body in the Production of Subjectivity. *Social and Cultural Geography* 10 (6): 657–74.
- Gökarıksel, Banu, and Anna Secor  
 2009 New Transnational Geographies of Islamism, Capitalism and Subjectivity: The Veiling-Fashion Industry in Turkey. *Area* 41 (1): 6–18.
- Göle, Nilüfer  
 1999 *İslamın Yeni Kamusal Yüzleri* (The new public faces of Islam). Istanbul: Metis.  
 2002 Islam in Public: New Visibilities and New Imaginaries. *Public Culture* 14 (1): 173–90.
- Gran, Peter  
 1979 *Islamic Roots of Capitalism: Egypt, 1760–1840*. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Grewal, Inderpal  
 2005 *Transnational America: Feminisms, Diasporas, Neoliberalisms*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Hefner, Robert, ed.  
 1998 *Market Cultures: Society and Morality in New Asian Capitalisms*. Boulder: Westview.
- Hirschkind, Charles  
 2009 *The Ethical Soundscape: Cassette Sermons and Islamic Counterpublics*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Hirschkind, Charles, and Brian Larkin  
 2008 Media and the Political Forms of Religion. *Social Text* 26:1–9.
- Horkheimer, Max, and Theodor W. Adorno  
 1995 *Dialectic of Enlightenment*. Trans. John Cumming. New York: Continuum.
- Jones, Carla  
 2003 Dress for Sukses: Fashioning Femininity and Nationality in Urban Indonesia. In *Re-Orienting Fashion: The Globalization of Asian Dress*, ed. Sandra Niessen, Ann Marie Leshkovich, and Carla Jones. Oxford, UK: Berg.  
 2007 Fashion and Faith in Urban Indonesia. *Fashion Theory* 11:211–31.
- Jones, Carla, and Ann Marie Leshkovich  
 2003 Introduction: The Globalization of Asian Dress; Re-Orienting Fashion or Re-Orientalizing Asia. In *Re-Orienting Fashion: The Globalization of Asian Dress*, ed. Sandra Niessen, Ann Marie Leshkovich, and Carla Jones. Oxford, UK: Berg.
- Kassam, Shelina  
 2008 Marketing an Imagined Muslim Woman: Muslim Girl and the Politics

- of Representation. Paper presented at the Marketing Muslim Women Conference, Duke University and University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, April.
- Kılıçbay Barış, and Mutlu Binark  
2002 Consumer Culture, Islam and the Politics of Lifestyle: Fashion for Veiling in Contemporary Turkey. *European Journal of Communication* 17 (4): 495–511.
- Kuran, Timur  
2004 *Islam and Mammon: The Economic Predicaments of Islamism*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Lancaster, Bill  
1995 *The Department Store: A Social History*. London: Leicester University Press.
- Lewis, Reina  
2007 Veils and Sales: Muslims and the Spaces of Postcolonial Fashion Retail. *Fashion Theory* 11:423–41.
- Mahmood, Saba  
2005 *Politics of Piety: The Islamic Revival and the Feminist Subject*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Malti-Douglas, Fedwa  
2001 *Medicines of the Soul: Female Bodies and Sacred Geographies in a Transnational Islam*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Mamdani, Mahmood  
2004 *Good Muslim, Bad Muslim: America, the Cold War, and the Roots of Terror*. New York: Doubleday.
- Maududi, Abul Ala  
1975 *The Economic Problem of Man and Its Islamic Solution*. Lahore: Islamic Publications.
- Maurer, Bill  
2002 Anthropological and Accounting Knowledge in Islamic Banking and Finance: Rethinking Critical Accounts. *Journal of Royal Anthropological Institute* 8 (4): 645–67.
- McLarney, Ellen  
2009a Islam in Vogue: Fashioning Afghanistan. *Journal of Middle East Women's Studies* 5 (1): 1–20.  
2009b The Socialist Romance of the Postcolonial Arabic Novel. *Research in African Literatures* 40:3 (Fall): 186–205.
- Moallem, Mino  
2005 *Between Warrior Brother and Veiled Sister: Islamic Fundamentalism and the Politics of Patriarchy in Iran*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Moghadam, Valentine  
2002 Islamic Feminism and Its Discontents: Towards a Resolution of the Debate. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society* 27 (4): 1135–71.
- Moors, Annelies  
2007 Fashionable Muslims: Notions of Self, Religion, and Society in San'a.

- Fashion Theory* 11:319–46.
- Navaro-Yashin, Yael  
2002 The Market for Identities: Secularism, Islamism, Commodities. In *Fragments of Culture: The Everyday of Modern Turkey*, ed. Deniz Kandiyoti and Ayşe Saktanber. London: I. B. Tauris.
- Öncü, Ayşe  
1995 Packaging Islam: Cultural Politics on the Landscape of Turkish Commercial Television. *Public Culture* 8:51–71.
- Öniş, Ziya  
2000 Neoliberal Globalization and the Democracy Paradox: The Turkish General Elections of 1999. *Journal of International Affairs* 54:283–306.
- Owen, Roger, and Şevket Pamuk  
1998 *A History of the Middle East Economies in the Twentieth Century*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Özyürek, Esra  
2005 *Nostalgia for the Modern: State Secularism and Everyday Politics in Turkey*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Pamuk, Şevket  
1987 *The Ottoman Empire and European Capitalism 1820–1913: Trade, Investment and Production*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Pfeifer, Karen  
2001 Islamic Business and Business as Usual: A Study of Firms in Egypt. *Development in Practice* 11 (1): 20–33.
- Pink, Johanna, ed.  
2009 *Muslim Societies in the Age of Mass Consumption: Politics, Religion and Identity between the Local and the Global*. New Castle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Press.
- Reynolds, Nancy Young  
2003 *Commodity Communities: Interweavings of Market Cultures, Consumption Practices and Social Power in Egypt, 1907–1961*. Dissertation, Stanford University.
- Roberts, Mary Louise  
1998 Gender, Consumption, and Commodity Culture. *American Historical Review* 103 (3): 817–44.
- Russell, Mona  
2004 *Creating the New Egyptian Woman: Consumerism, Education, and National Identity*. New York: Palgrave.  
1983 *Woman at Point Zero*. Trans. Sherif Hetata. London: Zed Press. Originally published in 1977 as *Imra'a 'ind nuqta al-sifr*, Beirut: Dar al-Adab.
- Safi, Omid, ed.  
2003 *Progressive Muslims: On Justice, Gender and Pluralism*. Oxford, UK: One World Publications.
- Saktanber, Ayşe  
1997 Formation of a Middle-Class Ethos and Its Quotidian: Revitalizing Islam in Urban Turkey. In *Space, Culture and Power: New Identities in*

- Globalizing Cities*, ed. Ayşe Öncü and Petra Weyland. London: Zed Books.
- 2002 "We Pray Like You Have Fun": New Islamic Youth in Turkey Between Intellectualism and Popular Culture. In *Fragments of Culture: The Everyday of Modern Turkey*, ed. Deniz Kandiyoti and Ayşe Saktanber. London: I. B. Tauris.
- Salamandra, Christa  
2004 *A New Old Damascus: Authenticity and Distinction in Urban Syria*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Sarıdökü, Özlem, and Güliz Ger  
2001 Fundamental Fashions: The Cultural Politics of the Turban and the Levi's. *Advances in Consumer Research* 28:146–50.  
2007 Constructing and Representing the Islamic Consumer in Turkey. *Fashion Theory* 11:189–210.
- Schulz, Dorothea E.  
2007 Competing Sartorial Assertions of Femininity and Muslim Identity in Mali. *Fashion Theory* 11:253–79.
- Tarlo, Emma  
2007 Islamic Cosmopolitanism: The Sartorial Biographies of Three Muslim Women in London. *Fashion Theory* 11:143–72.
- Tuğal, Cihan  
2002 Islamism in Turkey: Beyond Instrument and Meaning. *Economy and Society* 3:85–111.  
2009 *Passive Revolution: Absorbing the Islamic Challenge to Capitalism*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Uddin, Syed Jamal  
2003 Understanding the Framework of Business in Islam in an Era of Globalization: A Review. *Business Ethics: A European Review* 12:23–32.
- White, Jenny  
1999 Islamic Chic. In *Istanbul: Between the Global and the Local*, ed. Çağlar Keyder. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Whitlock, Gillian  
2006 *Soft Weapons: Autobiography in Transit*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Wilson, Elizabeth  
1992a The Invisible Flâneur. *New Left Review* 191 (January/February): 90–110.  
1992b *The Sphinx in the City: Urban Life, the Control of Disorder, and Women*. Berkeley: University of California Press.  
2003 *Adorned in Dreams: Fashion and Modernity*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- Wynn, Lisa  
1997 The Romance of Tahliyya Street: Youth Culture, Commodities and the Use of Public Space in Jiddah. *Middle East Report* 204 (Winter): 30–1.
- Yavuz, Hakan  
2003 *Islamic Political Identity in Turkey*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.