Are maximum bite forces of subjects 7 to 17 years of age related to malocclusion?
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the effects of occlusion on maximum bite force of growing subjects.

Materials and Methods: Incisor and first molar bite force of children and adolescents was evaluated. Four cohorts were measured annually for 3 years, starting at approximately 7, 9, 12, and 15 years of age, respectively. The initial sample included 182 females and 198 males; there were 130 subjects with normal occlusion, 111 with Class I malocclusion, and 139 with Class II malocclusion. Multilevel analyses were performed to model the growth changes and compare groups.

Results: Maximum bite force increased significantly ($P < .05$) over time. Incisal forces peaked at 14.3 and 15.3 years of age for females and males, respectively. Maximum molar bite force peaked at 16 years for both males and females. Subjects with normal occlusion had significantly higher bite force than subjects with malocclusion. Maximum molar bite force exhibited a significant testing effect, with forces increasing 2.6 kg each year that the tests were repeated.

Conclusions: Malocclusion has a detrimental effect on bite force. Changes in maximum bite force are also due to age, sex, and repeated testing. (Angle Orthod. 2016;86:456–461.)
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INTRODUCTION

Maximum bite force provides a way of measuring the functional state of the masticatory muscles, the sizes of which are related to craniofacial morphology. Various factors affect maximum bite force, including the number of teeth present, tooth contacts and near contacts, areas of occlusal contact and near contact, posterior tooth support, orientation of the jaw-closing muscles, temporomandibular disorders, and craniofacial morphology.

Males have a higher maximum bite force than do females. Sex differences have been related to body size, muscular development, craniofacial dimensions, occlusal contact areas, and chewing cycle kinematics. Sex differences become well established during the postpubertal years, when males develop greater overall body size and muscle strength than do females.

While cross-sectional studies generally show increases in maximum bite force between childhood and adulthood, the patterns reported have not been consistent. Children in the primary dentition show no changes in maximum bite force. Braun showed steady bite force increases with age in females, but not in males. Kamegai and coworkers reported increases in molar bite force between 3 and 14 years, followed by decreases in females and increases in males. The inconsistency of patterns emphasizes the limitations of cross-sectional data for estimating age changes.

It has been well established that vertical malocclusions and crossbites decrease maximum bite force. The most consistent data pertain to hyperdivergent adults with open bites, who exhibit substantially lower maximum bite force than do hypodivergent adults. Lower bite force has also been reported among hyperdivergent children and for mixed dentition children having crossbites. Compared with individuals with normal occlusion, individuals 9 to 17 years of age...
age with generalized malocclusion have been reported to have significantly lower bite force.15

It remains unclear whether Class I and II patients have normal bite force. Sonnesen and Bakke showed no class effects among 7-to-13-year-old children,26 but they did not make comparisons with subjects having normal occlusion. Differences might be expected because subjects with Class I or Class II malocclusion have smaller areas of occlusal contact and near contact than do subjects with normal occlusion,7 and areas of contact have previously been related to bite force1,6,8 and occlusal support.9

The primary aim of the present study was to determine whether occlusion affects maximum bite force of children and adolescents. This is the first study to evaluate bite force longitudinally, and the first to compare individuals with malocclusion and normal occlusion. Longitudinal data are necessary to better estimate changes in bite force over time.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 2954 middle-school Colombian mestizos were screened at three private schools in different areas of Medellin, Colombia. Subjects were rejected if they had congenitally missing teeth, signs or symptoms of temporomandibular dysfunction, craniofacial anomalies, history of previous orthodontic treatment, trauma, anklylosed teeth, or crossbites. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects and their parents; the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Universidad CES.

The sample was selected based on their willingness to participate and categorized based on the following criteria:

1. Sex: Males and females
2. Age: Subjects were screened and assigned to one of the following age cohorts:
   a. Age cohort 7: 7.0–7.9 years of age (early mixed dentition) initially and evaluated for 2 consecutive years
   b. Age cohort 9: 9.0–9.9 years of age (mid mixed dentition) initially and evaluated for 3 consecutive years
   c. Age cohort 12: 12.0–12.9 years of age (late mixed dentition) initially and evaluated for 3 consecutive years
   d. Age cohort 15: 15.0–15.9 years of age (permanent dentition) initially and evaluated for 3 consecutive years
3. Based on a clinical exam, occlusal status was classified as:
   a. Normal occlusion: Class I molar relationships; crowding, ≤3 mm; overjet, ≤3 mm; overbite, ≤ one-third coverage of the mandibular incisors
   b. Class I malocclusion: Class I molar relationships; crowding, >3 mm; overjet, >3 mm or overbite, > one-third coverage of the mandibular incisors
   c. Class II malocclusion: At least one-half cusp Class II molar relationships

The final sample included 380 subjects (182 females and 198 males; 130 subjects with normal occlusion, 111 with Class I malocclusion, and 139 with Class II malocclusion). Sagittal jaw relationships were evaluated because they have been related to bite force15 and to dental intercuspation,7 which is indirectly related to bite force.1,20 The subjects in the present study showed no relationship between malocclusion, facial height, bizygomatic width, or bigonial width.27

Because of orthodontic treatment, restorative procedures, changing schools, absences on the day of data collection, or unwillingness to participate, the original sample size was decreased 14% and 22.5% at the end of the second and third measurement, respectively (Table 1). The data of the subjects who dropped out of the study were included for the timepoints that they were available.

Maximum Bite Force

Bite forces were measured using an occlusal force transducer,28 which was covered in a thin plastic and recovered with sterile latex after each subject. The total vertical height of the transducer was 7.5 mm, which is within the physiological range of the masticatory muscles’ optimum functional vertical distance.1

Subjects sat in an upright position without head support. Standardized bite force measurements were taken between the central incisors and right first permanent molars by one previously trained orthodontist. Recorded voice commands were used to instruct the subjects to bite as hard as possible for 3 seconds. This procedure was repeated three times, with 1-minute rest periods between bites, and averaged.29 One day after data collection, 10% of the subjects (randomly selected) were remeasured. Reliabilities of the maximum bite force was 78% for the molars and 86% for the incisors.29 The transducer was calibrated after every 50 subjects.

Bite force readings were not taken for subjects who presented with restorations on the incisal surfaces of their anterior teeth, unerupted anterior teeth, or molars with extensive restorations involving the cusp tips.

Statistical Analysis

Multilevel linear models (MLWin, Institute of Education) were used to evaluate the data.30,31 The fixed part of each model estimated age changes in bite force and
evaluated group differences. The effects of occlusion, sex, and repeated testing were evaluated. The repeated testing effects were evaluated using models that simultaneously evaluated age effects. Polynomials were used to model bite force changes over time, with the constant term fixed at 11 years of age. The linear terms described bite force velocity and the quadratic terms described acceleration or deceleration. The random part of each model partitioned variation into two levels, with subjects at the higher level and age, nested within subjects, at the lower level. Iterative generalized least squares were used to estimate the model parameters. Statistical significance was set at $P < .05$.

**RESULTS**

**Maximum Bite Force**

There were significant sex differences (Table 2). Incisor bite force was best described with a quadratic polynomial; forces increased over time at decelerating rates (Figure 1A). Incisor bite force was initially higher in females than in males, but the differences were not statistically significant. While there also were no significant differences in maximum incisor bite force at 11 years, males showed significantly greater yearly increases in bite force than did females, resulting in significantly greater forces at 17. Sex differences in deceleration were not statistically significant.

Molar bite force also followed a quadratic pattern. Males showed significantly greater maximum molar bite force than did females between 7 and 17 years of age (Figure 1B).

Females and males attained peak incisal bite force at approximately 14.3 and 15.3 years of age, (23.0 and 24.8 kg, respectively). Peak molar forces were attained at approximately 16 years of age for both males (62.6 kg) and females (57.7 kg).

**Class Differences**

Subjects with malocclusion had significantly lower maximum incisal bite force than those with normal occlusion (Table 3). While the differences were insignificant among the younger children, subjects with malocclusion showed greater deceleration, resulting in significantly lower maximum incisor bite force at the older ages (Figure 2A). There were no statistically significant differences in incisal bite force between Class IIs and Class IIs.

Subjects with normal occlusion also exhibited greater maximum molar bite force than subjects with malocclusion (Figure 2B). Individuals with normal occlusion had statistically significant higher molar bite force than Class IIs at 11 years of age and thereafter. Although there was no difference at 11 years, subjects with normal occlusion had significantly higher bite force than Class IIs at the oldest ages. Differences between Class I and Class II malocclusions were not statistically significant.

---

### Table 1. Initial Sample Distribution According to Age, Sex, and Type of Occlusion, Along with Final Sample Sizes of Males and Females by Age Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group Cohort</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Normal Occlusion (n)</th>
<th>Class I Malocclusion (n)</th>
<th>Class II Malocclusion (n)</th>
<th>Total (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>56 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>43 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>52 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>46 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>41 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>380 (245)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Polynomial Estimates of Maximum Incisor and Molar Bite Force for 7- to 17-Year-Olds Along With Sex Differences, With Constant Indicating Bite Force

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Differences (Females-Males)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Age*</td>
<td>Age²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisor bite force (kg)</td>
<td>20.381</td>
<td>2.035</td>
<td>−0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molar bite force (kg)</td>
<td>53.842</td>
<td>3.839</td>
<td>−0.420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Age indicates bite force velocity; Age², deceleration, both at 11 years of age.

* NS indicates no statistically significant ($P > .05$) group difference. Only statistically significant ($P > .05$) group differences are shown.
Repeated Testing Effects

Multilevel analyses showed a statistically significant testing effect for maximum molar bite force (Table 4). Independent of age effects, molar bite force increased 2.6 kg per year over the 3-year observation period. Incisor bite force showed a small effect that was not statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

Maximum molar bite force increased approximately 30 kg between 7 and 17 years. Similar or slightly smaller increases have been reported for cross-sectional samples. Molar bite force at age 15 was lower than, similar to, and higher than that reported by others. Differences could be due to transducer position, transducer height and rigidity, sampling, body size, and confidence when biting. Molar bite force increased more than did incisor bite force between 7 and 17 years of age—approximately twice as much. This supports the smaller increases in incisal bite force previously reported. Greater increases in molar bite force can be explained biomechanically, due to greater mechanical advantage. Importantly, the changes between 7 and 17 should be interpreted cautiously because the subjects were followed longitudinally for only 3 years. More studies with longer longitudinal follow-ups are needed to validate these results.

Molar bite force attained maximal values after peak adolescent height velocity, which occurs at approximately 11.5 and 13.6 years of age for females and males, respectively. Peak height velocities have been previously shown to occur before peak velocities of lean body mass. Male grip strength accelerates between age 13 and 14, after which it peaks. Peak upper and lower body strength of males occurs approximately 1 year after peak height velocity.

Males had greater maximum molar bite force than did females. While similar differences have been reported for adults, pubertal females have been shown to have greater bite force than males, and no differences have been found among children. Differences observed prior to 16 years of age were probably due to differences in muscle size and strength favoring adolescent males over females.

Incisor bite force increased at faster rates among males than among females. No difference has been previously reported in adults. The fact that females initially showed greater incisal bite force than males can be explained by maturational differences. Since females enter adolescence earlier than males, they might be expected to show increases in strength before males.

At the older ages, incisor bite force was approximately 25% greater and molar bite force was 7%—8%
greater in individuals with normal occlusion than in those with malocclusion. It is possible that the height of the transducer reduced the maximum incisor bite force in subjects with increased overjet. Greater molar bite force has been reported for subjects older than 9 years with normal occlusion than with generalized malocclusion. Subjects with malocclusion have weaker bite force because they also have decreased areas of occlusal contact and near contact, which decreases occlusal support. Malocclusion either impairs an individual’s ability to develop stronger masticatory muscles or it limits the ability of the muscle to develop greater strength. The malocclusion effects identified in the present study were probably not due to differences in vertical skeletal relationships because the three groups had similar anterior face heights.

The testing effect (ie, due to repeated measurements) observed in the present study for molar bite force has not been previously established. The effect was more evident in the molar region, where bite force is substantially greater. Testing effects might be expected because subjects reduce their anxiety (psychological accommodation to the testing procedures) over time and learn how to more effectively produce bite force.

CONCLUSIONS

- Individuals with normal occlusion have a greater maximum bite force than do individuals with Class I or Class II malocclusion.
- Maximum bite force of children and adolescents increased progressively between 7 and 17 years of age.
- Males have a greater maximum bite force than do females.
- Molar bite force increases with repeated testing.
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