Immediate occlusal loading the same day or the day after implant placement: Comparison of 2 different time frames in totally edentulous lower jaws
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Immediate loading of endosseous implants is becoming a widespread therapeutic procedure for the rehabilitation of patients with edentulous jaws. The purpose of this prospective clinical trial was to evaluate the long-term success rate of endosseous implants placed in the edentulous lower jaw and loaded on either the same day of surgery or the next day. Nineteen patients were enrolled in the study. Eleven patients, accounting for 64 implants, received their provisional prosthesis the same day of implant placement, and 8 patients, accounting for 52 implants, were rehabilitated the day after surgery. All patients were rehabilitated by a hybrid prosthesis supported by 5 to 6 Osseotite implants. Two implants failed in the group of patients who had their implants loaded the same day (96.9% success rate), whereas 1 implant failed in the other group (98.1% success rate). The overall implant success rate was 97.4%. All failures occurred within 2 months of function. No other complication was reported. The mean follow-up for this interim report was 37.8 ± 16.5 months (range 8–65 months). Crestal bone loss was similar to that reported for standard delayed loading protocols. The results of this study suggest that the rehabilitation of the edentulous lower jaw by an immediate occlusally loaded implant-supported hybrid prosthesis is equally successful when loading is applied the same day or the day after implant placement. Immediate loading with 5 to 6 implant-supported prostheses represents a viable alternative treatment to classic delayed loading protocols.
INTRODUCTION

An adequate non-functional healing period has long been considered one of the basic prerequisites to achieve osseointegration of dental implants; such period was equal to 3 months for the mandible and 6 months for the upper jaw because of a different osseous structure.1,2 The actual need for healing periods of such duration has been greatly questioned because they were determined on an empirical basis. Hence, one of the aims of clinical research in modern implant dentistry has been the validation of early and immediate loading protocols as viable therapeutic alternatives, under certain circumstances. Numerous studies have been carried out regarding the physiologic principles that govern on aspects of implant healing and long-term function.3–8 Experimental studies in animal models have also been performed9–11 to assess osseointegration around implants loaded earlier with respect to the standard protocol. However, in experimental animal models (such as dogs and monkeys) bone biology is similar to that of humans as long as the sequence of events is concerned, but time lapses can be significantly different, so not all the data regarding healing times could be transposed to clinical practice. Yet the encouraging results obtained with animals led to a growing number of clinical studies on immediate loading of dental implants in humans.12–24 Immediate loading procedures have been applied to rehabilitate the edentulous mandible with high predictability. In the totally edentulous jaws, cross-arch stabilization has been proven to be efficient in maintaining the amount of micromotion below a certain threshold, namely, 150 μm, which was considered critical to osseointegration.25

The ultimate goal of an immediate loading protocol is to reduce the number of surgical interventions and shorten the time frame between surgery and prosthesis delivery, all without compromising the success rate of the procedure. These new protocols will ultimately lessen patients’ reservations, resulting in increased acceptance of implant therapy. Before accepting the procedure as a routine treatment, the immediate loading technique needs to be validated by a significant number of clinical cases, extended follow-ups, and a clear definition of limitations.

This article presents an interim analysis of a prospective clinical study on the rehabilitation of the edentulous mandible by an immediate occlusally loaded (IL) full-arch screw-retained prosthesis with distal extensions (a hybrid prosthesis) delivered on either the same day or the day after implant placement.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study followed a clinical protocol for immediate occlusal loading of implants placed in the edentulous mandible. The same surgeon performed all the surgeries, and all the clinical and surgical parameters were kept the same except for the time frame of the prosthesis delivering. In fact, one group of patients received the provisional prosthesis the same day of surgery, whereas a second group of patients received the prosthesis the day after surgery.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Patients were included in the study according to the following criteria: (1) patients were completely edentulous in the lower jaw, (2) rehabilitation by endosseous oral implants was considered the treatment of choice, (3) patients were physically able to tolerate conventional surgical and restorative procedures, (4) patients signed an informed consent before enrolling in the study, (5) implants were seated with a torque ≥32 Ncm showing good primary stability, and (6) the interforaminal area had dense and normal bone quality. Bone quality was scored according to the classification proposed by Trisi et al26 as dense (equivalent to bone type I according to the classification proposed by Lekholm and Zarb27), normal (type II–III), and soft (type IV) bone.

Exclusion criteria were (1) the presence of active infection in the sites intended for implant placement, (2) the presence of systemic diseases such as diabetes (all types, regardless of control), (3) treatment with therapeutic X rays to the head during the past 12 months, (4) the need for bone augmentation at the intended implant site, (5) radiographic evidence of unresorbed allograft at implant site, (6) patients smoking more than 10 cigarettes a day, (7) severe bruxism or clenching, and (8) pregnancy.

Success criteria

The following criteria were applied to evaluate implant success: (1) absence of clinically detectable mobility when tested with opposing instrument pressure, (2) no evidence of peri-implant radiolucency on periapical radiographs, (3) absence of recurrent or persistent peri-implant infection, (4) no complaint of pain at the site of treatment, (5) no complaint of neuropathies or paraesthesia, and (6) crestal bone loss not exceeding 1.5 mm by the end of
first year of functional loading and less than 0.2 mm/y in the ensuing years (according to the criteria proposed by Albrektsson et al) up to 5 years of follow-up.

**Surgical procedures**

All patients received dual acid-etched cylindrical screw-shaped Osseotite implants (3i, West Palm Beach, Fla). The surgeon followed the implant manufacturer’s instructions for implant-site preparation and implant-insertion procedure (Figures 1 and 2). Implants were inserted according to the so-called crestal positioning surgical protocol (ie, with the hex above the bony ridge, as recently suggested in the literature). Initial-implant primary stability was assessed by setting the insertion torque of the surgical unit and recorded as “tight” (torque ≥32 Ncm), “firm” (torque between 25 and 32 Ncm), or “loose” (torque <25 Ncm) by using a drilling unit that allows the clinician to precisely determine the insertion torque (ElcoMed WH SA200C, Burmoos, Austria). The length and diameter of the individual implants could vary from patient to patient according to bone quality and quantity at each surgical site.

**Prosthetic procedures**

The treatment objective involved delivery of the provisional prosthesis on either the same day or the day after implant placement by using the prosthetic procedure that best suited the clinical case. The patients were randomly allocated to 1 of the 2 protocol groups by means of a coin toss. The design of the prosthesis was determined by a collaborative effort between the surgeon, the restorative doctor, and the patient, as long as the outcome was consistent with the study’s objectives. A metal-reinforced acrylic provisional bridge was reline over provisional cylinders and finalized either on the same day or within the next day (Figure 3). The definitive prosthesis was then fabricated 3 months later (Figures 4 and 5). In either case, the occlusion was evaluated to provide balanced occlusal loading.

**Follow-up procedures**

No specific diet was recommended to the patients. The patients were on a strict recall program during the first 6 months: every week during the first month and every month between the second and sixth month. Patients were followed thereafter at 12, 18, and 24 months postloading and then on a yearly basis up to 5 years, according to the prospective protocol.

Orthopantograms and periapical radiographs were obtained at implant insertion. Periapical radiographs were also performed subsequently; after 2, 6, and 12 months of occlusal loading; and yearly thereafter up to 5 years to evaluate crestal bone loss.
periapical radiographs by means of a computerized technique as previously described.23,24,29

RESULTS

Enrollment and demographics

Between November 1997 and September 2002, 19 patients (10 men and 9 women) were enrolled in the study. Eleven patients received the provisional prosthesis the same day of surgery, and 8 patients received the provisional the day after surgery. The main characteristics of patients in the 2 groups are resumed in Table 1. This table also reports the implant distribution between the 2 groups. Four patients were smokers and reported smoking up to 10 cigarettes per day.

All patients were rehabilitated with a hybrid prosthesis supported by 5 to 6 immediately loaded Osseotite implants. A total of 116 implants were inserted. The length and diameter of all the IL implants are summarized in Table 2. Ninety-eight implants (84.5%) were placed in the interforaminal area that scored dense or normal bone quality, and the remaining 18 implants (15.5%) were inserted into areas distal to the foramen that scored soft bone quality.

Success rate

Table 3 is a life-table analysis based on the overall data of the present study. A total of 3 implants failed because of mobility, all within 2 months from placement. The overall implant success rate was 97.4%. Two failures were recorded in the same-day loaded group, and 1 failure occurred in the other group. All failed implants were 13 mm long and were positioned in the intraforaminal area. Implants with a length of 13 mm were the most frequently used (64.7% of the total, as shown in Table 2). Patients with implants loaded on the same day of surgery had a 96.9% implant success rate, whereas patients who received the provisional prosthesis the day after surgery had a 98.1% implant success rate, as reported in Table 1. Because of the small number of failed implants, no statistically significant difference in the implant success rate could be detected between the 2 groups.

The failed implants were removed without compromising prosthesis function. None of the other implants showed complications to date.

Eleven patients, accounting for 58 implants (50% of total), had their prosthesis successfully loaded for more than 3 years. The overall mean follow-up duration was 37.8 ± 16.5 months.

No deviations from the protocol were reported. Patients'
subjective assessment in relation to the type of treatment received was overall favorable. No subjective complaints were reported throughout the follow-up period.

**DISCUSSION**

The reduction of the treatment time, the introduction of minimally invasive surgical procedures, and the simplification of the prosthetic phase to provide better service to the patient is a modern trend in implant dentistry. However, this goal should be achieved without giving up the same success rate as the delayed protocol.

The analysis of the data reported in the literature concerning the success rate of immediately loaded implants in the lower jaw, however, shows that it is difficult to compare different studies, mainly because of the different time frames reported. Table 4 summarizes the results of review articles reporting on immediately loaded implant-supported fixed prosthesis in the edentulous mandible. All retrieved articles published in English with a mean follow-up of at least 6 months were considered, regardless of the number of patients treated. The resulting overall implant survival rate (97.57% on a total of 1689 IL implants) is well comparable with the outcome of the present study. No single study, however, addressed the issue of 2 different loading time frames (the same day of surgery or the next day) in the same protocol.

It has been suggested that immediate occlusal loading procedures can be successful only when the amount of micromotion...
at the bone-implant interface is kept beneath a certain threshold during the healing phase. Several studies have reported higher failure rates for immediately loaded implants when compared with delayed-loaded implants. This shows that this procedure, although predictable, is technique-sensitive and should be applied cautiously.

A gradual and progressive approach to immediate loading is therefore recommended. Our original immediate loading procedure in the edentulous mandible began by adding submerged implants as a reserve, as first proposed by Schnitman et al. In our case, this approach was abandoned after 2 preliminary patients because the histological analysis demonstrated that all 3 IL implants surgically retrieved from patients by trephine were osseointegrated after 2 months and 4 months of function. Because only 3 implants failed in the present, with an overall success rate of 97.4%, the preliminary data suggest that (1) 5 to 6 IL implants can maintain a level of micromotion beneath the critical threshold for implant survival, and (2) 5 to 6 IL implants can provide patients with the same level of success as standard delayed protocol.

On the basis of the results provided by this study, the delivery of provisional hybrid prostheses the same day or the next day does not significantly affect the overall success rate.

**Conclusion**

Within the limits of the present study, rehabilitation of the edentulous mandible by an IL provisional hybrid prosthesis supported by 5 to 6 Osseotite implants can be a viable alternative treatment to the classic delayed protocol. The loading time frame could be chosen upon both the clinical needs of each individual patient and the logistic of the dental practice that may prevent or allow the delivery of the provisional prosthesis the same day.
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