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Clinical Relevance
When blood contamination occurs during dentin bonding with self-etch adhesives, blood contamination cannot be adequately removed by water rinsing alone.

SUMMARY
This study evaluated the effects of blood contamination and decontamination methods during different steps of bonding procedures on the microtensile bond strength of two-step self-etch adhesives to dentin. Sixty extracted human molars were ground flat to expose occlusal dentin. The 60 molars were randomly assigned to three groups, each treated with a different two-step self-etch adhesive: Clearfil SE Bond, AdheSE and Tyrian SPE. In turn, these groups were subdivided into five subgroups (n=20), each treated using different experimental conditions as follows: control group—no contamination; contamination group 1-CG1: primer application/contamination/primer re-application; contamination group 2-CG2: primer application/contamination/wash/dry/primer re-application; contamination group 3-CG3: primer application/adhesive application/light curing/contamination/adhesive re-application/light curing; contamina-
tion group 4-CG4: primer application/adhesive application/light curing/contamination/wash/dry/adhesive re-application/light curing.

Composite buildup was performed using Z250. After 24 hours of storage in distilled water at 37°C, the bonded specimens were trimmed to an hourglass shape and serially sectioned into slabs with 0.6 mm² cross-sectional areas. Microtensile bond strengths (MTBS) were assessed for each specimen using a universal testing machine. The data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by a post hoc LSD test. SEM evaluations of the fracture modes were also performed. The contaminated specimens showed lower bond strengths than specimens in the control group (p<0.05), with the exception of CG1 in the Clearfil SE group and CG2 and CG3 in the Tyrian SPE group. Among the three self-etch adhesives, the Tyrian SPE group exhibited a significantly lower average MTBS compared to the Clearfil SE Bond and AdheSE (p<0.05) groups. Based on the results of the current study, it was found that blood contamination reduced the MTBS of all three self-etch adhesives to dentin, and water-rinsing was unable to overcome the effects of blood contamination.

INTRODUCTION

There is an increasing demand for esthetic restoration in operative dentistry. This has led to the intensive study of adhesive materials. Good adhesion to dental hard tissues is one of the prime prerequisites for resin-based dental composites. Any contamination of the preparation surface by saliva, blood or gingival crevicular fluid should be avoided in order to achieve a successful and durable bond between the resin composite and the tooth. However, moisture control is difficult in caries located at or near the gingival margin. Rubber dam isolation is difficult to apply in these areas, and contamination of the operating field with blood or saliva is likely to occur. Blood contamination has been reported to decrease bond strength when it occurs after collagen fibers have been exposed by acid etching.

Self-etch adhesives are commonly employed for bonding procedures, because they are user-friendly and yield good clinical results. Self-etch adhesives do not require a separate etch and rinse step, the omission of which reduces technique sensitivity and operating time, as well as reducing the risk of contamination on the etched tooth surface. It has been hypothesized that self-etch adhesives may be more resistant to saliva contamination because hydrophilic adhesive solutions, specifically acetone- or ethanol-based products, may displace or diffuse through a salivary film to reach the underlying hydroxyapatite or collagen.

Previous studies have investigated the effects of saliva contamination and blood contamination on bonding between resin composites and tooth surfaces. However, there have been relatively few studies on the effects of blood contamination on dentin bond strength. Furthermore, these studies used either primary teeth as the subjects, or one-step etch and rinse or one-bottle self-etch adhesives. The effects of blood contamination on the bond strength of two-step self-etch adhesives has rarely been studied despite the frequent clinical use of these adhesives. For this reason, the authors of the current study investigated the effects of blood contamination on the microtensile bond strength (MTBS) of two-step self-etch adhesives using three commercial materials: Clearfil SE Bond (Kuraray, Okayama, Japan), AdheSE (Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) and Tyrian SPE (BISCO, Schaumburg, IL, USA), which are mild, moderate and strong self-etching adhesives, respectively. Many previous studies have investigated the bond strengths of these two-step self-etch adhesives. However, as best as the authors can determine, a comparative study of the effects of blood contamination on MTBS of these three self-etch adhesives has never been conducted.

The current study evaluated the effects of blood contamination on the MTBS of three two-step self-etch adhesives to dentin. The null hypothesis of this study was that blood contamination would not have an adverse effect on the MTBS of two-step self-etch adhesives.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The materials used in this study included three commercial two-step self-etch adhesives: Clearfil SE Bond (Kuraray, Okayama, Japan), AdheSE (Ivoclar Vivadent) and Tyrian SPE/One-Step Plus (BISCO, Inc). The composition of the self-etch adhesives used in this study are listed in Table 1.

Filtek Z-250 (3M ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA) was used as a restorative dental composite.

All the experimental procedures were performed by a single operator to reduce inter-operator variation. Sixty extracted, caries-free human molars were stored in distilled water containing 0.5% thymol. After removing the enamel layer from each tooth, the exposed dentin was ground with 600 grit SiC paper under running water to provide a standard experimental condition. Fresh human capillary blood was collected from one participant at the same time that the specimens were prepared. The 60 molars were randomly assigned to one of three experimental groups corresponding to the three self-etch adhesives tested. The 20 molars in each self-etch adhesive group were further divided among five experimental groups per adhesive type as follows:
Table 1: Materials Used in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adhesive</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearfil SE Bond</td>
<td>Kuraray Medical Inc, Okayama, Japan</td>
<td>self-etching primer: 10- methacryloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate (MDP), 2- hydroxethyl methacrylate (HEMA), hydrophilic dimethacrylate, di-camphorquinone, N,N-dietanol-p-toluidine, water bonding agent: HEMA, dimethacrylate, phosphoric acid, colloidal silica</td>
<td>apply primer 20 seconds, air dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdheSE</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein</td>
<td>self-etching primer: dimethacrylate, phosphoric acid acrylate, initiators and stabilizers</td>
<td>apply primer 30 seconds, air dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrian SPE</td>
<td>BISCO, Inc, Schaumburg, IL, USA</td>
<td>primer A: thymol blue, ethanol and water primer B: AMPS, Bis MEP, TPO and ethanol</td>
<td>primer A+B apply primer 20 seconds, air dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-step Plus</td>
<td></td>
<td>bonding agent: bisphenyl dimethacrylate, hydroxyethyl methacrylate, acetone</td>
<td>apply, air dry light cure 10 seconds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control group (n=20): In this group, there was no blood contamination. Self-etch primers and adhesives were applied to the dentin of each specimen according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The adhesive was light-cured for 10 seconds using a visible light-curing unit (Optilux402, Demetron/Kerr, Danbury, CT, USA).

Contamination group 1 (CG1: n=20): The self-etch primer was applied in the same manner as the control group. Before applying the adhesive, the primer-treated surface was contaminated with fresh blood for 15 seconds using a microbrush. The self-etch primer was then reapplied to the contaminated surface with a gentle scrubbing motion until no blood was observed. Thereafter, the adhesive was applied and light-cured for 10 seconds (Optilux402, Demetron/Kerr).

Contamination group 2 (CG2: n=20): The methods of self-etch primer application and blood contamination were the same as in CG1. After contamination, the blood was rinsed for 10 seconds with a water stream from an air-water syringe. A gentle puff of air was then applied for two seconds to dry the surface. Care was taken to not desiccate the surface. Thereafter, the adhesive was applied and light-cured in the same manner as in CG1.

Contamination group 3 (CG3: n=20): The self-etch primer and adhesive were applied to the dentin and light cured in the same manner as the control group. The surface was then contaminated with fresh blood for 15 seconds using a microbrush. Thereafter, adhesive was reapplied to the blood-contaminated surface until no blood was observed. It was then light-cured for 10 seconds (Optilux 402, Demetron/Kerr).

Contamination group 4 (CG4: n=20): Self-etch primer application, light-curing and blood contamination were conducted in the same manner as in CG3. The blood was then rinsed for 10 seconds with a water stream from an air-water syringe and dried with a gentle puff of air for two seconds. Thereafter, the adhesive was applied and light-cured in the same manner as in CG3.

These experimental designs are illustrated in Figure 1.
After light-curing the adhesive on each specimen, resin composite was applied to the bonding surface in three increments to a final thickness of 3.6 mm. Each increment was light-cured for 20 seconds with a visible light-curing unit (Optilux 402, Demetron/Kerr). The light intensity was periodically measured during the experimental procedures using a radiometer (Model 100, Demetron/Kerr). It was confirmed that the light-curing unit worked in the range from 520 to 560 mW/cm².

All bonded specimens were stored in distilled water for 24 hours at 37°C. The samples were then removed from the distilled water and trimmed to a rectangular shape using a low-speed diamond saw (Isomet, Buehler Ltd, Lake Bluff, IL, USA). Notches were made along the dentin-adhesive interface using a diamond bur that was fixed in a low-speed drill press. The samples were cut into hourglass shapes with necks approximately 1 mm wide. The specimens were then sliced into 0.6-mm-thick sections perpendicular to the interface using the low-speed diamond saw.

**Microtensile Bond Strength Test**

Each specimen was attached to the testing device (Bencor-Multi-T, Danville Engineering Co, Danville, CA, USA) using a cyanoacrylate adhesive (Scotch Super Glue Gel, 3M, St Paul, MN, USA). The jig was then mounted in a universal testing machine (Lloyd Instrument Amtek Inc, Largo, FL, USA). Tensile force was applied at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/minute. The maximum load at the point of fracture divided by the cross-sectional surface area of the bonded surface was used to calculate the microtensile bond strength. Two-way ANOVA was performed to evaluate the effects of two experimental factors: the two-step self-etch adhesive used and the experimental conditions applied. Differences with p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**SEM Evaluation of Fracture Modes and Morphological Characteristics of Fractured Surfaces**

The results of fracture mode analysis showed that adhesive fractures and mixed fractures were prevalent in specimens treated with Clearfil SE Bond and AdheSE. In contrast, adhesive fractures were dominant in the Tyrian SPE group.

For specimens treated with Clearfil SE Bond, the fracture surfaces in the control group (Figure 2A) were characterized by numerous open dentinal tubules and a scaly appearance, but CG2 (Figure 2B) had a “washed-out” appearance. For specimens treated with Tyrian SPE, the fracture surfaces of the control group (Figure 3A) and CG2 (Figure 3B) were similar in appearance, including wide-open dentinal tubules that were partly filled with broken resin tags. For specimens treated with AdheSE, the fracture surfaces of CG1 had blood residues remaining on the fractured surface.

**DISCUSSION**

The Tyrian SPE group had a significantly lower MTBS compared to the Clearfil SE Bond and AdheSE group (p<0.05). This result was consistent with previous studies that reported the MTBS of the
Table 2: The Results of Microtensile Bond Strength Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Mean Squares</th>
<th>F-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adhesive * group</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11716</td>
<td>14645</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>431541</td>
<td>107885</td>
<td>20.77</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesive§</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>296331</td>
<td>148165</td>
<td>28.52</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adhesive * Group means the interaction between the two factors, adhesive (Clearfil SE, AdheSE, and Tyrian SPE) and group (control group and four contamination groups).§Group includes the control group and four experimental contamination groups.§Adhesive includes three adhesives (Clearfil SE, AdheSE, and Tyrian SPE).

Tyrian SPE group was lower than the Clearfil SE Bond group. Although there is no consensus on the relationship between bond strength and acidity of self-etch adhesives, it is generally accepted that aggressive self-etch adhesives, such as Tyrian SPE, result in low bond strengths.\(^\text{29,30}\) This may be attributed to the inhibitory effects of the acidic monomer remaining in the oxygen-inhibited layer, which may inhibit polymerization of the chemical cure resin composite due to an acid-base reaction with a tertiary amine.\(^\text{29}\) Although there is a lesser chance for this acid-base reaction to occur in light-cured resin composites because of the fast initiation and production of free radicals, chances exist for this reaction to occur if curing is delayed. There may be a greater chance for this reaction to occur when using Tyrian SPE, because this system contains a greater concentration of acidic monomers compared to mild or moderate self-etching adhesives.\(^\text{29}\) The lower MTBS of the Tyrian SPE group observed in the current study was also consistent with the results of fracture mode analysis, which showed that Tyrian SPE had a much higher proportion of adhesive fractures (92%) than Clearfil SE Bond (56%) and AdheSE (40%). The lower bond strength of Tyrian SPE may also be explained by the findings of another previous study\(^\text{29}\) reporting that the Tyrian SPE group exhibited irregular and poor hybridization with few resin tags in the hybrid layer, which was in contrast to the AdheSE group that yielded consistent and uniform hybridization with homogeneous resin tags.

The specimens treated with AdheSE and Tyrian SPE, which were rinsed with water after contamination (CG2), exhibited higher bond strengths compared to groups with specimens that were not rinsed (CG1). In contrast, specimens treated with Clearfil SE Bond, which were not rinsed, had higher bond strengths than the group that was rinsed. This may be explained by differences in acidity of the three self-etch adhesives used in this experiment: mild (Clearfil SE Bond), moderate (AdheSE) and strong (Tyrian SPE).\(^\text{29}\) Any water that remains after rinsing may further dilute the weak acid in the primer of the Clearfil SE Bond and therefore result in incomplete infiltration of successive self-etch primers.
CG3 and CG4 resulted in the lowest MTBS values, specifically CG3 in the Clearfil SE Bond and AdheSE groups and CG4 in the Tyrian SPE group. This suggests that blood contamination results in the most significant decrease of MTBS when it occurs after light-curing of the adhesive layer. These results agree with the findings of previous studies, which suggested that salivary contamination that occurs after light-curing resulted in a significant decrease in bond strength. The results of the current study also agreed with other previous studies, which reported that adhesives with oxygen-inhibited layers showed significantly higher interfacial bond strengths than adhesives without oxygen-inhibited layers or adhesives contaminated by water or saliva. The lower bond strengths observed in the authors of the current study observed in CG3 and CG4 may be attributed to loss of the oxygen-inhibited layer, which contained unreacted monomers that were able to improve adhesion between successive layers by the formation of covalent bonds within an interpenetrating network. This mechanism has been suggested by previous investigators.

In the current study, MTBS of the contamination groups was lower overall than for that of the control groups. In CG1, blood protein and blood plasma may have adsorbed onto the bonding surfaces, as suggested by Pashley and others, and this may have inhibited adhesion between the dentin and dental composite. In CG2, the water used for rinsing may not have been completely removed, and the remaining excess water may have adversely affected the bond between dentin and composite. The reduced bond strengths observed in CG3 and CG4 may be partly explained by the loss or thinning of the oxygen-inhibited layer and partly by adsorption of blood proteins onto the bonding surface.

SEM examination revealed characteristic features of each group, which agrees with the results of the MTBS tests. When comparing the Clearfil SE Bond control group (Figure 2A) and CG2 (Figure 2B), CG2 had a “washed-out” appearance, which indicates that the infiltration of primer after rinsing was not as effective as in the control group. This finding may partially explain the lower MTBS observed in CG2 compared to the control group. The ineffective infiltration of additional primers may be related to the further dilution of the weak acidic monomer of Clearfil SE Bond. In contrast, when comparing the Tyrian SPE control group (Figure 3A) and CG2 (Figure 3B), CG2 exhibited very similar fracture surfaces to that of the control group. Both were characterized by wide-open dentinal tubules and a scaly appearance on the fracture surface, indicating that the infiltration of additional primer was almost as effective as in the control group. This finding may partially explain the similar MTBS of CG2 and the control group in specimens treated with Tyrian SPE. The effective infiltration of additional primer of Tyrian SPE may have occurred due to strong acidity of the Tyrian self-etch primer. The AdheSE control group was characterized by dentinal tubules that were well obturated with resin tags. The CG1 of specimens treated with AdheSE exhibited fracture surfaces contaminated with blood residues. This may partially explain the lower MTBS in CG1 compared to that of the control group in specimens treated with AdheSE.

The current study has several limitations, one is that there was no control group in which the bonding procedures were completely repeated from the beginning; for example, by grinding away the blood contaminated part of the dentin, then reinitiating the bonding procedure. A follow-up study with a better experimental design, including the control group mentioned above and a larger number and various other shapes of the specimens, is currently being conducted by the authors to further understand the effects of blood contamination on the bond strengths of two-step self-etch adhesives. Future studies that look for effective decontamination methods are also needed.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Within the scope of the current study, the results showed that blood contamination negatively affected the microtensile bond strength of two-step self-etch adhesives to dentin. The null hypothesis was rejected. In addition, neither decontamination method used in this study overcame the effects of blood contamination.
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