Histologic Evaluation of a Provisional Implant Retrieved From Man 7 Months After Placement in a Sinus Augmented With Calcium Sulphate: A Case Report
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Little is known about the in vivo healing processes at the interface of implants placed in different grafting materials. For optimal sinus augmentation, a bone graft substitute that can regenerate high-quality bone and enable the osseointegration of load-bearing titanium implants is needed in clinical practice. Calcium sulphate (CaS) is one of the oldest biomaterials used in medicine, but few studies have addressed its use as a sinus augmentation material in conjunction with simultaneous implant placement. The aim of the present study was to histologically evaluate an immediately loaded provisional implant retrieved 7 months after simultaneous placement in a human sinus grafted with CaS. During retrieval bone detached partially from one of the implants which precluded its use for histologic analysis. The second implant was completely surrounded by native and newly formed bone, and it underwent histologic evaluation. Lamellar bone, with small osteocyte lacunae, was present and in contact with the implant surface. No gaps, epithelial cells, or connective tissues were present at the bone–implant interface. No residual CaS was present. Bone–implant contact percentage was 55% ± 8%. Of this percentage, 40% was represented by native bone and 15% by newly formed bone. CaS showed complete resorption and new bone formation in the maxillary sinus; this bone was found to be in close contact with the implant surface after immediate loading.

Key Words: calcium sulphate, osseointegration, provisional dental implants, transitional dental implants

INTRODUCTION

Crestal bone resorption and pneumatization of the maxillary sinuses can often result in an inadequate volume of bone for implant placement. Several bone substitutes have been used to augment the sinus floor area\(^1\)\(^–\)\(^1\)\(^\) with the aim of obtaining a quantity of bone tissue sufficient for mechanical support and integration of the implants.\(^3\) Little is known about the healing processes at the interface of implants placed in different grafting
materials in humans. For optimal sinus augmentation, a bone graft substitute that can regenerate high-quality bone and enable the osseointegration of load-bearing implants is needed in clinical practice. Calcium sulphate (CaS) is one of the oldest biomaterials and has been used extensively in different fields. In periodontology, it has been used as a barrier in the treatment of molar furcation defects and for root coverage. High success rates have been reported with its use in sinus augmentation procedures. In orthopedics, it has been used to achieve vertebral fusion, treat nonunions and fractures with osseous defects, and augment large osseous defects in animal models. CaS has excellent handling properties, has high biocompatibility, and is osteoconductive. No adverse effects on bone formation or the presence of an inflammatory response have been reported. CaS has been reported to release calcium ions while rapidly resorbing in vivo. In animal models, complete CaS resorption has been observed to occur by 6 weeks in rabbits and 13 weeks in dogs. The ultimate proof of the efficacy of a bone substitute is found only through histology. Clinical studies are certainly relevant, but they do not provide information on the nature of the bone–implant interface; only histology can provide information on the tissue response and the degree of osseointegration. Results have been published on implant integration in concert with sinus augmentation procedures in experimental animals; animal experiments cannot, however, be extrapolated to predict clinical or histologic results in humans. Only a few articles have evaluated dental implant integration after sinus grafting in man. Small-diameter implants have been used as a provisional treatment to provide immediate prosthetic support during the healing period of submerged implants. With this technique, it is possible to provide patients with a less costly, less complicated, and less surgically intensive treatment. These implants are usually retrieved, and they can be evaluated to provide useful information about the events at the interface.

The aim of the present study was to histologically evaluate an immediately loaded provisional implant retrieved 7 months after simultaneous placement in a human sinus augmented with CaS.

**Materials and Methods**

A 44-year-old male nonsmoker presented with extensive periodontal disease primarily involving the maxillary molars (Figure 1). These teeth were extracted. The height of the subantral residual bone was on average 4 to 5 mm (Figure 2). Bilateral sinus lift augmentation was performed using CaS (Surgiplaster Sinus, Classimplant, Rome, Italy) (Figure 3). Two provisional implants (2.5 × 13 mm) (Silhouette LaserLok, Biolok International, Deerfield Beach, Fla) were simultaneously placed and used to support a provisional prosthesis (Figure 4). After a 7-month healing period the provisional implants were retrieved with a 4-mm trephine, and 3 definitive submerged implants (Biolok International) were placed (Figures 5 and 6). During retrieval bone detached partially from one of the implants, and this fact precluded its use for histologic analysis. The second implant was completely surrounded by native and newly formed bone, and it underwent histologic evaluation.

**Specimen processing**

The implant and surrounding tissues were washed in saline solution and immediately fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.1% glutaraldehyde in 0.15 M cacodylate buffer at 4°C and pH 7.4 to be processed for histology. The specimen was processed to obtain thin ground sections (Precise 1 Automated System, Assing, Rome, Italy). The specimen was dehydrated in an ascending series of alcohol rinses and embedded in a glycolmethacrylate resin (Technovit 7200 VLC, Kulzer, Wehrheim, Germany). After polymerization the specimen was cut longitudinally into sections approximately 150 μm thick with a high-precision diamond disc and then ground down to about 30 μm in thickness with a specially designed grinding machine. A total of 3 slides were obtained and stained with acid fuchsin and toluidine blue. The slides were observed in normal transmitted light and polarized-light microscopy (Leitz Laborlux, Leitz, Wetzlar, Germany). Histomorphometry was carried out using a light microscope (Laborlux S, Leitz) connected to a high-resolution video camera (3CCD, JVC KY-F55B, JVC, Yokohama, Japan) and interfaced to a monitor and PC (Intel Pentium III 1200 MMX, Intel, Santa Clara, Calif). This optical system was associated with a digitizing pad (Matrix Vision GmbH, Oppenweiler, Germany) and a histometry software package with image-capturing capabilities (Image-Pro Plus 4.5, Media Cybernetics Inc, Imagini & Computer Snc, Milano, Italy).

**Results**

At low-power magnification, it was possible to see that native and newly formed bone, with small osteocyte lacunae, was present around and in contact with the implant surface (Figure 7). No gaps, epithelial cells, or connective tissues were present at the bone–implant interface, and the bone was always in close and tight contact with the implant surface. The implanted CaS had already been resorbed, and the interface was made of newly formed bone. Histomorphometric analysis showed that the bone–implant interface was characterized by a high degree of osseointegration, with a thin lamina dura on the implant surface and a bone density of about 90%. The bone volume was about 50%, and the bone surface contact was about 70%.

**Discussion**

Calcium sulphate (CaS) has been used extensively in different fields, including periodontology, orthopedics, and maxillofacial surgery. It is a bone substitute that can regenerate high-quality bone and enable the osseointegration of load-bearing implants. CaS has excellent handling properties, has high biocompatibility, and is osteoconductive. However, it can release calcium ions while rapidly resorbing in vivo. In animal models, complete CaS resorption has been observed to occur by 6 weeks in rabbits and 13 weeks in dogs. The ultimate proof of the efficacy of a bone substitute is found only through histology. Clinical studies are certainly relevant, but they do not provide information on the nature of the bone–implant interface; only histology can provide information on the tissue response and the degree of osseointegration. Results have been published on implant integration in concert with sinus augmentation procedures in experimental animals. Animal experiments cannot, however, be extrapolated to predict clinical or histologic results in humans. Only a few articles have evaluated dental implant integration after sinus grafting in man. Small-diameter implants have been used as a provisional treatment to provide immediate prosthetic support during the healing period of submerged implants. With this technique, it is possible to provide patients with a less costly, less complicated, and less surgically intensive treatment. These implants are usually retrieved, and they can be evaluated to provide useful information about the events at the interface.
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contact with the implant surface. No inflammatory cell infiltrate was present at the bone–implant interface. No epithelial downgrowth was present. In some areas of the interface, it was possible to observe the presence of unorganized woven bone. Haversian canals were absent. No residual CaS was present (Figure 8). In some areas, wide marrow spaces were present near the implant surface (Figure 9). At higher magnification, osteoblasts secreting osteoid matrix were present near the bone–implant interface (Figure 10). Cortical mature bone was present near the implant surface, and bone undergoing remodeling was in direct contact with the implant surface (Figure 11). Under higher magnification, it was possible to observe a few osteoblasts secreting osteoid matrix that was undergoing mineralization at the level of the apex (Figure 12). A large
quantity of vessels was present in the marrow spaces around the implant perimeter. The percentage of bone–implant contact was 55% ± 8%. Of this percentage, 40% was represented by native bone and 15% by newly formed bone.

**DISCUSSION**

The selection of a material in sinus augmentation procedures is of special interest to clinicians. Two questions are important in sinus augmentation procedures in man: the ability of the newly formed bone to obtain functional osseointegration with the implants and the fate of the graft material. It would certainly be interesting to know the extent of the perimeter of a dental implant, placed in a grafted sinus, that is covered by newly formed bone in close contact with the implant surface. Moreover, an understanding of the mechanisms and rate of resorption of the grafted materials is clinically important. A bone substitute should be able to form new bone and then completely be resorbed and replaced by newly formed bone. Autologous bone could be considered an ideal graft because it has osteoinductive properties.
and osteoconductive properties, contains growth factors, and has a scaffold effect on the transfer of osteogenic cells.\textsuperscript{49} The drawbacks of autologous bone use is the frequent need for an additional procedure, with its increased costs and increased morbidity; moreover, autogenous bone has been reported to be rapidly resorbed, and this might compromise implant placement.\textsuperscript{48} Some reports have indicated that there is a similar percentage of osseointegration in implants placed in sites augmented with anorganic bovine bone as in sites augmented with autogenous bone grafts.\textsuperscript{49–52}

Only a few studies are available in the literature about histologic results of implants placed into augmented sinuses. Valentini et al\textsuperscript{5} found an intimate, direct bone–implant contact in the grafted area as well as in the pre-existing bone; the bone at the interface was primarily lamellar with only a few areas of woven bone. Rosenlicht and Tarnow\textsuperscript{47} demonstrated that an implant placed simultaneously at the time of a sinus augmentation procedure with anorganic bovine bone can osseointegrate and remain osseointegrated after 2+ years of loading. A very low degree or complete lack of osseointegration of microimplants placed in sinuses grafted with radiated mineralized cancellous allografts was found after a 6- to 14-month healing period.\textsuperscript{40} In a previous study, we found that an implant retrieved 4 years after placement in a sinus augmented with anorganic bovine bone showed intimate direct contact between bone and implant without any interposition of the graft material particles and with a high bone–implant contact percentage.\textsuperscript{42} The timing for the resorption and ultimate replacement of these graft materials with vital bone is not yet completely understood.\textsuperscript{47,48}

In the present specimen, no residual CaS was apparent in any of the histologic sections after 7 months. No adverse inflammatory response was present.

**Conclusion**

Histomorphometry showed CaS to be a quickly resorbed biomaterial that was replaced by the formation of new bone in a maxillary sinus; this bone was in close contact with the immediately loaded implant surface. Other human histologic specimens retrieved from grafted sinuses after longer time periods will certainly help to clarify the question of biomaterial resorption over time and of the potential of regenerated bone to achieve and maintain osseointegration with dental implants. Provisional implants help the patients to avoid the inconveniences of wearing a denture, and they can, moreover, provide useful information after retrieval.
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