Dietary Sugars Stimulate Fatty Acid Synthesis in Adults¹–³
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Abstract

The goal of this study was to determine the magnitude by which acute consumption of fructose in a morning bolus would stimulate lipogenesis (measured by infusion of ⁹⁸C₁₆-acetate and analysis by GC-MS) immediately and after a subsequent meal. Six healthy subjects (4 men and 2 women; aged (mean ± SD) 28 ± 8 y; BMI, 24.3 ± 2.8 kg/m²; and serum triacylglycerols [TG], 1.03 ± 0.32 mmol/L) consumed carbohydrate boluses of sugars (85 g each) in a random and blinded order, followed by a standardized lunch 4 h later. Subjects completed a control test of glucose (100:0) and a mixture of 50:50 glucose:fructose and one of 25:75 (wt:wt). Following the morning boluses, serum glucose and insulin after 100:0 were greater than both other treatments (P < 0.05) and this pattern occurred again after lunch. In the morning, fractional lipogenesis was stimulated when subjects ingested fructose and peaked at 15.9 ± 5.4% after the 50:50 treatment and at 16.9 ± 5.2% after the 25:75 treatment, values that were greater than after the 100:0 treatment (7.8 ± 5.7%; P < 0.02). When fructose was consumed, absolute lipogenesis was 2-fold greater than when it was absent (100:0). Postlunch, serum TG were 11–29% greater than 100:0 and TG-rich lipoprotein-TG concentrations were 76–200% greater after 50:50 and 50:50: 50:50 glucose:fructose and one of 25:75 (wt:wt). Following the morning boluses, serum glucose and insulin after 100:0 were greater than both other treatments. When fructose was consumed, absolute lipogenesis was 2-fold greater than when it was absent (100:0). Postlunch, serum TG were 11–29% greater than 100:0 and TG-rich lipoprotein-TG concentrations were 76–200% greater after 50:50 and 25:75 were consumed (P < 0.05). The data demonstrate that an early stimulation of lipogenesis after fructose, consumed in a mixture of sugars, augments subsequent postprandial lipemia. The postlunch blood TG elevation was only partially due to carry-over from the morning. Acute intake of fructose stimulates lipogenesis and may create a metabolic milieu that enhances subsequent esterification of fatty acids flowing to the liver to elevate TG synthesis postprandially. J. Nutr. 138: 1039–1046, 2008.

Introduction

The effects of dietary fructose on lipid and glucose metabolism have been active areas of research for over 40 y (1–11). In controlled feeding studies, fructose has been used to elevate daylong serum triacylglycerol (TG) concentrations in healthy (9,11) and diabetic subjects (12), an event that could lead to an accumulation of lipoprotein remnants, which could be atherogenic. The potential for the chronic consumption of sugars to increase lipogenesis has also been the focus of over-feeding studies documenting significant increases in de novo lipogenesis, representing the source of 20–40% of lipoprotein TG fatty acids, concurrent with elevations in serum TG concentrations of 80–200% (13–15). Clearly, the overconsumption of fructose can raise lipogenesis when it is fed for as little as 6 d (13). Recently, Chong et al. (16) reported the effect of acute, eucaloric replacement of glucose with fructose on lipogenesis in healthy subjects consuming a liquid breakfast of mixed macronutrients [carbohydrate (CHO) and fat]. Compared with glucose, fructose consumed with the fat-containing liquid increased the 4-h appearance of the meal’s fatty acids in VLDL, supporting greater reesterification of breakfast fat in the liver. Given the natural diurnal pattern of blood TG, which rises throughout the day and peaks around midnight, we similarly hypothesized that a fructose-induced rise in lipogenesis in the morning would further increase TG concentrations after the next meal. We also sought to test 2 different levels of fructose to determine their lipogenic effects in healthy, relatively lean research subjects.

Materials and Methods

Human subjects. Written informed consent was obtained from all volunteers (University of Minnesota Institutional Review Board no. 0407M61925). Subject recruitment occurred via advertisement and
initially, 15 volunteers were screened to identify the 6 subjects (4 males and 2 females) included in the study. Two screening visits were conducted at least 16 d apart and occurred at the General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) at Fairview-University Medical Center in Minneapolis, MN. On these occasions, fasting blood draws were used to verify hematocrit and normal levels of hemoglobin, glucose, TG, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, total cholesterol, alanine transaminase, bilirubin, insulin, and apolipoprotein (apo) B and A1 concentrations (all analyses performed using a Vitros Analyzer 950). Before all of the blood draws in this study, subjects fasted for 12 h, were well hydrated, and had abstained from alcohol for at least 48 h. Body composition was determined by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (Lunar) during a screening visit. Recruitment criteria were age 18–45 y, nonsmoking, and stable body weight in men or premenopausal women. Subjects were excluded if they had a history of diabetes or any other metabolic disease or took medications known to affect lipid metabolism. Other exclusion criteria were pregnancy, use of oral contraceptives, vegan diet, excessive exercise (>5 h/wk), or an exceptionally low or high body weight [<80% or >130% by Metropolitan Weight Tables (17)]. Subjects maintained consistent exercise and activity patterns for 3 mo prior to the metabolic studies. Ad libitum food consumption was assessed by 3-d food records and a FFQ. Time periods between the CHO tests were at least 28 d apart for men and at least 27 d apart for women who were studied during their luteal phase of the menstrual cycle.

**Study design.** Three different CHO solutions were tested to determine the effect of sequential replacement of glucose by fructose on the stimulation of hepatic lipogenesis. These solutions included a control bolus of 100% glucose and 0% fructose (hereafter denoted 100:0), a weight-for-weight mixture of 50% glucose:50% fructose (50:50), and one of 25% glucose:75% fructose (25:75). Information regarding solution preparation and quantity of CHO fed in the tests is described in detail below. For 3 d prior to each metabolic test, subjects were instructed to consume a weight-maintaining diet of constant energy based on the Harris-Benedict equation (18) with comparison to 3-d food records of usual intake recorded during screening. Following directions from a registered dietitian, pretest meals were prepared by the subjects themselves and consisted of whole foods. Because the recent energy balance of the subject can significantly affect fatty acid metabolism (19), the goal of the pretest diet was to have it be of known composition and yet match the subject’s background diet, providing 53% of total energy from CHO, 32% from fat, and 15% from protein. The actual mean profile of the 3-d pretest study was 8277 ± 819 kJ/d with 52 ± 3% of total energy from CHO (23 ± 6% of total energy from sugars), 31 ± 1% from fat, and 17 ± 3% from protein, and included 19 ± 5 g of fiber and 1.1 ± 0.3 g/d of (n-3) fatty acids. Except for the different CHO solutions, the protocol for each metabolic test was the same (Fig. 1). On d 1 of the inpatient study, the subject reported to the GCRC between 1630 and 1700. At 1730, an i.v. line was placed in an antecubital vein and an infusion of Na-13C1-acetate was started as described previously (20). Between 1800 and 1830, the subject consumed a dinner that met 40% of his/her daily energy needs, with the same macronutrient percentages as the background diet. The subject slept overnight at the GCRC with the i.v. running, and remained fasted until 0745 on d 2, at which time the CHO bolus was consumed, providing 14% of the subject’s total energy intake. The quantities (g) presented here are representative data from a single subject.

![Figure 1](https://academic.oup.com/jn/article-abstract/138/6/1039/4670243) Study protocol. An i.v. line was placed on d 1 and 13C1-acetate infused for 25 h. The CHO solutions were fed between 0745 and 0800 and a standardized lunch was served at 1205 (4.33 h).

**Compostion and preparation of the CHO solutions.** We considered a number of factors in designing the solutions of CHO administered in this study. First, when this study began, the acute effect of an increasing dose of fructose to immediately stimulate lipogenesis had, to our knowledge, never before been tested and the primary goal of this study was to quantitate the disposal of these CHO mixtures into the lipogenesis pathway. The quantity of CHO fed was partly chosen to allow comparison to previous studies of hepatic glucose disposal (21) and to the metabolism of glucose (75 g) occurring after an oral glucose tolerance test, a procedure commonly utilized in medicine. Second, the stimulation of lipogenesis would depend on the dose of CHO relative to the subject’s total energy needs (19). For example, a set dose fed to a small woman would more likely stimulate lipogenesis compared with that dose given to a man with a greater body weight. To avoid this confounder, each subject was given a dose of CHO based on their body weight relative to 70 kg. Third, fructose and glucose have different levels of sweetness and, thus, sensory differences could potentially affect hepatic lipogenesis by some unknown mechanism, or if the various mixtures were diluted with different amounts of water to match them for sweetness, the volume differences could affect the outcomes. To control for sensory properties, before we began the study, a pilot trial was performed to determine the concentrations of CHO mixtures that would be beyond the sweetness thresholds of all solutions. Sensory tests were performed under the direction of Dr. Zata Vickers at the University of Minnesota. Using a concentration of 35.7 g glucose/100 ml tap water as a standard, 20 volunteers were asked to compare the sweetness of several concentrations of the sweetener mixtures to a standard by placing a mark on a scale labeled ‘same as the standard’ at the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount and type of CHO in test</th>
<th>Test name</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
<th>Fructose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>85.3 ± 22.3</td>
<td>42.7 ± 11.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50:50</td>
<td>42.7 ± 11.1</td>
<td>42.7 ± 11.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25:75</td>
<td>21.3 ± 5.6</td>
<td>64.1 ± 16.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1 Composition of the different CHO boluses and the lunch**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test name</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Proportion of total energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHO</td>
<td>112.8 g</td>
<td>1888 kJ</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sugars</td>
<td>61.3 g</td>
<td>1026 kJ</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>24.8 g</td>
<td>415 kJ</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>22.7 g</td>
<td>380 kJ</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>11.7 g</td>
<td>198 kJ</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltose</td>
<td>2.1 g</td>
<td>35 kJ</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber</td>
<td>10.7 g</td>
<td>161 kJ</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Values are means ± SD. Subjects received different amounts of sugars based on their body weight relative to a 70-kg man consuming 75 g and the mean weight of the subjects of 79.6 kg.
2 Each subject received an amount of lunch to provide 37% of their daily energy intake. The quantities (g) presented here are representative data from a single subject.
center, ‘much less sweet’ at the left end of the scale, and ‘much more sweet’ at the right end of the scale. We used regression analysis to calculate the concentration of the sweetened mixture above which could not be distinguished as more sweet. Solutions of 35.7% (wt/wt) exceeded the sweetness thresholds for all mixtures. In this study, the different CHO treatments were randomized with respect to the order of solutions administered and the subjects, staff administering the solution at the GCRC, and the technicians generating the data in the laboratory were all unaware of the study treatments.

To prepare for the protocol shown in Figure 1, on the morning of each test, the CHO and water were combined with unsweetened Kool-Aid lemonade mix and the solution was served iced cold to increase palatability. Subjects were given 15 min to consume the -8 ounces of liquid. Indirect calorimetry was performed for 30 min between 0630 to 0730 (fasting state) and 0900 to 0930 (1 h post-CHO bolus) using a Delattrak II Metabolic Cart (Sensor Medix) in the hooded mode. Subjects rested, watched TV, or read during the study. Nonenergy containing, noncaffeinated drinks were available upon request. Starting at 0740 and continuing until 1800, blood samples were drawn and serum separated immediately by centrifugation (3000 × g; 10 min, 20°C). Serum samples were kept on ice, a preservative cocktail was added (22), and samples were divided into aliquots for glucose, insulin, NEFA, serum TG analysis, and lipoprotein isolation.

**Lipoprotein isolation.** The CHO bolus was consumed at time 0 and the lunch was consumed at time 4.53 h. Total TG-rich lipoproteins (tTRL) were isolated from serum (23) at 12 time points throughout 24 including: -0.08, 0.92, 2.25, 2.75, 3.25, 4.25, 4.58, 5.75, 7.25, 8.25, 9.42, and 10.25 h. In the morning, this lipoprotein fraction contained particles predominantly of hepatic origin (VLDL), because only sugars had been fed in the morning meal. By contrast, the lunch contained fat and, therefore, the postlunch tTRL fraction included both chylomicrons and VLDL. For 6 of the time points after lunch (4.25, 4.58, 5.75, 7.25, 8.25, and 9.42 h), an additional procedure (24) was used to isolate lipoproteins with a Svedberg ratio (S) above 1000, which includes primarily chylomicrons with small quantities of very large VLDL and S<sub>100</sub> 60–400, which includes large VLDL with a very short half-life (24). GC was performed to determine fatty acid composition on a Vitros Analyzer 950 (Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics), whereas insulin was determined via chemiluminescent immunoassay (Diagnostic Products). Concentrations of NEFA (kit no. 994 79405E, Wako Chemicals) and serum TG in tTRL and VLDL fractions were determined via enzymatic assays (994-40491 Wako Chemicals) on a microtiter spectrophotometer (Model EL 340 Microplate, Bio-Tek Instruments). Serum leptin and adiponectin were measured using radioimmunoassay and gastric inhibitory polypeptide was measured using ELISA (Linco kits number no. HL-81HK, HADP-61HK, and EZHGP-54K, respectively, Linco). To prepare for GC-MS, TG in tTRL and VLDL were separated and fatty acids derivatized to FAME as described previously (24). GC was performed to determine fatty acid composition on an Agilent Technologies 6890 GC fitted with a flame ionization detector with a split injection of 20:1 (25). tTRL and VLDL-TG FAME were analyzed on a SP-2560 column (Sigma-Aldrich), 100-m × 0.25-mm i.d. × 0.20-μm film thickness with helium as a carrier gas. GC-MS was performed using a DB-225, 30-m column (25-mm i.d. and 0.25-μm film thickness, J&W, Chromtech) in an Agilent 6890 GC with helium as the carrier gas. Selected ion monitoring was used for ions with mass/charge ratios of 270, 271, 272, which were analyzed with an Agilent 5975 mass spectrometer. Newly made fatty acids from de novo lipogenesis were calculated by mass isotopomer distribution analysis (MIDA) (26).

**Calculations and statistical analysis.** The 16-carbon fatty acid, palmitate, was used as the indicator of changes in lipogenesis because it represents the primary product of the fatty acid synthesis pathway (27). Lipogenesis data are presented as the fractional lipogenic rate, which is derived from the MIDA calculation, and also as the absolute lipogenic rate, which was calculated as follows. At each time point, the concentration of lipoprotein-TG fatty acids (in μmol/L) derived from an enzymatic TG assay was multiplied by the molar percentage of fatty acids that were palmitate in that TG, measured by GC analysis of TG-FAME. This quantity was then multiplied by the percentage of TG-FAME palmitate derived from de novo lipogenesis, as measured from GC-MS using the MIDA calculation (26).

The S<sub>i</sub> >400 lipogenesis data were analyzed as the absolute area under the curve (AUC), because these values were declining throughout the postlunch phase. All other metabolic data (glucose, NEFA, insulin, serum TG, tTRL-TG, and VLDL-TG) were analyzed by incremental AUC (iAUC). Data are presented relative to the fasting value for all areas during the morning post-CHO ingestion, postlunch, and for the entire day (total iAUC = post-CHO + postlunch areas). In addition, to assess the isolated effect of lunch on changes in metabolite concentrations, the iAUC after lunch was also calculated relative to the lowest value occurring at the start of the lunch. In the tables, this iAUC is denoted as the value “reset at lunch” and serves to limit the effect of any carryover of elevated concentrations as a result of the morning CHO feeding. Statistical analyses were performed using Statview for Windows (version 5.0.1, SAS Institute). Unless otherwise noted, values in the text are means ± SEM. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered significant. P ≤ 0.07 are also noted, given the sample size of 6. In addition to AUC analysis, differences between treatments were analyzed using repeated-measures ANOVA. The Benferroni/Dunn test was used for post hoc analysis.

**Results**

During screening, the group of 6 subjects were 28 ± 8 y (mean ± SD) (range, 19–40 y); had a BMI of 24.3 ± 2.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (18.9–27.3 kg/m<sup>2</sup>); 79.6 ± 9.9 kg body weight (60.7–86.7 kg); body fat percent, 27.8 ± 6.8% (20.2–38.6%); lean mass percent, 67.9 ± 7.5% (55.1–76.8%); and fasting concentrations of TG, 1.03 ± 0.32 mmol/L (0.75–1.54 mmol/L); glucose, 4.5 ± 0.2 mmol/L (4.4–4.8 mmol/L); and insulin, 65 ± 9 pmol/L (9–86 pmol/L). The doses of CHO fed during each of the tests reflect the subjects weight (Table 1). Compositional analysis of a representative lunch (Table 1) revealed that of the total CHO, roughly one-half was derived from mono- and disaccharides with equal quantities of fructose and glucose. Changes in the serum concentrations of glucose, insulin, NEFA, and TG are shown in Figure 2 and the iAUC data presented in Table 2. In general, for glucose and insulin concentrations, iAUC did not differ between 50:50 and 25:75; however, there were differences between these 2 tests and the 100:0 test. Specifically, glucose concentrations reached their highest levels after the 100:0, which was expected given the greater amount of glucose fed during this test. The iAUC relative to fasting for serum glucose after the standardized lunch did not differ between the treatments (P = 0.840). However, when the lunch values were reset to a prelunch nadir, the iAUC for 100:0 was greater than for either 50:50 or 25:75. This can be interpreted as differing responses of serum glucose after the lunch depending on the CHO solution administered that morning. Over the entire day, glucose iAUC was greater after the 100:0 treatment compared with the 25:75 (Table 2). Post-CHO insulin concentrations (Fig. 2B) were also higher after the 100:0 and as analyzed by iAUC were significantly greater than the fructose-containing tests. Postlunch 100:0 iAUC for insulin continued to be >25:75. However, this greater postlunch insulin iAUC was related to the morning response; when the baseline level was reset at lunch, the postlunch areas did not differ between the 3 tests. For all treatments, NEFA concentrations were suppressed 80% after the CHO boluses (Fig. 2C; Table 2) and suppressed again after lunch such that iAUC did not differ between any of the treatments for either time frame.
Serum TG concentrations decreased following the 100:0 test and remained constant when 50:50 and 25:75 were fed (Fig. 2D), resulting in a significantly greater morning iAUC after 50:50 compared with 100:0 (Table 2). Following lunch for all treatments, serum TG rose significantly and the iAUC for 50:50 and 25:75 were significantly >100:0. The greater iAUC observed for 50:50 after lunch may have been related to higher prelunch values, because resetting the baseline at lunch resulted in similar postlunch iAUC between 50:50 and 100:0. The postlunch area for 25:75 was also elevated and after resetting the baseline for 25:75, it remained >100:0 by 60%, supporting the concept that the elevated postlunch response was independent of the morning response. Over the entire day, consumption of 50:50 and 25:75 solutions resulted in significantly greater iAUC for serum TG compared with the 100:0.

For tTRL-TG, the post-CHO concentration tended to be higher after 50:50 compared with 100:0 (Fig. 3A; Table 3). Similar to the serum TG concentration, tTRL-TG after 100:0 was associated with a negative iAUC. The postlunch tTRL-TG iAUC for 25:75 was greater than 50:50 and both of these were greater than after 100:0. Resetting the baseline at lunch demonstrated that 25:75 remained significantly >50:50. For the total day, 25:75 had a significantly greater iAUC for tTRL-TG compared with 100:0. The percentage of tTRL-TG fatty acids that were palmitic acid (16:0, our marker of a lipogenic fatty acid) remained constant throughout the day (Supplemental Fig. 1). Palmitoleic acid (16:1) may be a marker of lipogenesis with prolonged feeding of high CHO diets, because it has been shown to be higher in serum TG during eucaloric CHO feeding for 25 d (28), during 4 d of overfeeding (27), and lower in blood TG following 12 wk of dietary CHO restriction (29). In this study, the percentage of palmitoleic acid did not change in the morning and decreased after consumption of the meal (Supplemental Fig. 1).

The fraction of tTRL-TG palmitate derived from de novo lipogenesis (Fig. 3B) demonstrated a significant stimulation in the morning following 50:50 and 25:75. This fraction, when multiplied by the quantity of palmitate in tTRL-TG, gives the measure of absolute lipogenesis (Fig. 3C). The stimulation of tTRL-TG lipogenesis demonstrated by a greater post-CHO iAUC after the 50:50 solution (Table 3) was more variable after 25:75. Postlunch, the 100:0 lipogenic rate increased appreciably to match that of the other solutions (Fig. 3B,C); the 50:50 solution still tended to have a higher tTRL-TG lipogenesis compared with 100:0 postlunch and for the entire day. When the absolute lipogenesis was reset at lunch, the iAUC for 100:0 did not differ from the other 2 tests (P = 0.441).

Because the meal fed at noon contained 32% of energy from fat, chylomicrons would be found in the tTRL fraction after lunch and dilute the apparent lipogenic rate. To determine the de novo production of fatty acids from the liver, lipoproteins in the S_T > 400 were separated from S_T ≤ 400 in the tTRL fraction at 6 time points after lunch. The percentage of de novo fatty acids evident in the S_T > 400 fraction (Fig. 4B) at 4 h averaged 3–8% and decreased throughout the afternoon, resulting in a small, absolute quantity of de novo fatty acids in S_T > 400 lipoproteins. Early after lunch for all of the treatments, the fractional hepatic lipogenic rate (Fig. 4E) decreased after the morning stimulation and increased again postlunch after a short delay, resulting in similar postlunch absolute lipogenesis rates in VLDL between

![FIGURE 2 Concentrations of serum glucose (A), insulin (B), NEFA (C), and TG (D) after consumption of CHO solutions and a lunch. Values are means ± SEM, n = 6. Time 0 denotes when the CHO bolus was fed. The solid food lunch was fed at 4.33 h (denoted by arrow).](https://academic.oup.com/jn/article-abstract/138/6/1039/4670243)
the treatments (Fig. 4F; Table 3). After lunch, the apoB100 content in the S<sub>1</sub> 60–400 lipoprotein fraction did not differ between the tests (21 ± 11, 22 ± 7, and 56 ± 35 mmol/L for 100:0, 50:50, and 25:75, respectively; *P < 0.16), nor did the amount of TG per particle in these fractions differ (60,516 ± 26,245, 33,831 ± 6340, and 33,986 ± 165,61 mol TG/mol apoB, respectively; *P < 0.225).

Fractional lipogenesis values from each individual’s 3 tests were analyzed to assess the repeatability of this measurement (Supplemental Table 1). Among the subjects, the fasting lipogenesis varied from 0.0 to 15.3%; however, the within-subject SD was low (0.9%). The results of respiratory gas measurements revealed that for all treatments, compared with fasting, post-CHO respiratory quotients were elevated, rising from 0.77 ± 0.02 to 0.88 ± 0.03 1 h after the CHO bolus (*P < 0.03; data not shown). CHO oxidation increased (*P < 0.02) and fat oxidation decreased (*P < 0.04) to the same extent after all treatments. Serum concentrations of the hormones, gastric-inhibitory peptide, leptin, and adiponectin did not differ between the treatments (Supplemental Fig. 2), likely as a result of the limited number of subjects.

**Discussion**

In health, the liver possesses a remarkable flexibility to change metabolism between the fasted and fed states (21). For example, we have recently found a 65% change in the sources of fatty acids used for hepatic VLDL-TG synthesis when research subjects went from fasting to the postprandial state (20,30,31), with significant increases in de novo lipogenesis after meals containing glucose as the CHO source (32). Having been somewhat surprised by the magnitude of the lipogenic effect of glucose-containing liquid meals in our previous work, the question arose as to how these results might be modified by the presence of fructose, a CHO known to stimulate lipogenesis in animals (33) and humans (5,13). We hypothesized that the replacement of even a small amount of the glucose with fructose would increase lipogenic rates.

In this study, after the 100:0 glucose bolus, fractional lipogenesis rose to only 8%, which is quite low compared with the 23% observed previously (32). After consumption of the 50:50 and 25:75 solutions used here, the peak in lipogenesis was 17%. These “meals” were liquid in nature and the timing of the morning peak in fractional lipogenesis (Fig. 2, 3–4 h across all treatments) was consistent with past literature (32,34) and shows that this delay occurs whether fat is fed in the meal or not, as in the present study. Third, fructose significantly stimulated lipogenesis. By contrast, after lunch the quantities of newly made fatty acids

---

**TABLE 3** AUC for serum concentrations of TG in tTRL, S<sub>1</sub> > 400 lipoproteins, and VLDL and the TG derived from de novo lipogenesis in subjects after 100:0, 50:50, and 25:75 glucose:fructose treatments<sup>1,2</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>100:0</th>
<th>50:50</th>
<th>25:75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tTRL-TG concentration, µmol/L·h&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-CHO</td>
<td>-151 ± 118</td>
<td>103 ± 277*</td>
<td>78 ± 303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postlunch</td>
<td>1541 ± 689</td>
<td>2385 ± 882*</td>
<td>3536 ± 1273**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reset at lunch</td>
<td>2098 ± 442</td>
<td>1679 ± 475</td>
<td>2654 ± 831††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daylong IAUC</td>
<td>1393 ± 975</td>
<td>2487 ± 1138*</td>
<td>3614 ± 1504**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tTRL-TG concentration derived from de novo lipogenesis, µmol/L·h&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-CHO</td>
<td>33 ± 40</td>
<td>215 ± 102**</td>
<td>230 ± 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postlunch</td>
<td>486 ± 238</td>
<td>704 ± 392*</td>
<td>620 ± 337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reset at lunch</td>
<td>333 ± 150</td>
<td>185 ± 136</td>
<td>178 ± 87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daylong IAUC</td>
<td>520 ± 273</td>
<td>919 ± 490*</td>
<td>850 ± 485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; &gt; 400 Lipoprotein-TG concentration, µmol/L·h&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postlunch</td>
<td>720 ± 188</td>
<td>617 ± 219</td>
<td>1211 ± 290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; &gt; 400-TG derived from de novo lipogenesis, Abs AUC, µmol/L·h&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postlunch</td>
<td>19 ± 13</td>
<td>19 ± 16</td>
<td>93 ± 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL-TG concentration, µmol/L·h&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postlunch</td>
<td>544 ± 165</td>
<td>456 ± 301</td>
<td>365 ± 112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL-TG derived from de novo lipogenesis, µmol/L·h&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postlunch</td>
<td>125 ± 60</td>
<td>91 ± 64</td>
<td>70 ± 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Data are means ± SEM (n = 6) and are displayed in Figures 3 and 4. Data are IAUC for all variables relative to the fasting value except for S<sub>1</sub> > 400-TG derived from de novo lipogenesis, which is presented as the absolute AUC. *Asterisks indicate different from 100:0; *P < 0.07, ††P < 0.05. Daggers indicate different from 50:50:†P < 0.07, ††P < 0.05 compared with 50:50.

2 Values were obtained during the morning post-CHO ingestion, postlunch, and for the entire day (total IAUC = the post-CHO + postlunch areas). "Reset at lunch" denotes the IAUC after lunch relative to the lowest value occurring at the start of the lunch.

---

**FIGURE 3** tTRL-TG concentrations (A) and fractional (B) and absolute lipogenesis (C). Values are means ± SEM, n = 6. Time 0 denotes 0745 when the CHO bolus was fed. The solid food lunch was fed at 4.33 h. Abbreviations: abs, absolute; fxn, fractional.
The solid food lunch was fed at 4.33 h.0745 when the CHO bolus was fed. 6 means tTRL-TG remained unchanged in the morning (iAUC were close to the plasma NEFA pool. This is because the concentration of fatty acids in VLDL, because de novo fatty acids enter a delay pool before being used for VLDL-TG synthesis (34). Furthermore, late in the postprandial period (4 h), the reappearance of plasma NEFA in VLDL-TG takes place at the same time as the rise in lipogenesis (i.e. the 2 are not reciprocal). The early postprandial reduction in the use of plasma NEFA for VLDL-TG synthesis coincides with an appearance of unlabeled fatty acids in the particle (20,32), which could originate from a liver TG storage pool. We speculate that the liver calls upon stored TG to support and maintain VLDL-TG secretion postprandially when a significant decrease in the flow of plasma NEFA to liver has occurred.

It appears that de novo fatty acid synthesis does not result in the addition of excess TG fatty acid that are added to VLDL. Rather, their presence may prevent apoB degradation, resulting in more particles being secreted that are smaller in size, as suggested by the apoB data. Given the constant tTRL-TG concentrations across treatments, it is possible that a fructose-induced increase in lipogenesis displaces the use of stored TG for VLDL synthesis, or, more likely, that the stimulation of lipogenesis represents an intracellular signal for liver to efferently fatty acids from any source into TG. This effect may also depend on the sensitivity of the liver to insulin. If fructose does increase hepatic TG storage, fructose feeding could lead to fatty liver. Using magnetic resonance spectrometry, Le et al. (10) found no increase in hepatic TG stores in healthy men eucalorically consuming a high-fructose diet for 4 wk. Interestingly, in this article, the greater the serum TG concentration, the lower the level of hepatic TG post-treatment (4 h), the reappearance of plasma NEFA in VLDL-TG synthesis coincides with an appearance of unlabeled fatty acids in the particle (20,32), which could originate from a liver TG storage pool. We speculate that the liver calls upon stored TG to support and maintain VLDL-TG secretion postprandially when a significant decrease in the flow of plasma NEFA to liver has occurred.
In an overfeeding study, Lammert et al. (14) noted that within their group of research subjects, the same individuals repeatedly had the highest de novo lipogenesis values, no matter which diet was fed chronically (eucaloric, or excess energy from fat or CHO). Here, we were also able to demonstrate good reproducibility in the fasting lipogenic rate of individual subjects during the repeated tests and we think this was due to the relatively tight control of the subjects’ food and alcohol intakes and activity levels before the tests. This fact will support future studies focusing on environmental and genetic factors that predict elevated fatty acid synthesis postprandially so as to investigate how lipogenesis may contribute to atherogenic risk during positive energy balance in obese and insulin-resistant subjects.

Strengths of this study include the blinded and random order of the treatments and adjustment for the quantity of CHO fed depending on the subjects’ total daily energy needs. The key limitation of the study is a small sample size. However, the repeated measures design supports the notion that the differences observed among these 18 metabolic tests were real and would be reproducible. Another limitation may lie in the fact that the subjects consumed the CHO solutions in the fasted state, which would underestimate lipogenesis for 3 reasons. First, as described earlier, to achieve maximal labeling of lipogenesis a full day of acetate infusion may be needed (34,38); second, palmitate was used as the marker of lipogenesis when other fatty acids (16:1, 18:1) may have been made de novo but were not specifically tested; and third, at this time of day, much of the CHO consumed would be burned as energy and/or go to refill hepatic glycogen stores (6,33). Serving the beverages in the morning in the fasted state, did, however, isolate the effect of the CHO from the effects of excess energy intake, a confounder in overfeeding studies. Further, the consumption of a sweetened beverage in lieu of breakfast is not unheard of in real life.

In summary, these data show a significant immediate lipogenic effect of fructose in healthy, lean research subjects that was associated with greater serum TG concentrations in the morning and after a subsequent meal. The data suggest that an early stimulation of lipogenesis after fructose, consumed in the fasted state (even as a small amount in a mixture of sugars) augments subsequent postprandial lipemia. The additional postlunch elevation in TG was only partially due to a carry over from the morning, suggesting that fructose consumption creates a metabolic milieu that alters subsequent handling of nutrients flowing to the liver. This study, together with the strong, recent data presented by Chong et al. (16), provide compelling evidence that fructose causes hyperlipidemia postprandially both directly, through the synthesis of fatty acids, and indirectly, by increasing liver reesterification of fatty acids from all sources.
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