

# Screening for Asymptomatic Coronary Artery Disease in Diabetes

The increased risk for coronary artery disease (CAD) associated with diabetes is well known. Clinical trials evaluating the benefits of tight glycemic control in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes have shown major reductions in microvascular end points. Comparable reductions in death due to macrovascular disease and particularly CAD unfortunately have not been seen (1,2).

Despite major advances in the treatment of acute coronary syndromes, diabetic patients still experience twice the morbidity and mortality related to myocardial infarction compared with their nondiabetic counterparts (3–5). In addition, CAD is often “silent” and not typically accompanied by angina in patients with diabetes (6–8). These factors have raised the interest level in making a diagnosis of CAD before its first clinical expression.

The recent American Diabetes Association/American College of Cardiology Consensus Development Conference (9), which put forth guidelines on screening diabetic individuals for CAD, was convened to arm physicians with the tools to make a pre-emptive strike against premature death from CAD. A similar set of guidelines published in France (10) was used to test for asymptomatic CAD in the article appearing in this issue of *Diabetes Care* and discussed below. Based on pretest risk and the degree of abnormality on the exercise treadmill test (ETT), appropriate recommendations have been established to perform coronary angiography in selected individuals at the greatest risk for cardiac events. When face to face with an individual patient, however, practitioners are still vexed by the following questions: Should I test for CAD? How do I interpret the results? If coronary angiography is performed and CAD is found, who decides and what data exists to support which treatment is best? This editorial will tangle with some of these issues.

The article by Janand-Delenne et al. (10a) in this issue demonstrates the outcome of screening for asymptomatic CAD in patients with diabetes. From a methodological point of view, it is interesting for two major reasons. The investigators followed a

set of published guidelines to identify candidates for screening, and coronary angiography was performed to “verify” the results of noninvasive testing. In 73 type 1 patients, 8 had positive screening tests, but only 3 of these (4.2% overall) demonstrated a lesion(s) >50% on angiography. In 130 type 2 patients, 24 had positive screening tests. In the 20 patients who consented to angiography, 16 demonstrated lesion(s) >50% (12.3% overall). If one assumes that significant lesions would have been found in two of the four patients who refused angiography, 13.8% of the type 2 diabetic subjects could be said to have asymptomatic CAD. This study actually evaluates the prevalence of “asymptomatic CAD” and not “silent myocardial ischemia” (as stated in the article’s title), because a fixed (myocardial scar) or reversible (ischemia) defect on thallium 201 imaging led to coronary angiography. Costs of screening according to this algorithm are not mentioned; but in 80 of 130 (62%) type 2 patients, routine ETT could either not be done or was nondiagnostic, and more expensive perfusion thallium imaging was necessary.

The prevalence of asymptomatic CAD found above is not surprising and concurs with a number of recent studies investigating diabetic patients with similar characteristics. The Milan Study on Atherosclerosis and Diabetes (MiSAD) group (11) reported that 112 of 925 (12%) type 2 diabetic patients free of clinical CAD and treated with either oral hypoglycemic drugs or diet demonstrated ST segments suggestive of ischemia on the ETT. Of these 112, 59 patients or 6% overall had a scintigraphic diagnosis of CAD. Koistinen (12) found asymptomatic CAD in 7 of 72 (10%) type 1 and 5 of 64 (8%) type 2 diabetic patients by angiography. Of 40 subjects with positive noninvasive tests, however, only 12, or 30%, displayed CAD on angiography, causing the author to remark that “screening does not seem justified because of ... the inaccuracy of the available test methods.” In a similar study using both ETT and angiography, Naka et al. (13) discovered positive exercise tests in 41 of 132 (31%) type 2 diabetic patients, with 14 of 36 (11% of the total population) demon-

strating CAD on angiography. As in the study by Koistinen, a positive noninvasive test carried a relatively low positive predictive value for significant CAD on angiography.

A number of factors specific to the diabetic patient can interfere with the sensitivity, specificity, and implications of noninvasive diagnostic tests for CAD. Hypertension in the setting of diabetes results in greater left ventricular mass than either condition alone (14,15) and can produce an abnormal ST segment response to exercise as well as cause false-positive thallium defects. Diabetic cardiomyopathy, even in its mildest form, can cause segmental wall motion abnormalities or thallium defects mimicking ischemia (16). To improve the ability of stress perfusion studies to differentiate ischemic from nonischemic cardiomyopathy, more expensive gated single-photon emission computed tomography sestamibi imaging may be necessary (17). Autonomic neuropathy may blunt the chronotropic response to exercise and dissociate the relation between cardiac and external work (18). In diabetic patients with renal insufficiency, elevated resting levels of adenosine may limit maximal coronary flow reserve induced by persantine and decrease the sensitivity of stress-perfusion thallium or echo imaging (19). In addition, both autonomic neuropathy (20) and endothelial dysfunction (21) may interfere with coronary vasodilatory capacity. “Microvascular” angina—a condition associated with abnormalities on exercise testing in the absence of epicardial CAD—may be more common in the setting of insulin resistance (22,23). It is also not clear whether the exercise test delivers the same prognostic information in diabetic compared with nondiabetic individuals. Although experience can be a fickle teacher, I can remember more diabetic than nondiabetic patients experiencing a coronary event soon after a negative exercise test. It is possible that features such as sympathovagal imbalance (24,25), impaired fibrinolysis, and altered hemostasis that are commonly clustered together in diabetes may trigger coronary plaque disruption and superimposed thrombosis (26) in a more unpredictable manner than in the absence of diabetes.

The justification for screening depends on whether detection of CAD leads to treatment strategies that ultimately reduce cardiac morbidity and mortality. Examples where there is little debate on this matter include the following: 1) evaluation before renal transplantation (27); 2) preoperative clearance for major noncardiac or vascular surgery (28–30); and 3) evaluation before initiation of an exercise program (18). These subgroups will benefit because they face definable periods of excess cardiac risk. Another positive outcome of screening would be the discovery of three-vessel or “high-risk” coronary anatomy, particularly in the presence of left ventricular dysfunction in an asymptomatic patient. Surgical revascularization will prolong life in this otherwise undetected case. It is more likely that CAD of this extent will be found when screening diabetic patients with proteinuria, peripheral vascular disease, autonomic nervous system dysfunction, or unexplained congestive heart failure.

Based on American Diabetes Association/American College of Cardiology guidelines, the majority of diabetic patients to be screened will be type 2 individuals with two or more coronary risk factors. For those people without major end-organ involvement, CAD of a more modest extent will be found and in all likelihood not require bypass surgery. In the study by Janand-Delenne et al. (10a) in this issue of *Diabetes Care*, the extent of CAD was not described, but not one of the 130 screened patients received surgical revascularization, suggesting that single- or double-vessel CAD must have predominated. Of the 19 cases with documented CAD, 7 underwent percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) and 12 were treated medically. It is also not stated on what basis PTCA or medical therapy was chosen. The decision to select PTCA over medical therapy was probably based on the lesions’ suitability for an interventional approach, because PTCA’s advantage in relieving angina was irrelevant in these patients.

But do we know what is the best treatment strategy for these patients? The data evaluating various treatments (medical, surgical, interventional) for CAD derive from randomized clinical trials largely composed of nondiabetic patients (31–33). To assume that the same treatments will yield similar and comparable outcomes in the setting of diabetes may be foolhardy. The Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation (BARI) (34,35)

has illustrated this point emphatically. A National Institutes of Health alert was sounded when it was discovered that PTCA was associated with a lower survival rate compared to bypass surgery for multivessel disease in subjects with diabetes—a finding that might have been “hidden” within the analysis of the total population if patients with diabetes had not been identified as a prespecified group for analysis. The proposed BARI 2 study, a prospective randomized trial comparing medical therapy versus PTCA or coronary bypass surgery in the setting of tight glycemic control, should provide answers to some of the questions posed above regarding optimal treatment for CAD in diabetes.

The discovery of preclinical CAD in the diabetic individual will yield one major unmeasurable benefit, i.e., the patient and physician will know that CAD is present. For the patient, knowledge that CAD is present should promote adherence to treatments proven to reduce cardiac risk, such as lowering blood pressure and cholesterol and participating in regular exercise. The majority of diabetics will be taking aspirin (36,37) and a statin (38) as primary prevention anyway. A physician might consider adding a cardioselective  $\beta$ -blocker to this regimen.  $\beta$ -Blockers provide two to three times the relative benefit in mortality reduction after myocardial infarction when diabetes is present (39). This strategy would concur with the recent suggestion that type 2 diabetic patients should be treated with secondary prevention techniques as primary prevention (40,41).

Knowing that CAD is present, the physician can instruct the patient to heed and report the first sign of angina as well as symptoms such as dyspnea, diaphoresis, or nausea, all of which are typical anginal equivalents in these patients (4). Failure to identify these symptoms as a possible acute cardiac emergency may delay a patient’s arrival in the emergency room, where the success of treatment for acute myocardial infarction is time-dependent (42,43). In addition, the physician or caregiver can become educated as to what symptoms, anginal or nonanginal, represent ischemia in an individual patient. This discourse, made relevant by the discovery of CAD, can pay huge dividends in practice.

Two pieces of information are necessary to resolve the issues mentioned above. First, we must establish the prevalence and extent of CAD using such screening algo-

ritms. Second, we must determine which treatment strategies for CAD are most effective in the presence of diabetes.

RICHARD W. NESTO, MD

From the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Cardiovascular Division, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts.

Address correspondence to Richard W. Nesto, MD, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Cardiovascular Division, Harvard Medical School, 110 Francis St., Boston, MA 02215. E-mail: rnesto@caregroup.harvard.edu.

## References

1. UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group: Intensive blood-glucose control with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). *Lancet* 352:837–853, 1998
2. DCCT Research Group: The effect of intensive diabetes treatment on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *N Engl J Med* 329:978–986, 1993
3. Nesto RW, Zarich S: Acute myocardial infarction in diabetes mellitus: lessons learned from ACE inhibitors. *Circulation* 97:12–15, 1998
4. Jacoby R, Nesto R: Acute myocardial infarction in the diabetic patient: pathophysiology, clinical course and prognosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 20:736–744, 1992
5. Benzaquen L, Nesto RW: Impact of diabetes on coronary syndromes. In *Diabetes: Current Perspectives*. Betteridge D, Ed. London, Martin Dunitz, In press
6. Nesto RW, Phillips RT, Kett KG, Hill T, Perper E, Young E, Leland OS: Relationship of angina to ischemia in diabetics and nondiabetics: assessment by exercise thallium scintigraphy. *Ann Intern Med* 108:170–175, 1988
7. Zarich S, Waxman S, Freeman RT, Mittleman M, Hegarty P, Nesto RW: Effect of autonomic nervous system dysfunction on the circadian pattern of myocardial ischemia in diabetes mellitus. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 24:956–962, 1994
8. Langer A, Freeman M, Josse R, Armstrong PW: Meta-iodobenzylguanidine imaging in diabetes mellitus: assessment of cardiac sympathetic denervation and its relation to autonomic dysfunction and silent myocardial ischemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 25:610–618, 1995
9. American Diabetes Association: Consensus development conference on the diagnosis of coronary heart disease in people with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 21:1551–1559, 1998
10. Passa P, Drouin P, Issa-Sayegh M, Blasco A, Masquet C, Monassier JP, Paillole C: Coronaires et diabète. *Diabète Metab* 21:446–451, 1995
- 10a. Janand-Delenne B, Savin B, Habib G, Bory M, Vague P, Lassmann-Vague V: Silent myocar-

- dial ischemia in patients with diabetes: who to screen. *Diabetes Care* 22:1396–1400, 1999
11. Milan Study on Atherosclerosis and Diabetes (MiSAD) Group: Prevalence of unrecognized silent myocardial ischemia and its association with atherosclerotic risk factors in non insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol* 79:134–139, 1997
  12. Koistinen MJ: Prevalence of asymptomatic myocardial ischaemia in diabetic subjects. *BMJ* 301:92–95, 1990
  13. Naka M, Hiramatsu K, Aizawa T, Momose A, Yoshizawa K, Shigematsu S, Ishihara F, Niwa A, Yamada T: Silent myocardial ischemia in patients with non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus as judged by treadmill exercise testing and coronary angiography. *Am Heart J* 123:46–53, 1992
  14. van Hoesven KH, Factor SM: A comparison of the pathological spectrum of hypertensive, diabetic and hypertensive-diabetic heart disease. *Circulation* 82:848–855, 1990
  15. Grossman E, Messerli FH: Diabetic and hypertensive heart disease. *Ann Intern Med* 125:304–310, 1996
  16. Eichhorn EJ, Kosinski EJ, Lewis SM, Hill TC, Emond LH, Leland OS: Usefulness of dipyridamole-Thallium-201 perfusion scanning for distinguishing ischemic from nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* 62:945–951, 1988
  17. Danias PG, Ahlberg AW, Clark AB III, Messineo F, Levine MG, McGill CC, Mann A, Clive J, Dougherty JE, Waters DD, Heller GV: Combined assessment of myocardial perfusion and left ventricular function with exercise technetium-99m sestamibi gated single-photon emission computed tomography can differentiate between ischemic and nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* 82:1253–1258, 1998
  18. Waxman S, Nesto RW: Exercise in patients with cardiovascular complications. In *Health Professionals Guide to Diabetes and Exercise*. Ruderman N, Devlin E, Eds. Alexandria, VA, American Diabetes Association, 1995, p. 155–162
  19. Holley JL, Fenton RA, Arthur RS: Thallium stress testing does not predict cardiovascular risk in diabetic patients with end-state renal disease undergoing cadaveric renal transplantation. *Am J Med* 90:563–570, 1991
  20. Stevens MJ, Dayanikli F, Raffel DM, Allman KD, Sandford T, Feldman EL, Wieland DM, Corbett J, Schwaiger M: Scintigraphic assessment of regionalized defects in myocardial sympathetic innervation and blood flow regulation in diabetic patients with autonomic neuropathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 31:1575–1584, 1998
  21. Nahser PJ, Brown RE, Oskarsson H, Winiford MD, Rossen JD: Maximal coronary flow reserve and metabolic coronary vasodilation in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Circulation* 91:635–640, 1995
  22. Dean JD, Jones CJH, Hutchison SJ, Peters JR, Henderson AH: Hyperinsulinaemia and microvascular angina (“syndrome x”). *Lancet* 337:456–457, 1991
  23. Jaap AJ, Shore AC, Tooke JE: Relationship of insulin resistance to microvascular dysfunction in subjects with fasting hyperglycaemia. *Diabetologia* 40:238–243, 1997
  24. Stevens MJ, Raffel DM, Allman KC, Dayanikli F, Ficaro E, Sandford T, Wieland DM, Pfeifer MA, Schwaiger M: Cardiac sympathetic dysinnervation in diabetes: implications for enhanced cardiovascular risk. *Circulation* 98:961–968, 1998
  25. Bernardi L, Ricordi L, Lazzari P, Solda P, Calciati A, Ferrari MR, Vandea I, Finardi G, Fratino P: Impaired circadian modulation of sympathovagal activity in diabetes: a possible explanation for altered temporal onset of cardiovascular disease. *Circulation* 86:1443–1452, 1992
  26. Aronson D, Rayfield EJ, Chesebro JH: Mechanisms determining course and outcome of diabetic patients who have had acute myocardial infarction. *Ann Intern Med* 126:296–306, 1997
  27. Manske CL, Wilson RF, Wang Y, Thomas W: Atherosclerotic vascular complications in diabetic transplant candidates. *Am J Kidney Dis* 29:601–607, 1997
  28. Lane SE, Lewis SM, Pippin JJ, Kosinski EJ, Campbell D, Nesto RW, Hill T: Predictive value of quantitative dipyridamole thallium scintigraphy in assessing cardiovascular risk after vascular surgery in diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol* 64:1275–1279, 1989
  29. Nesto RW, Watson FS, Kowalchuk GJ, Zarich SW, Hill T, Lewis SM, Lane SE: Silent myocardial ischemia and infarction in diabetics with peripheral vascular disease: assessment by dipyridamole thallium-201 scintigraphy. *Am Heart J* 120:1073–1077, 1990
  30. Younis LT, Miller DD, Chaitman BR: Preoperative strategies to assess cardiac risk before noncardiac surgery. *Clin Cardiol* 18:447–454, 1995
  31. Davies RF, Goldberg AD, Forman S, Pepine CJ, Knatterud GL, Geller N, Sopko G, Pratt C, Deanfield J, Conti R: Asymptomatic cardiac ischemia pilot (ACIP) study two-year follow-up: outcomes of patients randomized to initial strategies of medical therapy versus revascularization. *Circulation* 95:2037–2043, 1997
  32. Rita-2 Trial Participants: Coronary angioplasty versus medical therapy for angina: the second randomized intervention treatment of angina (RITA-2) trial. *Lancet* 350:461–468, 1997
  33. Alderman EL, Bourassa MG, Cohen LS, Davis KB, Kaiser GG, Killip T, Mock MB, Pettinger M, Robertson TL: Ten-year follow-up of survival and myocardial infarction in the randomized coronary artery surgery study. *Circulation* 82:1629–1646, 1990
  34. BARI investigators: Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation: comparison of coronary bypass surgery with angioplasty in patients with multivessel disease. *N Engl J Med* 335:217–225, 1996
  35. Chaitman BR, Rosen AD, Williams DO, Bourassa MG, Aguirre FV, Pitt B, Rautaharju PM, Rogers WJ, Sharaf B, Attubato M, Hardison RM, Srivatsa S, Kouchoukos NT, Stocke K, Sopko G, Detre K, Frye R: Myocardial infarction and cardiac mortality in the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation (BARI) randomized trial. *Circulation* 96:2162–2170, 1997
  36. ETDRS Investigators: Aspirin effects on mortality and morbidity in patients with diabetes mellitus. *JAMA* 268:1292–1300, 1992
  37. Antiplatelet Trialists’ Collaboration: Collaborative overview of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy. I. Prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke by prolonged antiplatelet therapy in various categories of patients. *BMJ* 308:81–106, 1994
  38. Pyorala K, Pedersen TR, Kjekshus J, Faergeman O, Olsson AG, Thorgeirsson G: Cholesterol lowering with simvastatin improves prognosis of diabetic patients with coronary heart disease. *Diabetes Care* 20:614–620, 1997
  39. Malmberg K, Herlitz J, Hjalmarson A, Ryden L: Effects of metoprolol on mortality and late infarction in diabetics with suspected acute myocardial infarction: retrospective data from two large studies. *Eur Heart J* 10:423–428, 1989
  40. Jonas M, Reicher-Reiss H, Boyko V, Shotan A, Mandelzweig L, Goldbourt U, Behar S: Usefulness of beta-blocker therapy in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol* 77:1273–1277, 1996
  41. Haffner SM, Lehto S, Ronnema T, Pyorala K, Laakso M: Mortality from coronary heart disease in subjects with type 2 diabetes and in nondiabetic subjects with and without prior myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 339:229–234, 1998
  42. Woodfield SL, Lundergan CF, Reiner JS, Greenhouse SW, Thompson MA, Rohrbeck SC, Deychak Y, Simoons ML, Califf RM, Topol EJ, Ross AM: Angiographic findings and outcome in diabetic patients treated with thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: the GUSTO-I experience. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 28:1661–1669, 1996
  43. Lee, KL, Woodlief LH, Topol EJ, Weaver D, Betriu AM, Col J, Simoons M, Aylward P, Van de Werf F, Califf RM: Predictors of 30-day mortality in the era of reperfusion for acute myocardial infarction: results from an international trial of 41021 patients. *Circulation* 91:1659–1668, 1995