The 3 Epimer of 25-Hydroxycholecalciferol Is Present in the Circulation of the Majority of Adults in a Nationally Representative Sample and Has Endogenous Origins
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Abstract

Fundamental knowledge gaps in relation to the 3 epimer of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol [3-epi-25(OH)D3] limit our understanding of its relevance for vitamin D nutrition and health. The aims of this study were to characterize the 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations in a nationally representative sample of adults and explore its determinants. We also used data from a recent randomized controlled trial (RCT) of supplemental cholecalciferol (vitamin D3) conducted in winter in older adults to directly test the impact of changes in vitamin D status on serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations. Serum 25-hydroxycholecalciferol [25(OH)D3] and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations (via LC-tandem mass spectrometry) from our vitamin D3 RCT in adults (aged ≥50 y) and data on dietary, lifestyle, and biochemical characteristics of participants of the recent National Adult Nutrition Survey in Ireland (aged 18–84 y; n = 1122) were used in the present work. In the subsample of participants who had serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations greater than the limit of quantification (n = 1082; 96.4%), the mean, 10th, 50th (median), and 90th percentile concentrations were 2.50, 1.05, 2.18, and 4.30 nmol/L, respectively, whereas the maximum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration was 15.0 nmol/L. A regression model [explaining 29.9% of the variability in serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3] showed that age >50 y, vitamin D supplement use, dietary vitamin D, meat intake, season of blood sampling, and sun exposure habits were significant positive determinants, whereas increasing waist circumference and serum 25-hydroxyergocalciferol concentration were significant negative determinants. The RCT data showed that mean serum 25(OH)D3 and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations increased (49.3% and 42.1%, respectively) and decreased (−28.0% and −29.1%, respectively) significantly (P < 0.0001) with vitamin D3 (20 μg/d) and placebo supplementation, respectively, over 15 wk of winter. In conclusion, we provide data on serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in a nationally representative sample of adults. Our combined observational and RCT data might suggest that both dietary supply and dermal synthesis of vitamin D3 contribute to serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT01990872.

Introduction

Synthesis in the skin on exposure to UVB sunshine and dietary sources (food and supplements) are the main sources of vitamin D for humans (1). The metabolic pathway of this endogenously and exogenously derived vitamin D through its various hydroxylation and oxidation events was described comprehensively previously (1–3). Recently, it was discovered that vitamin D can alternatively be metabolized through a 3 epimerization pathway that parallels the standard metabolic pathway (4,5) and thus accounts for the presence of epimerized vitamin D metabolites in human sera.

Although it was suggested that the 3 epimer of 1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol (1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3) possesses some but not all of the physiologic functionality of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 per se (6–9), there is a general consensus that additional priority research is necessary to establish the physiologic function of 3 epimers of vitamin D (5,10,11). Furthermore, whether the 3 epimer of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol [3-epi-25(OH)D3], which will influence the concentration of the 3-epimerized 25-hydroxycholecalciferol.
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3 epimer of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃, should or should not be included in the serum total 25-hydroxycholecalciferol [25(OH)D₃] estimate and the consequences for associated analytics was also discussed (5,10–12). In addition to these key considerations, other fundamental knowledge gaps also pertain to other aspects of 3-epi-25(OH)D₃, all of which are in need of being addressed to have the fullest understanding of the relevance of this metabolite for vitamin D nutrition and indeed health in early and later life. For example, the percentage detectable 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ in sera from adults was reported variably from 0% to 100%, as recently comprehensively reviewed by Bailey et al. (5). However, the 9 studies included in that systematic review were of relatively small sample sizes (n = 5–212 and 1 with n = 501), some were in not very well-characterized individuals, and some used a range of different LC-MS/MS methods (5).

The putative enzyme responsible for the 3 epimerization has yet to be identified (3), leading to calls for clarification on whether the 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ is obtained exogenously (e.g., from food or supplemental sources) or made endogenously (5,10,11,13). Engelman et al. (14), in their recent multivariable regression analysis of serum 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ in a population-based sample of 303 adults, showed that being sampled in summer, greater sun exposure, vitamin D supplement use, and the number of alcoholic drinks were all positive determinants.

Thus, the aims of the present work were 1) to characterize the 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ concentrations in participants (aged 18–84 y) of the recent National Adult Nutrition Survey (NANS) in Ireland, 2) to explore its determinants, and 3) to evaluate the impact of its inclusion in serum total 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D; i.e., 25-hydroxyergocalciferol [25(OH)D₂] plus 25(OH)D₃] on the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency/ inadequacy. To complement these observational data, we used data from a recent randomized controlled trial (RCT) of supplemental cholecalciferol (vitamin D₃) conducted in winter in older adults (≥50 y) to test the impact of increases and decreases in vitamin D status on serum 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ concentrations.

Participants and Methods

The NANS sample

Participant sampling and recruitment procedures and methods of data collection. A detailed description of the methodology used in NANS, including the sampling procedure and sample recruitment, was reported previously (15,16). Briefly, the fieldwork phase of NANS was performed between October 2008 and April 2010, providing a seasonal balance to the data and biologic sample collection. To achieve a nationally representative sample of community-dwelling adults aged ≥18 y, a quota sampling approach was adopted using data from the 2006 Census (17). A sample of 1500 free-living adults to represent a population of ~4.2 million participated in the dietary survey. There were few exclusion criteria, other than pregnancy/lactation and inability to complete the survey due to disability. The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Cork Teaching Hospitals, University College Cork and the Human Ethics Research Committee of University College Dublin. All eligible and willing participants gave their written consent according to the Helsinki Declaration.

Analysis of the demographic features in this sample showed it to be a representative sample of Irish adults with respect to age, sex, social class, and geographical location when compared with census data (15–17). Although participation in the survey did not require provision of a blood sample as an eligibility criterion, all participants were asked whether they were willing to provide a blood sample. Of the total group of respondents, 75.3% (n = 1132) provided a blood sample. The demographic features of the group of participants who provided a blood sample and those in the entire sample were described previously (15,16). Seasonality was based on the date the respondents provided the blood sample—November to March (representing the “winter” period) or April to October (representing the “summer” period)—consistent with studies based on NHANES (18) and the recent analysis of Canadian Health Measures Survey for vitamin D status (19).

The approach to food intake data collection, food quantification, and estimation of vitamin D intake in NANS was provided in detail previously (15,16). Of note, food intake data were analyzed using WISP version 3.0 (Tinuviel Software), which uses data from McCance and Widdowson’s The Composition of Foods, 5th and 6th editions plus all 9 supplemental volumes, to generate nutrient intake data, as described previously (15,20).

Information on social class and education level, smoking status, alcohol intake, and medication usage (including those that contained nutrients) was also collected (15,16). Anthropometric measures, including height, weight, waist and hip circumference, and measures of body composition, were taken in the respondent’s homes, as described previously (15). The approach toward assessment of vitamin D-containing supplement consumption was described previously (15,16).

Blood collection. Blood samples were collected by venipuncture into a vacutainer tube by a qualified nurse at designated centers within the survey area or in the respondent’s home if the respondent could not travel. Bloods were transported to the laboratory for additional processing, and serum was stored at ~80°C until required for analysis.

The vitamin D RCT in older adults

A 15-wk randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind vitamin D₃ intervention (20 μg/d) study conducted in the winter in free-living women and men (ratio of ~2.5:1 aged ≥50 y (n = 125) stratified according to calcium intake (moderate-low: <700 mg/d; or high: >1000 mg/d) was described in detail previously (21). The baseline characteristics of the older adults who completed the intervention are shown in Supplemental Table 1. The study was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT01990872.

The vitamin D₁ capsules contained 20 μg of vitamin D₁ as Quali-D, a pure crystalline powder form of vitamin D₁, and the placebo and vitamin D₁ capsules contained filling additives (potato starch and talc). The vitamin D₁ content of the capsules was confirmed by in-house laboratory HPLC analysis within the Vitamin D Research Group at University College Cork. The capsules were also analyzed for 3-epi-25(OH)D₃, which was shown to be absent. Repeated-measures ANOVA showed that there was no significant time × vitamin D treatment × calcium intake grouping interaction effect (P = 0.20 for interaction in both cases) or calcium intake grouping × time interaction effects (P > 0.72 for interaction in both cases) on mean serum total 25(OH)D or 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ concentrations over the 15-wk intervention period. Serum total 25(OH)D increased and decreased in the vitamin D₁ and placebo groups, respectively, and of similar magnitudes in those with calcium intakes <700 and >1000 mg/d (21). Therefore, because of the lack of calcium intake grouping effect, we were able to combine groups and examine the effect of vitamin D₁ supplementation (n = 64) or placebo (n = 61) on serum 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ concentrations and their ratio over winter. There was no difference (P > 0.32 in all cases) in the mean age, weight, height, BMI, and the proportion of men-to-women mean habitual dietary calcium or vitamin D at baseline among the placebo and vitamin D₁-supplemented groups.

Analysis of serum 3 epimer of 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D₂ and 25-hydroxyvitamin D₁. The concentrations of total 25(OH)D and 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ in serum samples were measured by the Vitamin D Research Group at University College Cork using an LC-MS/MS method. In brief, the LC-MS/MS method resolves and measures 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ (22), which is not chromatographically resolved from 25(OH)D₁ by most routine LC-MS/MS methods, in addition to 25(OH)D₂ and 25(OH)D₃ in serum. The presence of 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ can pose problems for LC-MS/MS methods because the mass and fragmentation patterns are the same as 25(OH)D. The present method does not measure the 3 epimer of 25(OH)D₂, but, with the mean and 90th percentile of serum 25(OH)D₁ in NANS being 3.7 and 6.3 nmol/L, respectively (22), the concentration of the 3 epimer of 25(OH)D₃ would be expected to be extremely low for most. A detailed description of the
LC-MS/MS method was described previously (22). The interassay CV of the method was <5% for all 25(OH)D metabolites, whereas the intra-assay CV was <6%. The limit of detection (LOD) for 25(OH)D2, 25(OH)D3, and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in serum was 0.44, 0.31, and 0.20 nmol/L, respectively, using the LC-MS/MS method by the Vitamin D Research Group at University College Cork. The limit of quantification (LOQ) for 25(OH)D2, 25(OH)D3, and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in serum was 1.43, 1.03, and 0.66 nmol/L, respectively. The quality and accuracy of serum 25(OH)D analysis using the LC-MS/MS method in our laboratory are monitored by each national survey laboratory and 2 higher-order reference laboratories who assigned values for total 25(OH)D, 25(OH)D2, 25(OH)D3, and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 using a reference measurement LC-MS procedure (24). Of the 50 patient sera, 45 had serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations greater than the LOQ of the method (and ranged from 1.60 to 15.9 nmol/L). The relation between serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations by the reference measurement LC-MS procedure at the University of Ghent (25) and the Vitamin D Research Group LC-MS/MS was 0.99 × X + 0.02 (r² = 0.99). In addition to this very close relation between the 2 methods, the mean bias for the Vitamin D Research Group LC-MS/MS was only −0.3%.

Although the data on concentration of serum total 25(OH)D and 25(OH)D2 in NANS (22,26) and serum total 25(OH)D in the vitamin D RCT (21) was reported previously, the serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 or 25(OH)D3 was not, and this forms the basis of the present analysis.

Data interpretation and statistical analysis. Data and statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 20.0 for Windows (SPSS). Descriptive statistics (frequencies, means, medians, 95% CIs, and percentiles, when relevant) were generated for serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3, 25(OH)D3, and the 3 epimer of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol as a percentage of 25(OH)D2 in NANS (22,26) and serum total 25(OH)D in the vitamin D Standardization Program Interlaboratory Comparison Study in which 50 especially commissioned patient sera [covering a wide range of serum 25(OH)D concentrations] were analyzed by each national survey laboratory and 2 higher-order reference laboratories who assigned values for total 25(OH)D, 25(OH)D2, 25(OH)D3, and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 using a reference measurement LC-MS procedure (24). Of the 50 patient sera, 45 had serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations greater than the LOQ of the method (and ranged from 1.60 to 15.9 nmol/L). The relation between serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations by the reference measurement LC-MS procedure at the University of Ghent (25) and the Vitamin D Research Group LC-MS/MS was 0.99 × X + 0.02 (r² = 0.99). In addition to this very close relation between the 2 methods, the mean bias for the Vitamin D Research Group LC-MS/MS was only −0.3%.

Overall, the mean, 10th, 50th (median), and 90th percentiles [covering a wide range of serum 25(OH)D concentrations] were analyzed by each national survey laboratory and 2 higher-order reference laboratories who assigned values for total 25(OH)D, 25(OH)D2, 25(OH)D3, and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 using a reference measurement LC-MS procedure (24). Of the 50 patient sera, 45 had serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations greater than the LOQ of the method (and ranged from 1.60 to 15.9 nmol/L). The relation between serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations by the reference measurement LC-MS procedure at the University of Ghent (25) and the Vitamin D Research Group LC-MS/MS was 0.99 × X + 0.02 (r² = 0.99). In addition to this very close relation between the 2 methods, the mean bias for the Vitamin D Research Group LC-MS/MS was only −0.3%.

There was a highly significant correlation between serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 to 25(OH)D3 (P < 0.001; n = 1122), and the linear relation was serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 = 0.05 × [serum 25(OH)D3] − 0.28 (r² = 0.64; P < 0.001; n = 1082). There was a large variability in serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration at any 1 concentration of serum 25(OH)D3, particularly at the higher end of the concentration range (Fig. 1).

There was no significant correlation between serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 and age (r = 0.005; P > 0.42, n = 1082). The mean 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration and %3-epi-25(OH)D3 by quartile of serum 25(OH)D3 concentration are shown in Table 1. Although the mean 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration increased linearly by quartile of serum 25(OH)D3 concentration, the %3-epi-25(OH)D3 was similar in the lowest 3 quartiles and only significantly (P < 0.05) increased in the highest quartile. Overall, the mean, 10th, 50th (median), and 90th percentiles of %3-epi-25(OH)D3 were 4.6%, 3.1%, 4.4%, and 6.5%, respectively.
Impact of inclusion of 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in serum total 25(OH)D concentration on the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and inadequacy. The percentage of participants with serum total 25(OH)D below variously proposed thresholds of serum 25(OH)D and the impact of inclusion of the serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration on same are shown in Table 2. Accounting for the 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration in the estimate of serum total 25(OH)D reduced the prevalence of serum 25(OH)D <30, <50, and <75 nmol/L [representing vitamin D deficiency, inadequacy, and suboptimal, respectively, (1,27)] by 1.7, 2.8, and 3.6 percentage points, respectively. The prevalence of serum total 25(OH)D >125 nmol/L, a concentration that might be of concern if chronically sustained, according to the Institute of Medicine (1), increased from 3.4% to 5.5%, with the inclusion of the 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration in the total estimate.

Exploration of the determinants of serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations: possible clues to its exogenous and endogenous sources. A regression model that included age, sex, waist circumference, serum creatinine, serum 25(OH)D2, vitamin D supplement use, dietary vitamin D intake, calcium supplement use, food calcium intake, dietary magnesium intake, total ethanol intake, total meat intake, season of blood sampling, sun exposure habits, frequency of sunscreen use, and smoking status explained 30.7% of the variability in serum 25(OH)D3, with sunnier seasons being the strongest positive determinants (Fig. 3). The mean concentration of serum 25(OH)D >125 nmol/L, a concentration that might be of concern if chronically sustained, according to the Institute of Medicine (1), increased from 3.4% to 5.5%, with the inclusion of the 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration in the total estimate.

To our knowledge, the present study is the first description of the distribution of serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in a nationally representative sample of adults. The vast majority of participants (96.4%) had quantifiable (i.e., greater than the LOQ) serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations, which is in line with the findings from some (range, 90–99% detectable) (10,28–30) but not all studies in adults (range, 0–80% detectable) (31–35), which were of relatively smaller sample size (n = 5–212 and 1 with n = 501). The mean serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations from baseline to midpoint (8 wk), and, although serum 25(OH)D3 continued to increase modestly but significantly (P < 0.003) by endpoint (7 wk later), serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations remained similar (P = 0.19) (Fig. 3). Mean serum 25(OH)D3 and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations decreased significantly (P < 0.0001) in the placebo group from baseline to midpoint, and, although serum 25(OH)D3 continued to decline modestly to endpoint (P < 0.001), serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations remained similar (P = 0.16) (Fig. 3).

There was no significant vitamin D3 treatment group × time interaction effects (P = 0.54) on serum %3-epi-25(OH)D3 in older adults over 15 wk of winter (data not shown).

### Discussion

To our knowledge, the present study is the first description of the distribution of serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in a nationally representative sample of adults. The vast majority of participants (96.4%) had quantifiable (i.e., greater than the LOQ) serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations, which is in line with the findings from some (range, 90–99% detectable) (10,28–30) but not all studies in adults (range, 0–80% detectable) (31–35), which were of relatively smaller sample size (n = 5–212 and 1 with n = 501). The mean serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations from baseline to midpoint (8 wk), and, although serum 25(OH)D3 continued to increase modestly but significantly (P < 0.003) by endpoint (7 wk later), serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations remained similar (P = 0.19) (Fig. 3). Mean serum 25(OH)D3 and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations decreased significantly (P < 0.0001) in the placebo group from baseline to midpoint, and, although serum 25(OH)D3 continued to decline modestly to endpoint (P < 0.001), serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations remained similar (P = 0.16) (Fig. 3).

There was no significant vitamin D3 treatment group × time interaction effects (P = 0.54) on serum %3-epi-25(OH)D3 in older adults over 15 wk of winter (data not shown).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threshold (nmol/L)</th>
<th>Without 3-epi-25(OH)D3</th>
<th>With 3-epi-25(OH)D3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;70</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;75</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥125</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; 3-epi-25(OH)D3; 3 epimer of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol.
6.1 (0.47.0)%), respectively, from the 9 studies that reported adult data. Subsequent to the Bailey et al. (5) systematic review, Zhang et al. (38) reported a mean 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration and %3-epi-25(OH)D3 in their Irish adult pregnancy cohort (n = 1768) of 2.6 nmol/L and 4.9%, respectively, whereas Engelman et al. (14) reported in their epidemiologic study of 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in a population-based sample of non-Hispanic white adults (n = 303; mean age, 48.1 y) that the mean and percentage 3-epi-25(OH)D3 were 3.2 nmol/L and 3.9%, respectively.

There was a strong relation between serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 and 25(OH)D3. Furthermore, the ratio of 3-epi-25(OH)D3 to 25(OH)D3 appeared to be greater (~5.0) at relatively high concentrations of serum 25(OH)D3 (highest quartile, >67.8 nmol/L) but relatively stable (~4.5) at concentrations below that (quartiles 1–3). In contrast, Engelman et al. (14) reported that the percentage of the epimer increases approximately linearly to a mean of 5.1% in individuals in the highest quartile. However, the quartile distribution of serum 25(OH)D3 in that study was much higher than that in the present study, with a mean serum 25(OH)D3 of 75.5 and 53.2 nmol/L, respectively. The higher ratio of 3-epi-25(OH)D3 to 25(OH)D3 at higher concentrations of serum 25(OH)D3 attenuates (by 3.6 percentage points) the prevalence of suboptimal vitamin D status [serum 25(OH)D < 75 nmol/L] (27) when the contribution of 3-epi-25(OH)D3 is included in the total serum 25(OH)D concentration. This attenuation by inclusion of 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in total serum 25(OH)D concentration was lessened at the <50 and <30 nmol/L cutoffs (by 2.8 and 1.7 percentage points, respectively). Engelman et al. (14) reported that only 1% of their population-based sample of adults, aged 21–74 y, would have been classified as sufficient if the 3 epimer was included in the total 25(OH)D but deficient [serum 25(OH)D < 50 nmol/L] if it was excluded.

The lack of understanding in relation to the source, not to mention the reason for the presence of the 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in serum was reported widely (5,10,11,14). Although a number of reports investigated associations between 3-epi-25(OH)D3 and individual factors, such as age (10,30) and season (36), Engelman et al. (14) in their multivariable regression analysis of serum 25(OH)D3, 3-epi-25(OH)D3, and %3-epi-25(OH)D3 in a population-based sample of 303 adults showed that being sampled in summer vs. winter season, more sun exposure, vitamin D intake from foods were not associated with the 3 epimer.

### TABLE 3 Multiple regression model for serum 25(OH)D3 and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in adults aged 18–84 y (National Adult Nutrition Survey participants, n = 1082)"
Mean serum 25(OH)D3 (A) and 3-epi-25(OH)D3 (B) of adults aged 18–84 y (National Adult Nutrition Survey, n = 1082) by month of the year (1, January; 12, December). Bars and error bars represent means ± SDs for n = 24–121. 25(OH)D3, 25-hydroxycholecalciferol; 3-epi-25(OH)D3, 3 epimer of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol.

Although serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 was not significantly correlated with age in the present study and the lack of association with age in studies by Engelman et al. (14) and Lensmeyer et al. (10), being aged 50–64 and ≥65 y was a significant positive predictor of serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration. This may relate to the higher intake of vitamin D from dietary supply and dermal synthesis of vitamin D3 contribute to increased supply of vitamin D3 either orally by greater dietary intake and/or supplement use or enhanced dermal synthesis by more UVB sunlight exposure, was associated with increased serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration, whereas increasing adiposity, smoking, and high serum 25(OH)D3 concentration, all known or suggested to reduce circulating vitamin D and/or 25(OH)D3 (37–39), were associated with reduced serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations in the present study.

Although serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 was not significantly correlated with age in the present study in agreement with the lack of association with age in studies by Engelman et al. (14) and Lensmeyer et al. (10), being aged 50–64 and ≥65 y was a significant positive predictor of serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentration. This may relate to the higher intake of vitamin D from supplements in older participants in our survey (16), which will increase the serum 25(OH)D3 concentration. Interestingly, the factors included in our regression model only explained 15% of the variability in %3-epi-25(OH)D3, in close agreement with the findings by Engelman et al. (14). Availability of UVB sunshine, in the context of sampling season and sun preference, was the strongest positive determinant, whereas dietary vitamin D intake (but not supplement use) was only a borderline significant positive determinant of %3-epi-25(OH)D3.

Although the associations reported in the present study and those by Engelman et al. (10) provide some biologic plausibility and insight into the possible role of factors that increase and decrease serum 25(OH)D3 in determining serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations, they do not provide evidence of causal relations. In this regard, the present data on the response of serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 concentrations to vitamin D3 supplementation over winter in our RCT in older adults is the first, to our knowledge, to show that, during winter, the concentration of the epimer decreases (as shown in the placebo group) unless additional vitamin D3 is supplied (as shown in the vitamin D3-supplemented group), in which case it increases significantly. These changes closely mirrored the changes in serum 25(OH)D3 concentrations, and the ratio of 3-epi-25(OH)D3 to 25(OH)D3 was constant.

The quality control measures used in the present study demonstrate that the epimer was not an artifact of the extraction or LC-MS/MS procedures. We acknowledge the possibility that what we are identifying as 3-epi-25(OH)D3 could plausibly be 25-hydroxyvitamin D3. However, as suggested recently by Lensmeyer et al. (10), we also believe this unlikely given that the peak we label as 3-epi-25(OH)D3 is identical to what the National Institute of Science and Technology has identified as 3-epi-25(OH)D3 based on the ability of our LC-MS/MS method to recover the values of 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in the human serum reference material. This is also the case for our analysis of the sera used in the CDC Vitamin D Standardization Certification Program (23).

In conclusion, to our knowledge, we provide for the first time data on serum 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in a nationally representative sample of adults. Furthermore, combining the observational and RCT data from the present study seems to suggest that both dietary supply and dermal synthesis of vitamin D3 contribute to the concentration of 3-epi-25(OH)D3 in serum. This in turn suggests, at least in part, the involvement of a 3-epimerase enzyme, although this has not yet been isolated. However, the data do not discount the possibility of an additional direct dietary route of exposure to 3-epi-25(OH)D3 per se, as might occur with consumption of foods of animal origin, in particular meat and eggs that contain vitamin D3 but also 25(OH)D3 (40), and therefore...
the possibility of 3-epi-25(OH)D₃, although this has yet to be reported. Meat intake was a significant determinant of serum 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ but not 25(OH)D₃ in the present regression analysis. Clearly, more research in terms of the functionality and sources of 3-epi-25(OH)D₃ is warranted.
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