

Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma, Body Mass Index, and Cytokine Polymorphisms: A Pooled Analysis from the InterLymph Consortium

Eleanor Kane¹, Christine F. Skibola², Paige M. Bracci³, James R. Cerhan⁴, Laura Costas⁵, Karin Ekström Smedby⁶, Elizabeth A. Holly³, Marc Maynadié⁷, Anne J. Novak⁴, Tracy J. Lightfoot¹, Stephen M. Ansell⁴, Alex G. Smith¹, Mark Liebow⁴, Mads Melbye⁸, Lindsay Morton⁹, Silvia de Sanjosé⁵, Susan L. Slager⁴, Sophia S. Wang¹⁰, Yawei Zhang¹¹, Tongzhang Zheng¹¹, and Eve Roman¹

Abstract

Background: Excess adiposity has been associated with lymphomagenesis, possibly mediated by increased cytokine production causing a chronic inflammatory state. The relationship between obesity, cytokine polymorphisms, and selected mature B-cell neoplasms is reported.

Method: Data on 4,979 cases and 4,752 controls from nine American/European studies from the InterLymph consortium (1988–2008) were pooled. For diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), follicular lymphoma (FL), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma (CLL/SLL), joint associations of body mass index (from self-reported height and weight) and 12 polymorphisms in cytokines *IL1A* (rs1800587), *IL1B* (rs16944, rs1143627), *IL1RN* (rs454078), *IL2* (rs2069762), *IL6* (rs1800795, rs1800797), *IL10* (rs1800890, rs1800896), *TNF* (rs1800629), *LTA* (rs909253), and *CARD15* (rs2066847) were investigated using unconditional logistic regression. BMI-polymorphism interaction effects were estimated using the relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI).

Results: Obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) was associated with DLBCL risk [OR = 1.33; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.02–1.73], as was *TNF-308GA+AA* (OR = 1.24; 95% CI, 1.07–1.44). Together, being obese and *TNF-308GA+AA* increased DLBCL risk almost 2-fold relative to those of normal weight and *TNF-308GG* (OR = 1.93; 95% CI, 1.27–2.94), with a RERI of 0.41 (95% CI, –0.05–0.84; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.13$). For FL and CLL/SLL, no associations with obesity or *TNF-308GA+AA*, either singly or jointly, were observed. No evidence of interactions between obesity and the other polymorphisms were detected.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that cytokine polymorphisms do not generally interact with BMI to increase lymphoma risk but obesity and *TNF-308GA+AA* may interact to increase DLBCL risk.

Impact: Studies using better measures of adiposity are needed to further investigate the interactions between obesity and *TNF-308G>A* in the pathogenesis of lymphoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*; 24(7); 1061–70. ©2015 AACR.

¹Epidemiology and Cancer Statistics Group, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, United Kingdom. ²Department of Epidemiology, Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Alabama, Birmingham, Alabama. ³Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California. ⁴Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota. ⁵Unit of Infections and Cancer, Cancer Epidemiology Research Programme, Institut Catala d'Oncologia, IDIBELL, and CIBER de Epidemiologia y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Barcelona, Spain. ⁶Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁷Biological Hematology Unit, CRB Ferdinand Cabanne, University Hospital of Dijon and University of Burgundy, France. ⁸Department of Epidemiology Research, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁹Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, NCI, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland. ¹⁰Department of Cancer Etiology, City of Hope Beckman Research Institute, Duarte, California. ¹¹Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, Connecticut.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention Online (<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/>).

Corresponding Author: Eleanor Kane, Epidemiology and Cancer Statistics Group, Department of Health Sciences, Seebohm Rowntree Building, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom. Phone: 4419-0432-1892; Fax: 4419-0432-1899; E-mail: eleanor.kane@ecsg.york.ac.uk

doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-14-1355

©2015 American Association for Cancer Research.

Introduction

Immune dysregulation plays a pivotal role in lymphomagenesis, and epidemiologic research has tended to concentrate on factors and exposures that interact with the immune system. In this regard, obesity, which can cause a mild chronic inflammatory state, has been suggested to potentially increase the likelihood of lymphoid malignancy development. Earlier, InterLymph pooled analyses reported that obesity was associated with an increased risk of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL; refs. 1, 2), and recent meta-analyses provide further support for this hypothesis (3, 4).

Obesity-related inflammation is thought to result from the proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines that are produced by adipocytes and macrophages in adipose tissue (5). With weight gain, the numbers of adipocytes and macrophages increase as adipose tissue expands, increasing production of cytokines such as TNF α , leptin, IL1 β , and IL6, as well as chemokines and acute phase proteins (5). Ideally, to investigate whether increased levels of inflammation-related cytokines modulate the association between obesity and lymphoid neoplasms, serum levels of cytokines would be measured before cancer diagnosis. In the absence of such measurements, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) within genes that express cytokines may act as surrogates that

indicate variation in risk of lymphoid neoplasms with obesity. Several putative functional SNPs in candidate cytokine genes were selected *a priori* by the InterLymph consortium due to their role in lymphoid development, and also in the pro-/anti-inflammatory pathways which may be altered in the obese state. Among these cytokine SNPs, *TNF* (−308G>A, rs1800629), *LTA* (−252A>G, rs909253), and *IL10* (−3575T>A, rs1800890) have been associated with lymphoid neoplasms and DLBCL in particular (6–8). There has, however, been little exploration of the relationship between obesity and cytokines on the risk of these malignancies (9–11). Here, we investigate gene–environment interactions between body mass index (BMI) and cytokine SNPs using data from case–control studies included in the International Lymphoma Epidemiology Consortium.

Materials and Methods

Data sources

Through the InterLymph consortium, nine case–control studies conducted in the United States and five European countries between 1988 and 2008 that had individual level data on BMI and cytokine polymorphisms contributed to this pooled analysis. Data were provided via the InterLymph Data Coordinating Center (DCC) at the Mayo Clinic (Rochester, MN) which was established in 2009 to centrally standardize and harmonize study data so that consistent datasets could be produced to expedite pooling projects. Descriptions of the included studies have been published (12–20); a brief outline is given in Table 1. Cases were ascertained using rapid identification techniques and controls were randomly selected from population registers (6 studies), outpatient clinics (1 study) or hospital in-patients with non-neoplastic conditions (2 studies). Each study had the appropriate ethical committees' approval and participants gave their informed consent.

Diagnoses of lymphoid neoplasms were pathologically confirmed and coded to the World Health Organization International Classification for Oncology Version 3 (ICDO3; 7 studies), REAL (Connecticut), and Working Formulation (UCSF) classifications. Diagnostic codes from the different schemas were bridged by the DCC (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN) using the same approach as in previous InterLymph analyses (21). The analysis here reports on specific lymphoid neoplasms: diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL: ICDO3 codes 9679, 9680, 9684), follicular lymphoma (FL: 9690, 9691, 9695, 9698), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma (CLL/SLL: 9670, 9823) and all combined (defined by the above ICDO3 codes and 9671, 9673, 9675, 9687, 9689, 9699, 9700, 9701, 9702, 9705, 9708, 9709, 9714, 9716, 9717, 9718, 9719, 9728, 9729, 9826, 9827, 9832, 9833, 9591, and 9727). As most studies did not recruit cases with HIV-associated lymphoid neoplasms, these diagnoses were excluded.

Findings for the individual effects of BMI and cytokine SNPs on the risk of lymphoid neoplasms have been reported for the InterLymph studies (1, 6–8). In all studies, adult height and weight were self-reported, with information on weight requested for 1 year (NCI-SEER, UCSF, Connecticut), 2 years (Mayo Clinic), or 5 years (United Kingdom) before diagnosis or interview date or usual weight (SCALE, EpiLymph). BMI, calculated from weight in kilograms and height in meters, was classified according to World Health Organization guidelines as: normal weight (18.5–<25 kg/m²); overweight (25–<30 kg/m²); or obese (≥30 kg/m²); the 1% of the study population who were underweight (<18.5 kg/m²) were

excluded from the analyses (22). BMI as a continuous variable was defined as per 5 kg/m² increase above 18.5 kg/m². Cytokine SNPs were tested using the TaqMan platform (Applied Biosystems), Pyrosequencing, custom Illumina GoldenGate 1,536 SNP oligonucleotide pool (OPA), or iSelect (6–8, 14). Twelve SNPs in nine candidate genes were investigated: 2q14, *IL1A* −889C→T (rs1800587; 4 studies, 2,195 cases, and 2,082 controls), *IL1B* −511C→T (rs16944; 3 studies, 1,843 cases, and 1,695 controls), and *IL1B* −31C→T (rs1143627; 4 studies, 2,188 cases, and 2,099 controls); in 2q14.2, *IL1RN* 9589A→T (rs454078; 3 studies, 1,673 cases, and 1,598 controls); in 4q26–27, *IL2* −384T→G (rs2069762; 4 studies, 2,185 cases, and 2,085 controls); in 7p21, *IL6* −174G→C (rs1800795; 4 studies, 2,203 cases, and 2,095 controls) and *IL6* −597G→A (rs1800797; 3 studies, 1,679 cases, and 1,591 controls); in 1q31–32, *IL10* −3575T→A (rs1800890; 9 studies, 5,015 cases, and 5,061 controls) and *IL10* −1082A→G (rs1800896; 7 studies, 2,844 cases, and 3,328 controls); in 6p21.3, *TNF* −308G→A (rs1800629; 9 studies, 4,979 cases, and 4,752 controls) and *LTA* 252A→G (rs909253; 9 studies, 5,067 cases, and 4,879 controls); and in 16q21, *CARD15* Ex11–35→C (rs2066847; 7 studies, 4,267 cases, and 4,092 controls). SNPs were modeled as dichotomous variables assuming dominant inheritance (heterozygous/homozygous variant versus homozygous wild-type genotypes) as suggested by InterLymph analyses (6–8), to increase power and reduce the number of statistical tests. Because of potential ethnic differences in body fat and SNP distributions, analyses were restricted to persons who described themselves as of White European descent.

Statistical analyses

Risk estimates were calculated using unconditional logistic regression adjusted for study, sex, and age. Main and joint associations with BMI and each SNP on the risk of lymphoid neoplasms were estimated. Additive interactions between SNP and BMI were estimated by the relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI). When BMI was a categorical variable, the 95% confidence intervals (CI) for RERI were estimated using likelihood-based 95% CI (23). For BMI as a continuous variable, 10,000 bootstrapping samples (without replacement) of the original sample size were taken from the dataset and the 95% CIs were the 2.5th and 97.5th centile of the bootstrap sampling distribution (24).

Analyses were repeated for DLBCL, FL, and CLL/SLL, and all controls were used irrespective of the individual studies' matching techniques. Heterogeneity between study-specific risk estimates was considered present when a test for interaction between the variable of interest and study was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). Potential sources were investigated using sensitivity analyses by: study design (population- or hospital-based); diagnosis classification; participation rates; continent; proportions of cases and controls with SNP data; whether the controls' SNP data were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; or where there was no relationship between obesity and the SNP among controls. All analyses were conducted using Stata 13.1.

Results

Data were received for a total of 5,844 cases and 6,167 controls. The majority of cases were diagnosed with mature B-cell neoplasms (90%), comprising DLBCL (28%), FL (23%), CLL/SLL (19%), and other B-cell subtypes (20%); 6% were T-cell in origin and 4% had no immunophenotype or subtype recorded. A higher proportion of cases were men (53%) and the median age at diagnosis was 60

Table 1. Characteristics of case-control studies included in the pooled analysis

Study	Location	Years of diagnosis	Age range	Cases (N = 5,844)		Controls (N = 6,167)	
				N	Participation (%)	N	Participation (%)
NCI-SEER (12)	Detroit, Michigan; Iowa; Los Angeles, California; Seattle, Washington	1988-2001	20-70	895	76	686	52
Mayo Clinic Phases 1-3 (13, 14)	Iowa, Wisconsin, Minnesota	2002-2008	18+	887	69	1,046	69
UCSF (15)	San Francisco	1988-1995	21-74	309	72	685	78
Connecticut (16)	Connecticut	1995-2001	21-84 women only	483	72	553	RDD:69; CMMS:47
UK (17)	Yorkshire, Lancashire, South Lakeland and parts of Southwest England	1998-2003	16-69	626	70	784	69
SCALE Denmark (18)	Denmark	2000-2002	18-74	768	81	745	71
SCALE Sweden (18)	Sweden	2000-2002	18-74	1,528	81	1,116	71
EpiLymph France (19)	Amiens, Dijon, and Montpellier	2000-2003	18-80	85	91	129	74
EpiLymph Spain (20)	Barcelona, Tortosa, Reus, and Madrid	1998-2002	18-80	263	82	423	96

Table 2. Demographics of cases and controls

	Cases N (%)	Controls N (%)
Total	5,844 (100)	6,167 (100)
Diagnosis		
B-cell	5,241 (90)	—
DLBCL	1,643 (28)	—
Follicular	1,344 (23)	—
CLL/SLL	1,089 (19)	—
Other	1,165 (20)	—
T-cell	342 (6)	—
Not otherwise specified	261 (3)	—
Sex		
Male	3,088 (53)	3,101 (50)
Female	2,756 (47)	3,066 (50)
Age, years		
<46	803 (14)	1,128 (18)
46–55	1,319 (23)	1,236 (20)
56–65	1,824 (31)	1,710 (28)
>65	1,898 (32)	2,093 (34)
Socioeconomic status		
Low	2,130 (36)	2,045 (33)
Medium	1,825 (31)	1,945 (32)
High	1,860 (32)	2,145 (35)
Not known	29 (0.5)	32 (0.5)
BMI (kg/m ²)		
Underweight: <18.5	57 (1)	75 (1)
Normal weight: 18.5–<25	2,573 (44)	2,851 (46)
Overweight: 25–<30	2,214 (38)	2,275 (37)
Obese: ≥30	1,000 (17)	966 (16)

years. Controls were more likely to be women, of younger age, and higher socioeconomic status than cases (Table 2).

Table 3 shows findings for the twelve cytokine polymorphisms among the subsets of subjects who had genotype data for each SNP as well as BMI data. Positive associations were found with DLBCL for *TNF-308G>A* (OR = 1.24; 95% CI, 1.07–1.44), *IL10-1082A>G* (OR = 1.14; 95% CI, 1.00–1.31), and *CARD15 Ex11-35>C* (OR = 1.25; 95% CI, 1.10–1.56); with FL for the two *IL10* SNPs (*IL10-3575T>A*: OR = 1.15; 95% CI, 1.04–1.28; *IL10-1082A>G*: OR = 1.10; 95% CI, 1.05–1.15); and with CLL/SLL for *IL1RN 9589A>T* (OR = 1.50; 95% CI, 1.17–1.91). A few negative associations were also found for FL with *IL6 -597G>A* (OR = 0.81; 95% CI, 0.78–0.85) and *LTA 252A>G* (OR = 0.93; 95% CI, 0.87–0.99); and for CLL/SLL with *IL1B -511C>T* (OR = 0.84; 95% CI, 0.81–0.87). Table 4 shows findings between DLBCL, FL, and CLL/SLL and being overweight or obese for the subsets of subjects with data for the five positively associated polymorphisms; findings for BMI in the subsets for the other seven polymorphisms were similar (data not shown). Risk estimates were increased for DLBCL among obese individuals compared with those of normal weight in subsets with *TNF-308G>A* (OR = 1.33; 95% CI, 1.02–1.73), *IL10-1082A>G* (OR = 1.39; 95% CI, 1.12–1.73) and *CARD15 Ex11-35>C* (OR = 1.41; 95% CI, 1.04–1.91) data. For FL, there was no evidence that being obese increased risk (OR = 1.00; 95% CI, 0.81–1.24; OR = 1.13; 95% CI, 0.91–1.40 in the *IL10-3575T>A* and *IL10-1082A>G* subsets for example); while for CLL/SLL, some decreased associations with obesity were found (OR = 0.80; 95% CI, 0.69–0.93 in the *IL10-3575T>A* subset for example).

For DLBCL, the only subtype associated with obesity, tests for departure from additive interaction showed weak evidence for an additional effect of obesity and *TNF-308GA+AA* on DLBCL risk

($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.13$); there was no evidence for the other two polymorphisms positively associated with this subtype ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.77$ and 0.85 for *IL10-1082A>G* and *CARD15 Ex11-35>C*, respectively). Table 5 shows joint associations of BMI and *TNF-308G>A* on DLBCL risk, with the RERI; for completeness, joint associations and RERIs of BMI and the other polymorphisms for all three subtypes are given in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2 for categorical and continuous BMI, respectively. For DLBCL, risk estimates were increased among those who were overweight or obese irrespective of *TNF-308G>A* status (overweight and GG: OR = 1.21; 95% CI, 1.02–1.44; overweight and GA+AA: OR = 1.31; 95% CI, 1.00–1.71; obese and GG: OR = 1.25; 95% CI, 1.00–1.56). However, being both obese and having the *TNF-308A* allele almost doubled the risk estimate compared with persons of normal weight who did not carry the A allele (OR = 1.93; 95% CI, 1.27–2.94); an additional risk from being obese and having *TNF-308A* variant rather than having either risk factor alone was suggested (RERI = 0.41; 95% CI, –0.05–0.84). Similarly, increased trends with 5 kg/m² increase in BMI above 18.5 kg/m² were seen in homozygous wild types and variant *TNF-308A* carriers (OR = 1.14; 95% CI, 1.07–1.22; OR = 1.19; 95% CI, 1.12–1.27, respectively). The corresponding RERI of 0.05 (95% CI, –0.005–0.08) suggests that with every 5 kg/m² rise in BMI, the risk of DLBCL is 0.05 more than if there was no interaction.

Joint associations of BMI and *TNF-308G>A* genotype on DLBCL risk were not consistent across studies ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.02$). Associations were similar among North American studies, with evidence of additive interaction (RERI = 1.27; 95% CI, 0.48–2.08); but not among European studies (RERI = –0.25; 95% CI, –0.84–0.28; Table 6). Heterogeneity was present among studies that were population-based, which used the ICDO3 disease classification, where participation rates were 70% or more, where 90% or more of subjects were genotyped, where control distributions of *TNF* genotypes were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; or where BMI and *TNF-308G>A* genotypes were not correlated among controls. In these groupings, the risk estimates of being obese and having *TNF-308GA+AA* genotype tended to be similar, and RERIs were all above zero, although most were not statistically significant.

Discussion

This InterLymph analysis of the joint associations of BMI and cytokine polymorphisms on the risk of the three most common lymphoid neoplasms found some evidence of interaction between obesity and *TNF-308G>A* (rs1800629). For DLBCL, the risk was greatest among those who were obese and carried the *TNF-308A* allele, although risk was also increased among the overweight regardless of *TNF* status. The associations showed some variation between studies, but these differences were not explained by study design, disease classification, or other factors. On the other hand, being obese and carrying *TNF-308A* did not increase the risk of either FL or CLL/SLL. Besides *TNF-308G>A*, other cytokine SNPs in *IL1A* (rs1800587), *IL1B* (rs16944, rs1143627), *IL1RN* (rs454078), *IL2* (rs2069762), *IL6* (rs1800795, rs1800797), *IL10* (rs1800890, rs1800896), *LTA* (rs909253), and *CARD15* (rs2066847) showed little evidence of altering the non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) risk associated with being overweight.

Since publication of the InterLymph pooled analysis of BMI, where we reported that obesity increased DLBCL risk (1), several studies including cohorts have also found this

Table 3. Associations with cytokine polymorphisms among cases and controls with BMI data

	DLBCL			FL			CLL/SLL			Lymphoid neoplasms		
	Case/control	OR ^a	95% CI	Case/control	OR ^a	95% CI	Case/control	OR ^a	95% CI	Case/control	OR ^a	95% CI
<i>TNF-308G→A</i> (rs1800629)	1,477/4,752	$\chi^2 = 12.9$	$P_{het} = 0.11$	1,176/4,752	$\chi^2 = 4.22$	$P = 0.84$	875/3,576	$\chi^2 = 13.5$	$P_{het} = 0.04$	4,979/4,752	$\chi^2 = 11.3$	$P_{het} = 0.19$
Number of studies = 9 ^b	951/3,322	1 ref	1 ref	827/3,322	1 ref	1 ref	594/2,525	1 ref	1 ref	3,319/3,322	1 ref	1 ref
GG	513/1,371	1.24	1.07-1.44	344/1,371	0.95	0.86-1.06	271/1,000	1.08	0.87-1.34	1,616/1,371	1.14	1.02-1.27
<i>GA/AA</i>												
<i>LTA 252A→G</i> (rs909253)	1,510/4,879	$\chi^2 = 19.3$	$P_{het} = 0.01$	1,184/4,879	$\chi^2 = 2.11$	$P_{het} = 0.98$	888/3,694	$\chi^2 = 10.2$	$P_{het} = 0.12$	5,067/4,879	$\chi^2 = 11.0$	$P_{het} = 0.20$
Number of studies = 9 ^b	620/2,201	1 ref	1 ref	544/2,021	1 ref	1 ref	371/1,701	1 ref	1 ref	2,161/2,201	1 ref	1 ref
AA	877/2,617	1.15	0.95-1.40	634/2,617	0.93	0.87-0.99	507/1,941	1.14	0.99-1.31	2,860/2,617	1.08	0.98-1.18
<i>AG/GG</i>												
<i>IL10-3575T→A</i> (rs1800890)	1,515/5,061	$\chi^2 = 19.5$	$P_{het} = 0.01$	1,160/5,061	$\chi^2 = 6.57$	$P_{het} = 0.58$	883/3,895	$\chi^2 = 5.61$	$P_{het} = 0.47$	5,015/5,061	$\chi^2 = 14.6$	$P_{het} = 0.07$
Number of studies = 9 ^b	430/1,559	1 ref	1 ref	324/1,559	1 ref	1 ref	231/1,136	0.91	0.80-1.04	1,375/1,559	1 ref	1 ref
TT	1,072/3,442	1.08	0.87-1.35	830/3,442	1.15	1.04-1.28	642/2,708	0.91	0.80-1.04	3,595/3,442	1.07	0.95-1.22
<i>TA/AA</i>												
<i>IL10-1082A→G</i> (rs1800896)	948/3,328	$\chi^2 = 4.25$	$P_{het} = 0.64$	779/3,328	$\chi^2 = 2.00$	$P_{het} = 0.92$	340/2,161	$\chi^2 = 5.94$	$P_{het} = 0.20$	2,844/3,328	$\chi^2 = 4.66$	$P_{het} = 0.59$
Number of studies = 7 ^b	240/944	1 ref	1 ref	199/944	1 ref	1 ref	101/631	0.98	0.72-1.35	744/944	1 ref	1 ref
AA	702/2,339	1.14	1.00-1.31	576/2,339	1.10	1.05-1.15	236/1,494	0.98	0.72-1.35	2,077/2,339	1.11	1.03-1.20
<i>AG/GG</i>												
<i>CARD15 Ex11-35→C</i> (rs2066847)	1,261/4,092	$\chi^2 = 3.26$	$P_{het} = 0.66$	972/4,092	$\chi^2 = 12.6$	$P_{het} = 0.03$	735/2,645	$\chi^2 = 2.65$	$P_{het} = 0.45$	4,267/4,092	$\chi^2 = 3.71$	$P_{het} = 0.59$
Number of studies = 6 ^b	1,199/3,098	1 ref	1 ref	937/3,098	1 ref	1 ref	703/2,530	1.28	0.79-2.08	4,081/3,098	1 ref	1 ref
-	51/138	1.25	1.01-1.56	32/138	1.06	0.48-2.36	23/83	1.28	0.79-2.08	147/138	1.17	0.92-1.49
<i>-→+/++</i>												
<i>IL1A-889C→T</i> (rs1800587)	778/2,082	$\chi^2 = 1.49$	$P_{het} = 0.68$	634/2,082	$\chi^2 = 2.63$	$P_{het} = 0.45$	234/1,601	$\chi^2 = 2.77$	$P_{het} = 0.25$	2,195/2,082	$\chi^2 = 3.83$	$P_{het} = 0.28$
Number of studies = 4 ^b	396/998	1 ref	1 ref	311/998	1 ref	1 ref	108/770	1.09	0.80-1.48	1,082/998	1 ref	1 ref
CC	377/1,054	0.90	0.80-1.00	320/1,054	0.96	0.81-1.13	124/808	1.09	0.80-1.48	1,096/1,054	0.95	0.84-1.08
<i>CT/TT</i>												
<i>IL1B-31C→T</i> (rs1143627)	769/2,099	$\chi^2 = 5.99$	$P_{het} = 0.11$	633/2,099	$\chi^2 = 6.28$	$P_{het} = 0.10$	232/1,621	$\chi^2 = 2.65$	$P_{het} = 0.27$	2,188/2,099	$\chi^2 = 5.08$	$P_{het} = 0.17$
Number of studies = 4 ^b	340/922	1 ref	1 ref	284/922	1 ref	1 ref	107/730	0.98	0.70-1.37	988/922	1 ref	1 ref
CC	423/1,147	0.97	0.75-1.26	346/1,147	0.96	0.75-1.23	123/868	0.98	0.70-1.37	1,182/1,147	0.96	0.83-1.11
<i>CT/TT</i>												
<i>IL2-384T→G</i> (rs2069762)	770/2,085	$\chi^2 = 4.83$	$P_{het} = 0.19$	635/2,085	$\chi^2 = 2.40$	$P_{het} = 0.49$	232/1,604	$\chi^2 = 2.30$	$P_{het} = 0.32$	2,185/2,085	$\chi^2 = 4.70$	$P_{het} = 0.20$
Number of studies = 4 ^b	388/1,007	1 ref	1 ref	309/1,007	1 ref	1 ref	112/775	1.03	0.80-1.33	1,062/1,007	1 ref	1 ref
TT	376/1,048	0.93	0.79-1.09	323/1,048	0.99	0.85-1.15	118/806	1.03	0.80-1.33	1,105/1,048	1.00	0.86-1.16
<i>TG/GG</i>												
<i>IL6-174G→C</i> (rs1800795)	772/2,095	$\chi^2 = 3.77$	$P_{het} = 0.29$	640/2,095	$\chi^2 = 4.70$	$P_{het} = 0.20$	234/1,620	$\chi^2 = 2.71$	$P_{het} = 0.26$	2,203/2,095	$\chi^2 = 2.13$	$P_{het} = 0.55$
Number of studies = 4 ^b	271/703	1 ref	1 ref	222/703	1 ref	1 ref	81/541	0.92	0.66-1.22	777/703	1 ref	1 ref
GG	495/1,362	0.94	0.79-1.12	415/1,362	0.94	0.73-1.21	151/1,056	0.92	0.66-1.22	1,408/1,362	0.93	0.83-1.03
<i>GC/CC</i>												
<i>IL1B-511C→T</i> (rs16994)	708/1,695	$\chi^2 = 6.77$	$P_{het} = 0.03$	542/1,695	$\chi^2 = 2.99$	$P_{het} = 0.22$	136/1,217	$\chi^2 = 0.01$	$P_{het} = 0.92$	1,843/1,695	$\chi^2 = 3.96$	$P_{het} = 0.14$
Number of studies = 3 ^b	311/733	1 ref	1 ref	247/733	1 ref	1 ref	67/543	0.84	0.59-1.20	832/733	1 ref	1 ref
CC	392/934	0.98	0.71-1.35	292/934	0.92	0.74-1.14	68/653	0.84	0.59-1.20	996/934	0.94	0.79-1.12
<i>CT/TT</i>												
<i>IL1RN 9589A→T</i> (rs454078)	478/1,598	$\chi^2 = 5.75$	$P_{het} = 0.06$	422/1,598	$\chi^2 = 2.78$	$P_{het} = 0.25$	231/1,598	$\chi^2 = 2.07$	$P_{het} = 0.36$	1,673/1,598	$\chi^2 = 3.98$	$P_{het} = 0.14$
Number of studies = 3 ^b	250/885	1 ref	1 ref	210/885	1 ref	1 ref	105/885	1.50	1.17-1.91	849/885	1 ref	1 ref
AA	224/691	1.16	0.88-1.53	209/691	1.26	0.96-1.65	124/691	1.50	1.17-1.91	808/691	1.22	1.01-1.48
<i>AT/TT</i>												
<i>IL6-597G→A</i> (rs1800797)	488/1,591	$\chi^2 = 3.99$	$P_{het} = 0.14$	421/1,591	$\chi^2 = 0.08$	$P_{het} = 0.96$	233/1,591	$\chi^2 = 2.17$	$P_{het} = 0.34$	1,679/1,591	$\chi^2 = 0.31$	$P_{het} = 0.86$
Number of studies = 3 ^b	169/536	1 ref	1 ref	160/536	1 ref	1 ref	85/536	0.87	0.67-1.12	615/536	1 ref	1 ref
GG	315/1,031	0.95	0.71-1.28	258/1,031	0.81	0.78-0.85	146/1,031	0.87	0.67-1.12	1,048/1,031	0.87	0.82-0.93
<i>GA/AA</i>												

^aOR and 95% CIs estimated using logistic regression adjusted for sex, age, and study.

^bTests for heterogeneity between studies were conducted using the likelihood ratio test to compare the model with an interaction term between the cytokine and study variables with the basic model which adjusted for study. For FL, EpiLymph-France and Spain were considered together due to small numbers of cases in some strata. Fewer studies had data for CLL/SLL (7 studies with *TNF-308G→A*, *LTA252A→G*, or *IL10-3575T→A* data; 5 studies with *IL10-1082A→G* or *CARD15 Ex11-35→C* data; 3 studies with *IL1A-889C→T*, *IL1B-31C→T*, *IL2-384T→G*, or *IL6-174G→C* data; and 2 studies with *IL1B-511C→T* data).

Table 4. Associations with BMI among cases and controls where there is an association with the cytokine polymorphism

BMI ^a	DLBCL			FL			CLL/SLL			Lymphoid neoplasms		
	Case/control	OR ^b	95% CI	Case/control	OR ^b	95% CI	Case/control	OR ^b	95% CI	Case/control	OR ^b	95% CI
<i>TNF-308G→A</i> (rs1800629)												
Number of studies = 9 ^c	1,477/4,752	$\chi^2 = 31.2$	$P_{het} = 0.01$	1,176/4,752	$\chi^2 = 11.6$	$P_{het} = 0.77$	875/3,576	$\chi^2 = 6.79$	$P_{het} = 0.87$	4,979/4,752	$\chi^2 = 30.1$	$P_{het} = 0.02$
Normal	650/2,275		1 ref	567/2,275		1 ref	396/1,580		1 ref	2,262/2,275		1 ref
Overweight	548/1,689	1.14	0.91-1.43	422/1,689	1.05	0.92-1.20	344/1,310	0.90	0.75-1.10	1,862/1,689	1.05	0.90-1.21
Obese	266/729	1.33	1.02-1.73	182/729	1.05	0.87-1.26	125/635	0.80	0.67-0.96	811/729	1.08	0.90-1.30
Per 5 kg/m ²		1.16	1.09-1.23		1.02	0.95-1.10		0.93	0.85-1.02		1.05	1.01-1.10
<i>IL10-3575T→A</i> (rs1800890)												
Number of studies = 9 ^c	1,515/5,061	$\chi^2 = 29.9$	$P_{het} = 0.02$	1,160/5,061	$\chi^2 = 14.0$	$P_{het} = 0.60$	883/3,895	$\chi^2 = 8.02$	$P_{het} = 0.78$	5,015/5,061	$\chi^2 = 30.2$	$P_{het} = 0.02$
Normal	660/2,406		1 ref	565/2,406		1 ref	399/1,717		1 ref	2,281/2,406		1 ref
Overweight	575/1,822	1.15	0.93-1.42	413/1,822	1.03	0.89-1.19	347/1,449	0.89	0.72-1.11	1,882/1,822	1.02	0.87-1.20
Obese	267/773	1.29	0.98-1.69	176/773	1.00	0.81-1.24	127/678	0.80	0.69-0.93	807/773	1.04	0.86-1.27
Per 5 kg/m ²		1.15	1.08-1.22		1.01	0.94-1.09		0.92	0.84-1.01		1.04	0.99-1.09
<i>IL10-1082A→G</i> (rs1800896)												
Number of studies = 7 ^c	948/3,328	$\chi^2 = 19.7$	$P_{het} = 0.07$	779/3,328	$\chi^2 = 8.57$	$P_{het} = 0.74$	340/2,161	$\chi^2 = 2.28$	$P_{het} = 0.97$	2,844/3,328	$\chi^2 = 16.0$	$P_{het} = 0.19$
Normal	404/1,568		1 ref	362/1,568		1 ref	132/878		1 ref	1,215/1,568		1 ref
Overweight	343/1,151	1.20	1.05-1.38	273/1,151	1.09	0.93-1.28	140/778	0.96	0.76-1.20	1,040/1,151	1.10	1.00-1.21
Obese	195/564	1.39	1.12-1.73	140/564	1.13	0.91-1.40	65/469	0.75	0.63-0.90	566/564	1.15	1.00-1.33
Per 5 kg/m ²		1.15	1.07-1.24		1.04	0.96-1.13		0.89	0.79-1.01		1.06	1.01-1.12
<i>CARD15 Ex11-35→C</i> (rs2066847)												
Number of studies = 6 ^c	1,261/4,092	$\chi^2 = 19.5$	$P_{het} = 0.03$	972/4,092	$\chi^2 = 7.43$	$P_{het} = 0.68$	735/2,645	$\chi^2 = 6.46$	$P_{het} = 0.37$	4,267/4,092	$\chi^2 = 23.5$	$P_{het} < 0.01$
Normal	553/2,005		1 ref	486/2,005		1 ref	345/1,165		1 ref	1,983/2,005		1 ref
Overweight	469/1,483	1.10	0.85-1.42	356/1,483	1.03	0.89-1.18	285/1,010	0.85	0.67-1.08	1,614/1,483	1.01	0.85-1.20
Obese	228/558	1.41	1.04-1.91	127/558	0.94	0.78-1.14	96/438	0.79	0.61-1.01	631/558	1.04	0.81-1.34
Per 5 kg/m ²		1.19	1.11-1.27		0.99	0.91-1.08		0.91	0.82-1.02		1.04	0.99-1.09
<i>IL1RN 9589A→T</i> (rs454078)												
Number of studies = 3 ^c	478/1,598	$\chi^2 = 3.99$	$P_{het} = 0.41$	422/1,598	$\chi^2 = 2.94$	$P_{het} = 0.57$	231/1,598	$\chi^2 = 2.06$	$P_{het} = 0.72$	1,673/1,598	$\chi^2 = 1.37$	$P_{het} = 0.85$
Normal	163/650		1 ref	175/650		1 ref	88/650		1 ref	628/650		1 ref
Overweight	186/575	1.30	1.25-1.35	143/575	0.94	0.78-1.13	94/575	0.97	0.64-1.46	625/575	1.09	0.98-1.22
Obese	125/351	1.43	0.99-2.05	101/351	1.05	0.79-1.41	47/351	0.79	0.61-1.03	404/351	1.16	1.12-1.19
Per 5 kg/m ²		1.16	1.06-1.28		1.01	0.91-1.12		0.93	0.80-1.07		1.07	1.00-1.14

^aBMI: Normal weight, 18.5-25 kg/m²; overweight, 25-30 kg/m²; obese ≥ 30 kg/m².

^bORs and 95% CI estimated using logistic regression adjusted for sex, age, and study.

^cTests for heterogeneity between studies were conducted using the likelihood ratio test to compare the model with an interaction term between the BMI and study variables with the basic model which adjusted for study, For FL, EpiLymph-France and Spain were considered together due to small numbers of cases in some strata. Fewer studies had data for CLL/SLL (7 studies with *TNF-308G>A* or *IL10-3575T>A* data, and 5 studies with *IL10-1082A>G* or *CARD15 Ex11-35→C* data).

Table 5. Joint associations and relative excess risks due to interaction between BMI and *TNF-308G>A* (rs1800629) for DLBCL

BMI ^a	<i>TNF-308GG</i>		<i>TNF-308GA/AA</i>		RERI (95% CI) ^c
	Cases/controls	OR (95% CI) ^b	Cases/controls	OR (95% CI) ^b	
Normal	412/1,591	1 (ref)	238/684	1.27 (1.07-1.52)	—
Overweight	372/1,201	1.21 (1.02-1.44)	176/488	1.31 (1.00-1.71)	-0.18 (-0.47-0.10)
Obese	167/530	1.25 (1.00-1.56)	99/199	1.93 (1.27-2.94)	0.41 (-0.05-0.84)
Per 5 kg/m ²		$\chi^2 = 59.9$; $P_{het} = 0.02^d$ 1.14 (1.07-1.22)		1.19 (1.12-1.27)	$\chi^2 = 4.10$; $P_{int} = 0.13^e$ 0.05 (-0.005-0.08)

^aBMI: Normal weight, 18.5-25 kg/m²; overweight; 25-30 kg/m²; obese, ≥ 30 kg/m².

^bORs and 95% CIs estimated using logistic regression adjusted for sex, age, and study.

^cRERI estimated using linear odds ratio regression adjusted for sex, age, and study and its 95% CI for categorical BMI were likelihood-based, derived using maximum likelihood estimation; or by bootstrapping percentile method for continuous BMI.

^dTests for heterogeneity between studies were conducted using the likelihood ratio test to compare the model with an interaction term between the joint effect and study variables with the basic model which adjusted for study.

^eTests for departure from additive interaction between BMI and *TNF* were conducted using the likelihood ratio test on linear odds ratio model.

relationship (25-29) while others have not (11, 30-36). In summarizing published data for DLBCL and obesity, two meta-analyses have noted an increased risk (3, 4), the latest including all but the most recent publications (27, 28). Unfortunately, however, several studies which reported no association with total NHL did not stratify their data by subtype (32, 37-39). To further explore the mechanisms underlying the relationship between obesity and lymphoma, chronic inflammation involving cytokine production is one possible pathway that has been investigated mostly by examining SNPs (9-11), although the functional roles for some are not yet conclusive (40). One study measured prediagnosis serum levels of cytokines and found no association between TNF levels and NHL among either normal or overweight persons (9). When examining SNPs in *TNF*, Wang and colleagues noted an excess risk of DLBCL among obese individuals carrying the *TNF-308A* allele and, although Chen and colleagues did not report joint associations, a similar finding among overweight women is suggested (crude OR = 1.8; 95% CI, 1.0-3.2; refs. 10, 11). To our knowledge, these are the only studies to have investigated cytokine SNPs in relation to the effect obesity may have on lymphoma risk, and both are included in this pooled analysis.

TNF has been implicated in the relationship between obesity and several other cancers including breast, endometrium, and gastrointestinal (41-43); the promotion of tumor cell proliferation through activation of NF κ B being suggested as the most likely explanation (44). In obesity, B cells, T cells, and macrophages infiltrate the expanding adipose tissue, and not only lead to, but also maintain, a chronic inflammatory state (5). The macrophages secrete most of the TNF produced by adipose tissue, which escapes into circulation to bind to and activate its receptor TNFR, which is expressed in all human tissues (5, 41). TNF activates IL6 in adipose tissue and downstream of both cytokines are the NF κ B and STAT3 cycles. These pathways have important roles in lymphocyte development, function, and survival, and deregulation of these cycles are seen in lymphoid malignancies including DLBCL, the most common aggressive subtype examined here (26). Obesity-related lymphomagenesis is likely to be complex involving the actions of additional proinflammatory cytokines and immunomodulatory mediators that trigger downstream targets that promote the clonal expansion and transformation of B cells with premalignant lesions. Further studies will be needed to investigate possible disease mechanisms.

When assessing gene-environment interactions, differential misclassification can bias the interaction estimate in either direction (45). Our data may not be free from differential case-control

participation and reporting. Among controls, obesity-related health problems may have influenced their participation and for cases, although rapid ascertainment techniques were employed, those with poor survival, which may be related to different degrees of adiposity (46), could have been missed. Our anthropometric data were self-reported and BMI could be biased toward "normal" weight as respondents tend to overestimate their height and underestimate their weight to varying degrees dependent on their gender and age (47). Cases' responses could also have been influenced by weight loss associated with lymphoma, although several studies attempted to compensate for this by requesting weight at a year or more before diagnosis. The effect of participation bias on our finding of an interaction will be limited if obesity and *TNF-308G>A* are associated in the general population. *TNF-308G>A* SNP has been suggested to be related, albeit weakly, to obesity, but the mechanism for *TNF* gene involvement in obesity pathogenesis is unclear (48). If there is an association, persons carrying the variant allele may be under- (or over-) represented in our data if body fatness is related to participation, or the stratum-specific frequencies on gene and BMI category could be inaccurate, biasing the interaction estimate in either direction. Among our controls, *TNF* genotype and BMI overall were not correlated in all but two of our studies (NCI-SEER, EpiLymph-Spain); the removal of these did not alter our findings.

Strengths of our study include its large sample size, giving the potential to examine interactions and explore differences in interactions among the most common lymphoid neoplasms. Obesity prevalence varies across countries, which could relate differently to subjects' participation and responses in the studies included. Most studies had not published on this topic before, and while data were a subset of studies and subjects included in the main effects analyses, the risk estimates for BMI and SNPs were consistent with those published previously (1, 6-8). A reduced risk of CLL/SLL with obesity was found in some subsets of data, which could relate to disease-related weight loss; but in larger InterLymph datasets, no obesity associations for CLL/SLL have been reported (1). Other limitations are the low power to assess interactions in less common lymphoid neoplasms and some SNPs which were tested in only a few studies. Indeed, statistically significant interactions were found with SNPs genotyped in all nine studies, and others with fewer may have shown an effect had we had more data. Many other candidate cytokine SNPs were not associated with lymphoid neoplasms, and so it is not surprising that no joint association was found between obesity and these SNPs. BMI in this analysis related to weight at older age and there was no evidence that associations were different among those aged under or over 65 years; data on BMI in young

Table 6. Sensitivity analyses by study design factors on overall risk estimates and relative excess risks due to interaction for DLBCL associated with being obese and *TNF-308A* genotype (rs1800629)

	Studies	Study heterogeneity ^a	Normal GA+AA ^b OR (95% CI) ^c	Obese GG ^b OR (95% CI) ^c	Obese GA+AA ^b OR (95% CI) ^c	Departure from additive interaction ^d	RERI (95% CI) ^e
Continent							
North America	NCI-SEER, Mayo, UCSFI, Connecticut	$\chi^2 = 12.0$; $P_{\text{het}} = 0.68$	1.25 (0.91–1.70)	1.19 (0.88–1.62)	2.71 (1.84–4.01)	$\chi^2 = 7.56$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.02$	1.27 (0.48–2.08)
Europe	UK, SCALE-Denmark, SCALE-Sweden, EpiLymph-France, EpiLymph-Spain	$\chi^2 = 38.1$; $P_{\text{het}} = 0.01$	1.29 (1.02–1.63)	1.37 (1.01–1.85)	1.40 (0.95–2.07)	$\chi^2 = 2.45$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.29$	-0.25 (-0.84–0.28)
Study design							
Population-based	NCI-SEER, UCSFI, Connecticut, UK, SCALE-Denmark, SCALE-Sweden	$\chi^2 = 39.7$; $P_{\text{het}} = 0.03$	1.25 (1.03–1.52)	1.29 (1.00–1.65)	2.05 (1.27–3.32)	$\chi^2 = 5.31$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.07$	0.51 (0.004–1.00)
Clinic/hospital-based	Mayo, EpiLymph-France, EpiLymph-Spain	$\chi^2 = 16.0$; $P_{\text{het}} = 0.10$	1.62 (1.23–2.14)	1.06 (0.71–1.58)	1.34 (0.54–3.35)	$\chi^2 = 0.33$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.85$	-0.33 (-1.79–1.13)
Diagnosis classification							
ICD03	NCI-SEER, Mayo, UK, SCALE-Denmark, SCALE-Sweden, EpiLymph-France, EpiLymph-Spain	$\chi^2 = 47.1$; $P_{\text{het}} = 0.02$	1.29 (1.03–1.62)	1.34 (1.07–1.69)	1.84 (1.13–3.00)	$\chi^2 = 2.19$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.33$	0.20 (-0.31–0.68)
Other							
Participation rate	UCSFI, Connecticut	$\chi^2 = 8.02$; $P_{\text{het}} = 0.16$	1.21 (1.06–1.38)	0.83 (0.72–0.96)	2.66 (0.59–12.1)	$\chi^2 = 5.24$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.07$	1.62 (0.41–2.97)
	UCSFI, SCALE-Denmark, SCALE-Sweden, EpiLymph-France, EpiLymph-Spain	$\chi^2 = 44.1$; $P_{\text{het}} < 0.01$	1.21 (0.87–1.69)	1.14 (0.81–1.60)	1.56 (0.80–3.02)	$\chi^2 = 0.43$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.81$	0.20 (-0.41–0.78)
<70%	NCI-SEER, Mayo, Connecticut, UK	$\chi^2 = 14.9$; $P_{\text{het}} = 0.46$	1.36 (1.26–1.46)	1.34 (1.00–1.80)	2.32 (1.52–3.56)	$\chi^2 = 4.77$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.09$	0.63 (-0.08–1.30)
Proportion of study subjects with TNF genotype							
≥90%	NCI-SEER, UCSFI, Connecticut, SCALE-Denmark, EpiLymph-France, EpiLymph-Spain	$\chi^2 = 38.9$; $P_{\text{het}} = 0.04$	1.12 (0.89–1.42)	1.24 (0.95–1.62)	2.36 (1.47–3.77)	$\chi^2 = 6.84$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.03$	1.00 (0.37–1.64)
<90%	Mayo, UK, SCALE-Sweden	$\chi^2 = 7.10$; $P_{\text{het}} = 0.72$	1.46 (1.42–1.51)	1.30 (0.80–2.13)	1.45 (0.89–2.36)	$\chi^2 = 7.08$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.03^f$	-0.32 (-1.04–0.30)
Controls' TNF genotypes in Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium							
Yes	NCI-SEER, Mayo, Connecticut, UK, SCALE-Denmark, SCALE-Sweden, EpiLymph-France	$\chi^2 = 43.4$; $P_{\text{het}} = 0.05$	1.28 (1.05–1.58)	1.26 (0.98–1.61)	1.88 (1.22–2.90)	$\chi^2 = 4.19$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.12$	0.34 (-0.15–0.79)
No	UCSFI, EpiLymph-Spain	$\chi^2 = 15.4$; $P_{\text{het}} < 0.01$	1.21 (0.95–1.53)	1.20 (0.84–1.73)	2.37 (0.26–21.8)	$\chi^2 = 1.59$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.45$	0.96 (-0.50–2.55)
BMI-TNF association among Controls							
No	Mayo, UCSFI, Connecticut, UK, SCALE-Denmark, SCALE-Sweden, EpiLymph-France	$\chi^2 = 44.5$; $P_{\text{het}} = 0.04$	1.27 (1.04–1.56)	1.17 (0.85–1.62)	1.76 (1.16–2.67)	$\chi^2 = 4.60$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.10$	0.31 (-0.20–0.80)
Yes	NCI-SEER, EpiLymph-Spain	$\chi^2 = 8.21$; $P_{\text{het}} = 0.15$	1.33 (1.20–1.48)	1.43 (1.40–1.46)	2.60 (1.09–6.22)	$\chi^2 = 1.65$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.44$	0.84 (-0.32–1.93)

^aTests for heterogeneity between studies were conducted using the likelihood ratio test to compare the model with an interaction term between the joint association and study variables with the basic model which adjusted for study.

^bNormal weight, 18.5–<25 kg/m²; obese, ≥30 kg/m²; GG and GA+AA are *TNF-308G>A* genotypes where G is considered wild type, and A the variant, allele.

^cORs and 95% CIs estimated using logistic regression adjusted for sex, age, and study where referent group was normal weight and *TNF-308GG* genotype. ORs shown for normal GA+AA and obese GG to aid interpretation of relative excess risk due to interaction.

^dTests for departure from additive interaction between BMI and *TNF* were conducted using the likelihood ratio test on linear odds ratio model.

^eRERI estimated using linear OR regression adjusted for sex, age, and study and its likelihood-based 95% CI were derived using maximum likelihood estimation.

^fStatistically significant departure from additive interaction due to RERI for overweight (25–<30 kg/m²) and GA+AA.

adulthood, which has been associated with DLBCL (2, 31), were too sparse. As for generalizability, our findings may not translate to all populations as our subjects were Caucasian and resident in developed nations. Furthermore, the BMI was developed to estimate body fat in Caucasians of working age and so may not be applicable to other groups; no other indicators such as waist-to-hip ratio were available. There is certainly variation in obesity rates worldwide (49) and also the distribution of *TNF-308G>A* genotypes and those of the other SNPs studied here differ between populations too (50).

In conclusion, we found some evidence of interaction between *TNF-308G>A* and BMI on the risk of DLBCL. The increased risk among persons carrying the variant *TNF-308A* allele and being obese was not necessarily consistent across studies, and the possibility that differential biases affected the findings cannot be ruled out. One way to potentially reduce these biases is to examine gene–environment associations in large cohort studies using more specific measures of adiposity such as total body fat, and obtaining data on circulating levels of TNF and other cytokines. Furthermore, within InterLymph, genome-wide association scans are now completed for more studies than included here (51), and findings from these may identify other adipose tissue–related cytokines and adipokines.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

P.M. Bracci reports receiving commercial research support from Navidea Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other authors.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: E. Kane, C.F. Skibola, E.A. Holly, L.M. Morton, S.S. Wang, T. Zheng

Development of methodology: E.A. Holly, T. Zheng

Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): P.M. Bracci, J.R. Cerhan, K.E. Smedby, E.A. Holly, M. Maynadié, A.J. Novak, T.J. Lightfoot, S.M. Ansell, A.G. Smith, M. Liebow, M. Melbye, L.M. Morton, S. de Sanjosé, S.L. Slager, T. Zheng, E. Roman

Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): E. Kane, P.M. Bracci, K.E. Smedby, L.M. Morton, S. de Sanjosé, S.L. Slager, S.S. Wang, T. Zheng

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: E. Kane, C.F. Skibola, P.M. Bracci, J.R. Cerhan, L. Costas, K.E. Smedby, E.A. Holly, T.J. Lightfoot, S.M. Ansell, M. Liebow, M. Melbye, L.M. Morton, S. de Sanjosé, S.S. Wang, Y. Zhang, T. Zheng, E. Roman

Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): P.M. Bracci, J.R. Cerhan, M. Melbye, Y. Zhang, T. Zheng

Study supervision: C.F. Skibola, E.A. Holly, T. Zheng

Grant Support

Studies that contributed data to this pooled analysis were supported by: NCI contracts N01-PC-65064, N01-PC-67008, N01-PC-67009, N01-PC-67010, N02-PC-71105 and the Intramural Research Program of the NCI, NIH, and Public Health Service (NCI-SEER study); grants R01 CA92153 and P50 CA97274, NIH (Mayo Clinic; to J.R. Cerhan); grants R01 CA45614, R03 CA89745, R01 CA87014, NCI 263-MQ-701711, U01 CA66529, R01 CA104682, and CA154643, NIH and NCI, and collection of cancer incidence data was supported by the California Department of Public Health as part of the statewide cancer reporting program; the NCI's SEER Program under contract HHSN261201000140C awarded to CPIC; and the CDC's National Program of Cancer Registries, under agreement # U58DP003862-01 awarded to the California Department of Public Health (E.A. Holly, UCSF); CA62006, NCI (T. Zheng, Connecticut); Leukaemia & Lymphoma Research, United Kingdom (E. Roman, ELCCS); R19-A2364, Lundbeck Foundation Grant, DP 08-155 Danish Cancer Society Grant, 5R01 CA69669-02 NIH, Danish Cancer Research Foundation Grant and Plan Denmark (M. Melbye, SCALE-Denmark); 2009/659, the Swedish Cancer Society, 20110209, Stockholm County Council, 02 6661, Swedish Cancer Society grant and the Strategic Research Program in Epidemiology at Karolinska Institute (K.E. Smedby, SCALE-Sweden); QLK4-CT-2000-00422 and FOOD-CT-2006-023103 European Commission; CIBERESP, PI11/01810, RCESP C03/09, RTICESP C03/10, and RTIC RD06/0020/0095, the Spanish Ministry of Health (grant references), 051210 the Marató de TV3 Foundation, 2009SGR1465 the Agència de Gestió d'Ajuts Universitaris i de Recerca—Generalitat de Catalunya (who had no role in the data collection, analysis, or interpretation of the results); 2014SGR756-F, PI11/01810, PI14/01219 and CM13/00232; NO1-CO-12400, the NIH, the Compagnia di San Paolo—Programma Oncologia, StSch4261 and StSch4420 grants, the Federal Office for Radiation Protection, DJCLS-R04/08 the José Carreras Leukemia Foundation grant (S. de Sanjosé, M. Maynadié, EpiLymph studies).

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received December 8, 2014; revised April 13, 2015; accepted April 27, 2015; published OnlineFirst May 11, 2015.

References

- Willett EV, Morton LM, Hartge P, Becker N, Bernstein L, Boffetta P, et al. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma and obesity: a pooled analysis from the InterLymph Consortium. *Int J Cancer* 2008;122:2062–70.
- Cerhan JR, Krickler A, Paltiel O, Flowers CR, Wang SS, Monnereau A, et al. Medical history, lifestyle, family history, and occupational risk factors for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: the InterLymph Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Subtypes Project. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monographs* 2014; 2014:15–25.
- Larsson SC, Wolk A. Body mass index and risk of non-Hodgkin's and Hodgkin's lymphoma: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Eur J Cancer* 2011;47:2422–30.
- Castillo JJ, Ingham RR, Reagan JL, Furman M, Dalia S, Mitri J. Obesity is associated with increased relative risk of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* 2014;14:122–30.
- Winer DA, Winer S, Chng MHY, Shen L, Engleman EG. B Lymphocytes in obesity-related adipose tissue inflammation and insulin resistance. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2014;71:1033–43.
- Rothman N, Skibola CF, Wang SS, Morgan G, Lan Q, Smith MT, et al. Genetic variation in TNF and IL10 and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a report from the InterLymph Consortium. *Lancet Oncol* 2006;7:27–38.
- Skibola CF, Bracci PM, Nieters A, Brooks-Wilson A, de Sanjosé S, Hughes AM, et al. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and lymphotoxin-alpha (LTA) polymorphisms and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in the InterLymph Consortium. *Am J Epidemiol* 2010;171:267–76.
- Nieters A, Conde L, Slager SL, Brooks-Wilson A, Morton L, Skibola DR, et al. PRR2A and BCL2L1 gene variants influence risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma: results from the InterLymph consortium. *Blood* 2012; 120:4645–8.
- Conroy SM, Maskarinec G, Morimoto Y, Franke AA, Cooney RV, Wilkens LR, et al. Non-hodgkin lymphoma and circulating markers of inflammation and adiposity in a nested case-control study: the multiethnic cohort. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2013;22:337–47.
- Wang SS, Cozen W, Cerhan JR, Colt JS, Morton LM, Engels EA, et al. Immune mechanisms in non-Hodgkin lymphoma: joint effects of the TNF C308A and IL10 T3575A polymorphisms with non-Hodgkin lymphoma risk factors. *Cancer Res* 2007;67:5042–54.
- Chen Y, Zheng T, Lan Q, Foss F, Kim C, Chen X, et al. Cytokine polymorphisms in Th1/Th2 pathway genes, body mass index, and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood* 2011;117:585–90.
- Cerhan JR, Bernstein L, Severson RK, Davis S, Colt JS, Blair A, et al. Anthropometrics, physical activity, related medical conditions, and the

- risk of non-hodgkin lymphoma. *Cancer Causes Control* 2005;16:1203–14.
13. Cerhan JR, Fredericksen ZS, Wang AH, Habermann TM, Kay NE, Macon WR, et al. Design and validity of a clinic-based case-control study on the molecular epidemiology of lymphoma. *Int J Mol Epidemiol Genet* 2011;2:95–113.
 14. Cerhan JR, Fredericksen ZS, Novak AJ, Ansell SM, Kay NE, Liebow M, et al. A two-stage evaluation of genetic variation in immune and inflammation genes with risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma identifies new susceptibility locus in 6p21.3 region. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2012;21:1799–806.
 15. Holly EA, Lele C, Bracci PM, McGrath MS. Case-control study of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma among women and heterosexual men in the San Francisco Bay Area, California. *Am J Epidemiol* 1999;150:375–89.
 16. Morton LM, Holford TR, Leaderer B, Zhang Y, Zahm SH, Boyle P, et al. Alcohol use and risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma among Connecticut women (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 2003;14:687–94.
 17. Willett EV, Smith AG, Dovey GJ, Morgan GJ, Parker J, Roman E. Tobacco and alcohol consumption and the risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Cancer Causes Control* 2004;15:771–80.
 18. Chang ET, Hjalgrim H, Smedby KE, Akerman M, Tani E, Johnsen HE, et al. Body mass index and risk of malignant lymphoma in Scandinavian men and women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2005;97:210–8.
 19. Besson H, Brennan P, Becker N, Nieters A, De Sanjosé S, Font R, et al. Tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a European multicenter case-control study (EpiLymph). *Int J Cancer* 2006;119:901–8.
 20. De Sanjose S, Shah KV, Domingo-Domenech E, Engels EA, Fernandez de Sevilla A, Alvaro T, et al. Lack of serological evidence for an association between simian virus 40 and lymphoma. *Int J Cancer* 2003;104:522–4.
 21. Morton LM, Turner JJ, Cerhan JR, Linet MS, Treseler PA, Clarke CA, et al. Proposed classification of lymphoid neoplasms for epidemiologic research from the Pathology Working Group of the International Lymphoma Epidemiology Consortium (InterLymph). *Blood* 2007;110:695–708.
 22. WHO Expert Committee on Physical Status. Physical Status: The Use and Interpretation of Anthropometry: Report of a WHO Expert Committee [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1995. Report No.: 854. Available from: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/trs/WHO_TRS_854.pdf
 23. Richardson DB, Kaufman JS. Estimation of the relative excess risk due to interaction and associated confidence bounds. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009;169:756–60.
 24. Knol MJ, van der Tweel I, Grobbee DE, Numans ME, Geerlings MI. Estimating interaction on an additive scale between continuous determinants in a logistic regression model. *Int J Epidemiol* 2007;36:1111–8.
 25. Troy JD, Hartge P, Weissfeld JL, Oken MM, Colditz GA, Mechanic LE, et al. Associations between anthropometry, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and non-Hodgkin lymphoma in the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial. *Am J Epidemiol* 2010;171:1270–81.
 26. Nagel G, Stocks T, Späth D, Hjartåker A, Lindkvist B, Hallmans G, et al. Metabolic factors and blood cancers among 578,000 adults in the metabolic syndrome and cancer project (Me-Can). *Ann Hematol* 2012;91:1519–31.
 27. Murphy F, Kroll ME, Pirie K, Reeves G, Green J, Beral V. Body size in relation to incidence of subtypes of haematological malignancy in the prospective Million Women Study. *Br J Cancer* 2013;108:2390–8.
 28. Bertrand KA, Giovannucci E, Zhang SM, Laden F, Rosner B, Birmann BM. A prospective analysis of body size during childhood, adolescence, and adulthood and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Cancer Prev Res* 2013;6:864–73.
 29. Patel AV, Diver WR, Teras LR, Birmann BM, Gapstur SM. Body mass index, height and risk of lymphoid neoplasms in a large United States cohort. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2013;54:1221–7.
 30. Britton JA, Khan AE, Rohrmann S, Becker N, Linseisen J, Nieters A, et al. Anthropometric characteristics and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and multiple myeloma risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Haematologica* 2008;93:1666–77.
 31. Maskarinec G, Erber E, Gill J, Cozen W, Kolonel LN. Overweight and obesity at different times in life as risk factors for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: the multiethnic cohort. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008;17:196–203.
 32. Kanda J, Matsuo K, Inoue M, Iwasaki M, Sawada N, Shimazu T, et al. Association of anthropometric characteristics with the risk of malignant lymphoma and plasma cell myeloma in a Japanese population: a population-based cohort study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2010;19:1623–31.
 33. Lu Y, Prescott J, Sullivan-Halley J, Henderson KD, Ma H, Chang ET, et al. Body size, recreational physical activity, and B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma risk among women in the California teachers study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009;170:1231–40.
 34. Pylypchuk RD, Schouten LJ, Goldbohm RA, Schouten HC, van den Brandt PA. Body mass index, height, and risk of lymphatic malignancies: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009;170:297–307.
 35. Kabat GC, Kim MY, Jean-Wactawski-Wende, Bea JW, Edlefsen KL, Adams-Campbell LL, et al. Anthropometric factors, physical activity, and risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in the Women's Health Initiative. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2012;36:52–9.
 36. Kelly JL, Fredericksen ZS, Liebow M, Shanafelt TD, Thompson CA, Call TG, et al. The association between early life and adult body mass index and physical activity with risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma: impact of gender. *Ann Epidemiol* 2012;22:855–62.
 37. Song Y-M, Sung J, Ha M. Obesity and risk of cancer in postmenopausal Korean women. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:3395–402.
 38. Söderberg KC, Kaprio J, Verkasalo PK, Pukkala E, Koskenvuo M, Lundqvist E, et al. Overweight, obesity and risk of haematological malignancies: a cohort study of Swedish and Finnish twins. *Eur J Cancer* 2009;45:1232–8.
 39. Ali A, Al-Belushi BS, Waly MI, Al-Moundhri M, Burney IA. Dietary and lifestyle factors and risk of non-hodgkin's lymphoma in Oman. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2013;14:841–8.
 40. Mekinian A, Tamouza R, Pavy S, Gestermann N, Ittah M, Mariette X, et al. Functional study of TNF- α promoter polymorphisms: literature review and meta-analysis. *Eur Cytokine Netw* 2011;22:88–102.
 41. Weichhaus M, Broom I, Bermanno G. The molecular contribution of TNF- α in the link between obesity and breast cancer. *Oncol Rep* 2011;25:477–83.
 42. Nair S, Nguyen H, Salama S, Al-Hendy A. Obesity and the endometrium: adipocyte-secreted proinflammatory TNF α cytokine enhances the proliferation of human endometrial glandular cells. *Obstet Gynecol Int* 2013;2013:368543.
 43. Alemán JO, Eusebi LH, Ricciardiello L, Patidar K, Sanyal AJ, Holt PR. Mechanisms of obesity-induced gastrointestinal neoplasia. *Gastroenterology* 2014;146:357–73.
 44. Prieto-Hontoria PL, Pérez-Matute P, Fernández-Galilea M, Bustos M, Martínez JA, Moreno-Aliaga MJ. Role of obesity-associated dysfunctional adipose tissue in cancer: a molecular nutrition approach. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2011;1807:664–78.
 45. Garcia-Closas M, Thompson WD, Robins JM. Differential misclassification and the assessment of gene-environment interactions in case-control studies. *Am J Epidemiol* 1998;147:426–33.
 46. Carson KR, Bartlett NL, McDonald JR, Luo S, Zeringue A, Liu J, et al. Increased body mass index is associated with improved survival in United States veterans with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:3217–22.
 47. Connor Gorber S, Tremblay M, Moher D, Gorber B. A comparison of direct vs. self-report measures for assessing height, weight and body mass index: a systematic review. *Obes Rev* 2007;8:307–26.
 48. Yu Z, Han S, Cao X, Zhu C, Wang X, Guo X. Genetic polymorphisms in adipokine genes and the risk of obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity* 2012;20:396–406.
 49. World Health Organization. Global Database on Body Mass Index an interactive surveillance tool for monitoring nutrition transition [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2006 [cited 2015 Feb 4]. Available from: <http://apps.who.int/bmi/index.jsp>
 50. Van Dyke AL, Cote ML, Wenzlaff AS, Land S, Schwartz AG. Cytokine SNPs: comparison of allele frequencies by race and implications for future studies. *Cytokine* 2009;46:236–44.
 51. Cerhan JR, Berndt SI, Vijai J, Ghesquières H, McKay J, Wang SS, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies multiple susceptibility loci for diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Nat Genet* 2014.