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Objective: To present recommendations for the cleansing, debridement, dressing, and monitoring of acute skin trauma in patients.

Background: Acute skin trauma is common during participation in athletic and recreational activities. Clinical decisions and intervention protocols after injury vary among athletic trainers and are often based on ritualistic practices. An understanding of cleansing, debridement, and dressing techniques; clinical features of infection and adverse reactions; and monitoring of acute skin trauma is critical for certified athletic trainers and other allied health and medical professionals to create a local wound environment that promotes healing and lessens the risk of complications.

Recommendations: These guidelines are intended to provide the certified athletic trainer and others participating in athletic health care with specific knowledge about and recommendations for the management of acute skin trauma.
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Traumatic injury to the skin is common among athletes participating in all sports. The exact frequencies of abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, lacerations, and punctures are difficult to calculate because many patients do not seek medical attention after injury; for others, their activity level is initially unaffected, and the injury is not recorded on surveillance reports. Unreported skin trauma and inappropriate wound management can result in delayed healing, cross-contamination, bacterial colonization, and infection, adversely affecting the overall health and playing status of the patient. Managing acute skin trauma through appropriate cleansing, debridement, and dressing techniques can create an environment conducive to healing and lessen the risk of complications.

Wound-management techniques have undergone drastic changes over the last 50 years, and other allied health care professions, organizations, and facilities have developed guidelines that serve as standards of care. However, guidelines for the management of acute skin trauma by athletic trainers (ATs) are limited in the literature. The development and implementation of cleansing, debridement, and dressing techniques for acute skin trauma are critical for ATs to successfully deliver health care services to patients. The following review and recommendations provide information on the management of acute skin trauma and guidelines for ATs and other allied health and medical professionals who care for patients.

RECOMMENDATIONS

This position statement is based on current research and literature with regard to the cleansing, debridement, dressing, and monitoring of acute skin trauma. We independently categorized the studies and literature using the Strength of Recommendation Taxonomy (SORT) developed by the American Academy of Family Physicians. The taxonomy grades the quality of the data from the literature (level of evidence) and provides strength ratings for the suggested recommendations with the letter A, B, or C (Table 1).

The recommendations have been organized into the following categories: wound cleansing, debridement, dressings, identification of infection and adverse reactions,
Follow-up, and supplies for athletic training facilities and kits.

Cleansing

1. The wound bed and adjacent periwound tissues should be thoroughly cleansed as soon as possible after acute skin trauma. Strength of recommendation: C

2. After initial cleansing, the wound should be cleansed only when clinically necessary (eg, visibly contaminated, clinically infected). Strength of recommendation: C

3. Irrigation can be used to cleanse superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, incisions, and lacerations. Strength of recommendation: C

   a. To effectively remove wound debris, irrigation pressure should range between 4 and 15 pounds per square inch (psi; 27.58–103.42 kPa). Strength of recommendation: B

   b. A range of 7 to 11 psi (48.26–75.84 kPa) can be achieved with a 35-mL syringe and an 18- to 20-gauge needle, needle hub, or plastic cannula. Strength of recommendation: B

4. Showering can be used to cleanse postoperative incisions. Strength of recommendation: A

5. Hydrotherapy (eg, whirlpool baths and soaks) may be used during cleansing to hydrate a wound. Strength of recommendation: C

6. Scrubbing or swabbing of the wound bed should be avoided because it may be ineffective in reducing bacterial counts and can further contaminate and damage the granulation tissue. Strength of recommendation: C

7. Normal saline and potable tap water should be used as cleansing agents with superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, incisions, and lacerations. Strength of recommendation: A

8. Tap water should be avoided in the presence of exposed bone or tendon, although the basis for this guideline is unclear. Strength of recommendation: C

9. Antiseptics (eg, povidone-iodine, hydrogen peroxide) should be used with caution as cleansing agents because some may be toxic to tissues. Strength of recommendation: B

10. Irrigation with 1% povidone-iodine can be used to cleanse acute, traumatic, contaminated wounds. Strength of recommendation: B

11. The cleansing solution should be delivered to the wound at a temperature between 98.6°F and 107.6°F (37°C and 42°C). Strength of recommendation: C

Debridement

12. The area of acute skin trauma should be thoroughly cleansed and debrided before dressings are applied. Strength of recommendation: B

13. Debridement should continue until well-vascularized, healthy granulation or epithelial tissue is exposed. Strength of recommendation: C

14. The method of debridement should be based on the type of wound, amount and type of debris, training and expertise of the health care provider, and cost-effectiveness and time-effectiveness of the technique. Strength of recommendation: C

15. Irrigation can serve as an extension of cleansing for debridement of superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, lacerations, and punctures. Strength of recommendation: C

   a. Irrigation pressure should range between 4 and 15 psi (27.58–103.4 kPa). Strength of recommendation: C

   b. To avoid driving debris and contaminants deeper into puncture wounds, an irrigation pressure of 2 to 4 psi (13.79–27.58 kPa) should be used. Strength of recommendation: C

16. Hydrotherapy debridement (eg, whirlpool baths and soaks) should be avoided because it presents a risk of cross-contamination and is neither cost-effective nor time-effective. Strength of recommendation: C

17. Wet-to-dry debridement should be avoided because tissue removal is nonselective and painful. Strength of recommendation: C

18. Wet-to-moist debridement may be used for superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, lacerations, and punctures in the presence of healthy granulation tissue. Strength of recommendation: C

19. Scrubbing should be used only for superficial- to partial-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, and lacerations contaminated with large quantities of small debris (eg, sand, grass, clay, asphalt). Strength of recommendation: C

20. Conservative sharp debridement can be used after cleansing to remove loosely adhering, devitalized tissue from superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, and lacerations. Strength of recommendation: C

21. Chemical debridement (eg, sodium hypochlorite, hydrogen peroxide, silver) should be avoided because some elements and compounds may damage viable tissue. Strength of recommendation: C
Table 2. Sample Dressings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allevyn</td>
<td>Foam</td>
<td>Smith &amp; Nephew Pty, Limited, Mount Waverley, Victoria, Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquaflo</td>
<td>Hydrogel</td>
<td>Covidien, Mansfield, MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquaseal</td>
<td>Hydrogel</td>
<td>Spenco Medical Corporation, Waco, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biocclusive</td>
<td>Film</td>
<td>Johnson &amp; Johnson, Medical LTD, Ascot, United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermabond</td>
<td>Dermal adhesive</td>
<td>Ethicon, Somerville, NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duoderm</td>
<td>Hydrocolloid</td>
<td>ConvaTec, Princeton, NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histoacryl</td>
<td>Dermal adhesive</td>
<td>Aesculap AG, Tuttingen, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukostrips</td>
<td>Wound-closure strip</td>
<td>Smith &amp; Nephew Pty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PolyMem</td>
<td>Foam</td>
<td>Ferris Mfg, Burr Ridge, IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyskin II</td>
<td>Film</td>
<td>Covidien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SteriStrips</td>
<td>Wound-closure strip</td>
<td>3M Company, St Paul, MN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tegaderm</td>
<td>Alginates, film, foam, hydrocolloid, and hydrogel</td>
<td>3M Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultec Pro</td>
<td>Alginates/ hydrocolloid</td>
<td>Covidien</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22. Autolytic debridement should be used for selective proteolytic digestion of necrotic tissue.51,55,57,58,64,71,75–85

**Strength of recommendation: B**

a. Autolytic debridement can be used with the following wounds:

   i. Postoperative incisions.79 **Strength of recommendation: B**

   ii. Superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, and lacerations. **Strength of recommendation: C**

   iii. Superficial- to full-thickness blisters after removal of the necrotic roof with conservative sharp debridement.71 **Strength of recommendation: C**

   iv. Superficial- to partial-thickness punctures. **Strength of recommendation: C**

b. Autolytic debridement should be avoided with infected wounds.57,66,72 **Strength of recommendation: C**

**Dressings**

23. After thorough cleansing and debridement, an area of acute skin trauma should be covered with an appropriate dressing until fully healed (Table 2).2,86–90 **Strength of recommendation: B**

24. Nonocclusive dressings can be used as primary dressings with the following wounds (Table 3):

a. Woven and nonwoven gauze for clinically infected abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, lacerations, or punctures.91,92 **Strength of recommendation: C**

b. Woven, nonwoven, and impregnated gauze for puncture wounds that have cavities.93–96 **Strength of recommendation: C**

c. Wound-closure strips with superficial, linear lacerations and postoperative incisions under minimal static and dynamic tension.3,97,98 **Strength of recommendation: A**

d. Woven gauze with superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, and lacerations to achieve wet-to-moist debridement.55,68,91,94 **Strength of recommendation: C**

e. Woven and nonwoven gauze, nonadherent pads, and adhesive strips or patches for superficial- to partial-thickness abrasions, avulsions, and blisters and superficial-thickness incisions, lacerations, and punctures as a temporary dressing and on irregular body surfaces.91,95,99 **Strength of recommendation: C**

25. Woven or nonwoven gauze, nonadherent pads, and adhesive strips or patches can be used as secondary dressings to absorb moderate-to-heavy exudate and provide additional protection for superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, lacerations, punctures, and traumatic and postoperative incisions.91,94,100,101 **Strength of recommendation: C**

26. Occlusive dressings should be used as primary dressings with the following wounds (Table 4):

a. Alginate, films, foams, hydrocolloids, and hydrogels for superficial- to partial-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, lacerations, and punctures.2,90,91,95,102–112 **Strength of recommendation: A**

b. Alginate, foams, and hydrocolloids for partial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, lacerations, and traumatic and postoperative incisions.2,90,91,102,106,109–117 **Strength of recommendation: A**

c. Dermal adhesives for partial- to full-thickness lacerations and traumatic and postoperative incisions in areas of low skin tension.97,98,118,119 **Strength of recommendation: A**

d. Alginate, foams, and hydrocolloids for partially to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, lacerations, and traumatic and postoperative incisions.* **Strength of recommendation: C**

27. Films and hydrocolloids can be used as secondary dressings to provide impermeability to microorganisms, improve adherence, and promote a moist wound environment for superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, lacerations, and postoperative incisions.91,94,120,121 **Strength of recommendation: C**

28. The athletic training staff should monitor the patient and wound area for clinical features of wound infection, including fever, pain, edema, erythema, warmth, wound dehiscence, and delayed wound healing.124–128 **Strength of recommendation: C**

29. For treatment of bacterial infections, refer to the National Athletic Trainers’ Association position statement on skin diseases.129 **Strength of recommendation: B**

30. Infection rates in patients with acute skin trauma can be reduced with appropriate cleansing, debridement, and dressing techniques.39,123,130–132 **Strength of recommendation: B**

31. Skin antisepsis is effective in reducing the incidence of surgical-site infections in incisions and lacerations.133 **Strength of recommendation: A**

---

### Table 3. Nonocclusive Dressing Indications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>Primary Dressing</th>
<th>Secondary Dressing</th>
<th>Other Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinically infected abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, lacerations, and punctures</td>
<td>Woven and nonwoven gauze</td>
<td>Woven or nonwoven gauze; nonadherent pads; adhesive strips or patches; adhesive gauze; nonadherent, self-adherent, and adherent tapes and wraps</td>
<td>Daily dressing changes required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punctures with cavities</td>
<td>Woven, nonwoven, and impregnated gauze</td>
<td>Woven or nonwoven gauze; nonadherent pads; adhesive strips or patches; adhesive gauze; nonadherent, self-adherent, and adherent tapes and wraps</td>
<td>Daily dressing changes required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial, linear lacerations and postoperative incisions</td>
<td>Wound-closure strips</td>
<td>Woven or nonwoven gauze; nonadherent pads; adhesive strips or patches; nonadherent, self-adherent, and adherent tapes and wraps</td>
<td>Use only on wounds with minimal static and dynamic tension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, superficial-thickness incisions, lacerations, and punctures as temporary dressings</td>
<td>Woven gauze</td>
<td>Woven or nonwoven gauze; nonadherent pads; nonadherent, self-adherent, and adherent tapes and wraps</td>
<td>Use care to protect healthy granulation tissues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial- to partial-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, superficial-thickness incisions, lacerations, and punctures on irregular body areas</td>
<td>Premoistened woven and nonwoven gauze; nonadherent pads; adhesive strips or patches</td>
<td>Woven or nonwoven gauze; nonadherent pads; adhesive strips or patches; adhesive gauze; nonadherent, self-adherent, and adherent tapes and wraps</td>
<td>Follow-up in athletic training facility for comprehensive management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial- to partial-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, superficial-thickness incisions, lacerations, and punctures on irregular body areas</td>
<td>Woven and nonwoven gauze; nonadherent pads; adhesive strips or patches</td>
<td>Woven or nonwoven gauze; nonadherent pads; adhesive strips or patches; adhesive gauze; nonadherent, self-adherent, and adherent tapes and wraps</td>
<td>Daily dressing changes required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

32. Oral antibiotics should not be used prophylactically unless the abrasion, avulsion, blister, incision, laceration, or puncture is heavily contaminated.\(^\text{134,135}\) **Strength of recommendation: A**

33. Topical antimicrobial agents can reduce infection rates in acute skin trauma but should be used judiciously given the emergence of resistant bacterial strains.\(^\text{135-142}\) **Strength of recommendation: A**

34. The patient should be monitored for the development of adverse reactions stemming from the use of some cleansing solutions, topical antimicrobial agents, and nonocclusive and occlusive dressings.\(^\text{143-147}\) **Strength of recommendation: C**

35. The patient’s health status (eg, malnutrition, smoking, diabetes) may contribute to the development of adverse reactions and should be considered.\(^\text{130,148-161}\) **Strength of recommendation: B**

36. The athletic training staff should monitor the patient and wound area for clinical features of adverse reactions, including erythematous rash, eczematous reaction, vesicles, white discoloration, tenderness, nodularity, burning, pruritus, or systemic reactions such as urticaria and anaphylaxis.\(^\text{143-146,162-165}\) **Strength of recommendation: C**

### Table 4. Occlusive Dressing Indications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>Primary Dressing</th>
<th>Secondary Dressing</th>
<th>Other Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superficial- to partial-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, lacerations, and punctures</td>
<td>Alginates, films, foams, hydrocolloids, and hydrogels</td>
<td>Films; woven or nonwoven gauze; adhesive gauze; nonadherent, self-adherent, and adherent tapes and wraps</td>
<td>Minimal exudate: films and hydrogels; moderate exudate: hydrogels and hydrocolloids; heavy exudate: alginates and foams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, lacerations, traumatic and postoperative incisions</td>
<td>Alginates, foams, and hydrocolloids</td>
<td>Films; woven or nonwoven gauze; adhesive gauze; nonadherent, self-adherent, and adherent tapes and wraps</td>
<td>Moderate exudate: hydrogels; heavy exudate: alginates and foams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial- to full-thickness lacerations and traumatic and postoperative incisions</td>
<td>Dermal adhesives</td>
<td>Films; hydrocolloids; woven or nonwoven gauze; nonadherent pads; nonadherent, self-adherent, and adherent tapes and wraps</td>
<td>Use only in areas of low skin tension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically infected abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, and lacerations</td>
<td>Alginates and foams, silver-impregnated alginates, films, foams, and hydrocolloids</td>
<td>Films; woven or nonwoven gauze; adhesive gauze; nonadherent, self-adherent, and adherent tapes and wraps</td>
<td>Minimal exudate: films; moderate exudate: hydrogels; heavy exudate: alginates and foams; daily dressing changes required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Recommended Duration of Wound Dressing Usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dressing Type</th>
<th>Duration, d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Woven, nonwoven, and impregnated sterile gauze; nonadherent pads; and adhesive strips and patches</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound-closure strips</td>
<td>5–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alginates</td>
<td>≤7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Films and foams</td>
<td>3–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogels</td>
<td>1–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocolloids</td>
<td>5–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermal adhesives</td>
<td>5–10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Wounds without dressing-integrity problems (eg, wrinkling or bunching, channel formation, separation from periwound tissues, strike-through, leakage of exudate) or clinical features of adverse reactions or infection.89,91,94

37. Treatment of the adverse reaction should consist of identifying the reaction, removing the causative agent, and directing appropriate measures at the reaction.143–146,162–165 Strength of recommendation: C

38. Criteria for physician referral include:
   a. Any evidence of deeper injury that may require repair, evaluation for nerve or tendon damage, or concern for heavy contamination. Strength of recommendation: C
   b. Wounds that develop erythema, warmth, edema, drainage, pain, or rash or demonstrate delayed healing. Strength of recommendation: C

Follow-Up

39. The athletic training staff should visually inspect the patient, wound area, and dressing daily throughout the healing process.
   a. The visual inspection should include the patient, wound bed, and periwound tissues for the presence of adverse reactions and infection. If any signs or symptoms are present, the patient should be referred to a physician. Strength of recommendation: C
   b. Frequency of dressing changes varies based on the type of dressing (Table 5). A dressing change is warranted with evidence of dressing channel formation, separation from periwound tissues, significant exudate accumulation, strike-through, leakage, or wound desiccation.89,91,94,166,167 Strength of recommendation: C
   c. Dressing transitions are required as reductions in exudate and new tissue growth occur during healing.1 Strength of recommendation: C

40. Patients should be educated on dressing guidelines to increase compliance.
   a. Patients should follow instructions from the athletic training staff on dressing changes. Occlusive dressings can stay in place over wounds for longer periods than nonocclusive dressings.91,94,105,122,170–172 Strength of recommendation: C
   b. Patients will notice changes in the dressing over time. An accumulation of moisture beneath occlusive dressings may be visually detected or demonstrated by expansion of the dressing over the wound bed and should not be confused with infection.91,103,105,173 Strength of recommendation: C
   c. Patients must immediately report dressing channel formation, separation from periwound tissues, seal breach, strike-through, or leakage or signs or symptoms of adverse reactions and infection.89,91,94,166,167 Strength of recommendation: C

41. Supplies to manage acute skin trauma should be available in the athletic training facility.
   a. Cleansing: normal saline, potable tap water, 35-mL syringe, 18- to 20-gauge needle hub or plastic cannula, antiseptic skin cleanser, and clean basin or cup.
   b. Debridement: normal saline, potable tap water, 35-mL syringe, 18- to 20-gauge needle hub or plastic cannula, woven gauze, high-porosity sponge or surgical scrub brush, and sterile scissors and tweezers.
   d. Miscellaneous: sterile or clean (or both) drapes or towels, biohazard container, boxed gloves, face or eye shields (or both), and topical antibiotics.

42. Supplies to manage acute skin trauma should be available in athletic training kits.
   a. Cleansing: normal saline, potable tap water, 35-mL syringe, 18- to 20-gauge needle hub or plastic cannula, and clean basin or cup.
   b. Debridement: same as cleansing.
   c. Dressing: nonocclusive dressings (woven and nonwoven sterile gauze, nonadherent pads, and adhesive strips and patches), adhesive gauze, and nonadherent, self-adherent, and adherent tapes and wraps.
   d. Miscellaneous: boxed gloves, face or eye shields (or both), and biohazard container.

BACKGROUND

Acute skin trauma is a disruption of the integrity of the epidermis, dermis, or subcutaneous tissues (or a combination of these). Acute wounds are characterized based on the mechanism of injury and resultant tissue damage and include traumatic abrasions, avulsions, blisters, lacerations, punctures, and traumatic and postoperative incisions. The mechanisms of injury of acute skin trauma are shear and tensile forces and tensile loads.174 Acute wounds can also be characterized by the amount of tissue damage.175 Superficial-thickness wounds involve damage to the superficial epidermis. Partial-thickness wounds extend through the epidermis and into the superficial dermis. Full-thickness wounds extend through the epidermis and dermis and into the subcutaneous adipose tissue. Acute wounds proceed through an orderly and timely reparative process of inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling that results in restoration of anatomic and functional integrity and wound appearance.176 Some have characterized acute
wounds as those that heal themselves within 4 to 6 weeks.124

THE EVIDENCE AND LITERATURE REVIEW

Cleansing

Wound cleansing, considered a critical part of the management of acute skin trauma, is the process of applying a nontoxic solution to aid in the removal of exudate, bacteria, foreign debris, and dressing residue to create an environment conducive for healing.39,177 Acute wounds are initially considered to be contaminated; cleansing is necessary to remove any debris and facilitate healing.8,11,17 After the initial cleansing, it may not be necessary to cleanse wounds at every dressing change but rather to rehydrate a wound to create a moist environment, visualize and assess the wound, minimize trauma during adherent dressing removal, or promote patient comfort.9,12,18,178 If signs of clinical infection are present, then continued wound cleansing is necessary.

Technique. The selection of an appropriate cleansing technique is necessary to create an optimal environment for wound healing (ie, a moist, clean, warm environment). The more commonly used techniques include irrigation, showering, hydrotherapy (eg, whirlpool baths and soaks), and scrubbing and swabbing.

Irrigation. Irrigation, the steady flow of solution across the wound surface, is the preferred method of cleansing.11,39,179 The purpose of irrigation is to remove loose debris and excess wound secretions to create an optimal healing environment. This method allows for newly granulating tissue to be preserved, bacteria and debris to be effectively removed, and the comfort and convenience of the patient to be ensured. The potential risks associated with this technique include splash back, additional trauma, and bacteria driven into deeper tissues if the pressure is excessive.11

Although many irrigation recommendations are based on trials involving chronic wounds, investigators11,19,25,29,39 agree that high-pressure irrigation (eg, 4–15 psi [27.58–103.42 kPa]) is the best practice for cleansing superficial-to-full-thickness abrasions, incisions, and lacerations. Authors39 of an evidence-based medicine review found that a pressure of 13 psi (89.63 kPa) was effective in reducing infection and inflammation in lacerations and chronic wounds among children and adults, although no consensus exists as to the optimal pressure that should be used. Researchers24 agree that low-pressure irrigation (eg, <4 psi [27.58 kPa]) is not as effective in cleansing and serves only to moisten the wound bed. Pressures greater than 25 psi (172.4 kPa) may be necessary to debride a wound but are not recommended for routine cleansing, as they may damage healthy granulation tissue.13,24

The equipment commonly used for irrigation includes bulb syringes, pressurized canisters, and syringes with an attached needle or catheter. A 35-mL syringe with an 18- to 20-gauge needle, needle hub, or plastic cannula exerts pressures in the range of 7 to 11 psi (48.26–75.84 kPa), delivering a solution without damage to the tissue.11,19,23,24

Showering. Showering is effective for larger traumatic wounds, although the pressure is rarely controlled. Authors of a Cochrane review20 examining the effects of postoperative showering versus no showering found strong evidence of no difference in the infection and healing rates of incisions. However, investigators19,20 reported that patients derived a sense of health and well-being from showering.

Hydrotherapy. Whirlpool baths can be used as an aggressive form of cleansing; the turbulence of the water dislodges debris from the wound bed. More often used for chronic wounds, bathing in lukewarm tap water has become an increasingly common practice, although its role may be considered more for debridement than cleansing.11,24,26 Researchers19 suggested that whirlpool therapy may reduce pain and inflammation in surgical incisions during the first 72 postoperative hours. Clinically, this method of cleansing may be used to hydrate a wound, even though concerns and potential risks include disrupting the moisture balance of the wound bed, macerating periwound tissues, and impairing healing by introducing microorganisms from the immersion fluid.11

Scrubbing and Swabbing. Scrubbing and swabbing involve the use of a material (eg, gauze, foam) to wipe the surface of the wound in a systematic manner. This method lacks the evidence necessary to show that it creates the optimal wound environment: scrubbing and swabbing redistribute bacteria over the wound as opposed to removing them.9,13,24,26–29 Cotton wool fiber remnants from woven gauze often contaminate the wound and have resulted in nonwoven swabs being the material of choice for swabbing.9,13,29 Swabbing can be used to cleanse the periwound tissues or wounds with loose necrotic tissue or slough (moist necrotic tissue).26,27 However, others9,13,24,26–29 caution against using the swabbing technique on the wound bed because of residual damage to the granulating tissue. Overall, no evidence supports or refutes scrubbing and swabbing to cleanse wounds.19

Solutions. The selection of an appropriate nontoxic solution to remove debris and create an environment to promote healing is a critical component of wound cleansing. Various cleansing solutions are recommended for their therapeutic value.180 The characteristics of an ideal solution are nontoxicity to human tissue, effectiveness in the presence of organic material, ability to reduce the number of microorganisms, low likelihood of causing sensitivity reactions, cost-effectiveness, availability, and shelf-life stability.41,178,181 Based on these characteristics, many authors7,12,20,182 consider normal saline the most appropriate cleansing solution for acute skin trauma, although the use of potable tap water has become more widely accepted.

Normal Saline and Potable Tap Water. In a number of evidence-based medicine reviews, researchers19,20,25,30–33 have examined the effectiveness of normal saline and potable tap water on rates of infection and healing in acute wounds. Good evidence shows that effective cleansing can be achieved with normal saline or potable tap water. In a Cochrane review20 comparing normal saline and tap water as cleansers, the incidence of infections among various acute wounds and sutured lacerations in adults was reduced with the use of tap water. It is notable that the solutions were at different temperatures in the trials: normal saline at room temperature and tap water at 98.6°F (37°C). However, water from a properly treated supply and run from the tap for a few minutes before use does not increase the risk of...
contamination and infection. Tap water has the advantages of being efficient, cost-effective, and accessible. Yet tap water should not be used to cleanse wounds in which tendon or bone is exposed. Normal saline is the recommended solution for these wounds.

Antiseptics. Topical antiseptics are antimicrobial agents that kill or reduce the number of microorganisms that may impede wound healing. Commonly used solutions include povidone-iodine (eg, Betadine; Purdue Products LP, Stamford, CT) and hydrogen peroxide. However, the use of antiseptics as prophylactic antimicrobial agents for acute skin trauma has been controversial for many years.

Several investigators have examined the effects of povidone-iodine and the product Betadine on rates of infection and healing. In 1 evidence-based medicine review, infection rates were compared between acute wounds that were cleansed with 1% povidone-iodine or with normal saline. Among contaminated postoperative incisions and traumatic lacerations, 1% povidone-iodine was favored over normal saline. An individual trial in this review examining lacerations demonstrated no differences in infection rates between 1% povidone-iodine and normal saline. Authors of a more recent evidence-based medicine review examined the use of iodine and other local wound care methods (eg, honey, silver-impregnated dressings) on postoperative incisions and various acute wounds and noted no differences in rates of infection or healing. Additional narrative review examining the effects of povidone-iodine on healing in animal and human wound models has raised questions about the benefits of the solution; delayed wound healing, reduced wound strength, and increased rates of infection were noted when acute skin trauma was treated with the antiseptic. In a small investigation, researchers demonstrated the safe use of antimicrobials in diluted concentrations. A 1:10 diluted solution of Betadine was effective against bacteria and not harmful to human fibroblasts. A 1:5 diluted solution of Betadine was toxic to fibroblasts and, therefore, one may conclude that the commercially produced solution of Betadine would be toxic as well.

Other investigations have examined the efficacy of hydrogen peroxide as an antiseptic in animal and human wound models. In a narrative review, hydrogen peroxide demonstrated minimal effects in reducing the bacterial bioburden (quantity of microorganisms), but the findings were inconclusive regarding cytotoxic effects on tissues and rates of healing. Small experimental investigations support the finding that hydrogen peroxide is ineffective in reducing microorganisms in the wound bed. However, these trials demonstrated a greater cytotoxic effect of hydrogen peroxide on tissues, which perhaps delayed healing.

Temperature. Athletic trainers must also consider the temperature of the solution to facilitate healing. The recommended temperature of the cleansing solution is between 98.6°F and 107.6°F (37°C and 42°C). Mitotic activity (reproduction of cells essential to healing) decreases as the wound temperature drops after cleansing or dressing changes. It can take up to 40 minutes for the wound bed to return to its original temperature and up to 3 hours for mitotic activity to return to normal. Therefore, a cool cleansing solution may delay the healing process. Although research directly examining the effects of various solution temperatures on wound healing is lacking, some evidence suggests that lower temperatures may delay wound healing.

Debridement

Debridement is the removal of necrotic or devitalized tissue, microorganisms, contaminated tissue, fibrin or foreign bodies, and cellular debris from the wound bed. After acute skin trauma, debridement should be used to decrease the risk of infection and create an environment suitable for healing. Thorough cleansing of the wound should be performed initially and, if necessary, debridement used to remove remaining debris or devitalized tissue from the wound bed. The wound should be debrided until only normal vascularized tissue remains.

Debridement can decrease the bacterial concentration within the wound bed, decreasing the bacterial bioburden; improve the function of leukocytes, reducing the risk of infection; shorten the inflammatory phase, decreasing the energy required for healing; and remove debris and tissue from the wound bed, eliminating the physical barrier to healing.

Technique. Many debridement methods are available to health care providers. The methods that ATs can consider using include irrigation, hydrotherapy, wet-to-dry, wet-to-moist, scrubbing, conservative sharp, chemical, and autolytic debridement.

Irrigation. Irrigation is the delivery of normal saline or potable tap water to the wound bed in a constant or pulsed stream. Irrigation can serve as an extension of cleansing to remove loose superficial debris or necrotic tissue from superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, lacerations, and punctures. Recommended pressure ranges from 4 to 15 psi (27.58–103.4 kPa) for all wounds except punctures, for which 2 to 4 psi (13.79–27.58 kPa) is recommended to avoid driving debris and contaminants deeper into the wound.

Hydrotherapy. Whirlpool baths and soaks use potable tap water to soften and remove devitalized tissue and toxic debris and dilute the bacterial content of the wound bed. The body part and wound are submerged in water between 95.9°F and 102.2°F (35.5°C and 39.0°C) for 20 to 30 minutes. Although commonly used, hydrotherapy is not recommended for acute skin trauma. This technique can increase the risk of cross-contamination from the whirlpool tub or other container, and it is neither cost-effective nor time-effective to drain, properly clean and disinfect, and refill the whirlpool tub or container after each patient.

Wet to Dry. Wet-to-dry debridement is the use of woven gauze with large pores that is premoistened with normal saline, potable tap water, or chemicals and placed directly on the wound bed. The gauze is allowed to dry and then quickly removed. Devitalized tissue adheres to the gauze as drying occurs and is debrided from the wound with removal of the gauze. Wet-to-dry debridement is not recommended for acute skin trauma. Wet-to-dry debridement is nonselective in tissue removal; as a result, healthy granulation tissue may also adhere to the gauze and be removed, adversely affecting the healing process and causing additional pain.
**Wet to Moist.** Similar to wet-to-dry debridement, wet-to-moist debridement is the placement of woven gauze with large pores that is premoistened with normal saline or potable tap water over the wound bed. The gauze is allowed to remain on the wound bed for minutes to hours and removed before drying is complete. Wet-to-moist debridement may be used for superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, and lacerations. This technique is a rapid method of debridement and allows for removal of debris, devitalized tissue, and eschar (black necrotic tissue, scab), while protecting healthy granulation tissue and producing minimal pain.59

**Scrubbing.** Scrubbing is the use of a sponge with high porosity (90 pores/in² [14 pores/cm²]) or a surgical scrub brush, along with normal saline or potable tap water, to scour the wound bed from the middle toward the wound margins in a circular pattern.56 Scrubbing can be used with superficial- to partial-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, and lacerations contaminated with large quantities of small debris (eg, sand, grass, clay, asphalt).56 This technique is nonselective, with potential removal of healthy granulation tissue, and the mechanical pressure of the sponge or brush can produce pain.

**Conservative Sharp Debridement.** Conservative sharp debridement is the use of sterile scissors and forceps or tweezers to remove loosely adhering devitalized tissue that lies superficial to viable tissue on the wound bed.71 Conservative sharp debridement of acute skin trauma is typically performed in a single visit and may be used on superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, and lacerations.72 Applicable state practice acts may allow ATs to perform this technique but should be verified.174

**Chemical Debridement.** Chemical debridement is the application of sodium hypochlorite, hydrogen peroxide, or povidone-iodine in water-based solutions; silver; or honey directly to the wound bed or the use of chemical-impregnated dressings over the wound. Although chemicals may facilitate debridement, their use is controversial and not recommended for acute skin trauma.71,73,74 Some authors have recommended chemicals for debridement of infected wounds71 or with wet-to-moist debridement.75 The effervescent effect of hydrogen peroxide may aid debridement, but hydrogen peroxide and sodium hypochlorite are thought to be cytotoxic to healthy granulation tissue.74 Silver and silver-impregnated dressings have been used for centuries to provide antimicrobial debridement of colonized and clinically infected wounds. In an evidence-based medicine review, Vermeulen et al121 demonstrated insufficient evidence for the use of silver-impregnated dressings to manage contaminated or infected acute and chronic wounds.

**Autolytic Debridement.** Autolytic debridement is the use of the body’s mechanisms to promote proteolytic digestion of necrotic tissue in a moist environment created by the application of occlusive dressings such as alginates, films, foams, hydrogels, and hydrocolloids.76,78,83,85 The moist environment allows endogenous collagenase enzymes within the wound to liquefy necrotic tissue, which can then be more easily digested by macrophages.51,55,57,58,75,77,80,82 The body selectively digests only nonviable tissue and the moist environment allows for painless debridement.71 Autolytic debridement can be used with superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, incisions, and lacerations; superficial- to full-thickness blisters after removal of the necrotic roof with conservative sharp debridement71; and superficial- to partial-thickness punctures. Autolytic debridement is slow, occurring over several days, and is not recommended for infected wounds.57,66,75

In an evidence-based medicine review, Lewis et al79 examined the use of dressings in the autolytic debridement of postoperative incisions. Overall, modern occlusive dressings were favored over gauze dressings for healing. Findings from individual trials in the review79 produced no clear evidence of differences in rates of healing among polyurethane foam, silicone foam, and alginate dressings. Several authors78,81,84 have investigated the effectiveness of autolytic, wet-to-dry, and enzymatic debridement among various chronic wounds. Autolytic debridement using hydrogel and hydrocolloid dressings and wet-to-dry debridement using normal saline-soaked gauze produced a satisfactory environment to promote healing of pressure ulcers.81 In this study, the hydrogel dressing was preferred because the transparent construction allowed for visual assessment of the wound bed and removal of the dressing did not damage healthy tissue. Other authors84 have shown hydrogel dressings to be effective in managing chronic and necrotic wounds with slough. For debridement of leg ulcers, no differences were demonstrated between autolytic and enzymatic debridement in rates of healing.78

**Dressings.** It is well established that acute wounds should be covered with a dressing to support the healing process rather than be left uncovered and exposed to the external environment.2,86–90 Dressings used with acute skin trauma must promote an environment that will facilitate complete healing in the shortest possible amount of time.100,112 A variety of nonocclusive and occlusive dressings are available, but purchasing a wide selection is likely not cost-effective for athletic training facilities. Athletic trainers may choose to select and become proficient in using a few brands of dressings from each category.

**Nonocclusive Dressings.** Nonocclusive dressings are readily accessible and used in most athletic training facilities for the management of acute skin trauma.181,186 These dressings are available in a variety of forms and include woven, nonwoven, and impregnated sterile gauze, nonadherent pads, adhesive strips and patches, and wound-closure strips.

**Primary Dressings.** Primary dressings are designed to make contact with the wound bed.87 Nonocclusive dressings can be used as primary dressings for several wound types. Woven and nonwoven gauze can be used for clinically infected abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, lacerations, and punctures.91,92 These dressings can be applied alone or in combination with topical antimicrobial agents91,92 and may be cost-effective when compared with other dressings.91 Woven, nonwoven, and impregnated gauze strips and rolls can be used for puncture wounds with cavities.93–96 The dressings eliminate dead space, prevent premature closure of the wound surface, and allow healing to occur from the wound base upward.93–96,188 Wound-closure strips can be used for superficial, linear lacerations...
and postoperative incisions with minimal static and dynamic tension.\textsuperscript{3,97,98} Woven gauze with an open-weave pattern can be used for mechanical (wet-to-moist) debridement of superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, and lacerations.\textsuperscript{55,68,91}

Woven and nonwoven gauze, nonadherent pads, and adhesive strips or patches premoistened with normal saline\textsuperscript{95,99} or potable tap water can be used as temporary primary dressings for superficial- to partial-thickness abrasions, avulsions, and blisters and superficial-thickness incisions, lacerations, and punctures.\textsuperscript{51} This dressing technique is appropriate when an immediate return to physical activity is necessary. Further evaluation and appropriate cleansing, debridement, and dressing should be conducted in the athletic training facility. Woven and nonwoven gauze, nonadherent pads, and adhesive strips or patches can also be applied to wounds sustained on irregular body surfaces when other dressings cannot be held in place.

**Secondary Dressings.** Secondary dressings are designed to be used in combination with primary dressings to provide additional absorption, protection, or occlusion for the wound bed.\textsuperscript{187} Woven or nonwoven gauze, nonadherent pads, and adhesive strips or patches can be used as secondary dressings for superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, and blisters and superficial-thickness incisions, lacerations, and punctures.\textsuperscript{91,106,110} Woven and nonwoven gauze can be applied over the primary dressing to absorb moderate-to-heavy amounts of exudate, which can leak from or strike through the primary dressing.\textsuperscript{100} *Strike-through* is the leakage of exudate from the wound bed that becomes visible through the dressing. Gauze and nonadherent pads can provide additional padding and protection to the wound. Roll gauze and adhesive strips or patches can also assist in securing the primary dressing to the periwound tissues.

**Occlusive Dressings.** Semipermeable and impermeable occlusive dressings are designed to interact with the wound to facilitate healing and lessen the risk of infection and adverse reactions. Among the numerous classes of occlusive dressings, alginates, films, foams, hydrogels, hydrocolloids, and dermal adhesives are the most accessible to ATs for managing acute skin trauma.

**Primary Dressings.** For several wound types, occlusive dressings should be used as primary dressings and applied directly to the wound. Alginate, film, foam, hydrocolloid, and hydrogel dressings should be used for superficial- to partial-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, lacerations, and punctures.\textsuperscript{2,90,91,95,102–112} Films and hydrogels are indicated for superficial-thickness wounds based on their ability to manage low levels of exudate. Films are nonabsorbent; with higher levels of exudate, channels (progression of exudate from the wound bed to the perimeter of the dressing) can form in the dressing and compromise the seal edge and barrier properties with subsequent leakage.\textsuperscript{91} Hydrogels can absorb minimal-to-moderate amounts of exudate and are unique in that they can also donate moisture to the wound bed,\textsuperscript{107} thereby lowering the risk of desiccation in minimally draining superficial wounds.\textsuperscript{108} Partial-thickness wounds with moderate exudate should be managed with hydrocolloids or hydrogels. Hydrogels should be closely monitored because maceration of the wound and periwound tissues can occur from the high water content and slow absorption of exudate.\textsuperscript{103,106} Alginate and foam dressings are indicated for partial-thickness wounds with heavy exudate. High absorbency allows alginites and moderate-to-high moisture vapor transmission (evaporation of fluid from the wound bed through the dressing) and high absorbency enables foam dressings to manage large amounts of exudate.\textsuperscript{104}

Partial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, and blisters should be managed with alginites, foams, and hydrocolloids; lacerations and incisions can be managed with alginites, foams, hydrocolloids, or dermal adhesives.\textsuperscript{1} Hydrocolloids should be applied to partial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, and blisters accompanied by moderate exudate.\textsuperscript{109,115} Hydrocolloids can also be used for lacerations and traumatic and postoperative incisions with moderate exudate and adequate tissue approximation.\textsuperscript{113,114,116} Alginites and foams should be used to manage the heavy exudate typically produced by partial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, lacerations, and traumatic and postoperative incisions with adequate tissue approximation.\textsuperscript{91,109,117} Lacerations and traumatic and postoperative incisions in areas of low skin tension that require tissue approximation can be closed with dermal adhesives.\textsuperscript{97,98,118,119}

Several classes of occlusive dressings can be used for contaminated and clinically infected wounds. Alginites and foams can be applied to clinically infected abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, and lacerations.\textsuperscript{91,94,120,121} The high absorbency of these dressings can effectively manage the significant amounts of drainage associated with infection, but daily changes are required.\textsuperscript{91,94,120} Antimicrobial silver dressings can be used for contaminated and clinically infected abrasions, avulsions, blisters, incisions, and lacerations.\textsuperscript{120,121} Alginate, film, foam, and hydrocolloid dressings are available with different concentrations and release rates of silver.

**Secondary Dressings.** Occlusive dressings can be used as secondary dressings in combination with primary dressings on superficial- to full-thickness abrasions, avulsions, blisters, lacerations, punctures, and traumatic and postoperative incisions. Although most occlusive dressings are available in sheet form with an adhesive backing, some foams and hydrogels are nonadhesive and require a secondary dressing.\textsuperscript{91,105,108,109} Films are appropriate as secondary dressings to secure foams and hydrogels to provide occlusion\textsuperscript{122} and can also be applied in combination with other occlusive dressings in sheet form for additional adherence to the periwound tissues and to prevent leakage of excess exudate from heavily draining wounds. Films and hydrocolloids can serve as secondary dressings for lacerations and traumatic and postoperative incisions that have been closed with sutures,\textsuperscript{3,101,113,114,116,123} staples,\textsuperscript{101} or dermal adhesives.\textsuperscript{3,101}

**Healing.** Authors of evidence-based medicine reviews have focused on nonocclusive and occlusive dressing interventions for split-thickness skin graft (STSG) donor sites and traumatic and postoperative wounds in various populations. Among the broad categories of nonocclusive and occlusive dressings, strong evidence indicated that occlusive dressings were favored over nonocclusive

\textsuperscript{‡References 2, 90, 91, 97, 98, 102, 106, 109–119.}
dressings for rates of healing in STSGs.¹⁰² This surgical wound is the equivalent of a superficial- to partial-thickness abrasion.¹⁷⁵ In the review,¹⁰² hydrocolloids and films were favored over nonocclusive dressings and hydrocolloids were also superior to other occlusive dressings for healing. Individual trials in the review¹⁰² revealed that alginites and foams were superior to nonocclusive dressings for healing. An additional review¹¹¹ showed no differences among nonocclusive and occlusive dressings in rates of healing among STSGs and postoperative incisions. An individual trial in this review¹¹¹ demonstrated that foams were superior to nonocclusive dressings in healing of STSGs. Evidence-based reviews examining the effects of nonocclusive and occlusive dressings impregnated with silver on uninfected¹⁸⁹ and contaminated and infected¹²¹,¹⁹⁰ acute and chronic wounds provide no clear evidence to support their effectiveness in increasing healing rates.

Authors of other evidence-based medicine reviews have reported on the efficacy of dermal adhesives for traumatic and postoperative wounds. A Cochrane review⁹⁸ examined standard wound closure (sutures, staples, wound-closure strips) and dermal adhesives for postoperative incisions. The findings demonstrated that sutures lessened dehiscence (separation of wound edges); wound-closure strips improved surgeons’ assessments of cosmetic appearance; and sutures, staples, and wound-closure strips increased surgeons’ satisfaction regarding ease of use. For time to complete closure, the review revealed inconsistent findings between standard wound-closure techniques (sutures, staples, wound-closure strips) and dermal adhesives. Among dermal adhesives, low-viscosity adhesive was favored over high-viscosity products, and octyl cyanoacrylate demonstrated no differences in rates of infection between the dermal adhesives butyl cyanoacrylate and octyl cyanoacrylate in the closure of traumatic lacerations. In contrast, a separate Cochrane review⁹⁷ revealed no differences in rates of infection between nonocclusive standard wound closure (sutures, staples, wound-closure strips) and occlusive dermal adhesives in closure of postoperative incisions.

Experimental and clinical investigations present additional evidence for the benefits of occlusive dressings. In several small trials examining rates of healing in standardized abrasions, authors found that hydrocolloids and films were superior to nonocclusive dressings,⁹⁰ other occlusive dressings,²,¹¹² and no dressing.²,⁹⁰ Hydrocolloids used as secondary dressings for sutured traumatic lacerations and postoperative incisions showed increased patient mobility¹⁹¹,¹⁹² and greater exudate control¹⁹² when compared with nonocclusive dressings. For rates of healing, findings were inconsistent when hydrocolloids as secondary dressings for sutured and nonsutured lacerations and incisions were compared with nonocclusive dressings.¹¹³,¹⁹³,¹⁹⁴

**Pain.** Increased levels of pain are associated with the use of nonocclusive dressings. In an evidence-based medicine review, Wiechula¹⁰² noted that nonocclusive dressings used as primary dressings for STSGs produced greater levels of pain on visual analogue scales at rest and with ambulation than occlusive dressings. Findings from individual trials in the review¹⁰² demonstrated that hydrocolloids, films, and foams resulted in less pain than nonocclusive dressings. Several authors¹⁹¹,¹⁹⁴,¹⁹⁵ have shown that hydrocolloids used as secondary dressings decreased levels of pain in sutured lacerations and postoperative incisions compared with nonocclusive dressings.

**Infection.** Rates of infection with various nonocclusive and occlusive dressings have been reported in the literature. In a review¹¹⁰ of 75 studies (3047 wounds) examining infection under occlusive dressings and 36 studies (1085 wounds) examining infection under nonocclusive dressings used as controls for occlusive dressings, researchers demonstrated overall infection rates of 2.6% and 7.1%, respectively. This significant finding in overall rates was supported by further analyses of infection for dressings by wound type (eg, ulcers, burns, STSGs, abrasions, and lacerations), with occlusive dressings favored over nonocclusive dressings.¹¹⁰ In an evidence-based medicine review,¹⁰² occlusive dressings produced less infection than nonocclusive dressings in the management of STSGs. Among specific dressings, hydrocolloids and films were favored over nonocclusive dressings for decreased rates of infection. In a Cochrane review,⁹⁷ rates of infection did not differ between the dermal adhesives butyl cyanoacrylate and octyl cyanoacrylate in the closure of traumatic lacerations. In contrast, a separate Cochrane review⁹⁸ revealed no differences in rates of infection between nonocclusive standard wound closure (sutures, staples, wound-closure strips) and occlusive dermal adhesives in closure of postoperative incisions.

Identification of Infection and Adverse Reactions

The goal in treating acute skin trauma is to achieve rapid healing while providing optimal function and minimizing adverse events. Adverse outcomes may occur when a phase of healing is delayed or prolonged; may result from the cleansing, debridement, or dressing technique or material used; or be due to the health status of the patient. Most acute skin trauma heals without consequence, although it is important to manage these wounds appropriately to lessen the number of poor outcomes and allow functional return to activity as quickly as possible.

**Infection.** The most common cause of impaired wound healing is infection.¹³⁰ In acute skin trauma, the barrier to bacteria is compromised by disruption of the epidermis, dermis, or subcutaneous tissues (or all 3) and loss of...
protective defense mechanisms. Wounds can then become contaminated with bacteria. Although bacteria are present, multiplication of bacteria has not yet taken place. Colonization is a normal state, with multiplying microorganisms present in the wound but no host reaction and no clinical indication or evidence of tissue damage. Bacterial contamination of the wound will not delay the healing process, and colonization of skin microflora may actually enhance healing. Healing becomes impaired when colonization progresses to critical colonization and then to infection. Critical colonization is the transition state between colonization and invasive wound infection. Critical colonization is reached when the host defenses are unable to maintain the balance of organisms at colonization. This transition period is a multifactorial process and is specific to the individual patient and the particular bacteria in the wound. At critical colonization, the granulation bed of the wound may appear unhealthy, but there is no tissue invasion and the only clinical feature may be delayed healing.

Infection occurs when the presence of multiplying bacteria overwhelms the host defenses and subsequent host injury occurs. The most common causes of uncomplicated skin and soft tissue infections are group A β-hemolytic Streptococcus and Staphylococcus aureus bacteria. Data from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program monitoring skin and soft tissue infections indicated that S aureus was the most common pathogen among complicated and hospitalized patients, followed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Enterococcus, Escherichia coli, Enterobacter, Klebsiella, and Streptococcus. Streptococcal infections may be underrepresented because many cases, in contrast with other organisms, are mild and do not require patients to be hospitalized. Additionally, the incidence of streptococcal infections is difficult to assess given the lack of specimens and reliance on studies using unconventional identification methods. The progression to infection is multifactorial and depends on the number of bacteria, their virulence and pathogenicity, and the host’s ability to mount an immune response. Intact skin often contains microflora at 10^5 organisms per gram of tissue. Infection can occur when the level of bacterial growth exceeds 10^5 organisms per gram of tissue, although it can also occur at lower levels for more virulent bacteria such as β-hemolytic Streptococcus. Defining on the nature of the wound and the organism involved, more than 50% of patients with wounds containing >10^3 organisms per gram of tissue will develop an infection. Factors affecting wound infection include history, location, and timing, which can predict the level of bacteria in a wound. The longer the time from injury until treatment, the greater the bacterial bioburden of the wound. The mean time for those with >10^3 organisms per gram of tissue is 5.17 hours from the time of injury. When an infection does occur, wound healing is impaired through multiple mechanisms. Infection decreases oxygen tension; prolongs the inflammatory phase; impairs leukocyte chemotaxis and migration, phagocytosis, intracellular killing, angiogenesis, and epithelialization; and produces collagen breakdown.

Clinical features of infection are due to the excessive inflammatory response surrounding the wound. Cellulitis occurs when the infection spreads through the dermis and subcutaneous tissue. Signs and symptoms may include fever, pain, edema, erythema, warmth, wound dehiscence, and delayed wound healing. Cellulitis borders are smooth and ill defined. Patients with more severe infections may present with vesicles, bullae, pustules, necrosis, ascending lymphangitis, and regional lymphadenopathy.

Infection should be recognized and addressed promptly to prevent progression. Antibiotic treatment should be directed toward the most common pathogens or suspected cause of infection. Systemic antibiotics rather than topical antibiotics are appropriate for cellulitis. For nonpurulent cellulitis, empiric treatment is aimed at β-hemolytic Streptococcus and methicillin-susceptible S aureus and increasing coverage for community-associated methicillin-resistant S aureus (CA-MRSA) for those who worsen in 48 hours or develop an abscess. If a simple abscess is present or the wound is draining purulent material, the patient should be referred to a physician for possible incision and drainage. If the wound is drained and the infection does not appear to be spreading through the subcutaneous tissue, then systemic antibiotics are not needed. For those with a purulent cellulitis, empiric antibiotic therapy is given for CA-MRSA. More information on the treatment of bacterial skin infections can be found in the National Athletic Trainers’ Association position statement on skin diseases.

Prevention of infection is paramount to optimizing healing of acute skin trauma. Wound cleansing, debridement, dressing techniques, and antimicrobials may all play roles in preventing infection. Skin antiseptics and systemic and topical antibiotics also play roles in preventing infection. Antiseptics such as iodine and chlorhexidine decrease bacterial counts and the number of bacteria colonizing the skin. An evidence-based medicine review demonstrated that skin antisepsis was effective in reducing the risk of surgical-site infection. Therefore, it may be beneficial to cleanse periwound tissues with an antiseptic before primary closure of traumatic incisions and lacerations with wound-closure strips or dermal adhesives.

Systemic and topical antibiotics should be used appropriately by a physician because of the potential for side effects and development of resistance. Prophylactic systemic antibiotics are rarely needed, because acute wounds that are not grossly contaminated and have undergone appropriate cleansing and debridement have very low overall infection rates. Authors of an evidence-based medicine review evaluating prophylactic antibiotics for simple, nonbite wounds in the emergency room found they offered no benefit for lessening rates of infection. Overall, prophylactic antibiotics resulted in a greater incidence of infection compared with controls. However, the use of prophylactic systemic antibiotics should be considered when associated risk factors for infection are present. Soft tissue injuries associated with a fracture, an animal or human bite, or an intraoral laceration are treated with antibiotics for 3 to 5 days, with the medication and length of treatment dependent on the injury type. Prophylactic antibiotics should also be considered when factors associated with a higher risk of infection are present. These include mechanism of injury, amount of tissue damage, presence of contaminants, location of wound, comorbid medical conditions, and age of the wound. Wounds that are visibly contaminated or deep, expose tendon or bone, are jagged or stellate, or contain foreign bodies are considered
at higher risk of infection. Location of the wound predicts infection: infection rates are higher in the thigh and arm and lower in the face and scalp. Patient comorbidities, including extremes of age, diabetes, chronic renal failure, obesity, malnutrition, and immunocompromise due to illness or medications, can increase the risk of infection. Wounds that receive delayed treatment are at higher risk, and a delay greater than 10 hours becomes an important risk factor for infection. Questions regarding the need for systemic prophylactic antibiotics require the patient to be referred to a physician. Topical antimicrobial agents may effectively reduce rates of infection with acute skin trauma. Authors of a small review of clinical investigations examining the efficacy of topical antimicrobials revealed a decrease in infection rates among superficial- and partial-thickness abrasions, lacerations, punctures, and sutured lacerations compared with topical preparations without an antibiotic. Findings from individual trials in this review showed no differences in rates of infection among triple antibiotic (neomycin, bacitracin, polymyxin B), mupirocin, bacitracin zinc ointment, and povidone-iodine cream. However, the period of use should be limited to help prevent emergence of resistant bacterial strains, hypersensitivity reactions, and adverse effects on wound healing.

### Adverse Reactions

Adverse reactions may occur with materials used to treat acute skin trauma. Allergic contact dermatitis can be caused by cleansing solutions and dressings but is more common with topical antimicrobial agents and neomycin in particular. Clinical features vary and may include pruritus, eczematous plaque, edema and erythema with vesicles, or more generalized dermatitis. Treatment involves removal of the offending substance and application of topical corticosteroids. Patients with more severe cases may require systemic corticosteroids and oral antihistamines. Less frequently, immunoglobulin E-mediated allergic reactions and anaphylaxis may occur with topical antimicrobial use. Clinical features occur shortly after administration and can include pruritus, urticaria, dyspnea, throat swelling, nausea, diarrhea, dizziness, and death. Treatment of anaphylaxis is emergent and includes epinephrine, corticosteroids, and antihistamines. Chemical burns have occurred from prolonged contact with povidone-iodine in whirlpool baths and soaks or under an occlusive device or dressing. Povidone-iodine has also been associated with metabolic acidosis, cardiovascular instability, renal insufficiency, and death when used indiscriminately for large wounds. Patients with chemical burns may present with pain, well-demarcated erythema, bullae, and vesicles. Treatment is supportive with topical antibiotics and dressings that promote healing. Foreign-body reactions can develop from the use of dermal adhesives. Clinical features are wound-site tenderness followed by the appearance of a tumor or mass. The adhesive should be removed and the wound monitored.

Nonocclusive and occlusive wound dressings have been implicated in immediate and delayed reactions due to occlusion or excessive adhesion of the dressing to the wound. Folliculitis, caused by occlusion of the skin, occurs at the base of hair follicles over the periwound tissues. Clinical features may include multiple papules and pustules in areas covered by an occlusive dressing, commonly areas that were shaved before dressing application. Treatment consists of dressing removal and referral for possible culture and systemic antibiotic therapy. Maceration, which is often associated with chronic wounds, may also occur from acute skin trauma and contribute to delayed healing. It is a function of wound exudate and can extend the wound and contribute to pain. Maceration can be identified clinically by white discoloration of the periwound tissues. Erythematous maceration can occur, causing the skin to appear red and inflamed, and be associated with burning, stinging, or itching. Wounds that are developing maceration require dressing removal and reassessment to determine if dressing selection, prolonged dressing wear time, or associated infection is contributing to the production of exudate. Desiccation of nonocclusive dressings and adhesion of occlusive dressings to the wound bed require remoistening before removal to prevent tissue avulsion.

Adverse outcomes may occur because of the status or health of the patient. Although the data are inconclusive, malnutrition may contribute to a delay in healing and the development of infection. Anti-inflammatory medications such as topical or systemic steroids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents may effectively reduce rates of infection with acute skin trauma. Patients with more severe cases may require systemic corticosteroids and oral antihistamines. Less frequently, immunoglobulin E-mediated allergic reactions and anaphylaxis may occur with topical antimicrobial use. Clinical features occur shortly after administration and can include pruritus, urticaria, dyspnea, throat swelling, nausea, diarrhea, dizziness, and death. Treatment of anaphylaxis is emergent and includes epinephrine, corticosteroids, and antihistamines. Chemical burns have occurred from prolonged contact with povidone-iodine in whirlpool baths and soaks or under an occlusive device or dressing. Povidone-iodine has also been associated with metabolic acidosis, cardiovascular instability, renal insufficiency, and death when used indiscriminately for large wounds. Patients with chemical burns may present with pain, well-demarcated erythema, bullae, and vesicles. Treatment is supportive with topical antibiotics and dressings that promote healing. Foreign-body reactions can develop from the use of dermal adhesives. Clinical features are wound-site tenderness followed by the appearance of a tumor or mass. The adhesive should be removed and the wound monitored.

Nonocclusive and occlusive wound dressings have been implicated in immediate and delayed reactions due to occlusion or excessive adhesion of the dressing to the wound. Folliculitis, caused by occlusion of the skin, occurs at the base of hair follicles over the periwound tissues. Clinical features may include multiple papules and pustules in areas covered by an occlusive dressing, commonly areas that were shaved before dressing application. Treatment consists of dressing removal and referral for possible culture and systemic antibiotic therapy. Maceration, which is often associated with chronic wounds, may also occur from acute skin trauma and contribute to delayed healing. It is a function of wound exudate and can extend the wound and contribute to pain. Maceration can be identified clinically by white discoloration of the periwound tissues. Erythematous maceration can occur, causing the skin to appear red and inflamed, and be associated with burning, stinging, or itching. Wounds that are developing maceration require dressing removal and reassessment to determine if dressing selection, prolonged dressing wear time, or associated infection is contributing to the production of exudate. Desiccation of nonocclusive dressings and adhesion of occlusive dressings to the wound bed require remoistening before removal to prevent tissue avulsion.

Adverse outcomes may occur because of the status or health of the patient. Although the data are inconclusive, malnutrition may contribute to a delay in healing and the development of infection. Anti-inflammatory medications such as topical or systemic steroids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents may effectively reduce rates of infection with acute skin trauma. Patients with more severe cases may require systemic corticosteroids and oral antihistamines. Less frequently, immunoglobulin E-mediated allergic reactions and anaphylaxis may occur with topical antimicrobial use. Clinical features occur shortly after administration and can include pruritus, urticaria, dyspnea, throat swelling, nausea, diarrhea, dizziness, and death. Treatment of anaphylaxis is emergent and includes epinephrine, corticosteroids, and antihistamines. Chemical burns have occurred from prolonged contact with povidone-iodine in whirlpool baths and soaks or under an occlusive device or dressing. Povidone-iodine has also been associated with metabolic acidosis, cardiovascular instability, renal insufficiency, and death when used indiscriminately for large wounds. Patients with chemical burns may present with pain, well-demarcated erythema, bullae, and vesicles. Treatment is supportive with topical antibiotics and dressings that promote healing. Foreign-body reactions can develop from the use of dermal adhesives. Clinical features are wound-site tenderness followed by the appearance of a tumor or mass. The adhesive should be removed and the wound monitored.

### Criteria for Referral

Most patients with acute skin trauma can be treated by ATs without complication. Indications for physician referral include deep wounds that require tissue approximation with sutures or staples; heavily contaminated wounds that require more extensive cleansing, debridement, or possibly prophylactic antibiotics; and wounds with tendon or nerve injury. Consideration for referral of an acute uncomplicated wound should be given for patients with immunocompromised conditions. Patients with wounds that can be managed in the athletic training facility should be treated and followed closely. A delay in normal healing, development of an allergic reaction, or clinical features of infection or adverse reactions including erythema, warmth, edema, pus, or pain inconsistent with findings may warrant physician referral.

### Follow-Up

Appropriate management of acute skin trauma requires the AT to monitor the patient, the wound area, and the dressing until healing is complete. Daily visual inspections of the patient, wound bed, and periwound tissues are performed to identify signs and symptoms associated with the development of adverse reactions and infection. Some dressings (eg, wound-closure strips, films, hydrogels, dermal adhesives) can remain in place while the AT to monitor the patient, the wound area, and the dressing until healing is complete. Daily visual inspections of the patient, wound bed, and periwound tissues are performed to identify signs and symptoms associated with the development of adverse reactions and infection. Some dressings (eg, wound-closure strips, films, hydrogels, dermal adhesives) can remain in place while the AT to monitor the patient, the wound area, and the dressing until healing is complete. Daily visual inspections of the patient, wound bed, and periwound tissues are performed to identify signs and symptoms associated with the development of adverse reactions and infection. Some dressings (eg, wound-closure strips, films, hydrogels, dermal adhesives) can remain in place while the AT to monitor the patient, the wound area, and the dressing until healing is complete. Daily visual inspections of the patient, wound bed, and periwound tissues are performed to identify signs and symptoms associated with the development of adverse reactions and infection. Some dressings (eg, wound-closure strips, films, hydrogels, dermal adhesives) can remain in place while the AT to monitor the patient, the wound area, and the dressing until healing is complete. Daily visual inspections of the patient, wound bed, and periwound tissues are performed to identify signs and symptoms associated with the development of adverse reactions and infection. Some dressings (eg, wound-closure strips, films, hydrogels, dermal adhesives) can remain in place while the AT to monitor the patient, the wound area, and the dressing until healing is complete. Daily visual inspections of the patient, wound bed, and periwound tissues are performed to identify signs and symptoms associated with the development of adverse reactions and infection. Some dressings (eg, wound-closure strips, films, hydrogels, dermal adhesives) can remain in place while the AT to monitor the patient, the wound area, and the dressing until healing is complete. Daily visual inspections of the patient, wound bed, and periwound tissues are performed to identify signs and symptoms associated with the development of adverse reactions and infection. Some dressings (eg, wound-closure strips, films, hydrogels, dermal adhesives) can remain in place while the AT to monitor the patient, the wound area, and the dressing until healing is complete. Daily visual inspections of the patient, wound bed, and periwound tissues are performed to identify signs and symptoms associated with the development of adverse reactions and infection. Some dressings (eg, wound-closure strips, films, hydrogels, dermal adhesives) can remain in place while the AT to monitor the patient, the wound area, and the dressing until healing is complete. Daily visual inspections of the patient, wound bed, and periwound tissues are performed to identify signs and symptoms associated with the development of adverse reactions and infection. Some dressings (eg, wound-closure strips, films, hydrogels, dermal adhesives) can remain in place while the AT to monitor the patient, the wound area, and the dressing until healing is complete. Daily visual inspections of the patient, wound bed, and periwound tissues are performed to identify signs and symptoms associated with the development of adverse reactions and infection. Some dressings (eg, wound-closure strips, films, hydrogels, dermal adhesives) can remain in place while the AT to monitor the patient, the wound area, and the dressing until healing is complete.
wound. Nonocclusive and occlusive dressings are designed to remain on the wound bed for varying periods of time in the absence of dressing-integrity problems, adverse reactions, or infection (Table 5). However, these recommendations are only guidelines, and without adverse reactions or infection, alginates, films, foams, hydrogels, and hydrocolloids may remain on the wound bed longer than 7 days.\textsuperscript{209}

The integrity and physical properties of a dressing will influence performance and healing outcomes. Wrinkling or bunching of the dressing and the formation of channels from the wound bed to the edge, separation of the dressing edges from the periwound tissues, or excessive accumulation of exudate leading to strike-through can result in leakage of exudate.\textsuperscript{89,91,94} Leakage of exudate and failure of the dressing to maintain a barrier to the external environment can increase the risk of cross-contamination and infection and may lead to wound desiccation.\textsuperscript{99,106,167}

Dressing transitions are also necessary as the wound progresses through the phases of healing.\textsuperscript{8} No one dressing is appropriate for every wound,\textsuperscript{210,211} and few dressings are suited for treating a single wound throughout the healing process.\textsuperscript{100} Dressing transitions may be required as healing progresses, based on cellular and chemical changes in the wound bed, such as decreasing levels of exudate and new epithelial growth.\textsuperscript{100} Dressings that become saturated with exudate in the early phases of healing may require additional changes to lessen the risk of leakage and maceration.\textsuperscript{91,168} Reductions in exudate levels as healing progresses indicate the need for a change to a dressing that is less absorbent or has a lower moisture vapor transmission rate to maintain sufficient moisture over the wound bed.\textsuperscript{91,94,104} Dry wounds with minimal exudate may require a moisture-donating dressing to prevent desiccation of the wound bed.\textsuperscript{108}

Several suggestions are provided to assist with clinical application of wound dressings. Nonocclusive and occlusive dressings are available in a variety of sizes to fit the diameter of the wound, and most can be cut to obtain a proper fit. Generally, the dressing should be 1 to 2 cm larger than the wound bed to provide an adequate edge seal on the periwound tissues.\textsuperscript{91,105,122,170} Most nonocclusive dressings require a secondary dressing, whereas the majority of occlusive dressings do not. Nonocclusive dressings can be secured with adhesive gauze (eg, Cover-Roll; BSN Medical Inc, Charlotte, NC; or Omnifix; Hartmann USA, Rock Hill, SC) or nonadherent, self-adherent, or adherent tapes and wraps. Adhesive gauze applied to the edges of films, foams, and hydrogels and over the entire surface of alginates and hydrocolloids effectively secures these dressings to patients for practices and competitions.\textsuperscript{2,112} Dressing removal should be approached with caution to avoid trauma to the wound bed. Maintaining moisture over the wound bed prevents occlusive dressings from adhering and protects against damage to the tissue upon removal.\textsuperscript{105} Dressings that are adhered to the wound bed should be moistened with normal saline or tap water through irrigation before removal.\textsuperscript{91} With minimal exudate over the wound, some occlusive dressings (eg, films) can adhere to the wound bed and require moistening for removal.\textsuperscript{105}

Education for patients on wound dressings and the recommended guidelines for wear is needed to ensure compliance. Patients must be encouraged to follow the directions provided by the athletic training staff for daily monitoring and identifying the signs and symptoms of infection and adverse reactions. Guidelines specific to occlusive-dressing wear and length of time over the wound bed should prevent unnecessary dressing changes. As a moist environment is created, the collection of exudate will be visible under transparent film and hydrogel dressings. This brownish fluid should not be confused with infection.\textsuperscript{103} Alginates and hydrocolloids react with and absorb exudate over the wound bed, producing a gel-like mass.\textsuperscript{91,105,173} This gel can have a foul odor and should not be mistaken for infection.\textsuperscript{91,105} Absorption of exudate can cause swelling and expansion of occlusive dressings over the wound bed. Direct forces from contact with equipment, playing surfaces, and other individuals can rupture the dressings or force exudate to the dressing edges, resulting in the formation of channels and subsequent leakage.

### Supplies for Athletic Training Facilities and Kits

Athletic training facilities and kits should have supplies for the management of acute skin trauma. Minimal supplies are required for kits used during practices and competitions. Timely cleansing, debridement, and dressing of wounds are often necessary to return patients to activity as soon as possible. Infection-control guidelines must be followed when managing patients with acute skin trauma. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration,\textsuperscript{212} Centers for Disease Control and Prevention,\textsuperscript{213} National Athletic Trainers’ Association,\textsuperscript{129} and National Collegiate Athletic Association\textsuperscript{114} guidelines provide infection-control measures to implement in the management of acute wounds. The measures include developing a written organizational control plan; educating staff members regarding control measures; promoting the cleansing and disinfecting of tables, supplies, and laundry; ensuring hand-hygiene and personal-hygiene practices among staff members and patients; adhering to sport association recommendations; and verifying documentation of recommended measures.

### CONCLUSIONS

Certified ATs and other allied health and medical professionals must be able to manage patients with acute skin trauma to promote healing and lessen the risk of complications. This position statement presents recommendations to educate clinicians about cleansing, debridement, and dressing techniques; recognition, management, and prevention of infection and adverse reactions; and monitoring and educating the patient.

### DISCLAIMER

The NATA and NATA Research & Education Foundation publish position statements as a service to promote the awareness of certain issues to their members. The information contained in the position statement is neither exhaustive nor exclusive to all circumstances or individuals. Variables such as institutional human resource guidelines, state or federal statutes, rules, or regulations, as well as regional environmental conditions, may impact the relevance and implementation of those recommenda-
tions. The NATA and NATA Foundation advise members and others to carefully and independently consider each of the recommendations (including the applicability of same to any particular circumstance or individual). The position statement should not be relied upon as an independent basis for care but rather as a resource available to NATA members or others. Moreover, no opinion is expressed herein regarding the quality of care that adheres to or differs from the NATA and NATA Foundation position statements. The NATA and NATA Foundation reserve the right to rescind or modify its position statements at any time.

This position statement is primarily intended to present acute wound care in the context of clinical practice in the United States. However, many sections are applicable to international clinical practice as well.
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