Uplift prior to continental breakup:
Indication for removal of mantle lithosphere?
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ABSTRACT

Uplift or reduced subsidence prior to continental breakup is a key component of the rift-drift transition. This uplift causes lateral variations in the lithospheric potential energy, which can increase intraplate deviatoric tension, thereby facilitating continental rupture. There is a growing body of evidence that pre-breakup uplift is a global phenomenon characteristic of magmatic and magma-poor rifted margins. Evidence is provided by the subaerial extrusion of lava interpreted from drill logs, stratigraphic records, the presence of breakup unconformities, and the spatial extent of uplift associated with Afar (the Ethiopian-Somali plateau), which may be at the stage of rupture. Previously discussed mechanisms contributing to this uplift include phase transitions, dynamic uplift from mantle plumes, and magmatic underplated bodies. We show in this study that dynamic uplift resulting from passive upwelling asthenosphere below the rift is limited (~200 m). Isostatic arguments suggest that removal of mantle lithosphere is a necessary and effective mechanism for uplift coincident with rupture. The combination of mantle phase transitions and a very thin mantle lid produces an excess potential energy state (as evidenced by a positive geoid anomaly) and leads to tensional forces favorable for rupture. These results underpin our proposed model for continental breakup where removal of mantle lithosphere by either detachment or formation of gravitational instabilities is a characteristic process. Observations of depth-dependent thinning and geochemical data support this model.

INTRODUCTION

Passive margins are formed by horizontal extension of continental lithosphere that results in lithospheric thinning and subsequent subsidence or uplift to maintain isostatic balance. The stretching model of McKenzie (1978) provides a first-order estimate of the amount of tectonic subsidence associated with continental rifting (Fig. 1). When the crust and mantle lithosphere layers are thinned a relatively equal amount, subsidence of the surface and formation of a basin are expected. Uplift during extension is possible when the high-density mantle lithosphere thins relatively more than the crust (Fig. 1). During the continental rift phase, the surface usually subsides, and a comparison of the predicted basin subsidence and tectonic subsidence curves obtained from sediment layers generally gives good agreement (North Sea rift; McKenzie, 1978). For many rifted margins, however, the observed tectonic subsidence near the breakup axis is less than predicted. For example, subsidence curves of the Norwegian Vøring margin (e.g., Skogseid and Eldholm, 1989) show reduced subsidence of the margin and even uplift above sea level close to the continent-ocean boundary. Here, uplift initiated several million years before continental breakup, and normal subsidence resumed shortly after the onset of seafloor spreading. This finding is confirmed by the presence of subaerially erupted basalt flows (Menzies et al., 2002) drilled during the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program and Ocean Drilling Program on both conjugate margins of the northeastern Atlantic Ocean. Breakup elsewhere in the North Atlantic occurred at shallow-marine or subaerial conditions. Stratigraphic evidence and apatite fission track studies demonstrate latest Cretaceous–earliest Paleocene (i.e., just preceding rupture) uplift in East Greenland, around the British Isles, the More margin, and the Faeroe Shetland Basin (see Meyer et al., 2007, for an overview). There is also compelling evidence that the magma-

Figure 1. Isostatic balances show that surface uplift may result if the mantle lithosphere is proportionally thinned more than the crust during extension (McKenzie, 1978). \( \beta = \text{crustal thinning}, \delta = \text{mantle lithosphere thinning} \). (A) Reference column. Crust is 35 km thick with a density of 2800 kg/m\(^3\), mantle lithosphere is 65 km thick with a density of 3300 kg/m\(^3\), asthenosphere density is 3200 kg/m\(^3\), water density is 1000 kg/m\(^3\). (B) Thinning the crust and mantle lithosphere with a factor 2 \((\beta = \delta = 2)\) results in ~1.7 km subsidence. (C) Approximately 1.3 km uplift is expected when \( \beta = 1 \) and \( \delta = 3 \).
Uplift prior to continental breakup

**UPLIFT OF riftS PRIOR TO SEAFLOOR SPREADING: OBSERVATIONS**

A survey of rifted margins worldwide, including both magmatic and magma-starved margins, demonstrates that uplift (or at least reduced subsidence) prior to continental breakup is common (Table 1). On the magmatic margins of the North Atlantic Iguean Province, an uplift event before breakup in the latest Cretaceous–earliest Paleocene is well documented. Lava flows were deposited subaerially on the Norwegian margin (including the More and Voring Basins), and there is evidence for influx of coarse sediment into the More Basin in response to regional exhumation and denudation of the mainland (Martinsen et al., 1999). A regional hiatus and erosion features are observed along the outer Voring Basin (Skogseid et al., 1992). Stratigraphic evidence implies a similar subsidence pattern on the conjugate East Greenland margin (Larsen et al., 1999). In West Greenland, uplift of as much as 1.3 km prior to rupture was documented as having occurred 5–10 m.y. before the onset of volcanism. The uplift was associated with fluviatile planation, exhumation of deep-marine sediments, valley incision, and catastrophic denudation (Dam et al., 1998). Apatite fission track studies have identified an exhumation event in the late Cenozoic–Early Paleocene around the British Isles (Green et al., 2001). This uplift coincides with the Danian Maureen Formation deposition in the North Sea. Dynamic uplift by the Iceland mantle plume and magmatic underplating are the current explanations for the pre-rupture uplift in the northern North Atlantic (e.g., White and McKenzie, 2001). This uplift pattern on the conjugate East Greenland margin (Larsen et al., 1999). Further south, several kilometers of uplift must have occurred on the magma-poor Iberia-Newfoundland margins, where Tithonian sediments were deposited at ~3800 m depth, instead of at the expected 2–5 km depth (Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal, 2009). This uplift has been explained by phase transitions in the mantle lithosphere (Simon and Podladchikov, 2008). The spinel-plagioclase transition in particular would be responsible for uplift of strongly extended lithosphere (Simon and Podladchikov, 2008). On the north Namibia magmatic margin uplift is inferred from the presence of a breakup unconformity (Gladczenko et al., 1997). The northern Gulf of Aden margin (Yemen) underwent a change from marine to fluvial to fluviolacustrine sediments, indicating uplift prior to breakup (Chazot et al., 1998). Further evidence for pre-breakup uplift of rifts is provided by the South China Sea margin, where Xie et al. (2006) found evidence of anomalous thermal subsidence, which they explained by a magmatic event.

The Afar region, where the Ethiopian rift part of the Red Sea–Gulf of Aden–East African rift system dissects the uplifted Ethiopian plateau, is currently at the point of continental breakup (Yirgu et al., 2006, and references therein). The magma-assisted rifting is thought to result in an evolving magmatic margin, although the long period of active volcanism is not typical for magmatic rifted margins. Volcanism started 45 Ma and continues today, giving rise to an igneous province ~1000 km wide covering Ethiopia and Yemen. The Afar depression has an elevation of ~200–1000 m above sea level. There is tomographic evidence for the presence of only a very thin mantle lithosphere below Afar (~15 km; Dugda et al., 2007). The current high elevation of the Afar region is attributed to dynamic support of the Afar mantle plume (Lithgow-Bertollini and Silver, 1998).

Two periods of rifting are identified in the western Australian margin, Middle Jurassic and Neocomian (Falvey and Mutter, 1981), and they are marked by distinct breakup unconformities onshore. In the Perth Basin, formed during
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**TABLE 1. UPLIFT OF MAGMATIC AND AMAGMATIC MARGINS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Margin</th>
<th>Uplift and/or reduced subsidence evidence?</th>
<th>Rift-drift age</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. and Canada east coast</td>
<td>Uplift of 1.5–2 km interpreted from basin erosion</td>
<td>ca. 185–200 Ma</td>
<td>Withjack et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Carolina–New Scotia) (V)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia (V) and South America (V)</td>
<td>Uplift inferred from evidence of erosional rift unconformity and subaerial lava flows</td>
<td>Aptian</td>
<td>Gladczenko et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galicia Bank, Iberia Abyssal Plane (NV)</td>
<td>Uplift inferred from stratigraphic evidence</td>
<td>Aptian</td>
<td>Peron-Pinvidic and Manatschal (2009), Wilson et al. (2001), Schärer et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Australia (V)</td>
<td>-1–2 km uplift and erosion of pre-break up sediments inferred from stratigraphy</td>
<td>Early Cretaceous</td>
<td>Song and Cawood (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway and East Greenland (V)</td>
<td>-1–3 km uplift from fission track evidence</td>
<td>ca. 95 Ma</td>
<td>Veevers (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Greenland (V)</td>
<td>-1.3 km of sediments eroded: uplift interpreted from fluvial peneplanation, valley incision</td>
<td>Early-Late Paleocene</td>
<td>Darm et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen (V)</td>
<td>Stratigraphy shows change from marine to fluvial to fluviolacustrine, Ethiopian-Yemen plateau uplifted - 1.6 km</td>
<td>Paleogene</td>
<td>Ca. 35 Ma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South China Sea (NV)</td>
<td>Uplift inferred from evidence of breakup unconformity and tectonic subsidence analyses</td>
<td>ca. 30 Ma</td>
<td>Chazot et al. (1998), Yirgu et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afar (V)</td>
<td>Uplift ~1.6 km for Ethiopian plateau region</td>
<td>0 Ma</td>
<td>Lin et al. (2003), Xie et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: V—magmatic margin; NV—magma-poor margin.
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the separation of India and Australia, breakup-related uplift has resulted in the erosion of pre-rift sedimentary sequences (Table 1). This uplift has been found to be greater in the offshore parts of the basin, where it is estimated that ~3200 m of pre-rift sediments have been removed. Both plume and non-plume models have been proposed to explain the rifting-related uplift in Western Australia (Mihut and Müller, 1998). The absence of substantial volcanism onshore and a lack of evidence of a hotspot track point toward a nonthermal explanation. The volcanic eastern and southeastern margins of Australia underwent uplift related to the 95 Ma breakup event, during which an estimated 1–3 km of section were eroded (Table 1). In southern Australia, a breakup unconformity is dated as 99 Ma, marking the separation from Antarctica. The uplift along this margin is interpreted to be due to underplating (Veevers, 2000; Song and Cawood, 2000).

Earlier studies (White et al., 1987) have pointed out that magmatic margins would largely remain close to sea level during rifting, while magma-starved margins would tend to subside by as much as 2 km. However, the compilation in Table 1, that includes more recent work, shows that amagmatic rifted margins undergo uplift as well. This body of evidence motivates us to revisit the role of uplift in continental rapture.

MECHANISMS FOR UPLIFT

Uplift of magma-dominated margins before the time of continental breakup has been explained by magmatic underplating and dynamic support of a mantle plume (e.g., White and McKenzie, 1989; Skogseid et al., 1992; Saunders et al., 1997). Magma that results from partial melting of warm plume material intrudes the lithosphere, and may freeze below the Moho or in the lower crust. The density of the frozen magma is estimated to be ~3000 kg/m³, less than the density of continental mantle lithosphere, and therefore magmatic underplating results in permanent uplift (Skogseid and Eldholm, 1989).

Isostatic balances show that this uplift is ~10% of the thickness of the underplated material (Maclennan and Lovell, 2002). The rising plume material is predicted to dynamically uplift the surface. When the rising diapir of hot mantle impinges on the base of the lithosphere, a domal uplift of between 500 and 1000 m is expected over an area ~600 km in diameter (Campbell, 2005). The high elevation of the Afar region has been explained by its proximity to the African superplume, and the Norwegian margins are thought to have been uplifted by the Iceland plume. This mechanism cannot explain the laterally elongated uplift pattern of the northern North Atlantic margins, or the uplift of magma-poor margins that are inferred to be formed outside the influence of mantle plumes or high-temperature mantle.

At magma-poor margins, uplift or reduced subsidence has been explained by phase transitions in the mantle during rifting. Phase transitions within the mantle lithosphere result from a temperature increase during rifting, and they affect material densities. Simon and Podladchikov (2008) determined the effects of mantle composition on lithospheric densities, and found that mantle garnet-spinel and the spinel-plagioclase transitions, especially, affect the density of the lithosphere during thinning. The lithospheric density reduction in the garnet-spinel transition during thinning may cause initial synrift uplift, while the density reduction in the spinel-plagioclase transition in highly extended areas may result in late synrift uplift (Simon and Podladchikov, 2008) or reduction of subsidence by ~1000 m.

The necking depth is defined as “the level of no vertical motion in the absence of gravitational forces” during stretching of the lithosphere (Keen and Dehler, 1997, p. 744). Tectonic isostatic studies (e.g., Braun and Beaumont, 1989; Kooi et al., 1992) suggest that if the depth of necking is within the crust, it gives rise ultimately to subsidence of the rift area. Such a shallow depth of necking implies that the crust is thinning proportionally more than the mantle lithosphere. In cases where the necking depth is subcrustal, and the mantle lithosphere thins proportionally more than the crust, the response is a regional flexural uplift. This mechanism could contribute to uplift of both magmatic and magma-poor margins. Geophysical data show that nonmagmatic margins such as the Iberia Abyssal Plain are characterized by strongly thinned crust, with crustal blocks separated by exhumed mantle lithosphere. This would be described as shallow necking, although considerable uplift occurred on this margin (Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal, 2009).

Uplift during rifting may also result from upward flow beneath the rift (dynamic uplift) and from excessive thinning of continental mantle (Fig. 1). The uplift or subsidence as a result of depth-dependent thinning of continental lithosphere is calculated using an isostasy model (see Isostasy discussion). We use two-dimensional (2D) diagrams of crustal and mantle lithosphere thickness (called f.hf diagrams, developed by Sandiford and Powell, 1990) in which the simultaneous isostatic effect of depth dependent crust and mantle thinning is analyzed. In the diagrams, uplift and subsidence paths show the effect of progressive depth-dependent thinning and phase transitions. Dynamic uplift during rifting is studied with upper mantle convection models (discussion following), as this uplift results from upper mantle flow induced by the rifting process.

Models of Dynamic Uplift

During rifting and lithosphere thinning, asthenosphere wells up below the rift zone, and this flow may exert a stress on the base of the lithosphere, driving dynamic uplift during rifting. Dynamic uplift or subsidence results from stresses imposed on the lithosphere by mantle flow. Large upwelling structures in the mantle may produce ~1.5 km dynamic topography (Conrad and Gurnis, 2003; Gurnis et al., 1998; Moucha et al., 2009), while small-scale convection in the upper mantle related to lithospheric instabilities may produce as much as ~100 m dynamic topography (Elkins-Tanton, 2005). Does upward mantle flow during rifting result in dynamic topography? We calculated dynamic topography during rifting using a geodynamic model of upper mantle flow. Asthenosphere upwelling during rifting was modeled using the finite element software package CitCom (Moresi and Gurnis, 1996; Zhong et al., 2000; van Hunen and Zhong, 2003). A 2D model was used, with a model domain that is 660 km deep and ~1500 km wide, divided into a visco-plastically deforming high-viscosity lithosphere overlying a viscous upper mantle. The visco-plastic lithosphere rheology combines linear temperature- and pressure-dependent viscous Arrhenius-type rheology for diffusion creep with a pseudoplastic rheology for Byerlee’s law. More detailed descriptions of this model can be found in van Hunen and Zhong (2003) and van Wijk et al. (2008). We used the following rheological parameters: activation energy $E^*$ = 360 KJ/mol, and activation volume $V^*$ = 5 cm³/mol.

The reference viscosity (the viscosity at mantle temperature of 1350 °C and 660 km depth) was $\eta_0 = 1.76 \times 10^{27}$ Pa.s. Other parameters that were used include thermal expansion coefficient $\alpha = 3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ K⁻¹, diffusivity $D = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ m²/s⁻¹, specific heat $c_p = 1250$ J °C⁻¹ kg⁻¹, density $\rho = 3300$ kg/m³, and gravitational acceleration $g = 9.8$ m/s². These model parameter values were adopted from van Hunen and Zhong (2003); the activation energy and volume are from Karato and Wu (1993). Thermal boundary conditions are 0 °C at the free surface, 1350 °C at the bottom of the model domain, and zero diffusive heat flux at the side boundaries. Constant velocities are prescribed on the left and right sides of the model domain to extend the lithosphere. A small weak zone (with ~10% thinner lithosphere over an ~100-km-wide zone) is present.
in the center of the domain to localize the formation of the rift zone.

In the model of extension, a rift zone is formed where the small weak zone was initially prescribed. Because we are only interested here in the resulting dynamic topography, one representative simulation (extension rate 16 mm/yr) is shown in Figure 2. Upon formation of the rift zone, the lithosphere thins and asthenosphere is emplaced at shallow depths. The upward flow of asthenosphere below the rift has a maximum vertical velocity of almost 30 cm/yr (indicated by the arrows in Fig. 2); this maximum amplitude is found late in the synrift stage and results in dynamic topography. Dynamic topography resulting from this upward flow is calculated from the stress exerted by mantle flow on the lithosphere. For calculating the dynamic topography, we used a time step where upward flow is maximum (shown in Fig. 2).

We find that a maximum dynamic uplift of ~200 m is predicted during the late synrift stage, centered around the rift axis; during the earlier rift phase the amplitude of the dynamic uplift is less. The dynamically uplifted zone is in this test ~300 km wide, and therefore also affects the margins of the rift or breakup zone. Simon and Podladchikov (2008) found that phase transitions may account for ~1000 m of uplift, and magmatic underplating may contribute an amount equal to ~10% of the thickness of the underplated body. Compared to these mechanisms, dynamic uplift resulting from upwelling asthenosphere below the rift is a relatively small contribution.

Isostasy

Depth-dependent thinning of the lithosphere affects the elevation of the rift zone at continental rupture. How the lithospheric thinning is partitioned between the crust and mantle lithosphere has an important impact on the surface displacement. For example, thinning of only the crust results in subsidence of the rift zone, while thinning of only the mantle lithosphere results in uplift during rifting (McKenzie, 1978; Fig. 1). Huismans and Beaumont (2011) showed that lithosphere thinning is likely highly differential. Here we analyze uplift and subsidence patterns during rifting using a lithospheric model in isostatic balance with variations in the crust and mantle lithospheric strains. As many margins have undergone various rifting episodes, and estimates of crustal and mantle lithosphere thicknesses during and prior to rifting are not well constrained, only representative layer thicknesses will be considered here to calculate isostatic balances. Typically, models consider only the independent effects of changes to either the crust or mantle lithosphere without accounting for simultaneous modification of both components. It is the competing isostatic influences of both lithospheric elements that will dictate the magnitude of elevation changes. The $f_c$-$f_l$ diagram (Sandiford and Powell, 1990; Coblenz and Stüwe, 2002) provides a convenient way to evaluate how vertical strains in the lithosphere may be decoupled between crustal and mantle components (Fig. 3). Following Sandiford and Powell (1990), we define $f_c$ as the ratio of deformed to referenced crustal thickness and $f_l$ as the ratio of the deformed to reference total lithospheric thickness. Thus, the origin of

Figure 2. Dynamic uplift (upper panel) resulting from late synrift mantle flow (lower panel). Arrows are mantle flow lines, gray scale is temperature (in °C). Approximately 200 m maximum dynamic uplift is predicted centered on the rift.

Figure 3. Isostatic diagrams to illustrate the effects of depth-dependent thinning and lithospheric modification on the isostatic response of the lithosphere, and predicted geoid anomalies; $f_c$ is crustal thinning, $f_l$ is lithospheric thinning (calculated as percent strain). Values <1 indicate thinning. Units on the color bar are elevation (in km; left panel) and geoid (in m; right panel). Points 1, 2, and 3 are end points of the different paths. (A) Surface elevation changes in response to crustal and lithospheric thickness variations. Right panel: geoid anomaly (see Coblenz et al., 2011, and text for description of how this geoid is calculated). The arrows (paths) show the uplift and subsidence trends. R is the starting point (undisturbed lithosphere); the red arrow connects the starting and end points. (B) Isostatic columns corresponding to paths A, B, and B'. B' is a modification of path B, including density variations due to phase transitions. In path B' the density of the entire mantle lithosphere has been decreased by 2.3%. See text for further explanation.
the $f_c^f_l$ plane ($f_c = 0, f_l = 0$) corresponds to an undeformed equilibrium lithosphere, a state that the crust and lithosphere will tend toward in the absence of deformational forces. Here, we assume a reference lithospheric column represented by 30 km of 2800 kg/m$^3$ crust overlying mantle lithosphere with a density of 3300 kg/m$^3$ extending to a depth of 100 km. Density varies linearly as a function of temperature (and depth) in the column. Completely undeformed lithosphere is at the center of the $f_c^f_l$ plane (Fig. 3) with larger and smaller values of $f_c$ and $f_l$ corresponding to thicker and thinner crustal or lithospheric thicknesses, respectively.

Thinning of the crust and/or mantle lithosphere during rifting produces a path in the $f_c^f_l$ diagram indicating the amount of uplift or subsidence. Figure 3 illustrates the variations in surface elevation and geoid anomaly corresponding to variations in $f_c$ and $f_l$ values representing plausible crustal and mantle lithosphere configurations during rifting. In this analysis, the predicted geoid is based on the approach of Haxby and Turcotte (1978), which showed that in the limit of long wavelength and complete isostatic compensation, the geoid anomaly caused by density variations above the depth of compensation is proportional to the vertical dipole moment of the mass distribution above the depth of compensation:

$$\Delta N = \frac{2\pi G}{g} \int_0^S z\Delta\rho(z)\,dz,$$

where $\Delta N$ is the geoid anomaly, $G$ is Newton's gravitational constant, $g$ is the value of gravitational acceleration on the reference ellipsoid, $D$ is the depth of compensation (the depth below geoid at which pressure becomes equal beneath columns in isostatic balance, or here, where lateral density differences cease), $S$ is the surface elevation, $z$ is the depth, and $\Delta\rho(z)$ is the lateral difference in density from a reference lithosphere, including crust.

Three deformational paths (A, B, and C) are considered in Figure 3: path A corresponds to equal amounts of thinning of the crust and lithosphere. It begins at the reference state (the unextended state, point R). Path B delineates subsequent deformation where the lithosphere thins more than the crust. In this case, the predicted subsidence of the rift is less than expected if the direction of path A would have been continued. This is interpreted as reduced subsidence or relative uplift of the rift. The column $B'$ ($\Delta\rho$) is a modification of path B that includes the effect of a mantle that has been modified by phase transitions, with resulting reduction in density (Simon and Podladchikov, 2008). In these calculations ($B'$) the entire mantle lithosphere column density has been modified; this is an upper limit as only a small part of the mantle lithosphere is normally expected to undergo a phase transition (Simon and Podladchikov, 2008). The reduction in density results in uplift (or reduced subsidence) of the rift. Path C represents the effect of subsequent crustal thickening. This could be a result of formation of lower crustal magmatic bodies. For simplicity, crustal density was not modified to calculate path C. In this case, the deformation results in absolute uplift of the rifted area and a positive geoid (and gravitational potential energy) anomaly. Lithospheric density variations (producing variations in the gravitational potential energy) result in long-wavelength lithospheric stresses (Coblentz and Sandiford, 1994). These tectonic stresses can be compressional or tensional; tensional stresses correspond to regions of high potential energy and positive lithospheric geoid (Coblentz and Sandiford, 1994), suggesting that the lithosphere is in a favorable state for rupture (paths B, $B'$, and C). We note that a positive geoid anomaly is obtained when the mantle lithosphere is thinned considerably more than the crust.

**MODEL FOR REMOVAL OF MANTLE LITHOSPHERE DURING LATE-STAGE RIFTING**

Several factors contribute to uplift during rifting, and the combined effect of phase transitions (path $B'$, Fig. 3), crustal thickening by magmatic underplating (path C, Fig. 3), and mantle lithosphere that has thinned more than the crust (path B, Fig. 3) will produce (relative) uplift and a positive geoid anomaly favorable for rupture. According to the isostatic calculations discussed here, excess thinning of mantle lithosphere with respect to the crust is very effective in causing uplift and a positive geoid anomaly. The traditional models (McKenzie, 1978) assumed that the mantle lithosphere is expected to thin approximately relatively similar amounts as the crust during rifting, but larger portions of...
mantle lithosphere can be lost during rifting by the formation of an instability at the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary below the rift margin (Sleep, 2007), or by detachment (Fig. 4). Gravitational instabilities (downwelling) may grow by lateral feeding of mantle lithosphere into the drip, which removes mantle from the continental lithosphere. The result is a mantle lithosphere that has thinned more than the crust at the time of continental breakup.

Detachment of mantle lithosphere may follow the formation of high-density magmatic cumulates during the rift phase. Impregnation by substantial volumes of asthenospheric melts has been documented for the extending continental lithospheric mantle of the Jurassic Ligurian Tethys Ocean (Piccardo et al., 2009). The lithospheric mantle may evolve similarly in other rifts. Asthenospheric basanite magmas, generated by decompression melting of rising asthenosphere, infiltrate the continental mantle during the late synrift phase, and undergo continued fractional crystallization during ascent. The denser igneous material is trapped in the continental mantle lithosphere, forming igneous cumulates, while the evolved lighter magmas rise toward shallower cooler layers. Such a high pressure fractionation model is consistent with experimental petrology (Irving and Green, 2008) and natural lherzolite xenolith-bearing evolved phonolitic magmas within extensional settings, such as the Veste Heldburg (Cenozoic Heldburg dike swarm, Germany). The trapped melts form high-density bodies, and the mantle lithosphere is rheologically modified and weakened, facilitating detachment or removal of large mantle blocks along mantle lithosphere shear zones.

Gravitationally unstable mantle lithosphere will detach and be replaced by warmer asthenosphere, resulting in surface uplift and generation of mid-oceanic ridge basalt–like (MORB) magmas (Meyer et al., 2009a, 2009b). Detachment will occur above the high-density cumulates in the lower mantle lithosphere, and below the region where the density is reduced by phase changes during the advanced stages of rifting. The density effect of phase transitions is mostly pronounced in the uppermost part of the mantle lithosphere during advanced stages of rifting (Simon and Podladchikov, 2008), and detachment will occur between these layers of opposite direction of buoyancy. Pre-detachment rift-related magmas such as found in the East African Rift have a steep rare earth element (REE) pattern (Fig. 5). All continental rift-related magmas are light REE enriched, and this fractionation of the REEs reflects significant high-pressure partial melting in the garnet stability field. In contrast, continental breakup melts such as the seaward-dipping reflector sequences found on magma-dominated margins (Menzies et al., 2002, and references therein) are subaerial magmas with MORB-like REE enrichment patterns illustrating melting of a shallow asthenosphere at the rift to drift transition.

In the model for continental rapture proposed here (Fig. 4), a major difference between magma-starved and magma-dominated margins is the bulk of magma that intrudes crustal levels or eventually erupts, and the conceptual model therefore applies to both margin end members. The structural difference between these margin types was described in Reston (2009) and Menzies et al. (2002), and is not discussed here. During extension, the lithosphere is thinned in continental rifts, and upward flow of asthenosphere below the rift results in decomposition melting. Differentiation of magma forms high-density cumulates in the mantle lithosphere, while lower density magma rises to shallower levels, ponds in subcrustal magma chambers, and may erupt. The continental mantle is rheologically altered by the melt infiltration, weakened and affected by thermochemical or mechanical erosion. Following detachment of the high-density continental mantle, rapid surface uplift occurs, and the resulting tensile force will assist continental rupture. Melting of upwelling asthenosphere below the remaining continental lithosphere forms oceanic crust. At magma-dominated margins large volumes erup as basaltic flows.

Removal of mantle lithosphere during the late synrift phase by detachment or development of lithospheric downwelling will appear in subsidence curves as extra thinning of the mantle lithosphere; this is depth-dependent thinning of the lithosphere. Depth-dependent thinning is lithosphere thinning where the mantle part has been thinned more than the crust; it has been inferred on many margins (Kusznir and Karner, 2007), supporting the hypothesis that loss of mantle lithosphere is a normal process during rifting.

When the continental mantle lithosphere is removed during the final stages of rifting, it is replaced by asthenosphere. After breakup, the margins cool, and lithospherization of asthenosphere takes place. The process of lithospherization involves cooling of the asthenosphere such that it becomes part of the tectonic plate, analogous to formation of oceanic lithosphere near
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**Figure 5.** Average (av.) C1-chondrite normalized rare earth element (REE) abundances in melts from a typical rift system (East African Rift), the rift-drift transition at a volcanic rifted margin (seaward-dipping reflector sequence [SDRS] from the Vøring margin), and normal mid-oceanic ridge basalt (N-MORB) illustrate the strongly light REE– to heavy REE–enriched pattern of the rift magmas pointing to garnet as a residual phase in the melting source and the flat REE patterns of the SDRS and the N-MORB due to shallow melting in the mantle. Data sources: C1-chondrite—Palme and O’Neill (2004); average East African Rift system (average inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry and instrumental neutron activation analysis data from East African Rift)—GEOROC website data set (http://georoc.mpch-mainz.gwdg.de/georoc/webseite/Links.htm); N-MORB—Hofmann (1988); SDRS average—Meyer et al. (2009b).
mid-ocean ridges. This is accompanied by subidence of the margin. This newly formed lithosphere from depleted asthenosphere is similar in composition to oceanic mantle lithosphere, and underlies the outermost parts of the continental margin crust (Fig. 4).

CONCLUSIONS

Uplift of margins prior to breakup is typical, and an expected process accompanying continental breakup. It results from several factors, including phase transitions, dynamic uplift, and removal of mantle lithosphere. Removal of mantle lithosphere is most effective in producing uplift or reduced subsidence in combination with phase transitions, and we suggest that mantle lithosphere removal is a common process accompanying rapture. This finding is supported by geochemical data that indicate MORB-like REE enrichment patterns resulting from shallow asthenospheric melting, and observations of depth-dependent thinning. The positive geom anomaly and increase in tensile forces as a result of this uplift are expected to assist breakup. After breakup, new oceanic mantle lithosphere is formed below the continental margin, and normal subsidence resumes.
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