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ABSTRACT
An intermittent discharge waste stabilisation pond system was trialled for treatment of a seasonal wastewater load from a campsite. The system showed rapid acclimatisation to incoming load, with chlorophyll-\textit{a} exceeding 700 mg l$^{-1}$ within 2 weeks and filtered and unfiltered effluent biochemical oxygen demand below 20 and 30 mg l$^{-1}$ respectively. Good performance continued for some weeks, after which photosynthetic oxygenation capacity in the first pond was seriously impaired by a shock loading believed to include fatty material. Inflow to the system was suspended and a surface film was broken up, after which the pond recovered within an 8-day period. Laboratory experiments indicated that interventions such as artificial aeration and dilution with effluent had no beneficial effect although mixing may have increased the rate of recovery.
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INTRODUCTION
Intermittent or seasonally-increased wastewater flows arise in a number of situations, from popular holiday sites to accommodation for agricultural workers or refugees. The current research assessed the feasibility of using a waste stabilisation pond (WSP) system for treatment of a seasonal discharge of this type from a campsite at Lockerley Water Farm, Hampshire, UK. The site, owned by Hampshire Christian Trust, is used between June and September each year by up to 120 people a week. At other periods small groups occasionally use the site for meetings, parties or shorter camps. A range of facilities is provided including tents, mobile toilets and shower facilities, and a permanent kitchen block. Wastewater is collected in two underground storage tanks, and taken off site by tanker for treatment.

After an audit of water usage and wastewater generation, Boisseau (2005) concluded that an intermittent discharge WSP might provide an effective solution for on-site treatment. A single pilot-scale pond was constructed, and was operated from July 2006 to July 2007 according to a typical mode for cold climate regions, where discharge is limited to a short period when the effluent quality is highest. This approach allows treatment of the load during summer, followed by an extended holding period during which algal populations are reduced, leaving clarified water for discharge. Whalley \textit{et al.} (2011) monitored the performance of this pond and showed that although clarification did occur in winter, the system provided satisfactory treatment to meet discharge standards during the summer period. It was therefore concluded that the advantages of this operating mode were outweighed by the additional costs associated with the need to construct a pond large enough to store both the treated wastewater and any net incoming precipitation.

A second pond was added in series with the first, and during the July–September 2007 camping season the system was operated to simulate continuous discharge of treated wastewater. The loading rate on the first pond in terms of 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD$_5$) was about 130 kg ha$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$, higher than the 80 kg ha$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ recommended for year-round UK operation (Mara 2007); the second pond acted as a maturation/polishing stage. This operating protocol proved reasonably successful, although the first pond had low dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations and a slight odour, indicating that the hydraulic retention time (HRT) was too short (Whalley \textit{et al.} 2011). Despite this the system performed well, with the two ponds producing an effluent of good quality.

The present research evaluated the performance with a reduced hydraulic loading on the first pond, and commencing with both ponds full of clarified water from the
previous year, acting as a buffer to incoming load. During operation, however, an unexpected shock load modified the research direction to include consideration of recovery strategies based on laboratory-scale testing. To date there have been few studies on the impact of shock loadings on these systems, but recent studies are indicating the importance of loading rates in temperate climate systems (Abis & Mara 2005; Faleschini et al. 2012).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

WSP layout and operation

Figure 1 shows the system layout. Wastewater from the campsite was received in Tank 1 and pumped in 128-l batches 23 times a day into Pond 1. Pond 1 has a maximum water depth of 1.7 m and a capacity of 73 m³ giving a HRT of 25 days. Based on an average BOD₅ of 200 mg l⁻¹ for the settled wastewater, the design load on Pond 1 was ~82 kg ha⁻¹ day⁻¹. Half of the effluent from Pond 1 was then pumped to Pond 2, with a maximum water depth of 1.3 m and a capacity of 30 m³, giving a 20-day HRT. Effluent from Pond 2 was pumped back to Tank 2 with the other half of the effluent from Pond 1, and then taken for off-site disposal. The system began operation on 28 July 2008, the first day of the main camping season, and wastewater was produced until the last camp closed on 5 September 2008.

Sampling, monitoring and analysis

Each pond was instrumented with two DO probes, one ~10 cm above the bottom and one near the surface. Data from these were recorded on a D500 datalogger (DataTaker, UK) averaged over 10-minute intervals. Air temperature and other climate parameters were recorded at 30-minute intervals by a WH-1080PC weatherstation (Wireless Pro, UK). Irradiance was measured by a RC/0308 photovoltaic cell (PV Systems, UK). On-site water consumption was recorded from the consumer meter provided by Southern Water plc.

Influent wastewater and effluents from Ponds 1 and 2 were sampled twice weekly from 24 July. Chemical oxygen demand (COD), BOD₅, suspended solids (SS), ammonia, nitrate and orthophosphate were measured by standard methods 5220 C, 5210 B, 2540 D, 4500-N B and 4500-P E respectively (APHA 2005). Conductivity as total dissolved solids (TDS) was measured using a conductivity meter (Maplins Ltd, UK), and DO using a YSI 5000 meter (YSI, Yellow Springs, Ohio). Alkalinity was determined by titration with 0.25N H₂SO₄ to endpoint pH 4.0, using an automatic titrator (Titroline Easy, Schott, Germany), which was also used to measure pH. Chlorophyll-α was measured by
method 10200 H (APHA 2005), modified in that MgCO₃ was added to the filter rather than acetone and the extract was not re-filtered after centrifuging. Absorbance was measured using a portable spectrophotometer (Hach, Germany).

Laboratory experiments to assess recovery potential were carried out by culturing pond samples in 2-l flasks in a light box with 6 no. 30 W cool white fluorescent tubes. Three experimental flasks were set up, one of which was aerated, one seeded with water from Pond 2 in the ratio of the pond volumes (30:73), and the third mixed slowly without aeration. The flasks were sampled daily and monitored for pH, DO, filtered and unfiltered COD and absorbance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of WSP monitoring are shown in Figure 2. Feeding Pond 1 with wastewater produced an immediate response in the form of an algal bloom, seen in the very rapid rise in chlorophyll-a, SS, nutrient removal, and unfiltered BOD₅ and COD (uBOD₅ and uCOD). This was expected to continue throughout the summer, but after 3 weeks conditions in Pond 1 changed: chlorophyll-a concentrations fell sharply, a surface film appeared and the water body became anoxic. Inflow to Pond 1 was stopped, and ways of recovering aerobic activity were investigated. Sampling from both Pond 1 and Pond 2 continued during the period of interrupted feeding to assess the natural recovery of Pond 1 and any maturation and polishing in Pond 2.

Air temperature and solar irradiance

Air temperature ranged from 8.2 to 31.6 °C with an average of 17.3 °C, close to the long-term average for the area. Maximum irradiance was 860.3 W m⁻² on 14 August and the average was 139.7 W m⁻². Conditions were thus suitable to support algal growth.

COD and BOD₅

A spot sample taken on 6 August showed a sharp rise in influent organic strength, particularly uCOD. Concentrations remained high in three successive samples, before dropping to more typical values on 17 August, when the feed to Pond 1 was turned off. The high uCOD concentration was mirrored by rises in uBOD₅ and in filtered BOD₅ and COD (fBOD₅ and fCOD), although to a lesser extent on the first and last 2 of these 4 sampling days.

A sharp rise in Pond 1 uCOD was recorded in the sample taken on 14 August with a further rise on 18 August, at which point the pond was black in colour with a friable surface film. Similar, though smaller, rises were seen in fCOD and in uBOD₅ and fBOD₅. After feeding stopped, the organic strength of the effluent fell during the following 10-day period. There was no apparent impact on Pond 2, as inflow to this pond stopped before the effect of the high load in Pond 1 was transmitted. Pond 2 effluent quality thus remained good throughout the summer with average fBOD₅ and uBOD₅ of 18 and 33 mg l⁻¹ respectively.

Chlorophyll-a

Chlorophyll-a concentrations in Pond 1 were initially close to zero, as at the start of wastewater inflow the pond contained mainly rainwater collected over the preceding winter. The starting concentration in Pond 2 was ∼200 mg l⁻¹, as this pond contained treated effluent from the previous year with a carry-over of algal inoculum. Algal growth in both ponds was rapid with chlorophyll-a in Pond 1 reaching 716 mg l⁻¹ on 10 August, before falling to very low levels by 18 August. Concentrations recovered over the following 10 days, with a final peak as inoculum was added from Pond 2. Chlorophyll-a in Pond 2 remained around 450 mg l⁻¹ until it was mixed with Pond 1 effluent on 27 August. This addition of nutrients resulted in a bloom, with chlorophyll-a concentrations reaching 712 mg l⁻¹ by 4 September.

Dissolved oxygen

Initially DO followed the expected pattern, reaching supersaturation during the day with concentrations as high as 35 mg l⁻¹. As the proportion of wastewater in Pond 1 increased, with its associated oxygen demand, there was a gradual reduction in the daily DO peak and at night oxygen deficiency occurred for short periods. Around the time the chlorophyll-a levels dropped and the pond became black, oxygen was deficient even during the day (Figure 3).

Suspended solids

Increases in wastewater uCOD and uBOD₅ were reflected in SS, which rose to 236 mg l⁻¹ by 18 August. SS in Pond 1 reached a peak around the time of maximum chlorophyll-a concentration. The fall in SS was not as dramatic as that in chlorophyll-a, however, indicating a change in the nature of the load from algal to wastewater solids. Pond 2 showed
lower and more consistent SS concentrations averaging 92.5 mg l\(^{-1}\) (minimum 65 and maximum 133 mg l\(^{-1}\)), reflecting the more stable algal population.

**Nutrients**

The ammonia concentration in Pond 1 rose from 6 August and by 17 August reached 91 mg l\(^{-1}\). After wastewater addition ceased there was some reduction, possibly associated with the reappearance of algae. No nitrate was detected in Pond 1 and it is therefore unlikely that any ammonia oxidation took place. Ammonia could also have been lost through volatilisation, although during this period the pH of the pond was near neutral: recent studies have shown, however, that a number of factors are involved in ammonia removal and their interrelations are complex (Yamamoto...
Ammonia in Pond 2 remained low (average 0.4 mg l\(^{-1}\)) until about 10 August when the increasing nitrogen load in Pond 1 began to break through. When feeding was stopped on 18 August the ammonia concentration in Pond 2 had reached 13 mg l\(^{-1}\). The sudden increase to approximately 40 mg l\(^{-1}\) at the end of August reflects the higher concentration in Pond 1 as it was mixed with Pond 2 on 27 August. As expected, nitrate concentrations in the system were very low, and it was only during the period without feeding that levels of 1.12 mg l\(^{-1}\) were detected in Pond 2. Nitrification could possibly have occurred under the high DO conditions in the underloaded Pond 2 at this time (Valero et al. 2010).

Orthophosphate showed similar trends to those for ammonia, with concentrations in Pond 1 rising in response to increasing wastewater strength, except that the peak seen on 21 August did not occur until after wastewater inflow had stopped. It is possible that phosphate taken up by algae during the bloom was subsequently released back into the water body, at a time corresponding to the fall in chlorophyll-\(a\) concentration and changes in the visual appearance of the pond. Orthophosphate concentrations remained high during the period of interrupted feeding and Pond 2 again showed only limited breakthrough from Pond 1 until the two ponds were mixed.

**Flask experiments**

Results of the flask experiments are shown in Figure 4. Filtered COD concentrations fell rapidly from initial values of 218, 218 and 186 mg l\(^{-1}\) to 134, 121 and 127 mg l\(^{-1}\) after 1 day in the stirred, aerated and mixed flasks respectively. After 4 days the fCOD in all three flasks stabilised at \(\sim 120\) mg l\(^{-1}\). Unfiltered COD in the stirred flask fell slightly on day 2 and 3 but then rose above its initial value, stabilising at 380 mg l\(^{-1}\) from days 5–7. In the aerated flask, on the other hand, uCOD dropped to \(\sim 200\) mg l\(^{-1}\) from day 1–5, apart from a peak on day 2, and only rose above 300 mg l\(^{-1}\) on day 6 and 7. In the mixed flask, after falling for the first 2 days uCOD fluctuated around 300 mg l\(^{-1}\).

On day 1 the pH in the aerated flask had risen most, followed by the mixed flask, but over the next 6 days values in all flasks rose to between 9 and 9.5. High pH values are often observed in WSPs in daylight conditions, and reflect the absorption of CO\(_2\) from both community respiration and atmospheric sources for use in algal growth. Absorbance measurements for each of the flasks also reflected a rapid algal bloom. This occurred first and was most intense in the stirred flask, with absorbance values reaching 0.95 on day 7. In the mixed and aerated flasks the increase began 1 day later, and by day 7 values had reached 0.75 and 0.70 respectively. DO concentrations were measured from day 3 and showed supersaturation at \(\sim 14\) mg l\(^{-1}\) in the stirred and mixed flasks, but only 9 mg l\(^{-1}\) in the aerated flask, possibly due to air-stripping of microbubbles.

**Discussion**

With average wastewater flows of \(5.59\) m\(^3\) day\(^{-1}\) and an average of 89 people on site each contributing \(\sim 60\) g BOD\(_5\) day\(^{-1}\), the expected BOD\(_5\) concentration of the raw wastewater is 955 mg l\(^{-1}\). The average influent BOD\(_5\) was 213 mg l\(^{-1}\). Sedimentation is normally considered to remove \(\sim 40\)% of wastewater BOD\(_5\) while some BOD\(_5\) may be deposited off site, e.g. during day trips. The capacity of Tank 1 is 30 m\(^3\), however, giving an influent HRT of about

---

**Alkalinity and conductivity**

Conductivity and alkalinity in the pond effluents remained low for the first 3 weeks, with closely matching values. As the influent alkalinity and conductivity rose, values in Pond 1 also rose, at a rate indicating that the pond was reasonably well mixed. By 17 August conductivity in Pond 1 was nearly equal to the influent value. After this, values for both parameters fell, with conductivity dropping more rapidly. Alkalinity and conductivity in Pond 2 increased only slightly before feeding was stopped, and remained low until the ponds were mixed. Values after 28 August indicated that mixing was effective, with differences between the two ponds of only 112 mg l\(^{-1}\) TDS and 124 mg l\(^{-1}\) CaCO\(_3\).
5 days. It seems likely that as well as allowing sedimentation, Tank 1 provides some biological treatment, and part of the raw wastewater BOD$_5$ is removed anaerobically. It is clear that Tank 1 is important to successful operation of the system, fulfilling the function of an anaerobic pond, although these are not normally provided in UK WSP systems (Mara 2007).

System performance for the first 3 weeks after the start of the camp was excellent, with rapid rises in chlorophyll-$a$, high DO concentrations and low effluent BOD$_5$ in both ponds. During this time chlorophyll-$a$ in both ponds was above the 300 mg m$^{-3}$ considered necessary to maintain stable facultative conditions (Pearson 1996).

A loss of facultative conditions occurred in Pond 1 following the dramatic loss of chlorophyll-$a$ in samples taken after 14 August. It is not clear what caused this upset, but its effect was clearly seen. The pond became black, with a thin surface layer of fatty material, and average DO concentrations fell almost to zero. Although influent BOD$_5$ rose between 10 and 17 August, it is unlikely that the additional oxygen demand caused such a sudden catastrophic change. During this time there was no sign of a bloom in grazing fauna such as *Daphnia* which may cause a rapid reduction in algal numbers. Even when the net oxygen demand of the wastewater exceeds net algal oxygen productivity, pond failure is normally partial rather than absolute, as oxygen production does not cease: the algae do not die but simply fail to meet the demand exerted by the symbiotic algal-bacterial consortium. Lack of light causes a reduction in photosynthetic activity and, coupled with increased load, could cause algal die-off. Data for that period indicated, however, that solar irradiance was sufficient for algal photosynthesis and growth (Figure 3). The most probable explanation for the failure was the presence of the surface film which, although not analysed, appeared to be fat or oil. In these conditions mass transfer of gases is impeded and light transmission may also be affected, potentially leading to a reduction in algal numbers.

The cause of the surface film may have been a one-off incident, such as a discharge of cooking fats and oils to the drainage system rather than into the oil collection facilities provided on site. If this material entered Tank 1 it could have led to the sharp increase in fCOD noted after 4 August. As fats and
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**Figure 4** | Results for flask testing of intervention strategies (1 = stirred, 2 = aerated, 3 = mixed). Filtered and unfiltered COD concentrations (a), pH (b), DO concentration (c), absorbance (d).
oils may not be readily degradable in a typical 5-day BOD test, this could also account for the sudden increase in influent COD:BOD₅ ratio around this time, while the ratios of uBOD₅:fBOD₅ and uCOD:fCOD remained relatively stable.

A second possibility is that the incident was due to the design and operation of the collection system. The submersible pump feeding Pond 1 was suspended in Tank 1 at about half the tank depth. The proportion of wastewater that is not pumped to the ponds overflows from Tank 1 into Tank 2 by an interconnecting high-level pipe. Around the time of the failure it was noted that the level in Tank 2 was increasing. If this rose above the interconnecting pipe, the liquid level in Tank 1 would also rise. As the tank is a horizontal cylinder, this in turn would reduce the free surface area, creating a concentrated layer of floating fat and scum at the upper surface. When Tank 2 was subsequently emptied, a process taking only a few minutes with a suction tanker, the level in Tank 1 would drop rapidly to that of the interconnecting pipe, causing turbulence in Tank 1. Concentrated oily scum could thus have been pumped to the pond at this time, around 10–14 August. Potential support for this explanation comes from the fact that a new emptying regime was introduced during this trial. In previous trials a level-switch in Tank 2 operated a signal to alert the camp manager that the tank would shortly need emptying. In the current trial this was changed to a regular schedule of tanker visits, with the result that emptying was less closely linked to the volume of wastewater produced, and maximum tank levels were more variable. In previous years some variation in the organic strength of the influent occurred on a week-to-week basis (Whalley et al. 2011, 2012), but dramatic changes such as those in the current trial (Figure 2(a)) were not observed.

By 18 August Pond 1 was in very poor condition. The thin layer of visible surface contamination was broken up using a scraper and drained off by reducing the outlet weir height. The influent flow was then turned off and the pond left to recover. It was expected that this would happen naturally, but the laboratory study was initiated to see if interventions could speed up the process. Chlorophyll-α concentrations in the ponds took about 8 days to climb back above 500 mg l⁻¹; this happened more quickly under laboratory conditions in the flask experiments, giving an early indication that the problem was not due to an irrecoverable toxic shock.

Although Pond 1 appeared to be on its way to natural recovery it was decided to mix the contents of the two ponds on 27 August, 1 week before the camping season finished, to transfer some of the accumulated nutrient load into Pond 2. Eight days later, chlorophyll-α in both ponds exceeded 700 mg m⁻³ and facultative conditions in Pond 1 had been completely recovered.

The research showed that the pond system responded very rapidly to the wastewater load applied at the beginning of the season. It also showed it was vulnerable to a shock load. This event was unexpected and was probably caused by the temporary nature of the feed system to Pond 1 and the need to return treated effluent to Pond 2 in order to comply with Environment Agency requirements not to discharge from the experimental ponds. The experience made it clear, however, that existing rules for separate collection of cooking fats and oils on the campsite may not be sufficient to prevent such problems occurring in a full-scale plant, and the final design must include a grease trap as an additional protection measure (Mara 2007).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Start-up with both ponds full of treated and diluted wastewater allowed rapid algal growth in response to the commencement of wastewater inflow. Pond 1 also provided a degree of hydraulic buffering to Pond 2, as indicated by alkalinity and conductivity determinations and the appearance of free ammonia. High levels of DO could be maintained under normal loading conditions, reaching supersaturation during the day. The system was vulnerable to a shock input such as fatty or oily residues capable of coating the surface and reducing light penetration and/or mass transfer. Once the problem was removed the system was capable of recovery in a relatively short period without the need for further intervention. A laboratory study indicated that re-inoculation or aeration did not stimulate recovery, and the main factor was probably increased light availability. The inlet storage tank plays an important role in treatment, and consideration must therefore be given to the design of the wastewater feed system to exclude fats and greases.
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