Fine-scale differences between Accumulibacter-like bacteria in enhanced biological phosphorus removal activated sludge
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Abstract A lab-scale sequencing batch reactor (SBR) and six full-scale wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) performing enhanced biological phosphorus removal (EBPR) were surveyed. The abundance of Accumulibacter-related organisms in the full-scale plants was investigated using fluorescent in situ hybridization. Accumulibacter-related organisms were present in all of the full-scale EBPR plants, at levels ranging from 9% to 24% of total cells. The high percentage of Accumulibacter-related organisms seemed to be associated with configurations which minimize the nitrate recycling to the anaerobic zone and low influent BOD:TP ratios. PCR-based clone libraries were constructed from the community 16S rRNA gene plus the internally transcribed spacer region amplified from the SBR and five of the full-scale WWTPs. Comparative sequence analysis was carried out using Accumulibacter-related clones, providing higher phylogenetic resolution and revealing finer-scale clustering of the sequences retrieved from the SBR and full-scale EBPR plants.
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Introduction Phosphorus (P) is the critical factor leading to the eutrophication of surface waters. Enhanced biological P removal (EBPR) has been applied for several decades to achieve low P levels in treated wastewater effluent. EBPR employs the activities of polyphosphate accumulating organisms (PAOs) that release phosphate (P) anaerobically, then take up P, aerobically and store it as intracellular polyphosphate (polyP), in excess of the amount released anaerobically. P is ultimately removed from the system through sludge wastage. In spite of its wide and successful application, our poor understanding of the underlying biological mechanisms responsible for EBPR requires that process design and operation be largely empirical. Therefore, some wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) suffer from unreliable EBPR performance.

A significant amount of research effort has been expended in an attempt to identify the microorganisms responsible for EBPR. Early studies, based on culture-dependent methods, suggested that dominant PAOs were Acinetobacter spp.. However, later studies showed the insignificance of Acinetobacter in EBPR using cultivation independent molecular techniques (Wagner et al., 1994; Mino et al., 1998). Further investigation using such tools led to the identification of bacteria phylogenetically affiliated with the Rhodocyclus group of the beta-proteobacteria and those affiliated with gamma-proteobacteria as candidate PAOs (Bond et al., 1995; Hesselmann et al., 1999; Crocetti et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2001). The Rhodocyclus-like organisms are currently thought to be the most relevant PAO candidate and have been tentatively named Accumulibacter phosphatis.
(Hesselmann et al., 1999). Several researchers (Zilles et al., 2002; Saunders et al., 2003) confirmed these organisms’ involvement in EBPR in certain full-scale WWTPs.

Previous studies on the occurrence and phylogeny of Accumulibacter-related organisms in EBPR systems primarily relied on the use of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA)-based methods. However, the limited phylogenetic resolution of 16S rRNA makes it difficult to analyze very closely related bacteria (Fox et al., 1992). Indeed, some of the A. phosphatis-related 16S rRNA sequences recovered from geographically and temporally distinct EBPR systems are nearly identical. Errors associated with PCR and sequencing procedures makes the difference between them statistically invalid (Acinas et al., 2004). Do these sequences represent essentially identical “strains” of A. phosphatis, or can we expect significant genotypic and/or phenotypic differences between populations across EBPR systems? Clearly, investigation of fine-scale differences among A. phosphatis populations requires the use of more divergent genetic loci. The 16S–23S internally transcribed spacer (ITS) region in the rrn operon exhibits higher variation both in terms of length and sequence, and may provide enough resolution to observe such fine-scale differences in population structure. Despite the variance, the ITS region is still sufficiently conserved among closely related bacteria, making it possible to use this locus for stable classification (Itman et al., 2000).

In the current study, we investigated the abundance of Accumulibacter-related organisms, and the fine-scale population structure of these candidate PAOs. To do this, we sampled activated sludge from one lab-scale sequencing batch reactor (SBR) and six full-scale EBPR facilities in the USA. Several of these WWTPs were recently included in a survey of EBPR system performance (Stephens et al., 2004; Gu et al. in preparation).

**Methods**

The lab-scale SBR was inoculated with sludge from the Madison, WI, USA, Nine Springs WWTP (operated as a UCT (University of Cape Town) process), performing good EBPR. The reactor, with a working volume of 2 L, was operated on a 6 h cycle, including 130 min anaerobic phase, 190 min aerobic phase, 30 min settling and 10 min effluent withdrawing. The hydraulic residence time was 12 h, and the solids retention time (SRT) was 4 d. The pH was maintained at 7.0–7.3. The SBR was fed with acetate, casamino acids, and a mineral salts medium with P to achieve a COD:P of 14 (mg COD:mg P) (McMahon et al., 2002b; Schuler and Jenkins, 2003).

Activated sludge samples were collected at the end of the aerobic stage in six full-scale EBPR WWTPs. Important physical and chemical characteristics of these plants are summarized in Table 1. The samples were transported overnight on ice for analysis within 24 h of sampling.

PAOs were visualized by staining intracellular polyP with 4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Accumulibacter-related organisms were visualized by 16S rRNA-targeted fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH). The sludge flocs were mechanically disrupted by repetitively pushing sludge sample through a 26-gauge needle for 20 min, fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde and then transferred to slides. A probe mixture (RHC439, PAO462b, PAO651 and PAO846b), targeting the Accumulibacter-related organisms was applied with the same hybridization conditions used by Zilles et al. (2002). PolyP staining and FISH results were expressed as the percentage of the total cells determined by DAPI stain.

Genomic DNA was extracted by a modified enzyme digestion method followed by phenol/chloroform extraction and isopropanol precipitation (Parkhold et al., 2000; McMahon et al., in submitted). The 16S + ITS region of the rrn operon was amplified from the community DNA using bacterial-specific 8f and 23Sr primers, and KOD Hot Start high fidelity DNA polymerase (Novagen, WI). Clone libraries were constructed...
using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Ninety-six clones from each library were picked randomly. For full-scale EBPR samples, Accumulibacter-related clones were screened by real-time PCR (Warnecke et al., 2004), using a mixture of forward primers (RHC439f, PAO651f and PAO846bf) and 1492r, at the annealing temperature of 60°C. For the SBR, unique clones were determined by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) (McMahon et al., 2002a). The entire cloned 16S + ITS region of Accumulibacter-positive clones from full-scale WWTPs and unique clones from the SBR were sequenced. Sequences from each full-scale WWTPs were grouped into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on 99% identity shared between 16S + ITS sequences. Unique sequences associated with Accumulibacter spp., as determined using the BLAST network service (Altschul et al., 1990) were selected for phylogenetic analysis. The 16S + ITS sequences were aligned with the GCG software package (Accelrys, CA). MrBayes version 3.0 was used for Bayesian analyses (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003), running for 1,000,000 generations with sampling every 10 generations to create 100,000 trees. The consensus tree was visualized and printed in PAUP * 4.0 (Sinauer Associates, MA).

Results and discussion

SBR performance

At steady state, the SBR exhibited characteristic EBPR carbon and P transformations. P content of the sludge biomass was 18% (mg P/mg VSS).

The abundance of Accumulibacter-related organisms

The abundance of Accumulibacter-related organisms was quantified using FISH. In the SBR, the microbial community was dominated (> 80%) by Accumulibacter-related organisms (data not shown). For the six WWTPs studied, Accumulibacter-related organisms were present, at levels ranging from 9% to 24% of total cells, confirming that they play a significant role in EBPR processes. Nansemond and Virginia Initiative Process (VIP)
(operated as VIP processes), and Nine Springs (operated as a UCT process) had higher percentages of *Accumulibacter*-related organisms than Durham (operated as an A²O process) (Figure 1). The major difference between the VIP, UCT and anaerobic – anoxic – oxic (A²O) processes is that the VIP and UCT configurations minimize the amount of nitrate return to the anaerobic zone. Lindrea *et al.* (1998) showed that the amount of nitrate returned to the anaerobic zone negatively affected the P removal stability, and that this was associated with the distribution of P between different cellular polyP fractions (short-chain polyP vs. long-chain polyP) in EBPR sludge. The lower level of *Accumulibacter* in A²O processes may be due to their higher sensitivity to nitrate and/or their preferred polyP formation mechanism. VIP processes and modified UCT (MUCT) processes are very similar. However, Nansemond and VIP had markedly higher percentages of *Accumulibacter*-related organisms than the two Las Vegas plants, which were operated as MUCT processes. The low abundance of *Accumulibacter* in the Las Vegas plants might arise from the higher influent BOD:total P ratios (Table 1). Previous studies showed that low BOD:P ratios favored *Accumulibacter*-related organisms (McMahon *et al.*, 2002b; Schuler and Jenkins, 2003), while high BOD:P ratios favored glycogen-accumulating organisms (Schuler and Jenkins, 2003). Also, from Figure 1 it is noticeable that the percentage of *Accumulibacter*-related organisms was less than the percentage of PAOs, suggesting a possibility of non-*Accumulibacter* PAOs in the full-scale EBPR plants, especially in Durham and the two Las Vegas plants.

**Clone library screening**

Clone libraries were constructed for the lab-scale SBR and the full-scale WWTPs, except for Nine Springs, since 16S rRNA-based phylogenetic analysis had previously been performed on *Accumulibacter*-related organisms in that plant (Zilles *et al.*, 2002). A total of 96 clones from each full-scale WWTP’s library were screened by real-time PCR to identify potential *Accumulibacter*-related 16S + ITS clones for sequencing. The numbers of positive clones identified with this screen are listed in Table 2. However, comparative sequence analysis led to the observation that not all of the positive clones were related to *Accumulibacter* (Table 2). This could be attributed to non-specific amplification of some 16S rRNA outside the *Accumulibacter* group. However, this is not surprising since the multiplex PCR was carried out under less stringent conditions to assure retrieval of all

**Figure 1** Percentages of *Accumulibacter*-related organisms and polyP containing cells. Error bars represent standard deviations for replicates.
Accumulibacter-related sequences. Thus, this screening strategy successfully reduced the number of clones to be sequenced, but did result in some false positives.

A larger number of Accumulibacter-related sequences were recovered from the Nansemond and VIP plants. These results confirm the abundance of Accumulibacter group members as determined by FISH. The lower recovery of Accumulibacter-related sequences from Durham and two Las Vegas sludges may partly due to PCR bias (Wintzingerode et al., 1997). However, 16S + ITS amplicons were easily obtained from these sludges using universal primers (data not shown), therefore it is reasonable to rule out general PCR inhibition. Since Accumulibacter-related organisms were present in lower abundances in these three plants, as indicated by our FISH results, it is probable that Accumulibacter-related clones were simply missed by our rather small clone libraries. Additional 16S + ITS clone libraries were constructed for the two Las Vegas sludges. A total of 7 and 8 Accumulibacter-related clones were recovered from Las Vegas 1 and 2 respectively from additionally constructed 16S + ITS clone libraries.

Finer scale phylogenetic analysis of Accumulibacter-related sequences retrieved

The ITS sequences retrieved from the current study consisted of both conserved regions (corresponding to coding regions for tRNA-Alanine and tRNA-Isoleucine) and variable regions. The variable regions may lead to the design of specific rRNA-targeted probes or primers with higher resolution, distinguishing closely related Accumulibacter organisms. The conserved regions made it possible to align the sequences with different ITS lengths and build the 16S + ITS phylogenetic tree, as shown in Figure 2.

By comparative sequence analysis, it is noticeable that the OTUs in Clade I form a well-supported cluster (0.99 posterior probability). PCR bias notwithstanding, this result suggests that the Accumulibacter-like organisms found in the lab-scale SBR were present in full-scale EBPR plants operated using the VIP process. Taken together with the FISH results, it is likely that these Accumulibacter-like organisms are important PAOs in plants with the VIP configuration.

Our survey was designed to begin to search for evidence of biogeographical isolation of Accumulibacter-related PAOs. Although in previous studies, some of the Accumulibacter-related 16S rRNA sequences recovered from geographically distinct EBPR systems were nearly identical, implying a lack of biogeography, it is hard to draw such a conclusion due to the limited phylogenetic resolution provided by 16S rRNA. However, phylogenetic analysis based on 16S + ITS rRNA could provide additional resolution. From our 16S + ITS rRNA tree, there are no obvious coherent patterns of the sequences retrieved from geographically isolated systems. Interestingly, clone Dur D8, from the state of Oregon, affiliated with clones Vir D2 and Nan E6, both from the state of Virginia. Does this suggest that geographical factors do not influence the global population structure of Accumulibacter-related organisms? Due to the small sample size and limited sequences recovered in the current study, it is not possible to answer this question yet.
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a The number of unique operational taxonomic units (OTUs) determined by RFLP
b The number of Accumulibacter-related sequence types out of the 13 unique OTUs
c The number of Accumulibacter-related clones was 7 for Las Vegas 1 and 8 for Las Vegas 2 in additionally constructed 16S + ITS clone libraries
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Conclusions

Accumulibacter-related organisms were detected using FISH in all of the full-scale EBPR plants surveyed. The high percentage of Accumulibacter-related organisms seemed to be associated with configurations which minimize the nitrate recycling to the anaerobic zone and low influent BOD:TP ratios.

The percentage of Accumulibacter-related organisms was less than the percentage of PAO populations, suggesting a possibility of non-Accumulibacter PAOs in the full-scale EBPR plants, especially in the Durham and two Las Vegas plants.

A 16S + ITS rRNA phylogeny was reconstructed and a finer-scale classification of Accumulibacter-related organisms retrieved from the current study was revealed.
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