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ABSTRACT

Background: The effect of caffeine intake during pregnancy on the risk of preterm delivery has been studied for the past 3 decades with inconsistent results.

Objective: We performed a meta-analysis examining the association between caffeine consumption during pregnancy and risk of preterm birth.

Design: We searched MEDLINE and EMBASE articles published between 1966 and July 2010, cross-referenced reference lists of the retrieved articles, and identified 15 cohort and 7 case-control studies that met inclusion criteria for this meta-analysis.

Results: The combined odds ratios (ORs) obtained by using fixed-effects models for cohort studies were 1.11 (95% CI: 0.96, 1.28), 1.10 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.19), and 1.08 (95% CI: 0.93, 1.27) for risk of preterm birth comparing the highest with the lowest level of caffeine intake (or no intake) (mg/d) during the first, second, and third trimesters, respectively. Results for the case-control studies yielded no associations for the first (OR: 1.07; 95% CI: 0.84, 1.37), second (OR: 1.17; 95% CI: 0.94, 1.45), or third (OR: 0.94; 95% CI: 0.79, 1.12) trimesters. No overall heterogeneity was found by region, publication decade, exposure and outcome assessment, caffeine sources, or adjustment for confounding, which was largely driven by individual studies.

Conclusion: In this meta-analysis, we observed no important association between caffeine intake during pregnancy and the risk of preterm birth for cohort and case-control studies. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;92:1120–32.

INTRODUCTION

Preterm birth is the onset of spontaneous labor before 37 wk of gestation. Despite screening for fetal distress and advancement of medical interventions, preterm birth remains an important public health problem. Approximately 12–13% of births in the United States and 5–9% of births in Europe are preterm (1). Preterm birth, a leading cause of neonatal mortality, is associated with an increased risk of neurodevelopmental, respiratory, and gastrointestinal complications (2); hypertension; and reduced insulin concentrations in later life (3, 4). Several pathways, including inflammatory response pathways, have been proposed to explain the early onset of mechanisms involved in normal labor (5).

One of the prenatal exposures examined for association with preterm birth has been caffeine consumption by pregnant women. Caffeine (1,3,7-trimethylxanthine), a plant alkaloid found in coffee, tea, cocoa, and cola soft drinks, is one of the most frequently consumed substances (6). Studies suggest an increased risk of growth restriction, cardiovascular abnormalities, and skeletal abnormalities in children of women with high caffeine intake during pregnancy (7). Because many women continue to consume coffee and caffeine-containing beverages during pregnancy, a possible relation of caffeine intake to perinatal morbidities is a concern (8–10). During pregnancy, the rate of caffeine metabolism decreases progressively from the first to third trimester, with a doubling of the half-life of caffeine. Caffeine has been detected in uterine secretions and amniotic fluid, which suggests that caffeine can be transported across the placenta (11, 12). Delayed clearance leading to higher concentrations in the fetus (13) and a higher half-life of caffeine in neonates than in adults are of concern (11). Whether maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy is associated with preterm birth has been examined during the past 30 y with inconsistent results (6, 14). Other reviews have only qualitatively summarized the data and have not explored sources of heterogeneity between studies (15, 16). A recent meta-analysis by Santos et al (14) found a positive risk estimate for results combined across 8 studies but with considerable heterogeneity between studies. Here, we systematically reviewed all available epidemiologic evidence and conducted a meta-analysis on the association between maternal caffeine consumption during pregnancy and the risk of preterm birth.

METHODS

We followed the MOOSE consensus statement for conducting a meta-analysis of observational studies (17).
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Search strategy
We searched PubMed (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) and EMBASE (www.embase.com/) databases from 1966 through July 2010 for English and non-English studies using Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms or keywords, including caffeine and coffee with premature birth and infant, premature. Our search included variations of caffeine such as coffein, calcium caffeine, caffeine calcium complex, anhydrous caffeine, cafeine, animine, and coffein and variations of the outcome using premature infant, preterm, and preterm birth. Reference lists of the retrieved articles were examined for additional relevant studies.

Study selection
Inclusion criteria
We included case-control and cohort studies with coffee, tea, cocoa/chocolate, and cola or soda drinks as the sources of caffeine exposure. The outcome was defined as birth before 37 wk of gestation.

Exclusion criteria
Literature reviews, case reports, animal studies, or studies examining only low birth weight or specific obstetric complications were excluded. Also excluded were studies with inadequate information on amount or source of caffeine intake (18–21), no control subjects (20), or no measures of association that could be extracted or derived (20–24) (Figure 1).

Data extraction
We extracted information on study design, participant population, study period, measurement of caffeine consumption, measurement of outcomes, adjustment for potential confounders, and estimates of association. Effect estimates [odds ratios (ORs)

![Flowchart](https://example.com/flowchart.png)

**FIGURE 1.** Literature search results for publications related to caffeine consumption during pregnancy and the risk of preterm delivery. Studies were excluded on the basis of study design, absence of control subjects, no measures of association, and no definition or reporting of the required exposure (caffeine intake) outcome of interest.
consumption to include the highest intake reported, ranging from any caffeine studies, ranging from 0 to (reference category) contained the lowest intake reported by the studies, these categories were not mutually exclusive. Given a high variability across categories of caffeine intake, we conducted sensitivity analyses, limiting the highest category of caffeine consumption to 1330 mg/d. Because we used the categories defined as cups/d, converted from mg/d if required, and described above. Each beverage was also categorized according to 5 categories based on the provided or converted consumption levels. For example, the lowest category (reference category) contained the lowest intake reported by the studies, ranging from 0 to <400 mg/d, and the highest category included the highest intake reported, ranging from any caffeine consumption to ≥1330 mg/d. Because we used the categories reported by the studies, these categories were not mutually exclusive. Given a high variability across categories of caffeine intake, we conducted sensitivity analyses, limiting the highest category of caffeine consumption to ≥300 mg/d.

For the subanalyses with coffee only or tea only, exposure was defined as cups/d, converted from mg/d if required, and described above. Each beverage was also categorized according to 5 categories. For coffee, the lowest (reference category) category contained 0 cups/d, which included reports of 0–3 cups/d; the highest category included >1 to ≥10 cups/d. For tea, the lowest category contained 0 cups/d, which included 0–3 cups/d; the highest category included ≥1 to ≥8 cups/d. We used STATA (version 10; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX) for all statistical analyses. Statistical significance was defined at the 0.05 level.

Quality assessment
To examine potential publication bias, we used funnel plots and tested for symmetry, as suggested by Egger et al (28). To estimate whether publication bias would explain the observed associations, we calculated the fail-safe number of studies of average precision needed to reverse the observed significance.

To examine sources of heterogeneity, we conducted separate meta-regression analyses with independent variables: study design, region (North America, Europe, and South America), publications decade, exposure assessment (interview or questionnaire), outcome assessment (medical records or other), sources of caffeine (coffee only; coffee and tea; coffee, tea, and chocolate; or all sources), and whether studies adjusted for confounders [none; age, socioeconomic status, race, smoking, parity, and body mass index (BMI)]. We included the original studies’ effect estimates from multivariate models or univariate effect estimates as available. We also examined the combined risk of preterm birth excluding studies for which we calculated the crude effect estimates (29–31), limiting the combined risk estimate to results provided directly by the studies.

RESULTS
The PubMed and EMBASE database searches as well as the reference lists of publications yielded 324 studies. We examined the abstracts of these publications and their reference lists and found 36 potentially eligible manuscripts. Detailed review of these for inclusion and exclusion criteria (Figure 1) yielded a total of 22 epidemiologic studies, including 15 cohort studies (8, 9, 29–41) and 7 case-control studies (26, 42–47) (Tables 1 and 2). One of the case-control studies (47) reported effect estimates for both coffee and caffeine-intake soda consumption. Assuming that the primary caffeine source came from coffee consumption and to avoid undue influence on the combined OR, we reported the results using the coffee effect estimates only. Because of the limited number of studies in various exposure categories, we compared only the lowest and highest intake categories of caffeine across trimesters.

Quality assessment
We examined the Egger test estimates for the individual trimesters separately for all cohort and case-control studies. No evidence of significant publication bias was found for either the cohort or case-control studies (see Supplementary Figures S1–3 under “Supplemental data” in the online issue).

Heterogeneity assessment
We found significant heterogeneity between study designs (P < 0.0001). All subsequent analyses were conducted separately for the cohort and case-control studies. On initial testing, we found significant heterogeneity for all trimesters for the cohort studies (P < 0.0001) and for the case-control studies (P < 0.03). To examine this heterogeneity, we conducted separate meta-regression analyses for each trimester with independent variables: region, publication decade, exposure assessment outcome assessment, sources of caffeine, and whether the studies adjusted for confounders. For cohort studies, significant heterogeneity was found for geographic region (second trimesters), decade of publication (all trimesters), outcome assessment (first and third trimesters), and adjustment for different confounders (first and third trimesters). The observed heterogeneity for the cohort studies was largely due to the study by van den Berg et al (30). On removal of this study, the heterogeneity for all cohort study analyses became nonsignificant. By creating broad categories of caffeine intake, the van den Berg study exerted undue influence on the effect estimate. In addition, the van den Berg study did not adjust for important confounding variables. Therefore, we removed this study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, publication year, and country</th>
<th>No. of cases/total n</th>
<th>Intake measurement</th>
<th>Trimester considered</th>
<th>Preterm definition</th>
<th>Comparisons made by authors</th>
<th>Risk estimate (95% CI)</th>
<th>Comparisons made in meta-analysis</th>
<th>Adjusted confounders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bakker et al, 2010, Netherlands (32)</td>
<td>337/7083</td>
<td>Coffee (including decaffeinated) and tea (including caffeinated, decaffeinated, herbal, and green tea); intakes were considered in units/d with 1 unit = 1 cup (90 mg) caffeine</td>
<td>Third</td>
<td>Gestational age</td>
<td>&lt;2 units/d</td>
<td>2–39 units/d</td>
<td>1.00 (0.92, 1.18)</td>
<td>180 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikkelsen et al, 2008, Denmark (41)</td>
<td>1543/35,530</td>
<td>Coffee consumption (cups/d)</td>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Gestational age</td>
<td>&lt;37 wk (&lt;35 and 35–36 wk were also considered)</td>
<td>≥3 cups/d</td>
<td>1.00 (0.85, 0.98)</td>
<td>≤200 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haugen et al, 2008, Norway (40)</td>
<td>1184/40,817</td>
<td>Coffee (including brewed, instant, coffee latte/ cappuccino, espresso intake)</td>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Gestational age</td>
<td>&lt;37 wk (&lt;35 and 35–36 wk were also considered)</td>
<td>≥3 cups/d</td>
<td>1.00 (1.14, 1.37)</td>
<td>≤300 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santos et al, 2005, Brazil (38)</td>
<td>413/5168</td>
<td>Mate tea (d/wk) Throughout pregnancy</td>
<td>Singleton live birth before 37 wk</td>
<td>0 d/wk</td>
<td>1–6 d/wk</td>
<td>0 mg/d</td>
<td>1.00 (0.8, 1.3)</td>
<td>0 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author, publication year, and country</td>
<td>No. of cases/total n</td>
<td>Intake measurement</td>
<td>Trimester considered</td>
<td>Preterm definition</td>
<td>Comparisons made by authors</td>
<td>Risk estimate (95% CI)</td>
<td>Comparisons made in meta-analysis</td>
<td>Adjusted confounders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bracken et al, 2003, USA (33)</td>
<td>160/2292 and 134/2157 for first and third trimesters, respectively</td>
<td>Coffee, tea, and soda consumption (serving size/preparation methods); authors computed daily caffeine intake (mg/d)</td>
<td>First and third</td>
<td>Singleton live birth before 37 wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age, parity, number of prior pregnancies, marital status, race, education, height, smoking during the third trimester, and weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eskanazi et al, 1999, USA (34)</td>
<td>636/7855</td>
<td>Decaffeinated coffee and caffeinated beverages, including coffee, tea, and cola; authors reported coffee consumption (yes or no)</td>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Gestational duration &lt;37 completed weeks</td>
<td>No coffee Caffeinated coffee only</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0, 1.7)</td>
<td>&gt;1 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisborg et al, 1996, Denmark (31)</td>
<td>148/3464</td>
<td>Coffee, tea, chocolate, and cola (servings in cups and bottles); authors computed daily caffeine intake (mg/d)</td>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Delivery before 37 wk gestation</td>
<td>&lt;400 mg/d ≥400 mg/d</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.16 (0.84, 1.61)</td>
<td>≥400 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peacock et al, 1995, UK (29)</td>
<td>113/1513</td>
<td>Caffeine; authors did not specify source (mg/wk)</td>
<td>Second</td>
<td>&lt;37 wk of gestation</td>
<td>0 mg/wk 1–1400 mg/wk ≥1001 mg/wk</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.15 (0.29, 4.6)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortier et al, 1993, Canada (9)</td>
<td>394/7025</td>
<td>Caffeinated coffee, tea, colas, and chocolate (serving size/preparation methods); authors computed daily caffeine intake (mg/d)</td>
<td>Throughout pregnancy</td>
<td>Gestational age of &lt;37 wk</td>
<td>0–10 mg/d 11–150 mg/d 151–300 mg/d &gt;300 mg/d</td>
<td>0.84 (0.46, 1.54)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Cigarette consumption (0, 1–5, 6–15, and ≥16 cigarettes/d), number of previous preterm newborns (0, 1, and ≥2), family income, and parity (0, ≥1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, publication year, and country</th>
<th>No. of cases/total n</th>
<th>Intake measurement</th>
<th>Trimester considered</th>
<th>Preterm definition</th>
<th>Comparisons made by authors</th>
<th>Risk estimate (95% CI)</th>
<th>Comparisons made in meta-analysis</th>
<th>Adjusted confounders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McDonald et al, 1992, Canada (36)</td>
<td>2803/40,445</td>
<td>Coffee consumption (cups/d)</td>
<td>Throughout pregnancy</td>
<td>≤37 wk of gestation</td>
<td>0 cups/d 1–2 cups/d 3–4 cups/d 5–9 cups/d ≥10 cups/d</td>
<td>0.00 1.00 (0.92, 1.09) 1.08 (0.94, 1.24) 1.06 (0.86, 1.30) 1.24 (0.86, 1.79)</td>
<td>0 mg/d</td>
<td>1330 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olsen et al, 1991, Denmark (37)</td>
<td>370/11,550</td>
<td>Coffee and tea separately (cups/d); authors estimated 100 mg/cup for filtered coffee</td>
<td>Average of first and second</td>
<td>≤37 wk of gestation</td>
<td>0–3 cups/d 4–7 cups/d ≥8 cups/d</td>
<td>1.0 1.1 (0.9, 1.4) 1.2 (0.8, 1.7)</td>
<td>≤300 mg/d</td>
<td>≥800 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenster et al, 1991, USA (8)</td>
<td>NA/1230</td>
<td>Caffeinated coffee, tea, and soft drinks (cups or cans); authors computed average daily consumption (mg/d)</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Gestational age &lt;37 wk</td>
<td>0 mg/d &gt;300 mg/d</td>
<td>1.00 1.31 (0.63, 2.69)</td>
<td>0 mg/d</td>
<td>&gt;300 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teitelman et al, 1990, USA (39)</td>
<td>NA/3797</td>
<td>Caffeine; authors did not specify source (mg/d)</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>≤37 wk of gestation</td>
<td>≤300 mg/d &gt;300 mg/d</td>
<td>1.00 1.14 (0.42, 3.13)</td>
<td>≤300 mg/d</td>
<td>&gt;300 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin and Bracken, 1987, USA (35)</td>
<td>NA/3891</td>
<td>Caffeinated coffee, tea, colas, and drugs (servings/wk); authors computed daily caffeine intake (mg/d)</td>
<td>Throughout pregnancy</td>
<td>Delivery before 37 wk gestation</td>
<td>0 mg/d &gt;300 mg/d</td>
<td>1.0 1.4 (0.8, 2.2)</td>
<td>0 mg/d</td>
<td>&gt;300 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van den Berg, 1977, USA (30)</td>
<td>470/8040</td>
<td>Coffee (cups/d)</td>
<td>Throughout pregnancy</td>
<td>Delivery before 37 wk gestation</td>
<td>≤1 cup/d 2–6 cups/d ≥7 cups/d</td>
<td>1.04 1.3 (1.0, 1.7) 1.8 (1.7, 2.0)</td>
<td>≤80 mg/d</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 NA, not available. 
2 Because the categories were reversed, the odds ratio was recalculated as 1.18 (95% CI: 1.02, 1.33). 
3 Because the categories were reversed, the odds ratio was recalculated as 0.88 (95% CI: 0.73, 1.06). 
4 Effect estimates were not given in the publication; therefore, we calculated the univariate effect estimates for this meta-analysis based on figures provided in the publication.
### Table 2: Case-control studies of caffeine consumption and risk of preterm birth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, publication year, and country</th>
<th>No. of cases/no. of controls</th>
<th>Intake measurement</th>
<th>Trimester considered</th>
<th>Preterm definition</th>
<th>Comparisons made by authors</th>
<th>Risk estimate (95% CI)</th>
<th>Comparisons made in meta-analysis</th>
<th>Adjusted confounders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chiaffarino et al, 2006, Italy (42)</td>
<td>502/1966</td>
<td>Coffee, tea, and cola (cups or glasses/d)</td>
<td>Third and throughout pregnancy</td>
<td>28–37 wk gestation</td>
<td>0 cups/d</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0 mg/d</td>
<td>Age, education, parity, smoking during the first trimester of pregnancy, gestational hypertension, and history of preterm births</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Souza and Sichieri, 2005, Brazil (45)</td>
<td>140/162</td>
<td>Coffee, tea, mate, and powdered chocolate (servings in mL or g); authors computed daily caffeine intake (mg/d)</td>
<td>Throughout pregnancy</td>
<td>&lt;37 wk of gestation</td>
<td>&lt;50 mg/d</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt;50 mg/d</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tough et al, 2003, Canada (47)</td>
<td>323/664</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>Throughout pregnancy</td>
<td>Liveborn singleton infant at &lt;37 wk of gestation</td>
<td>&lt;1 cup/d</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&lt;100 mg/d</td>
<td>Prior conception experiences, emotional health, interpregnancy intervals, pregnancy complications, maternal complications during pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicalho and Filho, 2002, Brazil (46)</td>
<td>182/354</td>
<td>Coffee, soft drinks, and tea (servings in mL); authors computed daily caffeine intake (mg/d)</td>
<td>Throughout pregnancy</td>
<td>Delivery before 37 wk gestation</td>
<td>0 mg/d</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>≥300 mg/d</td>
<td>Mother’s age, schooling, income, marital status, skin color, parity, smoking, previous low-birth-weight children, mother’s prepregnancy weight, employment status, interval between pregnancies, prenatal care, and high blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastore and Savitz 1995, USA (43)</td>
<td>408/490</td>
<td>Caffeinated coffee, tea, cola soft drinks, and non-cola caffeinated soft drinks (servings and preparation method); authors computed daily caffeine intake (mg/d)</td>
<td>Second and third</td>
<td>&lt;37 wk of gestation</td>
<td>Second trimester: 0 mg/d</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0 mg/d</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, publication year, and country</th>
<th>No. of cases/no. of controls</th>
<th>Intake measurement</th>
<th>Trimester considered</th>
<th>Preterm definition</th>
<th>Comparisons made by authors</th>
<th>Risk estimate (95% CI)</th>
<th>Comparisons made in meta-analysis</th>
<th>Adjusted confounders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Williams et al, 1992, USA (44)</td>
<td>488/2252</td>
<td>Coffee (cups/d)</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Delivery before 37 wk gestation without premature rupture of membranes</td>
<td>0 cups/d</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0 mg/d</td>
<td>Race, education, maternal age, welfare status, marijuana and alcohol use during pregnancy, parity, previous spontaneous or induced abortion, cervical incompetence, bleeding during pregnancy, prepregnancy BMI, and cigarette smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-2 cups/d</td>
<td>1.0 (0.8, 1.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 cups/d</td>
<td>1.4 (0.9, 2.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 cups/d</td>
<td>1.8 (0.9, 3.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥5 cups/d</td>
<td>1.1 (0.5, 2.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkowitz et al, 1982, USA (26)</td>
<td>166/299</td>
<td>Coffee and tea, including iced coffee and iced tea, separately (cups/d)</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Singleton live birth before 37 wk of gestation not preceded by spontaneous labor or rupture of membranes</td>
<td>0 cups/d</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0 mg/d</td>
<td>Race, socioeconomic status, infertility history, first trimester spotting or light bleeding, pregravid weight, maternal weight gain, previous induced abortion, leisure-time physical activity, and attitude toward pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 cup/d</td>
<td>0.7 (0.4, 1.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 cups/d</td>
<td>0.9 (0.5, 1.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 cups/d</td>
<td>1.6 (0.8, 3.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥4 cups/d</td>
<td>0.6 (0.3, 1.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>≥320 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 cups/d</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0 mg/d</td>
<td>Race, socioeconomic status, infertility history, first trimester spotting or light bleeding, pregravid weight, maternal weight gain, previous induced abortion, leisure-time physical activity, and attitude toward pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 cup/d</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4, 1.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 cups/d</td>
<td>0.8 (0.4, 1.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 cups/d</td>
<td>1.3 (0.7, 2.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥4 cups/d</td>
<td>0.6 (0.3, 1.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>≥320 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 cups/d</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0 mg/d</td>
<td>Race, socioeconomic status, infertility history, first trimester spotting or light bleeding, pregravid weight, maternal weight gain, previous induced abortion, leisure-time physical activity, and attitude toward pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 cup/d</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4, 1.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 cups/d</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6, 2.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 cups/d</td>
<td>1.5 (0.7, 3.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥4 cups/d</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2, 1.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>≥320 mg/d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 NA, not available.
study from the combined analysis. For case-control studies, the heterogeneity was driven by the Tough et al study (47). After this study was removed, heterogeneity was found only for the second trimester and was driven by studies that adjusted for different confounders. No significant heterogeneity was found for sources of caffeine, outcome, or exposure assessment. The inclusion of both caffeine sources from the study by Tough et al (47) generated significant heterogeneity by region, exposure assessment, and adjustment for confounding for the third trimester only. The region-specific ORs were 1.27 (95% CI: 1.05, 1.54) (26, 43, 47) for North America and 0.54 (95% CI: 0.29, 1.01) (45, 46) for Brazil.

In case-control studies that assessed the exposure through phone interview, the combined OR was 0.81 (95% CI: 0.65, 1.00) (42, 43, 45, 46), whereas in studies that used questionnaire-based assessment, the combined OR was 1.22 (95% CI: 0.98, 1.52) (26, 47).

Studies adjusted for different confounding variables. When combining case-control studies that did not adjust for any confounders (43, 45), we found a weak inverse combined OR (0.84; 95% CI: 0.61, 1.17). A stronger inverse association was found for studies that adjusted for age, socioeconomic status, race, parity, smoking, and BMI (0.39; 95% CI: 0.22, 0.70) (26, 46), whereas the combined OR for the 2 caffeine sources in the Tough et al study (47), which adjusted for other variables (prior conception experiences, emotional health, interpregnancy intervals, pregnancy complications, and maternal complications during pregnancy), was 1.30 (95% CI: 1.04, 1.63).

The overall effect estimates from a comparison of the highest caffeine consumption category with the lowest category in the first, second, and third trimesters in cohort studies were 1.11 (95% CI: 0.96, 1.28; \( P = 0.15 \)), 1.10 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.19; \( P = 0.02 \)), and 1.08 (95% CI: 0.93, 1.27; \( P = 0.32 \)) (Figure 2), respectively. The combined effect estimates in case-control studies during the first, second, and third trimesters were 1.04 (95% CI: 0.84, 1.37; \( P = 0.60 \)), 1.17 (95% CI: 0.94, 1.45; \( P = 0.17 \)), and 0.94 (95% CI: 0.79, 1.12; \( P = 0.46 \)), respectively (Figure 3). Limiting the analysis to studies that directly provided the effect estimates did not substantially change the results.

### Subgroup analysis

A subanalysis that examined caffeine intake as a dichotomous variable (none compared with any) strengthened the effect estimate among cohort studies (1.12; 95% CI: 1.02, 1.23). Only 2 case-control studies included a dichotomized exposure, with a combined effect estimate of 1.00 (95% CI: 0.79, 1.27) (43, 47). Analyses that limited the highest intake to ≥300 mg/d (8, 9, 29, 31, 33, 35–39) resulted in combined effect estimates for the cohort studies that were similar to those observed in the original

![FIGURE 2. Odds ratios from cohort studies estimating the association between prenatal caffeine consumption (highest compared with lowest intake) in the first, second, and third trimesters and preterm birth. Squares indicate study-specific estimates, horizontal lines indicate the 95% CIs, and diamonds indicate the summary estimate of the odds ratio with its corresponding 95% CI. ES, effect size; ID, identification.](https://academic.oup.com/ajcn/article-abstract/92/5/1120/4597522)
analysis. For case-control studies, limiting the highest intake to ≥300 mg/d (26, 44–46) shifted the association in the inverse direction for all trimesters: first (0.70; 95% CI: 0.47, 1.04), second (0.57; 95% CI: 0.35, 0.91), and third (0.53; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.87).

Results from the subanalysis using only coffee intake were similar to the results from the main caffeine analysis. The estimates for the first (1.22; 95% CI: 1.00, 1.49), second (1.12; 95% CI: 1.02, 1.22), and third (1.22; 95% CI: 0.95, 1.57) trimesters in the cohort studies and for the third (0.88; 95% CI: 0.73, 1.07) trimester estimates in case-control studies were strengthened. No important association was found for tea drinking during pregnancy.

DISCUSSION

In this meta-analysis of 15 cohort and 7 case-control studies, we found no important association between maternal caffeine consumption during pregnancy and the risk of preterm birth. This association has been examined for ≥30 y with inconsistent results (6, 14). After reviewing the literature, we found 8 studies with either positive (26, 30, 32, 40, 43) or inverse (41, 42, 46) associations, whereas most of the studies suggested no association (8, 9, 29, 31–37, 39, 44, 45, 47). A recent randomized, double-blind, controlled trial conducted in pregnant women who normally drank ≥3 cups coffee/d randomly assigned the subjects to drink their usual amounts of either decaffeinated or decaffeinated coffee. The trial found no significant difference in mean length of gestation (49).

The present meta-analysis is the most comprehensive quantitative review of the available evidence; compared with the most recent reviews (14–16), we included more studies, half of which were published within the past 10 y (33, 38, 40–42, 45–47). Our initial cohort results were strongly weighted by the van den Berg (30) study, which was published in the 1977 Proceedings of a Symposium on the Epidemiology of Prematurity at the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. Although this study suggested a positive relation between caffeine intake and preterm birth, the authors did not use modern methods of multivariate adjustment. Its failure to adjust for confounding by maternal characteristics likely led to the observed positive association and heterogeneity. Furthermore, this study used the broad reference of ≤1 cup/d rather than 0 cups/d, which was used in most cohort studies. The resulting low variance of comparison with the highest intake gave this study undue weight in the combined analysis, and its removal generated an overall null result except for the borderline significant second trimester. This study’s inclusion in past meta-analyses may have led to an upward bias of the combined effect estimates. Although our results were based on a considerable number of studies, the estimates were sensitive to adjustment for cofounders, and
The results for the case-control studies were weighted by the recent study by Tough et al (47), which generated an overall null association for all 3 trimesters. Its influence was predominant in a recent study by Tough et al (47), which generated an overall null association for all 3 trimesters. Its influence was predominant. For most of the case-control studies, an inverse association was observed. This may be attributed to their inherent limitations, particularly recall and selection bias. Mothers with preterm infants may underreport caffeine intake to avoid blame, which leads to an erroneous protective association. Furthermore, most of the case-control studies used hospital controls, who may not represent the underlying population from which cases were derived because of referral patterns. Whereas many studies selected a valid control group, including matching on age, sex, race, or day or time of the week of delivery (42, 43, 45, 47) and excluding transfer patients (26), no single study took all these precautions; therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility of selection bias. Duration of exposure and changes in consumption patterns during pregnancy may have influenced the results, especially because cases were exposed to caffeine for a shorter period of time than were the controls. Caffeine consumption was shown to be fairly constant for the entire pregnancy duration (23, 26); hence, the scope for such bias is limited.

The presence of heterogeneity among the included cohort studies was limited after the exclusion of the van der Berg study (30). Minor heterogeneity remained only for outcome assessment. We also examined studies that based their exposure on a variety of caffeine sources. Some studies included multiple beverages, whereas others included only coffee and tea. We assumed that the main source of caffeine was coffee and that differences between coffee blends were minimal (50). We were unable to consistently consider alternate sources of caffeine, although some studies included cold remedies, pain medications, and chocolate (9, 35, 45). Subanalyses were conducted on coffee and tea, and no significant heterogeneity was found. The results were similar to those from the caffeine analysis, except for the case-control studies, which showed an inverse association for all 3 trimesters. These results were affected by the absence of the study by Tough et al (47), which weighted the caffeine analysis. The inclusion of both coffee and soda as sources of caffeine for this study generated heterogeneity across region, exposure assessment, and adjustment for confounding. It is difficult to establish whether this observed heterogeneity represented true differences across the covariates or reflected excessive influence by the Tough et al. study.

Many preterm births are due to premature rupture of membranes (PROM) (51), which may be caused by infection and poor prenatal care. We included studies that defined preterm birth as a general category or classified with or without PROM [16, 43, 44], which possibly led to a discrepancy of outcome definition. Two studies defined preterm birth without PROM (26, 44), whereas another had 3 categories: PROM, medically induced labor, and idiopathic preterm labor (44). We used the effect estimate for idiopathic preterm labor because it had a more specific outcome definition. The results for PROM and medically induced labor were similar for this study, although, in another study (44), the effect estimates for PROM were higher than for non-PROM. Therefore, predicting the effect of the inclusion of PROM cases on the combined effect estimate is difficult.

Preterm birth has numerous risk factors, including previous preterm birth, maternal smoking (52), parity (53), low BMI (54), and ethnicity (55). Only 4 studies stratified on smoking, and we could not evaluate this interaction. Two studies suggested an inverse association among smokers who were heavy coffee drinkers (31, 33), although other studies found no association (37, 44). One study found an almost double, though nonsignificant, risk among primiparous as compared with multiparous women (37). Caffeine intake across BMI categories indicated little difference (9, 36). We could not stratify on these factors because most studies did not provide sufficient data. Using our data, we found that, whereas caffeine intake was adversely associated with preterm births in North America, there was no association in South America and Europe (data not shown). A strong inverse association was found for the third trimester among studies conducted in Brazil. However, this was limited to case-control studies and was explained by recall and selection bias. Variation in caffeine metabolism between individuals and between populations (56–58) may be explained by genetic polymorphisms that affect CYP1A2 activity—the primary enzyme associated with caffeine clearance. Most studies were conducted with white participants (9, 26, 30, 31, 33, 35–37, 39–42, 44, 47). Only 3 studies included a large proportion of minority populations (8, 34, 43). Research on discrepancies between ethnicities is warranted for public health efforts. In particular, future observational prospective studies or clinical trials may consider examining genetic or epigenetic consequences related to prenatal caffeine exposures in different populations.

The strengths of our analyses included searching multiple databases and including non-English studies [Portuguese (45, 46) and German (19)]. The search found publications over the past 30 y, incorporating the trends of caffeine-containing beverage consumption. We also examined the potential associations of different exposure levels compared with no exposure, which were similar; thus, we reported only the comparison of the highest with the lowest levels. In addition, we compared the associations for both coffee and tea consumption, which may be more relevant for public health recommendations. We found no evidence of existing publication bias.

The current recommendation is to either eliminate caffeine during pregnancy or limit intake to <300 mg/d (6). Whereas these recommendations may be sensible with respect to other pregnancy outcomes, such as low birth weight, where risk may be increased even with low caffeine intake (59), the risk of preterm birth does not seem to be affected by caffeine consumption. However, we were unable to draw conclusions regarding caffeine intakes >300–400 mg/d as most studies used this as their upper limit. Higher intakes of caffeine are especially important in light of new caffeine sources such as bottled water, energy drinks, and herbal supplements, which often do not report caffeine content and may therefore covertly increase caffeine consumption during pregnancy (10). Blood caffeine concentrations and/or careful consideration of the dietary sources could resolve this discrepancy and should be examined in future studies.

The authors’ responsibilities were as follows—EM, SB, and S-WL: reviewed the literature on the caffeine intake and preterm births and extracted relevant information; EM: conducted the statistical analyses; EM, SB, and
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