The 1953 earthquake in Cephalonia (Western Hellenic Arc): coastal uplift and halotectonic faulting
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SUMMARY

Geomorphological, marine biological and radiometric data in combination with earlier reports reveal that the \( M_r = 7.2 \) 1953 Cephalonia earthquake, the most destructive but least studied earthquake in Greece this century, was associated with a 0.3-0.7 m quasi-rigid-body uplift and westward tilting of the central part of the island. Another palaeoseismic event, around 1500 yr BP, associated with coastal uplifts was also identified.

Structural data indicate that the 1953 uplift is bounded by two subparallel, east-dipping major reverse faults and corresponds to a piston-like motion. This structurally unusual pattern of seismic deformation is detached from the deformation of the basement (conspicuously a thrust) and different from the long-term deformation pattern of the area; it is probably due to the particularities of salt tectonics: a ~1500 m thick salt layer acts as a regional decollement, while thinner layers are sandwiched between the carbonate thrust sheets that compose the overburden and reduce their friction during fault movement. This crustal anisotropy is responsible for the observed anomalous attenuation of seismic waves during the 1953 and historical earthquakes.

The 1953 seismic surface deformation mimics long-term halotectonic patterns, but is not directly indicative of the regional stress-field, for it reflects uplift-induced stresses only.
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1 INTRODUCTION

A number of exposed fossil shorelines, associated with seismic events, have recently been identified in the Aegean and the Eastern Mediterranean (Crete: Pirazzoli 1986a; Rhodes: Pirazzoli et al. 1989; Euboea: Stiros et al. 1992; Gulf of Corinth: Papageorgiou et al. 1944; Pirazzoli et al. 1944; for review of results and ideas see Stiros et al. 1944). In all these cases, however, the coastal seismic event is inferred from biological and other data, and the only case for which an eyewitness account for a coastal seismic uplift exists is the 1953 earthquake of Cephalonia (Kefallenia) Island, in the Ionian Sea, at the west end of the Hellenic arc (Figs 1 and 2; Galanopoulos 1955; Mueller-Miny 1957). This earthquake was large \( (M_r = 7.2) \) and destructive, but one of the least-studied earthquakes in Greece in the last 100 years.

Another particularity of Cephalonia is that the 1953 earthquake affected the overburden of a thick evaporite layer (Fig. 3). While the long-term deformation of evaporites and the contribution of tectonics have been the matter of detailed investigations, especially in recent years (for references and results, see Trusheim 1960; Nely 1980; Jackson & Talbot 1986; Underhill 1988; Jenyon 1988; Mascle et al. 1988; Dardeau et al. 1990), to the best of our knowledge there have been no studies of the response of evaporites to earthquakes.

Thus, the 1953 earthquake provides an opportunity to study probably the first known case of seismic, halotectonic movements. The results of our study of the 1953 and older Holocene co-seismic uplifts of the coasts of the island, and their implications for the understanding of the mechanism of the 1953 earthquake are presented in this paper.
2 TECTONIC SETTING

2.1 Structural history

Cephalonia is located at the north-west edge of the Hellenic Arc in western Greece (Fig. 1). The tectonic fabric of the island and the wider area consists of east-dipping, NW to NNW striking thrust sheets (Fig. 4), fragments of a carbonate, epicontinental platform. During Mesozoic-Tertiary tectonic subsidence, ~5000 m of Upper Triassic and up to 500 m of Tertiary carbonates were deposited above a possibly 1.5 km thick (Monopolis & Bruneton 1982; Kamperis 1987) Triassic evaporite layer. In the channel between Cephalonia and the mainland, however, more than 5 km thick Neogene and Quaternary sediments have been identified (Kamperis 1987).

Tectonics were inverted in the Lower Pliocene, and the area is still under ENE-WSW compression. During three paroxysmic periods (Lower Pliocene, Lower Pleistocene and Middle Pleistocene-Holocene), separated by intervals of tectonic quiescence, the Tertiary (and probably Mesozoic) normal faults reactivated as reverse faults (or folded faults), new thrusts and folds formed, the carbonate rocks were uplifted and the present-day north-west part of the Aegean arc was formed (Bornovas 1964; IGRS & IFP 1966; BP Co. Ltd 1971; Sorel 1976; Mercier et al. 1979; Karakitsios 1992).

Lower Pliocene to Holocene contractional tectonics were associated with an important uplift: 300 m to more than 700 m during the compressional interval of the Lower Pliocene, 500 m to more than 700 m in the Lower Pleistocene, and ~100 m uplift since the Middle Pleistocene (Sorel 1976).

2.2 Active tectonics

Contractional tectonics are still active, as the intense seismicity (see Table 1), focal mechanisms of earthquakes (McKenzie 1972; Scordilis et al. 1985; Anderson & Jackson 1987) and microearthquakes (Hatzfeld et al. 1990), and tectonic (Sorel 1976; Mercier et al. 1979) data reveal. Uplift is still continuing, as coastal data (Fig. 7) indicate.

Some of the major faults are active (Fig. 4), while a number of minor faults cutting Quaternary sediments have been observed on the island (Sorel 1976; Underhill 1989). Also, 500 000 yr old folded marine sediments have been recognized (Sorel 1976).

2.3 Structural position and role of evaporites

Extensive evaporitic outcrops (limestone and dolomitic breccias and gypsum; see Fig. 3) occur in north-west Greece. In the past, their stratigraphic position has been something of an enigma, but detailed studies revealed that, except for some relics of the Messinian salinity crisis of the
Figure 3. Evaporite surface exposures (black areas) and location of boreholes for petroleum investigation (open stars) in western Greece. Many of these boreholes, 3–4.5 km deep, crossed a ~1500 m thick evaporite layer or testify to large-scale diapirc movements. The Pindus Thrust, bounding the Triassic evaporite basin to the east is shown (after Nikolaou 1986, 1988, modified).

Mediterranean, they result from Plio-Quaternary and older diapirism of Triassic sediments. Evaporites in the wider area crop out at the leading edges of certain thrust sheets, are sandwiched between them (Figs 1, 4a and b) and played an important role in their emplacement (Bornovas 1960, 1964; BP Co. Ltd 1971; Monopolis & Bruneton 1982; Nikolaou 1986; Underhill 1988); for example, acting as lubricants along thrust planes (Bornovas 1964; Nikolaou 1986).

Gypsum is dominant and halite is absent (for an exception, see Nikolaou 1986, p. 35) in evaporite exposures in western Greece, but over 1500 m of halite have been

Figure 4. (a) Schematic structural pattern of the wider Cephalonia area, modified from Sorel (1976), Cushing (1985) and Underhill (1989). Continuous lines indicate observed thrusts and reverse faults, and dotted lines their inferred extension offshore (see text for details). Solid and open triangles indicate faults active and inactive in the Upper Pleistocene, respectively (after Sorel 1976). A star indicates the epicentre of the 1953 main shock, expected to be accurate to within 50 km; the focal mechanism by McKenzie (1972) is also shown. Abbreviations are as in Fig. 1. (b) Schematic cross-section along axis A–A’ shown in (a), based on the hypothesis of Triassic evaporites (layers marked with inverted v’s) playing the role of a regional detachment zone. The block uplifted in 1953 is dashed (after Underhill 1989, modified). (c) Alternative model for basement control on thrusts and uplifts seen on the surface; a disharmony between basement and upper layers is caused by the quasi-ductile deformation of evaporites (layer with triangles; after IGRS & IFP 1966, modified).
Figure 2. Photo of the mushroom-shaped rock at Poros, similar to that published by Galanopoulos (1955). Two fossil notches and benches are visible. The lower level corresponds to the 1953 co-seismic uplift, the upper one to a palaeoseismic event at around 1500 yr BP.
identified in boreholes (BP Co. Ltd 1971; Fig. 3), a situation typical in many evaporite environments (Masle et al. 1988), indicating that surface gypsum represents a relict of subsurface halite deposits (Bornovas 1964; Underhill 1988).

The role of evaporites was very important in the structural and geomorphological evolution of Cephalonia and the wider area, but remains a matter of debate. BP Co. Ltd (1971), Guzzetta (1982) and Underhill (1988) suggest that they acted as a regional detachment zone (Fig. 4b); according to IGRS & IFP (1966), no regional-scale décollements are likely to exist in north-west continental Greece (where the evaporite layer is, however, thinner) with basement faulting cutting through the ductile evaporite layer to the surface, though with a disharmonic deformation of uppermost strata relative to the basement (Fig. 4c).

### 2.4 Geometry of faults

Faults in Cephalonia and the wider area are either old normal faults that have been reactivated as reverse faults since the Lower Pliocene, or thrusts. In their majority, they are not simple planar faults, but flatten at the surface, with their hanging wall block edges folded and cut by minor low or high angle faults, subparallel to the trace of the main fault (Fig. 5; Sorel 1976; Cushing 1985; Underhill 1989).

This geometry is to a certain degree related to the variations with depth in the thickness and composition of intruded evaporites, producing variations in the friction coefficient between the fault blocks; thick halite layers and low-friction surfaces exist at certain depths, while the lack or scarcity of evaporites near the surface is responsible for 'locked' faults (Fig. 5).

---

**Table 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1983.01.17</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972.09.17</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953.08.12</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939.09.20</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912.01.24</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1867.02.04</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1862.03.14</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1767.07.22</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1766.07.24</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1759.06.22</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1714.08.28</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1743.02.20</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1688.???.??</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1661.???.??</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1658.08.24</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1636.09.30</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1469.???.??</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The seismic history of Cephalonia in the last 500 years from historical data. Only main shocks of seismic sequences are included. Among all these earthquakes, only that of 1953 was associated with coastal uplifts, according to the historical evidence and the coastal data discussed here. Source: Papazachos & Papazachou (1989); the 1661 earthquake after Vogt & Albini (1992).

---

### 3 SEISMIC HISTORY OF CEPHALONIA AND THE 1953 EARTHQUAKE

The $M_s = 7.2$, 1953 earthquake is the most recent major event to have affected the island. Although large earthquakes are not unusual in the area (see Table 1), this particular seismic event resulted in damage and a death toll of an unprecedented scale: more than 85 per cent of the buildings in Cephalonia (with the exception of the Fiscardo area where damage was limited—a point we shall discuss later), Ithaki (Ithaca) and Zakynthos (Zante) islands were completely destroyed. Inspite of the available detailed information on the seismic damage, there is no historical evidence that, with the exception of the 1953 event, any of the earthquakes listed in Table 1 were associated with coastal uplifts. This is confirmed by our results, for the second, upper notch shown in Figs 2 and 7(c) was associated with a probably co-seismic uplift dated around 1500 yr BP (see Section 5.2).

#### 3.1 Seismological data

The $M_s = 7.2$, 1953 August 12 shock was preceded by two large foreshocks (1953 August 9, $M_s = 6.4$ and 1953 August
11, \( M_s = 6.8 \)) and was followed by a number of aftershocks; the largest one (1953 August 12) of magnitude 6.3 (Papazachos & Papazachou 1989).

The epicentre of the main shock was located in the south-eastern part of the island, but Anderson & Jackson (1987) suggested that this location could be in error by as much as 50 km. Hypocentral depths of the earthquakes in the seismic sequence are also not well controlled, but are probably shallower than 50 km (Jackson, Fitch & McKenzie 1981). A focal mechanism for the 1953 mainshock by McKenzie (1972) shows thrust faulting with a NNW strike (Fig. 4), but is not well constrained and contains many inconsistencies (Jackson et al. 1981).

3.2 Anomalies in the distribution of seismic intensities

A particularity of the 1953 earthquake was that seismic intensities were of the order of IX–XI+ (Modified Mercalli Scale) in Ithaki and Cephalonia, with the exception of the Fiscardo area, where the intensities were of the order of VI. Historical seismicity data (e.g. Papazachos & Papazachou 1989) reveal that such anomalies in the distribution of seismic intensities have been observed in a number of historical earthquakes as well. Stiros (1994) has suggested that the discontinuities in the seismic intensities may be identified with some major faults (Fig. 6) which are loci of evaporitic intrusions and responsible for the anomalous attenuation of seismic waves.

3.3 Earlier accounts of the 1953 uplift

According to Galanopoulos (1955) and Mueller-Miny (1957), the 1953 seismic sequence was associated with uplift of up to 60–70 cm at a number of sites in the south and south-east part of the island and the Argostoli area, but it is not known whether all, or which parts, of these uplifts should be attributed to the main shock. However, the much lower magnitude of aftershocks suggests that most of the seismic deformation was associated with the main shock.

At Poros, a mushroom-shaped (notched) rock, about 50 m offshore from the small harbour, was uplifted by about 60–70 cm, and a photograph of this rock was published by Galanopoulos (1955) (Fig. 2). At Katavothres, near Argostoli, the uplift prevented the regular flow of seawater to two water mills, and Mueller-Miny (1957) estimated that the amplitude of the co-seismic displacement was about 30 cm. An uplift of up to 50 cm was also reported at a number of sites along the southern coast of the island, south-east of Argostoli.

No uplift, however, was reported from Lixouri, some other harbours in the island and Ithaki, as is discussed in the following.

4 METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY OF HOLOCENE SEA LEVEL CHANGES

During our 1990 field survey we examined all the coasts of Cephalonia and Ithaki Islands, either from land or by boat. We started to study the 1953 uplift from Poros, more specifically from the uplifted rock, the photograph of which was published by Galanopoulos (1955). At least two superimposed uplifted notches and benches, up to 1 m high,
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Figure 7. Upper Quaternary fossil shorelines in Cephalonia. Around (?)500,000 yr BP and (?)125,000 yr BP shorelines are drawn approximately from the present-day distribution of palaeo-Milazzian and Tyrrenian sediments (shown as dots and black areas, after Sorel 1976; Braune 1973; BP Co. Ltd et al. 1985). The 1500 yr BP shoreline may extend to the west, along the south coast, where lithology does not permit preservation and identification of fossil Holocene shorelines. A star indicates the location of radiocarbon-dated samples of Table 2.

collected from the two exposed shorelines in various parts of the island are in progress) revealed that this shoreline was active just before the 1953 earthquake, as will be discussed more in detail elsewhere (Pirazzoli et al., in preparation).

4.1 Biological mean sea-level and fossil shorelines

The amplitude of the 1953 co-seismic uplift was estimated on the basis of marine biology observations, and more explicitly on the concept of the biological mean sea-level (BMSL). The latter is defined as the limit (usually a sharp horizontal line) between the infralittoral and midlittoral biological zones. The upper limit of the infralittoral zone is defined as the upper limit of vermetids Dendropoma petraeum, Vermetus triqueur, Lithophaga bivalves, Clionid sponges, Paracentrotus, etc., and as the lower limit of Patella, Balanus, Cyanobacteria, etc. (Fig. 8). In favourable conditions, a few years can be sufficient to the
development of a new BMSL on a bare hard limestone rock. The degree of this development is not the same everywhere, however, varying with the nature of the substrate and with the local ecological and physical conditions. The (absolute) height of the BMSL (and the scale of Fig. 8) depends on various factors (exposure to winds, etc.) and differs from place to place, but is independent of small-scale (mainly seasonal) fluctuations of the sea-level, and is defined with an accuracy sometimes better than 10 cm (Laborel 1979/80; Pirazzoli et al. 1991; Stiros et al. 1992; Laborel & Laborel-Deguen 1994).

5 FOSSIL EXPOSED COASTLINES

5.1 The 1953 coastline

Our observations of the 1953 co-seismic uplift are summarized in Fig. 9. The bulk of observations come from the south-east coast of Cephalonia, where the lithological conditions were favourable (compact limestones outcrop- ping on the coast), and only a few measurements were possible in other sites.

At Poros, Galanopoulos (1955) report of an uplift of 60–70 cm of the mushroom-shaped rock in Fig. 2 is consistent with our estimate of 50–70 cm in the wider area. Some 3 km south of Poros, well-preserved *Dendropoma* shells, in their growth position at 0.5 m above the living counterpart, are clearly associated to the lowest exposed fossil shoreline, which is also marked by a clear notch (Fig. 10). In the same place, a second notch indicates a higher shoreline at about +1.2 m (see below).

Preliminary results of radiocarbon dating with the accelerator mass spectrometry method, carried out by M. Arnold (Centre des Faibles Radioactivities, Gif-sur-Yvette, France) on a *Dendropoma* shell collected at +0.5 m (Table 2; Figs 7 and 10) indicate a conventional age (without reservoir correction) of 450 ± 60 yr BP, which is of the same order of magnitude with the apparent age of seawater before the beginning, in 1956, of the ‘bomb effect’. This confirms that the lowest exposed shoreline was active just before the 1953 earthquake.

At Katavothres, near Argostoli, our estimation, using exposed vermetid evidence, of a 1953 uplift of 30–35 cm, is in close agreement with the reports of Mueller-Miny (1957) for an uplift of 30 cm and with the fact that the mill seawater wheels (one of which is still preserved) could not be used after the sudden uplift.

Along the southern coast the outcropping soft Neogene and Quaternary sediments do not preserve marks of exposed fossil Holocene shorelines; however, according to Galanopoulos (1955) and Mueller-Miny (1957) this coast was uplifted about 50 cm in 1953; from our experience from the other sites we suggest that this estimate is reliable and precise.

Lithological conditions were not favourable for similar observations along the coasts of the western (Lixouri)
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5.2 Upper Quaternary shorelines

A nearly continuous, up to 1.2 m high, apparently older shoreline with very scarce (already eroded) fauna remains, similar to that of the 1953 shoreline, is observed along the

peninsula, for which no co-seismic motion in 1953 was reported. We believe that no co-seismic uplift took place there, for it would have been easily identified in the various harbour installations; however, the possibility of a small (up to a few centimetres) subsidence in this peninsula cannot be excluded.

No sign of uplifted fossil shorelines exists along the northernmost coasts of the island (Fiscardo area), where compact limestones that can preserve signs of fossil shorelines outcrop; since there were no reports of a co-seismic uplift (especially in harbour installations) and the seismic intensity and damage were considerably less than elsewhere in the island, we conclude that no seismic uplift took place in this area. Yet, a subsidence of up to a few centimetres may have occurred.

Emerged shorelines are completely absent from the island of Ithaki, as our survey by boat indicated.

The similarity of the amplitude of the co-seismic displacements deduced from our geomorphological and biological observations and those reported just after the earthquake, indicates that in the 40 years following the 1953 earthquake no significant post-seismic displacement occurred. The long recurrence intervals for 1953-type earthquakes may provide an explanation for that.

Figure 9. (a) Summary of observations of the 1953 uplift (in centimetres). Numbers with arrows: our observations; bold numbers: estimations of co-seismic uplift from reports of Galanopoulos (1955) and Mueller-Miny (1957); bold number with star: our estimate for a land movement in certain harbours, based on various 1953 reports. A solid coastline indicates lithology not permitting observations of Holocene raised beaches. The hatched coast is that reported to have been uplifted in 1953; the dotted area is where local lithology and morphology reveal that no Holocene raised beaches exist. The trace of the Livadi Thrust (LT), Ayia Ephemia Fault (AEF) and Kalon Anticline Fault (KAF) are also shown. A star indicates the location of radiocarbon dated samples of Table 2. B–B’ and C–C’ are the axes discussed in Figs 13 and 15.

(b) Summary map of the 1953 uplift (hatched area).
in various parts of the island, Braune (1973) also marked four other higher fossil shorelines, ranging up to the height of 200 m. Sorel (1976), however, showed that in the area south-east of Argostoli, marine sediments above 100 m are of Pliocene age, while the lower ones are palaeo-Milazzian (about 500,000 yr BP), and their variations in height result from folding. Furthermore, from our survey we have not been able to confirm the existence of fossil Pleistocene shorelines in locations other than those indicated in Fig. 7. From these data, approximate shorelines of the corresponding periods have been drawn (Fig. 7).

6 PATTERN OF THE 1953 UPLIFT

The area that was uplifted in 1953 is confined to the southern and central part of the island (Fig. 9b). In the following, we shall present evidence that (1) the uplifted area is bounded by two subparallel and homothetic, segmented thrusts, (2) seismic uplift reflects reactivation of the thrusts, and (3) the pattern of the 1953 uplift is different from the long-term deformation pattern of the island.

6.1 The western boundary of seismic uplift

To the north-west edge of the island, the uplift seems to be bordered to the west by the east-dipping Livadi Thrust (LT), the only major fault to be active in the Upper Quaternary in the area (Figs 4a and 9; Sorel 1976). The following lines of evidence indicate that this thrust extends southwards, and can be identified with the Gulf of Argostoli Thrust (GAT); this modifies previous views (e.g. Sorel 1976) that the Livadhi Thrust abuts to the Argostoli Fault (AF in Figs 1 and 4a).

(1) The landscape of the Ionian Islands is young and definitely fault-controlled, and the depression of the Argostoli Gulf is unlikely to be an exception; a major fault along the whole length of the gulf (a faulted syncline) is expected. Furthermore, minor faulting, indicative of internal deformation of the hanging wall, is expected, and in fact has been observed in the Argostoli area (Sorel 1976; Underhill 1989), among them the Argostoli Fault (AF in Figs 1 and 4a). This last fault cannot be the major feature in the vicinity of the Argostoli Gulf, as previous workers suggest, for the following reasons: first, it was inactive from middle Pleistocene (Sorel 1976), and consequently not related to the late Quaternary uplift in the Argostoli area; second, the observed uplift at its footwall (Fig. 7) is not explained; and third, the wavelength of elevation changes in this last area is very small relative to the other parts of the island (Fig. 11), indicative of a secondary deformation.

(4) An escarpment 20 m high and 15 km long is seen along the east coast of the Argostoli Gulf in all bathymetric...
eroding Tertiary sediments, forming badlands. Scarp of this size along this closed gulf would have long since been obliterated and buried, especially since the surface trace of a thrust. This escarpment, according to Braune (1973), is indicative of very young faulting (Fig. 12a) and is probably a thrust ramp (Fig. 12a). Although it is difficult to determine the age of this uplift, the surface trace of the thrust is likely to be present along the Myrtos and Sami Gulfs (Fig. 13), up to the trace of the Kalon Anticline Fault (KAF), a satellite fault in the hanging wall of the main fault (Figs 1, 4 and 9). This minor uplift reflects a secondary deformation; its geometry and kinematics are explained in Figs 5 and 13.

Marine reflection data (seismic section MEDOR 19 in Cushing 1985) indicate that AEF extends to the north-west as a prominent east-dipping thrust (Fig. 4). There is evidence that AEF extends (segmented) to the south-east as well.

(1) The discontinuity in seismic intensities along the straits between south-west Ithaki and Cephalonia (Fig. 6) implies a major structural discontinuity along these straits.

(2) The available bathymetric data offshore the uplifted south-east coast of Cephalonia indicate a 300 m steep bathymetric escarpment (Fig. 12a), probably a thrust ramp (thereafter the Offshore Poros Thrust, OPT), which is clearly seen in a seismic line 6 km offshore Poros (Fig. 4; line PT132 in Nikolaou & Armtoutidis 1979). Farther south-east, this thrust is likely to abut to one of the thrusts identified in the reflection profiles of Brooks & Ferentinou (1984) further south; these last thrusts are also sites of diapirism (Figs 4 and 14).

Except for the inferred tectonic control of the seismic uplift, which is confined to the footwall of the (segmented) thrust, there is additional evidence for its reactivation in 1953. For weeks after the earthquakes a cloud of white dust emerged from the sea in Myrtos Gulf. Sorel (1976) explained this white dust as result of the rise of gas mixed with the product of friction of the carbonate rocks due to seismic movements, obviously along this fault. Such fine-grained mylonitization of near-surface limestones is not unusual both on the island and the Greece mainland.

It must also be noted that because of the internal deformation of the hanging wall of the faults that are inferred to have reactivated in 1953, no important surface faulting is expected to have occurred, nor was any reported. Certainly, there are some vague reports of 'seismic cracks' in various parts of the island, which may in fact testify to seismic surface faulting; however, these reports are without any tectonic value.

6.2 The eastern boundary of seismic uplift

The north-east limit of the 1953 uplift broadly correlates with the Ayia Ephemia Fault (AEF), the only major and active fault in the area (Sorel 1976). Some minor uplift continues attenuated farther north, both along the Myrtos and Sami Gulfs (Fig. 13), up to the trace of the Kalon Anticline Fault (KAF), a satellite fault in the hanging wall of the main fault (Figs 1, 4 and 9). This minor uplift reflects a secondary deformation; its geometry and kinematics are explained in Figs 5 and 13.

Marine reflection data (seismic section MEDOR 19 in Cushing 1985) indicate that AEF extends to the north-west as a prominent east-dipping thrust (Fig. 4). There is evidence that AEF extends (segmented) to the south-east as well.

(1) The discontinuity in seismic intensities along the straits between south-west Ithaki and Cephalonia (Fig. 6) implies a major structural discontinuity along these straits.

(2) The available bathymetric data offshore the uplifted south-east coast of Cephalonia indicate a 300 m steep bathymetric escarpment (Fig. 12a), probably a thrust ramp (thereafter the Offshore Poros Thrust, OPT), which is clearly seen in a seismic line 6 km offshore Poros (Fig. 4; line PT132 in Nikolaou & Armtoutidis 1979). Farther south-east, this thrust is likely to abut to one of the thrusts identified in the reflection profiles of Brooks & Ferentinou (1984) farther south; these last thrusts are also sites of diapirism (Figs 4 and 14).

Except for the inferred tectonic control of the seismic uplift, which is confined to the footwall of the (segmented) thrust, there is additional evidence for its reactivation in 1953. For weeks after the earthquakes a cloud of white dust emerged from the sea in Myrtos Gulf. Sorel (1976) explained this white dust as result of the rise of gas mixed with the product of friction of the carbonate rocks due to seismic movements, obviously along this fault. Such fine-grained mylonitization of near-surface limestones is not unusual both on the island and the Greece mainland.

It must also be noted that because of the internal deformation of the hanging wall of the faults that are inferred to have reactivated in 1953, no important surface faulting is expected to have occurred, nor was any reported. Certainly, there are some vague reports of 'seismic cracks' in various parts of the island, which may in fact testify to seismic surface faulting; however, these reports are without any tectonic value.

6.3 Seismic versus long-term deformation

While the long-term deformation of the central part of the island is associated with folding (Fig. 11), from Figs 9, 11 and 15 it is likely that the uplifted fault-bounded block in 1953 was tilted to the west without important internal deformation; especially, there is no evidence of folding similar to the long-term one. This result may be extrapolated for the whole Upper Quaternary period. There is some evidence that the tectonic event at around 1500 yr BP discussed above was also associated with a westward tilting of the central part of the island.

Figure 11. (a) Variation of the amplitude of vertical motions in Cephalonia since Lower Pliocene, along an axis perpendicular to the average strike of the LT-GAT. A dashed line indicates uncertain or inferred data. This deformation is likely to reflect folding associated with the Gulf of Argostoli thrust (GAT, see (c); compare for example with Mansinha & Smylie 1971). Small amplitude variations of the uplift curve near Argostoli reflect secondary faulting (AF in (c), among others). Based on data from Sorel (1976). (b) Seismic motion along the same axis, probably indicating uplift and tilting of a quasi-rigid block. The difference in the style from the long-term deformation is evident. (c) Schematic geology and geomorphology along the Argostoli Gulf. (Partly based on data from Galanopoulos 1955; Voutetakis 1954; Braune 1973; Sorel 1976; Bornovas & Rondogianni 1983.) Differences in the dip of Quaternary (q) and Late Tertiary (t) sediments west and east of the Argostoli gulf are schematically shown.

(echosounder) profiles collected in this gulf (Fig. 12, profiles VIII–XIV). The morphology of the scarp, according to Braune (1973), is indicative of very young faulting bringing into tectonic contact the Mesozoic rocks of the Argostoli area and the eastward-dipping Tertiary and Quaternary formations exposed on the Lixouri peninsula; apparently the surface trace of a thrust. This escarpment is unlikely to reflect older events: after ~100 m differential uplift since the Mindel-Riss period and 600 m since Early Pleistocene documented for this area (Sorel 1976), an old scarp of this size along this closed gulf would have long since been obliterated and buried, especially since the rainfall average in the area is significant, ~1 m yr$^{-1}$ (Bornovas 1964), and the western peninsula is built of easily eroding Tertiary sediments, forming badlands.

Since on the other hand, the seismic uplift is confined to the hanging wall of the LT-GAT, it can be concluded that these thrusts reactivated in 1953.
Figure 12. (a) Location map of (b) bathymetric profiles in the Gulf of Argostoli and the south-west Cephalonia shelf (after Braune 1973, simplified).
The 1953 Cephalonia earthquake  

### DISCUSSION

The active tectonic style of the wider area, and the available, though poor, focal mechanism of the 1953 earthquake (see above) indicate that the seismic deformation was probably associated with a thrust in the basement. However, our study reveals that the surface deformation is different, and may be described as a *piston-like uplift of a nearly rigid block*, bounded by two subparallel and homothetic segmented faults that moved as a thrust and a normal (separation) fault, respectively (Figs 16a and b).

Parallel thrust and normal faults have been observed in several areas (e.g. Dalmayrac & Molnar 1981), including the Ionian Sea (Cephalonia, Nikolaou 1986; Leukas, Bornovas 1964; Strophades, Fig. 16c). Yet it is difficult to understand how a thrust and a normal fault with the same strike can be reactivated by the same earthquake. Or in other words, it is difficult to imagine a stress field that can produce such a structural peculiarity.

This problem, however, refers to nearly elastic, isotropic and homogeneous media. But is this the case with Cephalonia? We suggest that the answer is probably no, for the crust in the study area is highly anisotropic and inhomogeneous, with ductile layers not only subhorizontal (as is the case with other areas, where the sedimentary cover is partly (Jackson 1980; Jackson & White 1989) or totally (Makarov 1982) decoupled from the basement), but also oblique to the surface (Figs 4, 5, 13 and 16b); these last layers (salt intrusions along main thrusts) are responsible for the observed anomalies in the propagation of seismic waves (Fig. 6).

It is therefore reasonable to suggest that (at least in certain cases) the deformation of such media cannot be approached by standard, elastic dislocation uniform slip models, and alternative explanations based on the theory of salt tectonics are required.

According to this theory, basement shortening and earthquakes tend to mobilize salt bodies (Trusheim 1960; Jackson & Talbot 1986), whose movement and deformation is conveyed to the overburden. The deformation of the latter is directly related to the evolving morphology of salt structures and is characterized by a complex pattern of extensional, compressional and gravitational features (Jen-
The inferred structural peculiarities of the surface seismic deformation of the overburden of an evaporite basin lead us to assume that salt had a double, key role in this seismic thin-skinned style deformation. First, salt, usually flowing at low strain rates, responded as a quasi-rigid body to the high strain rates during the earthquake (compare with the hypothesis of Jackson & White 1989 for the ductile transitional layer between the upper and lower crust) and transmitted the basement deformation to a fault-bounded block of the overburden. Second, evaporite intrusions along the thrust sheets act as lubricants, facilitating the piston-like movement (uplift) of a certain block, and preventing its internal deformation. This pattern is schematically shown in Fig. 16(b) and is compatible with both the models of Figs 4(a) and (b).

There is still another point to clarify. The available data (e.g. Fig. 11) reveal that the long-term deformation of Cephalonia can be approached by models of dislocations in elastic media, but not the seismic one. A probable explanation is that most of the relief of the island was formed in the Pliocene and Lower Pleistocene (Sorel 1976), when diapirism was less mature, and the crust more elastic and isotropic.

### 8 ALTERNATIVE MODELS

The proposed model is not unique, but is simple, fits well the available data, is compatible with the tectonic structure of the island and the surrounding region, as well as with the theory of evaporite migration, and explains the observed anomalous attenuation of seismic waves during the 1953 and historical earthquakes.

Furthermore, its parallels are found in everyday life; for example, the uplift of paving tiles in sidewalks: roots of trees push the tiles upwards as rigid blocks, overwhelming the strength of the cement of the tile joints.

Yet, some alternative mechanisms for the surface, seismic deformation must be considered. A possibility is that the uplifted block moved in response to lateral contraction. Low-friction faulting is the key point in this hypothesis also, which requires that the eastern fault has a higher dip; this is not unreasonable (see Section 2.4), but it is difficult to explain why the observed uplift to the west is smaller than to the east, and what the mechanism for the shortening of the overburden is, if the focus of the earthquake was in the basement.

In addition to the above models which emphasize the role of evaporites, alternative models can be proposed: (1) folding above the GAT-LT or a somewhat similar, blind thrust, (2) development of a positive flower structure and (3) a system of a fault and a transfer fault.

The first of these models, based on the elastic-dislocation uniform-slip concepts (e.g. Mansinha & Smylie 1971) predicts folding of the central part of the island, and subsidence in the Argostoli Gulf area. This pattern is in excellent agreement with the long-term deformation of the island (Fig. 11), but is questionable if it can describe the 1953 co-seismic deformation: our observations give no evidence of folding, no significant subsidence is observed in the Lixouri area, while uplift is much larger in the Poros than in the Argostoli area; furthermore, the strong correlation between the boundaries of uplift and faulting is not explained.

An alternative model, inspired from small-scale structures seen in the field (e.g. Fig. 37 in Sorel 1976), is that the eastern boundary of the seismic uplift line corresponds to a reverse, west-dipping fault (a positive flower structure model); yet, this hypothesis is not consistent with the tectonic fabric of the island (Fig. 4).

A third possibility, again inspired from the modelling of nearby faults by Sorel (1976) is that the LT-GAT can be regarded as a faulted fold, or as a thrust, with the AEF as a transfer fault which accommodates a certain amount of shortening because it is oblique to the master (LT-GAT).
Figure 16. (a) Geometry of surface halotectonic seismic faults (triangles indicate thrusts, ticks normal faults) and uplifted block (hatched area) during the 1953 earthquake. (b) A sketch to explain our model for the mechanism of the 1953 earthquake and probably at least one other Holocene event. Faulting in the basement (hatched area) mobilized a salt body (marked by small triangles) that was uplifted, pushing upwards the overburden as a rather rigid block; the uplift was accommodated by two pre-existing homothetic thrusts which reactivated as halotectonic faults. For simplicity, faulting is shown planar to the surface, but in the uppermost levels the deformation pattern is complicated (see Fig. 5). Geometry of faulting in the basement is taken to conform with the mechanism of McKenzie (1972). The amplitude of uplift is 30–70 cm, the thickness of the overburden and the halite body up to 5 km and up to 1.5 km, respectively. (c) Geological interpretation of an E–W striking Sparker profile just north of the Strophades Isles, after Lyberis & Bizon (1981) and Nikolaou (1988). The amplitude of uplift is 30–70 cm, the thickness of the overburden and the halite body up to 5 km and up to 1.5 km, respectively. ne, Neogene; E–M, Triassic evaporites and Mesozoic basement. Mark the similarity with the proposed pattern of seismic deformation in (b). This structural pattern is not unusual in halite environments. In the inset, an arrow points to the Strophades Isles. Cephalonia is shown in Black.

9 CONCLUSION

Geomorphological and marine biological data, in combination with eye-witness reports of the seismic uplift and radiocarbon datings, indicate that the 1953 earthquakes were responsible for a ~30–70 cm uplift of the central part of Cephalonia. The uplifted part is bounded by two subparallel and homothetic major thrusts. Obviously, this deformation pattern is structurally peculiar, and can be better explained if we assume that the surface deformation
reflects a halotectonic deformation. This hypothesis is in excellent agreement with our knowledge of the geologic structure of the area, and indicates that the seismic deformation of the uppermost crustal strata in the area may mimic the style of the long-term halotectonic deformation.
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