

From the Departments of Medicine and Laboratories, North Shore University Hospital, and Cornell University Medical College, Manhasset, New York.
Address correspondence and reprint requests to Paul Margulies, MD, 444 Community Drive, Manhasset, NY 11030.

REFERENCES

1. Fong TL, Warner NE, Kumar D: Pancreatic nesidioblastosis in adults. *Diabetes Care* 12:108–14, 1989
2. Schein PS, Delellis RA, Kahn CR, Gorden P, Kraft AR: Islet cell tumors: current concepts and management. *Ann Intern Med* 79:239–57, 1973
3. Weinstock G, Margulies P, Kahn E, Susin M, Abrams G: Islet cell hyperplasia: an unusual cause of hypoglycemia in an adult. *Metabolism* 35:110–17, 1986
4. Rahier J: Relevance of endocrine pancreas nesidioblastosis to hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia. *Diabetes Care* 12:164–66, 1989
5. Stefanini P, Carboni M, Patrassi N, Basoli A: Beta-islet cell tumors of the pancreas: results of a study in 1,067 cases. *Surgery* 75:597–609, 1974

Readability of Diabetes Self-Report Measures

The recent proliferation of self-report measures designed to assess patients' knowledge, attitudes, and behavior regarding diabetes suggests a need for evaluation of the readability of these instruments. This information could be useful to both clinicians and researchers in the selection of measures appropriate to their respective patient populations, and it could serve a sensitizing function for those involved in the future development of such instruments. Consequently, we analyzed the readability of 26 diabetes self-report instruments with the Flesch reading ease formula (1,2). This is a well-validated and reliable method that yields a reading ease score and a grade equivalent based on calculation of average sentence length and average number of syllables per word. Table 1 shows the reading ease and grade equivalent

TABLE 1
Flesch reading ease scores for directions and stimulus items of 26 diabetes self-report measures

Measure	Refs.	Directions		Stimulus items	
		Flesch reading ease score	Grade equivalent	Flesch reading ease score	Grade equivalent
Self-Efficacy for Diabetes Scale	3	87.0	6	87.2	6
Diabetes Opinion Survey-R4	S.B. Johnson, unpublished observations	90.6	5	78.2	7
Diabetes Educational Profile 1980 version, part A	4	78.0	7	77.0	7
Sullivan Diabetic Adjustment Scale	5	96.0	5	77.0	7
Barriers to Adherence Questionnaire	6	80.1	6	76.2	7
Hypoglycemic Fear Survey	7	75.9	7	75.9	7
Diabetes-Specific Perceived Social Support	8	55.0	10–12	75.3	7
Diabetes Care Profile 1984 version	9	90.0	5	75.0	7
Diabetes Regimen Adherence Questionnaire	10	58.0	10–12	74.0	7
Parents' Diabetes Opinion Survey-R4	S.B. Johnson, unpublished observations	81.2	6	71.7	7
How Do You Feel About Diabetes and Its Treatment?	8	90.3	5	71.5	7
Test of Diabetes Knowledge R2	11	54.9	10–12	71.0	7
Insulin Dependent Patient Questionnaire	12	67.0	8,9	69.0	8,9
DKN Scales	13			69.0	8,9
Diabetes Self-Care Behaviors	8	42.9	13–16	68.0	8,9
Diabetes Health Belief Scale	14			68.0	8,9
Diabetes Educational Profile	15	95.6	5	67.6	8,9
Diabetes Quality-of-Life Scale	16			67.0	8,9
Attitude Scale Statements	17			65.6	8,9
Non-Insulin Dependent Patient Questionnaire	12	67.0	8,9	64.0	8,9
ATT39	18			62.0	8,9
Diabetes Knowledge and Management Skills Questionnaire	10	54.0	10–12	61.3	8,9
Health Belief Questionnaire	10	58.0	10–12	59.0	10–12
Diabetes Family Behavior Checklist	19	77.9	7	53.5	10–12
Diabetes Knowledge Test	20	71.0	7	51.0	10–12
Diabetes Information Test	21	47.0	13–16	48.0	13–16

scores for directions and stimulus items for each measure.

Reading difficulty ranged from the 7th grade to college level, with most measures readable at or below the high school level. Only one instrument, however, achieved a reading ease score below the 7th grade level, suggesting that the average child ≤ 12 yr of age could be expected to have difficulty comprehending most of these measures. Thus, few measures are sufficiently readable to justify administration to preadolescents. For eight of the measures evaluated, reading ease scores for directions and stimulus items differed by ≥ 2 grade levels, underscoring the need for careful attention to readability in all components of self-report measures.

The information shown in Table 1 should assist researchers and clinicians in the selection of diabetes self-report measures with reading levels appropriate to their respective patient populations. We hope this readability assessment will also encourage greater attention to this issue among people involved in the development of self-report measures.

TIM WYSOCKI, PhD
KENNETH J. TARNOWSKI, PhD
DENISE M. ALLEN, MA

From the Ohio State University and Children's Hospital, Columbus; and Case Western Reserve University and Cleveland Metropolitan General Hospital, Cleveland, Ohio.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Tim Wysocki, PhD, Children's Hospital, F-241, 700 Children's Drive, Columbus, OH 43205.

REFERENCES

- Flesch R: A new readability yardstick. *J Appl Psychol* 32:221-33, 1948
- Klare GR: *The Measurement of Readability*. Ames, Iowa State Univ. Press, 1963
- Grossman HY, Brink SM, Hauser ST: Self-efficacy in adolescent girls and boys with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 10:324-29, 1987
- Michigan Diabetes Research and Training Center: *Diabetes Educational Profile—Part A*. Ann Arbor, Univ. of Michigan, 1980
- Sullivan BJ: Depression in diabetic adolescent girls: development of the diabetic adjustment scale. *Psychosom Med* 41:119-26, 1979
- Glasgow RE, McCaul KD, Schafer LC: Barriers to regimen adherence among persons with insulin-dependent diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 9:65-77, 1986
- Cox DJ, Irvine A, Gonder-Frederick LA, Nowacek G, Butterfield J: Fear of hypoglycemia: quantification, validation, and utilization. *Diabetes Care* 10:617-21, 1987
- Wilson W, Ary DV, Biglan A, Glasgow RE, Toobert DJ, Campbell DR: Psychosocial predictors of self-care behaviors (compliance) and glycemic control in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 9:614-22, 1986
- Michigan Diabetes Research and Training Center: *Diabetes Care Profile*. Ann Arbor, Univ. of Michigan, 1984
- Brownlee-Duffeck M, Peterson L, Simonds JF, Goldstein D, Kilo C, Hoette S: The role of health beliefs in the regimen adherence and metabolic control of adolescents and adults with diabetes mellitus. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 55:139-45, 1987
- Johnson SB, Pollak T, Silverstein JP, Rosenbloom AL, Spillar R, McCallum M, Harkavy J: Cognitive and behavioral knowledge about insulin-dependent diabetes among children and parents. *Pediatrics* 69:708-13, 1982
- Meadows KA, Fromson B, Gillespie C, Brewer A, Carter C, Lockington T, Clark G, Wise PH: Development, validation and application of computer-linked knowledge questionnaires in diabetes education. *Diabetic Med* 5:61-67, 1988
- Dunn SM, Bryson JM, Hoskins PL, Alford JB, Handelsman DJ, Turtle JR: Development of the diabetes knowledge (DKN) scales: forms DKNA, DKNB and DKNC. *Diabetes Care* 7:36-41, 1984
- Harris R, Linn MW, Skyler JS, Sandifer R: Development of the diabetes health belief scale. *Diabetes Educ* 13:292-97, 1987
- Davis WK, Hess GE, Harrison RV, Hiss RG: Psychosocial adjustment to and control of diabetes mellitus: differences by disease type and treatment. *Health Psychol* 6:1-14, 1987
- DCCT Research Group: Reliability and validity of a diabetes quality-of-life measure for the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT). *Diabetes Care* 11:725-32, 1988
- Lockington TJ, Meadows KA, Wise PH: Compliant behavior: relationship to attitudes and control in diabetic patients. *Diabetic Med* 4:56-61, 1987
- Dunn SM, Smartt HH, Beeney LJ, Turtle JR: Measurement of emotional adjustment in diabetic patients: validity and reliability of the ATT39. *Diabetes Care* 9:480-89, 1986
- Schafer LC, McCaul KD, Glasgow RE: Supportive and nonsupportive family behaviors: relationship to adherence and metabolic control in persons with type I diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 9:179-85, 1986
- Hess GE, Davis WK: The validation of a diabetes patient knowledge test. *Diabetes Care* 6:591-96, 1983
- Garrard J, Joynes JO, Mullen L, McNeil L, Mensing C, Feste C, Etzwiler DD: Psychometric study of patient knowledge test. *Diabetes Care* 10:500-509, 1987