Cortical motor reorganization in akinetic patients with Parkinson’s disease
A functional MRI study
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Summary
Using functional MRI (fMRI), we have studied the changes induced by the performance of a complex sequential motor task in the cortical areas of six akinetic patients with Parkinson’s disease and six normal subjects. Compared with the normal subjects, the patients with Parkinson’s disease exhibited a relatively decreased fMRI signal in the rostral part of the supplementary motor area (SMA) and in the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, as previously shown in PET studies. Concomitantly, the same patients exhibited a significant bilateral relative increase in fMRI signal in the primary sensorimotor cortex, lateral premotor cortex, inferior parietal cortex, caudal part of the SMA and anterior cingulate cortex.
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Introduction
A limited number of pilot studies have used emission tomography, both single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and PET, to study the anatomofunctional correlates of akinesia in Parkinson’s disease. These studies showed that cortical motor areas, such as the supplementary motor area (SMA), are ‘underactive’ in akinetic parkinsonian patients (Playford et al., 1992; Rascol et al., 1992, 1994; Jahanshahi et al., 1995), while other motor areas, such as the parietal and lateral premotor cortex and the cerebellum, are ‘overactive’ (Rascol et al., 1997; Samuel et al., 1997a).

Beside PET and SPECT, functional MRI (fMRI) is another useful technique for localization of motor-related activity in the human brain. To the best of our knowledge, no fMRI study has yet been published describing brain activation in patients with Parkinson’s disease. Using fMRI thus offers the opportunity to study, with a novel method, how motor pathways of the parkinsonian brain are disorganized in response to the degeneration of the nigrostriatal dopamine projections. Therefore, we have compared motor activation in normal subjects and in akinetic patients with Parkinson’s disease using fMRI.

Material and methods
Subjects
Six right-handed patients with an akinetic-rigid Parkinson’s disease were studied. Handedness was determined by simple enquiry. All patients fulfilled the UK Parkinson’s Disease Brain Bank criteria for the diagnosis of idiopathic Parkinson’s
clinical details of Parkinson's disease patients (mean ± SD)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>2F, 4M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years)</td>
<td>5 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoehn and Yahr score</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPDRS III score (off)</td>
<td>16 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromocriptine daily dose (mg/day)</td>
<td>410 ± 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42 ± 16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

disease (Gibbs and Lees, 1988). All patients had a clear positive response to L-dopa. All were studied in the ‘off’ condition, 12 h after all anti-parkinsonian drugs had been withheld. None of the patients had tremor in the ‘off’ condition. Disability was recorded on the Hoehn and Yahr scale (Hoehn and Yahr, 1967) and the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating scale (UPDRS) (Fahn et al., 1987), immediately before the patients were scanned. We specifically selected patients with mild to moderate symptoms of Parkinson's disease in order to ensure that they could perform the task while in their ‘off’ state. The clinical details of the Parkinson's disease patients are summarized in Table 1.

Six right-handed normal volunteers (two females and four males; mean age 59 ± 19 years) were included as a control group. All had a normal neurological examination and none had a history of neurological, cardiovascular or psychiatric disturbance. Handedness was determined by simple enquiry.

Informed consent was obtained from all patients and volunteers. The study was approved by the local ethical committee (Toulouse 1 CCPRB).

Motor task
The activation paradigm consisted of a sequential movement performed with the right hand. This sequential task had been chosen among several others, according to preliminary fMRI data from our laboratory, because it induces a clear activation signal in areas known to be involved in both motor programming and motor execution. To perform this task, the subjects had to (i) make finger-to-thumb opposition movements in the specified order of the index, middle, ring and little finger; (ii) open and clench the fist twice; (iii) complete finger-to-thumb oppositions in the opposite order (i.e. little, ring, middle and index finger); (iv) open and clench the fist twice again; and finally (v) repeat the same series of movements during the 30 s of data acquisition. This was intentionally a more complex and cognitively demanding task than generally used in previously published SPECT and PET studies performed in patients with Parkinson's disease.

All subjects were instructed to practise this sequential task in advance, before the scan, until they were able to perform the full series without errors at a frequency of ~1 Hz and with an intermediate amplitude. Subjects were instructed not to move any other part of the body except the right hand, to ignore the scanning noise and to close their eyes. The baseline condition was defined as the ‘resting state’, when the subjects were asked to relax without movement in the machine, with their eyes closed. A sound signal informed the subjects when they had to switch (every 30 s) from the ‘resting state’ to the ‘activation state’, and vice versa. During each test, two of the investigators (U.S. and N.F.) stayed beside the subject, closely watching all his/her movements. One observer counted the number of finger oppositions and hand clenches to measure movement frequency, checking that the sequences of movements were appropriate and quantifying the amplitude of the movements on a 0–3 point scale (0 = no amplitude, 3 = maximal amplitude of hand opening). All these parameters were recorded onto a grid specially designed for the purpose of the study. The second observer checked that the subject did not perform any unspecific associated movement.

fMRI data acquisition
Imaging was performed on a Siemens Magneton Vision scanner operating at 1.5 T and equipped with EPI (echoplanar imaging) hardware. The subjects lay in the scanner with their eyes closed. Nine joint axial slices of 5 mm thickness, parallel to the intercommissural plane (from $z = +20$ mm to $z = +60$ mm) were collected using an EPI gradient echo sequence (echo time, TE = 66 ms; repetition time, TR = 3 s; flip angle = 90°; field of view, FOV = 200 mm, 64 × 64 interpolated to 128 × 128 mm pixels). The subjects were resting for 30 s and activating for 30 s four times. Each scanning run (4 min) thus comprised 80 image volumes (10 volumes per block). The first three images of each run were discarded to allow for T1 stabilization.

T1-weighted images were also acquired (128 slices, TR = 15 ms, TE = 7 ms, flip angle = 12°, voxel size = 1.2 mm) to obtain structural three-dimensional volume.

Data analysis
The fMRI data were analysed using SPM96 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK) (Friston et al., 1995). The functional images of each subject were realigned to the first volume and normalized to the stereotaxic space of Talairach and Tournoux (Talairach and Tournoux, 1988) using the three-dimensional volume. The images were spatially smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 8 mm FWHM full-width half-volume) and temporally smoothed with a Gaussian kernel (FWHM = 8 s).

The haemodynamic response function was modelled by a half-sine function. Low frequency noise was removed by applying a high-pass filter (0.5 cycles/min) to the fMRI time series at each voxel.

The 12 subjects (six parkinsonians and six controls) were included in the same statistical analysis on a pixel by pixel basis. Statistical parametric maps (SPMs) were then generated using an ANCOVA (analysis of covariance) model implemented through the General Linear Model formulation.
of SPM96 (Friston et al., 1995) after normalization for global effect by proportional scaling.

The pattern of cerebral activation associated with the motor task compared with rest was determined for the controls and the Parkinson’s disease groups (within-group comparisons). Significant differences were accepted at a threshold of $P < 0.001$ (corrected for multiple comparisons). The local maxima, Talairach coordinates and peak $Z$-scores of areas of significant increase were identified.

In order to test for relative differences in the pattern of cerebral activation between controls and patients, we performed between-group comparisons. Activation differences were considered significant at $P < 0.01$ and if their spatial extent was $>10$ pixels. The locations, coordinates and peak $Z$-scores of areas showing increased or decreased fMRI signals were identified in the controls compared with parkinsonian patients and in patients compared with controls.

The locations of activated areas in the controls and in the Parkinson’s disease group (within and between-group comparisons) were displayed by superimposing them on axial sections of a Talairach–Tournoux normalized high-resolution three-dimensional $T_1$-weighted MRI brain scan, provided by the SPM96 package.

The demographic and behavioural variables of the two groups of subjects were compared using an unpaired Student’s $t$-test.

**Results**

The normal subjects and patients with Parkinson’s disease executed the motor task with a similar frequency and amplitude (Table 2). None exhibited visible movements other than those of the hand executing the motor task for the activation paradigm.

**Within-group comparisons (motor task versus rest condition)**

**Controls**

Significant foci of activation were seen in the left primary sensorimotor cortex, the left lateral premotor cortex, in both the left and right inferior and posterior parietal cortex, in both the rostral and caudal parts of the SMA and in the anterior cingulate cortex. The location, coordinates and peak $Z$-scores of activated areas are detailed in Table 3 and shown on MRI $T_1$-weighted axial sections in Fig. 1.

**Patients with Parkinson’s disease**

Significant foci of activation were seen in the left and right primary sensorimotor cortex with a relatively stronger left overactivity, in the left and right premotor cortex with a relatively stronger left overactivity, in the left and right inferior parietal cortex, in the caudal but not the rostral part of the SMA and in the cingulate cortex. The location, coordinates and peak $Z$-scores of activity are detailed in Table 3 and shown on MRI $T_1$-weighted axial sections in Fig. 2.

**Between-group comparisons**

**Relatively increased fMRI signals in controls**

When the increases in activation in the controls were compared with those of patients, a significant relative increase in fMRI signal was seen in the rostral part of the SMA, in the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and in small areas of the left lateral premotor cortex and the left inferior parietal cortex. The location, coordinates and peak $Z$-scores of activity are detailed in Table 3 and shown on MRI $T_1$-weighted axial sections in Fig. 3.

**Relatively increased fMRI signals in patients with Parkinson’s disease**

When the increases in activation in the patients were compared with those of controls, a significant relative increase in fMRI signal was seen in the right and left primary sensorimotor cortex, in the right and left premotor cortex, in the right and left inferior parietal cortex, in the caudal part of SMA and in the cingulate cortex. The location, coordinates and peak $Z$-scores of activity are detailed in Table 4 and shown on MRI $T_1$-weighted axial sections in Fig. 4.

**Discussion**

Our findings show relatively decreased fMRI signals in the anterior SMA and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPC) and relatively increased fMRI signals in the lateral premotor and parietal cortices of patients with Parkinson’s disease performing sequential finger movements. These data are consistent with previously reported findings in SPECT and PET studies (Playford et al., 1992; Rascol et al., 1992; Samuel et al., 1997a). Novel findings are that the lateral premotor and parietal cortices are not the only detectable overactive areas in the parkinsonian brain, since the caudal...
Table 3  Within-group analyses: sites of activation in controls and patients during the motor task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Parkinson’s disease patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left SMC</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA caudal</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA rostral</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulate</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left IPC</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right IPC</td>
<td>+30</td>
<td>-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left lateral PMC</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right lateral PMC</td>
<td>+34</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Talairach x, y, z coordinates and peak Z-scores are shown.
SMC = sensorimotor cortex; SMA = supplementary motor area; IPC = inferior parietal cortex; PMC = lateral premotor cortex

Fig. 1  Area of activation foci during a complex sequential right-hand movement in six normal subjects, superimposed onto a stereotaxically normalized MRI brain scan (z = location of area of activation above commissural plane; threshold = P < 0.001).

SMA, the anterior cingulate and the primary sensorimotor cortices also exhibited abnormal fMRI signals.

Relatively decreased fMRI signals in rostral SMA and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices of patients with Parkinson’s disease.

It is now established according to SPECT and PET studies that the SMA is hypoactive when akinetic patients with Parkinson’s disease are performing sequential movements (Playford et al., 1992; Rascol et al., 1992). This defective SMA activation is thought to reflect the decrease in the positive efferent feedback arising from the basal ganglia-thalamocortical motor loop due to striatal dopamine depletion (DeLong, 1990).

The high resolution of fMRI has recently allowed refinement of our concepts on SMA functional organization, identifying a gradual functional heterogeneity between the rostral and caudal parts of the medial premotor cortex of normal human volunteers (Tyszaka et al., 1994; Humberstone et al., 1997; Van Oostende et al., 1997; Boecker et al., 1998). This heterogeneity is supposed to correspond in humans to the differentiation between SMA proper and pre-SMA recently described in primate studies (Matsuzaka et al., 1992; Tanji, 1994; Rizzolatti et al., 1998). These last studies suggest that
the pre-SMA may have a greater role in premotor activities, whereas SMA proper appears to have a greater role in motor performance (Picard and Strick, 1996).

With fMRI, we observed two main peaks of activation within the SMA of the normal subjects: the first was located 10 mm in front of the vertical anterior commissure line, while the second was located 10 mm behind (Table 3). This finding is consistent with the fact that the complexity of the motor task involved both aspects of SMA motor function.

Unlike the normal volunteers, the patients with Parkinson’s disease did not exhibit a significant focus of activation in the rostral part of the SMA. Rather, they exhibited in this area a relatively decreased fMRI signal compared with the normal controls. Both normal controls and patients had been trained in advance in a similar way and executed the task with the same performance. Thus, the defective activation of the parkinsonian rostral SMA cannot be related to inadequate movement learning or execution. The PET coordinates of the SMA relative underactivity reported in patients with Parkinson’s disease (Samuels et al., 1997a) and those of the

Table 4 Between-group analyses: sites of relative overactivity in controls compared with patients and patients compared with controls during the motor task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Parkinson’s disease patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left SMC</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right IPC</td>
<td>+44</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left IPC</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right IPC</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left lateral PMC</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right lateral PMC</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right IPC</td>
<td>+34</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right IPC</td>
<td>+30</td>
<td>-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right IPC</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right IPC</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right IPC</td>
<td>+46</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Talairach x, y, z coordinates and peak Z-scores are shown. DLPF = dorsolateral prefrontal; SMC = sensorimotor cortex; SMA = supplementary motor area; IPC = inferior parietal cortex; PMC = lateral premotor cortex.

Fig. 3 Area of relative overactivity in normal controls compared with patients with Parkinson’s disease during a complex sequential right hand movement (z = location of area of activation above commissural plane; threshold = P < 0.01).

Fig. 4 Area of relative overactivity in patients with Parkinson’s disease compared with normal controls during a complex sequential right hand movement, superimposed onto a stereotaxically normalized MRI brain scan (z = location of area of activation above commissural plane; threshold = P < 0.001).
SMA ‘reactivation’ induced by apomorphine (Jenkins et al., 1992) or subthalamic nucleus stimulation (Limousin et al., 1997) in such patients were also located in front of the vertical anterior commissure line. Taken together, these observations strongly suggest that it is indeed the rostral rather than the caudal part of the SMA which is not activated properly in Parkinson’s disease.

We also observed in the akinetic patients with Parkinson’s disease small relatively decreased fMRI signals in the right DLPF cortex and in a few other areas such as the left inferior parietal and lateral premotor cortex. Applying a more stringent threshold ($P < 0.005$) suppressed such signals, while this did not change the relatively decreased fMRI signal in the rostral SMA (data not shown). These small foci of relative underactivity could then be considered as artefacts. However, a relative DLPF hypoactivation has already been reported with PET when parkinsonian patients selected the timing (Jahanshahi et al., 1995) or direction (Playford et al., 1992) of movements. Pallidotomy (Samuel et al., 1997b) and subthalamic stimulation (Limousin et al., 1997) reversed such hypoactivation. The right rather than the left DLPF cortex is claimed to have a specialist role in motor planning, possibly because of the spatial component involved. It is known to be involved in selecting actions in normal subjects (Deiber et al., 1991). The rostral, but not the caudal SMA is connected anatomically with the prefrontal cortex (Bates and Goldman-Rakic, 1993) and the DLPF cortex receives projections from the basal ganglia and related thalamic nuclei (Selemon and Goldman-Rakic, 1985). Taken together, these findings suggest that the DLPF cortex is not normally active in patients with Parkinson’s disease. Such a defective signal could be due to the degeneration of mesofrontal dopaminergic afferents or to a functional deafferentation of the prefrontal cortex from its basal ganglia–thalamic inputs.

Relatively increased fMRI signals in cortical motor areas of patients with Parkinson’s disease

Beside these circumscribed foci of relatively decreased cortical fMRI signals, a large number of areas with increased fMRI signals were also observed in patients with Parkinson’s disease. These included the lateral premotor and parietal cortex, the primary motor cortex, the caudal SMA and the contiguous anterior cingulate cortex, covering motor areas activated by the normal subjects, but encompassing a more widespread and bilateral distribution.

Lateral premotor and parietal cortex

Bilateral increased fMRI signals in the lateral premotor and parietal cortices of patients with Parkinson’s disease have already been observed using PET (Samuel et al., 1997a). As already pointed out, the parietal cortex participates in the control of complex movements in extrapersonal space (Deiber et al., 1991; Jenkins et al., 1994) and sends dense projections to the lateral premotor cortex (Petrides and Pandya, 1984), supporting the hypothesis that patients with Parkinson’s disease might divert from using impaired striatomesial–frontal projections to intact lateral premotor–parietal cortex circuits.

A novel finding of the present study was that such relatively increased fMRI signals also involved the ipsi- and contralateral primary motor cortex, the caudal SMA and the anterior cingulate cortex.

Primary sensorimotor cortex

It is the absence of relative overactivity in the primary sensorimotor cortex of patients with Parkinson’s disease that has been reported previously, using PET (Playford et al., 1992). Conversely, like us, Humberstone and colleagues (Humberstone et al., 1998), using fMRI, reported a bilateral increase in signal in the primary sensorimotor cortex of patients with Parkinson’s disease. There are a number of anatomical (Kuypers, 1981; Armand et al., 1982), electrophysiological (Glees and Cole, 1952; Tanji et al., 1988) and neuroimaging (Wiesendanger, 1981; Weiller et al., 1992; Kawashima et al., 1993, 1994; Wassermann et al., 1994; Chen et al., 1997) studies which provide evidence for a role of the ipsilateral pathways in normal motor function.

Several observations also support the participation of the ipsilateral cortex in post-lesional motor deficit (Colebatch and Gandevia, 1989; Jones et al., 1989; Marque et al., 1997) and in functional recovery after lesion (Benecke et al., 1991; Chollet et al., 1991; Fries et al., 1991; Di PIERO et al., 1992; Fisher, 1992; Weiller et al., 1993; Sabatini et al., 1994). Shibasaki and colleagues observed with PET that normal subjects activated their primary motor cortex bilaterally when preparing for and/or executing a complex sequence of unilateral finger movements (Shibasaki et al., 1993). Our motor task was more complex than those used in previous PET studies. This complexity could explain this bilateral signal. However, our normal subjects did not exhibit bilateral activation in the primary motor cortex, suggesting that the task’s complexity cannot explain, alone, the bilateral signal observed in patients with Parkinson’s disease. Associated movement of the opposite hand is a rather common phenomenon in patients with motor deficit (Krams et al., 1994). Therefore, an ipsilateral primary motor cortex activation has sometimes been seen as merely representing an epiphenomenon due to the presence of unspecific associated movements of the opposite hand. Although we do not have EMG control to rule out definitely the occurrence of such unspecific associated movements, one of us carefully checked by direct visual control, throughout the periods of data acquisition, that no such movements were present in our patients. Interestingly, a relative increase in fMRI signal was also present in the contralateral primary sensorimotor cortex of the patients with Parkinson’s disease. We carefully checked that the patients executed the motor task in a similar way to the normal controls and there is thus no reason to suspect that any associated unspecific movements occurred on this.
side. Therefore, our data suggest that both the ipsi- and contralateral primary sensorimotor cortices are actually involved in a functional reorganization process comparable with that which occurred in the lateral premotor and parietal cortices.

**Caudal SMA**

Another novel finding of this study is that the caudal part of the SMA exhibited a relatively increased fMRI signal in the patients with Parkinson’s disease. This observation reinforces the notion that there is a functional difference between the anterior and posterior parts of the SMA. This inverse activation pattern within the SMA has not been reported previously in PET or SPECT studies conducted in parkinsonian patients. This cannot be explained by the limits of the spatial resolution of the tomographs, considering the size and the amplitude of the fMRI signal that we found. Differences in motor tasks or patient selection are more likely. As pointed out, the present task was a more complex sequential movement than any other task previously used in patients with Parkinson’s disease: joystick movements (Jenkins et al., 1992; Playford et al., 1992; Limousin et al., 1997; Samuel et al., 1997b), lifting the index finger (Jahanshahi et al., 1995), finger-to-thumb oppositions (Rascol et al., 1992, 1994, 1997) or pressing keys sequentially (Samuel et al., 1997a). The present task was probably more demanding, and patients with Parkinson’s disease might have found it more difficult to perform automatically than normal healthy volunteers, with a consequent increased activation signal in some areas such as the posterior part of the SMA or the ipsilateral primary motor cortex. Another possibility is that our patients with Parkinson’s disease may have suffered from a less advanced disease than those enrolled in other studies, and that mildly affected patients could still be capable of activating areas which cannot be recruited once the disease has progressed.

It is not surprising to observe that the caudal part of the SMA behaved like the lateral premotor and parietal motor areas. In the monkey, the SMA proper, unlike the pre-SMA, has reciprocal connections with the primary sensorimotor cortex and receives dense inputs from the parietal lobe (Luppino et al., 1993). Single neuron recordings showed that many neurons of the caudal SMA respond to somatosensory and visual stimuli (Tanji and Shima, 1994; Rizzolatti et al., 1996) and discharge in association with active movements (Tanji et al., 1996), thus exhibiting behaviour similar in many aspects to that of the primary motor neurons. Therefore, unlike the rostral part of the SMA, its caudal part is considered by some authors as part of a specific parietofrontal circuit (Luppino et al., 1993).

**Anterior cingulate cortex**

In this study, we also observed a large area of activation in the anterior cingulate cortex, corresponding to Brodmann areas 24 and 32. The association of the anterior cingulate cortex with motor function is supported by anatomical (Dum and Strick, 1991; Luppino et al., 1993; Morecraft and Van Hoesen, 1993; Tokuno and Tanji, 1993), electrophysiological (Luppino et al., 1991; Shima et al., 1991; Matsuzaka et al., 1992; for a review, see Devinsky et al., 1995) and clinical observations (Devinsky et al., 1995).

In normal subjects, the main focus of cingulate activation was located 10 mm anterior to the vertical anterior commissural line (Table 3). This area is contiguous to and below the rostral part of the SMA. It corresponds to Brodmann area 24c’. The projections of this area target mainly the caudal portion of the SMA, with limited access to the primary motor cortex and the spinal cord (Luppino et al., 1990; Dum and Strick, 1991). Several neuroimaging studies performed in normal volunteers have shown that the anterior cingulate cortex is implicated in response selection and willed acts, but not in practised responses, and that cingulate activation decreases with habituation (Colebatch et al., 1991; Deiber et al., 1992; Paus et al., 1993; Tyszka et al., 1994; Van Oostende et al., 1996). Conversely, the expression of specific motor sequences that require little automatic activity has been reported to be directed by anterior cingulate motor areas (see Devinsky et al., 1995). The fact that this area was significantly activated when our normal subjects were performing the motor task suggests that the complexity of the movement was demanding enough to prevent it being performed automatically.

There were several foci of relatively increased fMRI signals in the anterior cingulate cortex of patients with Parkinson’s disease. This result was unexpected. Dense reciprocal anatomical connections between the anterior cingulate cortex and the prefrontal cortex, especially the DLPF, have been described in the monkey (Bates and Goldman-Rakic, 1993; Morecraft and Van Hoesen, 1993). This suggests that this cortex, like the DLPF, may be instrumental in contributing to the formation of higher order voluntary motor responses. High levels of motor control are believed to be impaired in Parkinson’s disease and, indeed, we observed relatively decreased fMRI signals in the DLPF cortex and rostral SMA of our patients. Therefore, we anticipated finding comparable results in the cingulate, as reported previously with PET (Jahanshahi et al., 1995).

In fact, the anterior cingulate cortex, like the SMA, is functionally heterogeneous regarding cytoarchitecture (Vogt et al., 1995), connections (Luppino et al., 1990; Dum and Stick, 1991) and electrophysiological behaviour (Shima et al., 1991). It is probable that the cingulate cortex, like the SMA, has motor and ‘pre’ motor divisions, probably in the rostrocaudal direction (Zilles et al., 1995; Picard and Stick, 1996).

The main focus of the relatively increased fMRI signal observed in the anterior cingulate cortex of our patients was located more caudally than in the normal controls, behind and not in front of the vertical anterior commissural line (Table 4). This more caudal part of the anterior cingulate cortex
cortex might be, like the caudal SMA, more closely related to executive aspects of the motor function than the rostral part, which may instead be involved in other aspects of higher order control, reinforcing the notion that similar gradual rostrocaudal functional differences might exist in both areas.

We observed in our parkinsonian patients another focus of increased cingulate fMRI signal, which was located more anteriorly, 10 mm in front of the vertical anterior commissural line, corresponding to the border between Brodmann areas 32 and 24 (Table 4). This signal is too anterior to fit with the simplistic hypothesis of a simple rostrocaudal premotor–motor division of the anterior cingulate cortex. It must be remembered that the anterior cingulate cortex is also involved in affective behaviours. It has been suggested that the dichotomy within the anterior cingulate cortex of affective and cognitive regions is best shown by a line drawn just caudal to the border of area 32, most evidence suggesting that besides this line, area 24’ is more involved in cognitive processes that do not require affect (Devinsky et al., 1995). One can thus speculate that the anterior focus of the increased cingulate fMRI signal that we observed at the border of area 32 might be explained by a greater emotional, attentional or motivational response in the patients with Parkinson’s disease, due to greater difficulties in performing the motor task adequately because of their akinetic handicap.

Conclusions

The present fMRI neuroimaging study shows that the subcortical putaminal dopamine deficit which characterizes Parkinson’s disease disorganizes the cortical motor pathways in a complex way. It induces a focal ‘underactivation’ restricted to the rostral SMA and DLPC, possibly responsible for the patients’ akinesia. It also induces an abnormal pattern of ‘overactivation’ in most of the other known motor cortical areas, including the caudal SMA, the anterior cingulate cortex, the lateral premotor, the primary sensorimotor and the parietal cortices. This reorganization, which involves parallel-acting multiple motor areas, can be seen as an attempt at motor recovery. The general aspect of this reorganization resembles what has been described previously with PET in other motor diseases, such as paresis induced by acute stroke (Chollet et al., 1991; Weiller, 1995; Chollet and Weiller, 1997). It is also interesting to compare the present results with those reported in patients with cerebellar degeneration (Wessel et al., 1995). The pattern of motor activation in this last condition appeared to be the opposite to what we observed in Parkinson’s disease: several areas of the lateral motor circuit, including the lateral premotor cortex and the lobus parietalis inferior, were less activated in the cerebellar patients than in the normal controls, probably as a result of defective cerebellar inputs, while, in contrast, other premotor systems, including the SMA, were used more heavily in the cerebellar patients than in the controls. It is thus tempting to speculate that these phenomena illustrate the capacity of the adult human brain for functional plasticity in compensating for one motor circuit deficit by recruiting another parallel one. The exact mechanisms of these phenomena remain to be understood.
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