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ABSTRACT
Background: Rates of mental illness in children are increasing throughout the world. Observational studies of depression, anxiety, and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder suggest that zinc is an alternative treatment.

Objective: We examined the effect of zinc supplementation on the mental health of school-age children in Guatemala.

Design: From January to October 2006, we conducted a 6-mo randomized, double-blind, controlled trial comparing zinc supplementation (10 mg ZnO/d for 5 d/wk) with a placebo (10 mg glucose) in 674 Guatemalan children in grades 1–4. Outcome measures included internalizing (ie, depression and anxiety) and externalizing (ie, hyperactivity and conduct disorder) problem behaviors, positive behaviors (ie, socialization and leadership), and serum zinc concentrations.

Results: Zinc and placebo groups did not differ significantly in any behavioral measures at baseline or at follow-up. At baseline, 21.4% of children had serum zinc concentrations <65 µg/dL. At follow-up, both groups improved significantly, and zinc concentrations were higher in the zinc group. Increases in serum zinc concentrations were inversely associated with decreases in depressive symptoms (estimate: −0.01 points per µg Zn/dL; P = 0.01), anxiety (estimate: −0.012 points per µg Zn/dL; P = 0.02), internalizing symptoms (estimate: −0.021 points per µg Zn/dL; P = 0.02), and social skills (estimate: −0.019 points per µg Zn/dL; P = 0.01) in adjusted models that were controlled for child age, sex, socioeconomic status, household, and treatment group.

Conclusions: Six months of zinc supplementation did not induce differences in mental health outcomes between zinc and placebo groups. However, increases in serum zinc concentrations were associated with decreases in internalizing symptoms (ie, depression and anxiety) in a community-based sample of children at risk of zinc deficiency. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT00283660. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;92:1241–50.

INTRODUCTION
It is estimated that the worldwide prevalence of child and adolescent mental disorders is 20% (1, 2). The World Health Organization predicts that childhood neuropsychiatric disorders will increase worldwide by >50% by 2020, and these disorders will be one of the 5 most common causes of morbidity, mortality, and disability in children (2). This burden of disease remains poorly addressed even in resource-rich nations. For example, in the United States, 1 in 10 children and adolescents suffers from a mental illness severe enough to significantly impair functioning (eg, anxiety disorder, depression, conduct disorder, and hyperactivity) (3–5), but ∼1 in 5 affected children currently receive appropriate treatment of their disorders (3). The situation in developing countries may be worse (2). Many children in Latin America confront substantial risk factors (ie, poverty, drug use, crime, violence, and social and political unrest), with very few mental health resources available (6). Psychopharmacotherapy is costly, requires time and commitment from children and parents, and is often not available or acceptable. Pharmacotherapy is often unavailable and poses risks of side effects (7). Thus, preventive strategies that are readily accessible and acceptable to populations nationally and internationally are needed to prevent unnecessary suffering in these children.

Ensuring adequate micronutrient intakes over the life span may be one such strategy. Compelling evidence for the role of micronutrients in mental health comes from studies that focused on the role of zinc in depression, anxiety, and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), which are disorders that are common in children. Low concentrations of zinc have been associated with symptoms of depression in animals and adult humans (8–11). Zinc status is inversely related to anxiety in animals and young children (12, 13). Low zinc concentrations have also been associated with ADHD symptoms (14–16), and zinc may enhance the effects of traditional treatments for ADHD (17, 18).

However, most of these studies are observational and have focused on clinical patient samples rather than community samples (13, 17, 19). A few community-based studies have...
cused on the role of zinc in activity levels, infant behavior, and psychosocial and behavioral functioning, with mixed results (20). There is a paucity of well-designed controlled trials that evaluated the potential of zinc to enhance psychological functioning and improve or prevent mental health problems in community-based samples of children. Furthermore, only a few studies have examined the potential nutrition-environment interactions that may affect relations with psychological and behavioral outcomes (21, 22). For example, low tryptophan concentrations have been associated with negative mood and lower energy, especially after exposure to adverse events (21). In designing interventions, it is important to examine existing individual and environmental conditions that might modify the effect of any intervention (23).

We studied school-age children in Guatemala, who are a population at risk of zinc deficiency (24), to assess the effects of zinc supplementation on mental health. We also examined whether 3 conditions at baseline (ie, serum zinc concentration, mental health status, and level of environmental stress) modified these effects.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study design

We conducted a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial in 5 public schools in a low-income community in Guatemala City (www.clinicaltrials.gov, ID no. NCT00283660). The study was a collaborative effort between the Hubert Department of Global Health at the Rollins School of Public Health (RSPh), Emory University, Atlanta, GA, and the Institute of Nutrition of Central America and Panama (INCAP) in Guatemala City. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the ethics committees of both institutions. The Data Safety Monitoring Committee (DSMC) included 2 nutritional epidemiologists from US-based universities and a pediatrician from a local hospital in Guatemala; none of the DSMC members were coauthors on the study.

Study population, eligibility, and consent

Children in grades 1–4 (ages 6–11 y) were eligible and recruited for the study. Permission was obtained from the Municipality Office of the Ministry of Education and school directors; teachers were then informed of the study and requested to cooperate. We conducted informational meetings at each school. Interviewers scheduled an appointment for an initial screening with parents who expressed interest in having their child participate. Recruitment occurred in January–March 2006. Children in grades 1–4 (ages 6–11 y) received a list of all children in their classroom enrolled in the study and their assigned color group. All of the tablets for each child were kept in a small plastic container with a label that had the child’s name and a colored circle that corresponded to the color of his or her assigned group.

The first school began supplementation in late February 2006, and the fifth school began supplementation in early April 2006. Children were supplemented daily (5 d/wk) for ~5.8 mo (~125 d) in their classrooms. The zinc supplement or placebo was given in the form of chewable tablets with a fruit flavoring during the morning hours (ie, between 0830 and 1000) and was consumed in front of the study staff who administered the supplement and recorded this information. No food was given with the supplement. The placebo was similar in taste and appearance to the zinc tablet. In cases where a child was expected to be absent from school the next day (eg, school was not in session, or the child had an appointment), the person giving the supplement gave the child a tablet to consume at home the next day and followed up on the child’s return to school to assess if the child had consumed the supplement; this information was recorded. Adherence was defined as the number of days the supplement was consumed (observed and unobserved) out of the total number of days of supplementation. The denominator for calculating adherence was based on the date the class began supplementation and the date of the last dose. These dates were the same for all children in a classroom because supplementation began right after all of the children in the classroom had completed the baseline measures; the exact number of days varied slightly by class but did not vary by individuals within the classroom (range: 100–128 d). All study participants and members of the study team were blinded to the treatment code, which was maintained in sealed envelopes at INCAP and Rollins School of Public Health. The envelopes were opened at the end of the study after preliminary data analyses had been completed.

Unanticipated simultaneous local intervention in schools

At approximately the time our study began, the local government in the study community implemented a school-based fortified milk program. Children in 4 of the 5 schools received 200 mL whole milk/d fortified with zinc amino chelate to a target concentration of 2.6 mg elemental Zn/200 mL. Analyses in our
laboratory measured that the actual concentration was 1.6 mg/200 mL. The milk also contained 4.7 mg iron (actual amount was 1.12 mg iron on the basis of laboratory analysis), 200 μg vitamin A, 0.8 μg vitamin B-12, and 133 μg folic acid. A tablet of folic acid was also distributed weekly in the schools, although not consistently. Children in the fifth school (with the smallest number of study participants \( n = 47 \)) did not receive fortified milk but instead received Incaparina (Alimentos SA, Guatemala City, Guatemala) daily, a food supplement that contained 2.1 mg zinc, 3 mg Fe, 35 μg folic acid, and 56 mg Ca (32). We did not learn about this program until our fieldwork was well underway and, thus, did not collect information on the actual consumption by the children.

**Study variables**

Outcome variables include child self-reported measures of symptoms of depression and anxiety and parental reports on a variety of behavioral symptoms and are listed below. The Spanish versions of the measures were piloted, and the items were cognitively tested in this community of children and parents in Guatemala. Measures of internal consistency (coefficient \( \alpha \)) were calculated for the measures and subscales (see supplemental Table 1 under “Supplemental data” in the online issue).

**Child-reported depressive symptoms.** Child reports of depressive symptoms were measured with the Spanish version of the Children’s Depression Inventory (CDI) (35). The CDI is a self-report inventory developed for children aged 7–17 y that contains 27 items that assess cognitive, affective, and behavioral signs of depression. The instrument uses a 3-alternative forced-choice format and provides a total continuous score (range: 0–54). The CDI is designed to screen for symptoms of depression, assess the severity of depressive symptoms, and monitor clinical improvement, but it is not designed to provide a diagnosis of clinical depression, although a cutoff of 19 has been used to designate a child at risk in nonclinical populations (35, 36). Previous studies reported an internal consistency reliability of 0.86 and an \( r = 0.55 \) \( P < 0.001 \) between the CDI and clinicians’ independent global ratings of depression (37), with adequate internal consistency observed in the current study (coefficient \( \alpha = 0.75 \)).

**Child-reported anxiety.** Child-reported anxiety was measured by the Spanish version of the Revised Children’s Manifest Anxiety Scale (RCMAS) (38). The RCMAS is used with children aged 6–19 y and includes 28 items that assess symptoms of anxiety in a yes or no response format and produces a total anxiety score (range: 0–37); a cutoff of 19 has been used to indicate children at risk (39). The RCMAS has shown good reliability and validity across diverse cultural and ethnic groups, including the use of the Spanish version, Lo Que Pienso y Siento (40–42). The RCMAS showed an adequate internal consistency observed in the current study (coefficient \( \alpha = 0.82 \)).

**Parent-reported child behavioral symptoms.** Parent reports of children’s behavioral symptoms were measured with the Behavior Assessment System for Children (BASC) (43). The BASC evaluates positive and negative dimensions of the behaviors of children aged 2–18 y. Information from the Parent Rating Scale used in the current analysis included items related to the clinical scales of anxiety, depression, attention problems, and learning problems, as well as aggression, hyperactivity, conduct problems, somatization, atypicality, and withdrawal, and items related to adaptive behavior. Items were scored on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from never (0) to almost always (3), and scale and composite scores were available. The Parent Rating Scale has been shown to have fairly good reliability with internal consistency and test-retest for the scales ranging from 0.70 to the low 0.90s and slightly higher for composites. The measure has been shown to correlate highly with several other commonly used behavioral checklists (43). The Spanish version of the instrument, which was used and validated with populations in Colombia (44, 45), was used in the current study. Only the internalizing (sum of anxiety, depression, and somatization scales) and externalizing (sum of hyperactivity, aggression, and conduct...
problems (scales) composite scales and the subscales of hyper-
activity, aggression, conduct problems, anxiety, depression, 
somatization, social skills, and leadership were used in the 
current analyses on the basis of internal consistency criteria 
(coefficient α range: 0.61–0.86). Because normative data for T 
scores and percentiles were not based on the current Guate-
malan population, raw scores were used in the analyses.

Additional study variables

Three potential effect modifiers were included in the analyses as 
follows: baseline serum zinc concentration, baseline mental health 
status, and baseline level of environmental stress. Being at risk of 
zinc deficiency was defined as zinc concentrations <65 μg/dL on 
the basis of cutoffs for a nonfasting sample collected in the 
morning (ie, between 0900 and 1200) for children (46). We 
created 4 dichotomous variables for baseline mental health 
status by using the variables of child self-reported depressive 
and anxious symptoms (on the basis of available cutoffs noted previously) and parent-reported internalizing and externalizing 
 disorders (on the basis of median values).

Specific environmental stressors (ie, familial, school, and 
neighborhood) were measured by both child and parent reports of 
the frequency and difficulty of certain stressful situations. For-
mat ive work (ie, in-depth interviews and focus groups) was 
conducted to obtain information on the most frequently occurring 
and difficult situations experienced by children in this age group 
in Guatemala. On the basis of this information, we developed 
a child-report measure (which consisted of 36 items; coefficient 
α = 0.90 for frequency and 0.92 for difficulty) and a parent-
report measure (which consisted of 39 items; coefficient α = 0.88 
for frequency and 0.88 for difficulty) that assessed the frequency 
(1 = never; 4 = almost always) and difficulty (1 = not at all; 4 = 
a lot) of various stressors potentially experienced by the child 
and family.

In addition, maternal depressive symptoms were measured 
with the Spanish version of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies 
Depression Scale (CES-D) (47), which is a 20-item checklist of 
symptoms of depressive affect. Several studies adapted and used 
this measure with Spanish-speaking populations and confirmed 
the validity and reliability of this measure in these populations 
(48, 49). The CES-D showed adequate internal consistency in the 
current study (coefficient α = 0.89). A total continuous score was 
provided along with a cutoff of ≥16 points to signify maternal 
distress (47).

For the current analyses, we created a dichotomous variable of 
environmental stress experienced by the child, which was defined 
as meeting ≥2 of the following criteria: 1) maternal or caregiver 
depressive symptoms at or above the cutoff on the CES-D, 2) 
child-reported stress above the median for either frequency or 
difficulty, or 3) parent-reported stress above the median for ei-
ther frequency or difficulty. Children who lived in a high-stress 
environment were exposed to a higher frequency or difficulty of stressors related to school and friends (eg, not feeling accepted 
or being bullied by children at school; lack of teacher attention 
because of too many children in the classroom), family (eg, 
fighting between parents; father migrating to the United States), 
and neighborhood (eg, drugs, violence, and theft in the neigh-
borhood) or to living with a primary caregiver in emotional 
distress. Children who lived in a low-stress environment were 
exposed to a lower frequency or difficulty of these particular stressful situations.

Covariates

Additional variables used in the current analyses include child 
age (in y), sex, grade, height-for-age z score, body mass index z 
score, stunting (defined as a height-for-age z score less than −2 
by using the World Health Organization 2007 reference (50)), 
hemoglobin concentrations (in g/dL), anemia, CRP concentra-
tions (in mg/L), evidence of inflammation (defined as >5 mg 
CRP/L), ferritin concentrations (in μg/L), iron deficiency (de-
 fined as <15 μg ferritin/L excluding any children with elevated 
CRP), maternal education (in y), and socioeconomic status 
(SES). SES was estimated by using principal components 
analysis to construct a wealth index on the basis of participants’ household possessions (eg, radio, iron, bicycle, microwave, re-
frigerator, and television) and housing construction materials 
(eg, floor, roof, and walls) by using a method previously used in 
Guatemala (51).

Statistical analyses

We computed means and SDs for continuous variables and 
frequencies for categorical variables. The internal consistency 
(coefficient α) was calculated for each of the mental health outcome variables, and only those measures and scales with a 
coefficient α > 0.60 were retained in the analyses (see sup-
plemental Table 1 under “Supplemental data” in the online issue).

We compared control and intervention groups on selected 
baseline demographic characteristics to evaluate the effectiveness 
of randomization. We compared the baseline and follow-up zinc 
concentrations and the prevalence of serum zinc concentrations 
<65 μg/dL by treatment groups. Student’s ttest was used for 
normally distributed continuous variables, and chi-square tests 
were used for categorical variables. All analyses were adjusted 
for household to account for clustering of children within the 
same household.

We calculated whether the difference in scores on the mental 
health outcomes between the zinc and placebo groups changed 
over time. To assess the effect of supplementation on each mental 
health measurement we used the SAS MIXED procedure (SAS 
version 9.1; SAS Institute, Cary, NC) to fit linear mixed models 
to account for repeated measurements and clustering of children 
within the same household. Given the occurrence of the un-
anticipated local school intervention in the placebo and zinc 
groups and the resulting increases in mean serum zinc concen-
trations in both groups, we assessed the association between 
changes in serum zinc concentration and changes in mental 
health outcomes over time. We used the generalized estimating 
equations approach (52) with the pooled sample to adjust for the 
correlation of siblings clustered within families with the change 
in serum zinc concentrations as a predictor and with adjustment 
for treatment group, household, child sex, age at measurement, 
and any variables that differed between treatment groups at 
baseline (ie, household SES).

To address specific aims related to potential effect modifiers, 
we tested for heterogeneity by baseline serum zinc concentrations 
and environmental stress on all outcomes by using statistical 
interactions. We tested for heterogeneity by baseline mental 
health status for child-reported symptoms of depression and
anxiety and parent-reported internalizing and externalizing symptoms on their respective mental health outcomes. We also estimated models stratified by treatment group, baseline zinc status, environmental stress, and mental health to assess interactions between these variables and changes in zinc concentrations over time for each of the mental health outcome variables.

SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute) was used for all analyses. We used $P < 0.05$ as the criterion of statistical significance.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the study population

A total of 800 children were screened of whom 30 (3.7%) children did not meet inclusion criteria and a further 20 (2.5%) children refused to participate, which left a sample of 750 eligible children, aged 6–11 y, at baseline who were randomly assigned (Figure 1). Of the 750 children, 30 (4.0%) children never received treatment or completed the baseline assessment. Of the 720 children who received at least one tablet, 5 (<1.0%) children were lost to follow-up (eg, because of a change of address or parent refusal), which left a sample of 715 children. The final sample for analysis included 674 children with complete data on all outcome variables and serum zinc concentration and environmental stress at baseline to allow for comparison among the outcomes and different methods of analyses (Figure 1). For the 674 children, there were 530 families. A total of 138 (26%) families had more than one child in the study (132 families with 2 children in the study; 6 families with 3 children in the study). The group of children with incomplete data ($n = 41$) had a higher prevalence of stunting and higher levels of parent-reported stress than the sample of children with complete data; no other differences on baseline sociodemographic characteristics were observed (data not shown). No significant difference was observed for the distribution of these children between zinc and placebo groups.

The mean (±SD) age of the children at baseline was 8.96 ± 1.16 y, and 50.0% of the children were boys. At baseline, 14.2% of the children in the sample were stunted, and 21.4% of the children had serum zinc concentrations $<65 \mu g/dL$. No children met the criteria for moderate to severe anemia; only one child met the criteria for mild anemia, and very few (<3%) children met criteria for iron deficiency. At baseline, 11.3% and 19.1% of children indicated high levels of symptoms of depression and anxiety, respectively. There were no significant differences in baseline demographic, nutrition, or environmental characteristics between treatment and control groups, with the exception of SES; children in the zinc group came from families with significantly lower SES than children in the control group ($P = 0.03$; Table 1). There were no differences in distribution of children between placebo and zinc groups within any of the schools. In the 674
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Baseline demographic, nutritional, and environmental characteristics (n = 674)\textsuperscript{1,7}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 331)</th>
<th>Zinc (n = 343)</th>
<th>(P\textsuperscript{2} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>8.9 ± 1.2\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>9.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% male)</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade (y)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td>0.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal schooling (y)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.3</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (z) score</td>
<td>0.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height-for-age (z) score</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stunted (%)\textsuperscript{6}</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>13.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>13.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferritin (µg/L)</td>
<td>46.9 (33.0, 63.0)</td>
<td>47.1 (34.2, 62.7)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron deficiency (%)\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.3, 1.6)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.3, 1.7)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of inflammation (%)\textsuperscript{7}</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-reported stress frequency</td>
<td>82.2 ± 20.4</td>
<td>80.2 ± 18.4</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-reported stress difficulty</td>
<td>104.8 ± 20.4</td>
<td>105.4 ± 20.5</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES-D total</td>
<td>16.6 ± 11.6</td>
<td>16.9 ± 11.5</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal distress (%)\textsuperscript{8}</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent-reported stress frequency</td>
<td>89.8 ± 15.9</td>
<td>89.5 ± 16.9</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent-reported stress difficulty</td>
<td>130.4 ± 13.8</td>
<td>130.6 ± 14.7</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline high environmental stress (%)\textsuperscript{9}</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1} SES, socioeconomic status; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies–Depression Scale.

\textsuperscript{2} \(t\) tests were used for comparison of means, and chi-square tests were used for comparison of proportions unless otherwise noted.

\textsuperscript{3} Mean ± SD (all such values).

\textsuperscript{4} Defined as height-for-age \(z\) score of less than \(-2\) by using the World Health Organization 2007 reference (50).

\textsuperscript{5} Median; interquartile range in parentheses (all such values); \(P\) values based on nonparametric Wilcoxon’s test.

\textsuperscript{6} Defined as ferritin concentrations <15 µg/L; the sample excluded any children with elevated C-reactive protein concentrations (ie, >5 mg/L); \(n = 307\) for the placebo group and \(316\) for the zinc group.

\textsuperscript{7} C-reactive protein concentrations >5 mg/L.

\textsuperscript{8} Maternal depression total score ≥16.

\textsuperscript{9} Defined as above the cutoff for any 2 of the following variables: maternal depression (≥16), child stress (above the median for frequency or difficulty), and parent stress (above the median for frequency or difficulty).
environmental stress group; no significant association was seen in the high–environmental stress group (estimate: −0.007 points, \(P = 0.35\)). No other evidence of heterogeneity by level of environmental stress was shown. There was no evidence of heterogeneity by baseline zinc deficiency or mental health status on the association of changes in zinc concentration with changes in mental health status (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

We examined the effects of zinc supplementation on child- and parent-reported mental health of school-age children in a country where the prevalence of zinc deficiency is high. Zinc supplementation produced significant increases in serum zinc concentrations, with an effect size of 0.29. However, we did not observe significant differences at follow-up on any of the mental health outcomes between the zinc supplemented and placebo groups. These results are consistent with previous studies in the effects of zinc supplementation or fortification on the psychological social functioning and behavior of Mexican school-age children exposed to lead (53) or of adolescents in the United States (54).

A number of untested hypotheses may explain this lack of effect. These include the possibility that 6 mo was not long enough for some of the functional changes in behaviors and mental health symptoms to take effect. An alternative is the possibility that zinc is most effective in patients with more severe, diagnosed, mental health conditions used in conjunction with and to enhance traditional pharmacotherapy, as noted in several studies of patients with major depressive disorder and ADHD (17, 19). We did not find evidence of heterogeneity by baseline mental health status; however, our measures reflected elevated levels of mental health symptoms and were not intended as clinical diagnostic tools. By using cutoffs suggested from previous studies (35, 39), our sample of children in Guatemala showed a fairly similar prevalence of high depressive and anxious symptoms (11.3% and 19.1%, respectively) to the reported worldwide prevalence of child and adolescent mental disorders of 20% (1, 2). However, these data may need to be interpreted with caution.

**TABLE 2**

Descriptive statistics of zinc status by treatment group (n = 674)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Placebo(^1)</th>
<th>Zinc(^2)</th>
<th>(P^{1})</th>
<th>(P^{2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline zinc ((\mu g/dL))</td>
<td>75.6 ± 12.6(^3)</td>
<td>75.0 ± 13.0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up zinc ((\mu g/dL))</td>
<td>105.9 ± 31.3</td>
<td>111.7 ± 31.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline zinc deficient (%)(^4)</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up zinc deficient (%)(^5)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) n = 331 at baseline and 318 at follow-up for the placebo group.
\(^2\) n = 343 at baseline and 328 at follow-up for the zinc group.
\(^3\) t test for comparison of means; chi-square test for comparison of proportions.
\(^4\) Derived by repeated-measurements analysis to test the interaction between treatment and time and accounting for clustering of children within the same household.
\(^5\) Mean ± SD (all such values).
\(^6\) Zinc concentrations <65 \(\mu g/dL\) (46).

**TABLE 3**

Mental health outcomes by treatment group (n = 674)\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Zinc</th>
<th>(P_1)</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Zinc</th>
<th>(P_1)</th>
<th>(P_2)</th>
<th>(P_3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDI</td>
<td>10.5 ± 6.2</td>
<td>10.1 ± 6.4</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>9.6 ± 6.1</td>
<td>9.5 ± 6.0</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCMA</td>
<td>13.8 ± 5.8</td>
<td>13.2 ± 5.5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>12.8 ± 5.5</td>
<td>12.9 ± 5.3</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperactivity</td>
<td>20.8 ± 4.8</td>
<td>20.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>19.4 ± 4.4</td>
<td>19.8 ± 5.2</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agression</td>
<td>21.8 ± 5.4</td>
<td>21.7 ± 5.5</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>21.2 ± 5.3</td>
<td>21.2 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct</td>
<td>14.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>14.8 ± 2.8</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>14.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>14.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>23.7 ± 5.3</td>
<td>24.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>23.2 ± 4.8</td>
<td>23.9 ± 4.9</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>19.2 ± 3.8</td>
<td>19.4 ± 3.8</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>18.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>18.8 ± 3.8</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatization</td>
<td>18.7 ± 4.3</td>
<td>18.2 ± 3.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>18.2 ± 4.4</td>
<td>17.8 ± 3.8</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social skills</td>
<td>38.2 ± 7.2</td>
<td>37.4 ± 7.2</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>37.3 ± 7.6</td>
<td>36.5 ± 7.2</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>26.5 ± 5.5</td>
<td>26.2 ± 5.5</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>26.3 ± 5.9</td>
<td>25.9 ± 5.8</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internalizing(^2)</td>
<td>61.6 ± 10.1</td>
<td>61.6 ± 8.9</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>60.2 ± 9.2</td>
<td>60.5 ± 8.9</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Externalizing(^2)</td>
<td>57.3 ± 11.2</td>
<td>56.8 ± 11.6</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>55.4 ± 10.2</td>
<td>55.9 ± 11.6</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) All values are means ± SDs. CDI, Children’s Depression Inventory; RCMA, Revised Children’s Manifest Anxiety Scale; BASC, Behavioral Assessment System for Children (parent report). Participants had data on all outcome variables. \(P_1\), \(P_2\), \(P_3\) values derived by \(t\) test to compare mean differences between treatment groups at baseline and follow-up; \(P_2\), \(P_3\) values derived by repeated-measurements analysis to test the main effect of time and accounting for clustering of children within the same household; \(P_3\), \(P_3\) values derived by repeated-measurements analysis to test the interaction between treatment and time and accounting for clustering of children within the same household.

\(^2\) Internalizing problems: sum of anxiety, depression, and somatization scales.

\(^3\) Externalizing problems: sum of hyperactivity, aggression, and conduct scales.
These findings confirm results from studies that noted the relation between low amounts of zinc and symptoms of depression and anxiety (11, 13). Again, these results suggest that zinc may play a role in depression and anxiety. However, increases in zinc concentrations were also associated with decreases in social skills, which is a finding in contrast to results from a previous study (57). Given the large number of comparisons and mental health outcomes examined, we cannot rule out the possibility that some of these findings may have occurred by chance. Additional randomized controlled studies are needed to better understand the role of zinc in child mental health outcomes, particularly for internalizing disorders such as depression and anxiety.

We explored possible interactions between treatment and baseline zinc status, levels of environmental stress, and mental health status to test the hypothesis that children who were more at risk at baseline may be affected differently by zinc supplementation. Contrary to our expectations, no evidence of heterogeneity of effects was noted. In children who experienced low levels of environmental stress at baseline, an increase in zinc concentrations was associated with a decrease in parent-reported symptoms of child anxiety; this relation did not exist in children who experienced high levels of stress at baseline. Perhaps for anxiety, zinc is most influential in situations where stress is more manageable and the child is not overwhelmed by external environmental stressors, which is a possibility that needs further exploration. However, because this interaction was marginally significant and was one of many interactions examined, we suggest interpreting this result with caution.

The current study has 2 potential limitations. First, the decision of the local government to provide zinc-fortified milk and Incaparina (Alimentos SA) within the schools at the time the study was being conducted, although likely beneficial to the children, may have limited the current study’s ability to detect differences between the zinc-supplemented and placebo groups because the placebo group was no longer a true placebo group. Serum zinc concentrations increased for both groups, and the differences between the zinc-supplemented and placebo groups were not significant and was one of many interactions examined, we suggest interpreting this result with caution.

Because both groups received supplemental zinc, there was no evident treatment effect, and both groups showed increases in zinc concentrations, we examined the effect on changes in mental health symptoms of changes in zinc concentration over time. Changes in zinc concentration were significantly and inversely associated with changes in parent-reported symptoms of depression, anxiety, and internalizing problems in these children. These findings confirm results from studies that noted the relation between low amounts of zinc and symptoms of depression and anxiety (11, 13). Again, these results suggest that zinc may play a role in depression and anxiety. However, increases in zinc concentrations were also associated with decreases in social skills, which is a finding in contrast to results from a previous study (57). Given the large number of comparisons and mental health outcomes examined, we cannot rule out the possibility that some of these findings may have occurred by chance. Additional randomized controlled studies are needed to better understand the role of zinc in child mental health outcomes, particularly for internalizing disorders such as depression and anxiety.

We explored possible interactions between treatment and baseline zinc status, levels of environmental stress, and mental health status to test the hypothesis that children who were more at risk at baseline may be affected differently by zinc supplementation. Contrary to our expectations, no evidence of heterogeneity of effects was noted. In children who experienced low levels of environmental stress at baseline, an increase in zinc concentrations was associated with a decrease in parent-reported symptoms of child anxiety; this relation did not exist in children who experienced high levels of stress at baseline. Perhaps for anxiety, zinc is most influential in situations where stress is more manageable and the child is not overwhelmed by external environmental stressors, which is a possibility that needs further exploration. However, because this interaction was marginally significant and was one of many interactions examined, we suggest interpreting this result with caution.

The current study has 2 potential limitations. First, the decision of the local government to provide zinc-fortified milk and Incaparina (Alimentos SA) within the schools at the time the study was being conducted, although likely beneficial to the children, may have limited the current study’s ability to detect differences between the zinc-supplemented and placebo groups because the placebo group was no longer a true placebo group. Serum zinc concentrations increased for both groups, and the

### TABLE 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental Health Outcome</th>
<th>Estimate (95% CI)</th>
<th>p²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDI</td>
<td>0.011 (−0.004, 0.026)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCMAS</td>
<td>−0.002 (−0.014, 0.010)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASC</td>
<td>Hyperactivity</td>
<td>0.005 (−0.006, 0.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aggression</td>
<td>0.005 (−0.005, 0.016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conduct</td>
<td>0.001 (−0.004, 0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>−0.012 (−0.023, −0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>−0.01 (−0.019, −0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Somatization</td>
<td>0.002 (−0.006, 0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social skills</td>
<td>−0.019 (−0.034, −0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>−0.005 (−0.016, 0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internalizing</td>
<td>−0.021 (−0.038, −0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Externalizing</td>
<td>0.01 (−0.009, 0.029)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 All values are associations between changes in zinc concentrations and changes in mental health outcomes. CDI, Children’s Depression Inventory; RCMAS, Revised Children’s Manifest Anxiety Scale; BASC, Behavioral Assessment System for Children (parent report).

2 Derived by using a generalized estimating equations approach to examine the associations between changes in zinc concentrations and changes in mental health outcomes that were adjusted for treatment group, child sex, age at measurement, and socioeconomic status and accounting for clustering of children within the same household.

3 Internalizing problems: sum of anxiety, depression, and somatization scales.

4 Externalizing problems: sum of hyperactivity, aggression, and conduct scales.
resulting between-group difference in zinc nutriture may not have been large enough to lead to observable differences on functional mental health outcomes. Nevertheless, the results from the pooled analyses suggest a relation between changes in zinc concentrations and several mental health outcomes (ie, depression and anxiety), despite the apparent lack of association with treatment, which is consistent with previous reports in the literature (10, 11, 13).

Second, a lower coefficient α on several of the BASC subscales suggested the possibility that these measures may not have adequately measured these mental health constructs in this sample of Guatemalan children. Ideally, we would have liked internal consistency coefficients ≥0.70–0.80. We focused on those behavioral measures with reasonable internal consistency (ie, coefficient α ≥ 0.60), and the internal consistency of the 2 composite scales on the BASC, internalizing and externalizing behaviors, had coefficient zs of 0.77 and 0.86, respectively.

The study has several strengths. This was a carefully controlled trial of zinc supplementation with effective randomization at the individual child level and high rates of retention and follow-up that resulted in a balance between groups for many potential confounding factors. Although children with incomplete data not included in the current study showed slightly higher percentages of stunting and levels of parent-reported stress, this group was very small (n = 41) and balanced by treatment group; thus it is highly unlikely that the exclusion of these children from the study biased the results. The study used validated measures for assessing child mental health problems from both child and parent reports.

In conclusion, the current study does not provide evidence that supplementing elementary school-age children with zinc leads to improved psychological functioning. However, the study provides some evidence that positive changes in zinc concentrations may be associated with decreases in internalizing symptoms such as those associated with depression and anxiety in children.
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