Distribution of Non-phosphorylated Neurofilament in Squirrel Monkey V1 Is Complementary to the Pattern of Cytochrome-oxidase Blobs
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The geniculo-recipient zones of the primate primary visual cortex (V1) stain more strongly for cytochrome oxidase (CO) than other regions. Labeling V1 with an antibody (SMI-32) against neurofilament protein produces a laminar pattern that is largely complementary to that of CO: the layers that receive the strongest geniculocortical input react weakly for SMI-32. We evaluated whether the complementary laminar relationship extends throughout the superficial layers where there are regularly spaced blobs of dark CO staining that are known to receive geniculocortical input. In all hemispheres, neurofilament labeling in the superficial layers was indeed complementary to the CO pattern. The density of SMI-32 labeled neurons was quantified and found to be greater within the CO interblobs than in the blobs. These results demonstrate that blobs and interblobs can be distinguished by examining the pattern of neurofilament expression in V1. That neurofilament expression is highest within interblobs raises the possibility that the distribution of cell types may be non-uniform across blobs and interblobs.

Introduction
Within the primary visual cortex (V1) there is a set of organized columns that process a variety of features such as orientation, color and ocular dominance (Hubel and Wiesel, 1968; Livingstone and Hubel, 1984). One of the most commonly studied columnar organizations in V1 is the cytochrome oxidase (CO) blobs (Horton and Hubel, 1981; Carroll and Wong-Riley, 1984; Horton, 1984; Livingstone and Hubel, 1984; Murphy et al., 1995). Sections of primate V1 cut tangential to the cortical surface and reacted for the mitochondrial enzyme CO show regularly spaced blobs of staining. Although these blobs are obvious in the superficial layers, they can also be seen, albeit faintly, in layers IV, V and VI (Carroll and Wong-Riley, 1984; Horton, 1984). The CO-rich neurons that comprise blobs are believed to be more physiologically active than neurons located within interblobs (Livingstone and Hubel, 1984), and this is supported by the finding that 2-deoxyglucose uptake in V1 aligns with CO blobs (Horton and Hubel, 1981; Humphrey and Hendrickson, 1983).

Blobs can be distinguished from interblobs using many stains and labels besides CO. Blobs can be identified using glutamic acid decarboxylase (Hendrickson et al., 1981), lactate dehydrogenase, succinate dehydrogenase, acetylcholinesterase (Horton, 1984), CAT 301 (Hendry et al., 1984), NADPH diaphorase (Sandell, 1986), microtubule-associated protein 2 (Hendry and Bhandari, 1992), parvalbumin (Johnson and Casagrande, 1995), and AMPA receptor subunits (Carder, 1997). Interestingly, there are fewer markers that are known to preferentially identify interblobs. These markers include calbindin (Celio et al., 1986; Hendry and Carder, 1993; Blumcke et al., 1994; Johnson and Casagrande, 1995), calcineurin (Goto and Singer, 1994), zinc (Dyck and Cynader, 1993), and the muscarinic cholinergic receptor protein m2 (Tigges et al., 1997). Although it is not known how these differences relate to the ability of the visual cortex to process stimulus features, a thorough understanding of blob and interblob anatomy may offer some insight.

In primate V1, the layers that receive the strongest geniculocortical input [IVA, IVα and IVβ (Hubel and Wiesel, 1972; Hendrickson et al., 1978)] have the highest levels of CO (Horton, 1984; Livingstone and Hubel, 1984). The same relationship is found throughout the superficial layers where the geniculocortical input zones are non-uniformly distributed and overlap with the CO-rich blobs (Livingstone and Hubel, 1982; Iachica and Casagrande, 1992). In contrast, the laminar pattern of non-phosphorylated neurofilament (labeled with the antibody SMI-32) is complementary to that of CO: layers IVA, IVα and IVβ have the lowest levels of SMI-32 (Hof and Morrison, 1995; Chaudhuri et al., 1996). In the present experiment we wanted to evaluate whether the complementary relationship between CO and SMI-32 extends tangentially throughout the superficial layers where the patterns of CO and geniculocortical inputs are non-uniform. To test this, we compared the expression pattern of SMI-32 labeled cells to the pattern of CO staining within the superficial layers.

Materials and Methods

Animals

The patterns of CO and SMI-32 were examined in six hemispheres from four normal adult squirrel monkeys. All procedures were approved by the Harvard Medical Area Standing Committee on Animals.

Histology

Animals were killed with a lethal dose of Nembutal (50 mg/kg) and perfused transcardially with cold 0.9% saline (4°C, 200–250 ml) until the circulating fluid was clear, followed by cold (4°C) 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4 (200–300 ml). The brain was then removed from the skull, and V1 from each hemisphere was gently flattened between glass slides and postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde with 30% sucrose for 30 min. The flattened cortex was then transferred to phosphate-buffered saline with 30% sucrose and allowed to free float overnight. The tissue was cut tangentially into 50 μm sections using a freezing microtome. All hemispheres followed this procedure with the exception of one that was cut in the coronal plane.

Adjacent sections were reacted for CO or non-phosphorylated neurofilament protein using the antibody SMI-32 (Sternberger Monoclonals, Lutherville, MD), so that a direct comparison between the two labeling patterns could be achieved. SMI-32 is a monoclonal antibody that recognizes a non-phosphorylated epitope on heavy and medium molecular weight subunits of neurofilament protein (Lee et al., 1988). Free-floating tissue sections cut for SMI-32 immunoreactivity were first placed in cold methanol with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide for 5 min and then pre-incubated for 1 h in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 5% normal goat serum. Sections were then incubated overnight in TBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100, 5% normal goat serum, and primary monoclonal antibody against non-phosphorylated neurofilament protein (1:2000). On the following day, immunoreactivity was revealed using a Vectastain ABC kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and chromogen 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) with peroxide.
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between blobs and interblobs was obtained (Sections were reacted at 40°C in an incubator until the maximal contrast next day, sections were incubated in phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4) were mounted on gelatin-coated glass slides and air dried overnight. The cortex that was the same retinotopic region of V1 (parafoveal) and represented an area of under high magnification. Cell plots from each animal were taken from and interblobs was performed for each tangentially cut hemisphere by drawing a contour at half the maximum to minimum optical staining automated fashion using a Matlab routine that identified blob borders pattern. The boundaries around CO blobs were determined in an

A comparison of tangential sections labeled for neurofilament and CO was quantification.

Calculation of cell density (neurons/mm²) inside and outside of blobs was blob contour map using the pattern of radial blood vessels, and a sample) were not included in the analysis. Using a binomial distribution methods). Approximately 10% of immunoreactive cells were found within 25 µm of a blob/interblob border and were not clearly inside or outside of a blob. Therefore, these border cells were not included in our density analysis. A total of 2047 cells from five hemispheres were included in our sample, 1721 (~84%) of these were clearly located within interblobs, and 132 (~6%) were found within blobs. Because blobs take up less area than interblobs (~50% of the total area), we calculated the density of cells per area of cortex within both regions. Our density analysis (Fig. 6) revealed that there were significantly more SMI-32 positive neurons located within CO interblobs compared to blobs ( t-test, P < 0.001). The average density inside a blob was 27 neurons/mm² (SEM = 3.6) while the average density within an interblob was 116 neurons/mm² (SEM = 12.1). Using a binomial distribution analysis, we also calculated the probability that the observed distribution of SMI-32 labeled cells relative to CO blobs could have occurred by chance. For each of the hemispheres we examined, the probability that these results occurred by chance was <0.0001.

Results

A distinct laminar pattern was found when coronal sections of squirrel monkey V1 were reacted for SMI-32 (Fig. 1). These results are consistent with those of others (Hof and Morrison, 1995; Chaudhuri et al., 1996), namely, that SMI-32 positive cells were found primarily in layers II/III, IVB, V and VI. Labeled neurons were most often pyramidal in shape and frequently the dendritic fields of these cells were visible. The layers of V1 that were covered around regularly spaced patches of reduced labeling, giving rise to a honeycomb pattern. Qualitatively, the pattern of SMI-32 poor patches was similar to that of CO blobs. We compared the spatial relationship between these two features by aligning adjacent sections reacted for either CO (Fig. 2A) or SMI-32 (Fig. 2B). Borders around blobs were calculated automatically and compared with the pattern of SMI-32 (Fig. 2C,D). The two patterns were complementary: CO blobs aligned with the lightly labeled patches of SMI-32, meaning that most SMI-32 positive neurons were found within interblobs. To further examine this relationship we plotted the distribution of individual SMI-32 positive neurons and compared it to the pattern of CO blobs (Fig. 2E,F). This analysis confirmed that the majority of SMI-32 positive cells were located within inter-blobs.

At higher magnification, the pattern of SMI-32 can be seen to result from labeled cell bodies and their dendrites (Fig. 3A,C), as well as the shafts of apical dendrites extending from neurons positioned deeper in the cortex (arrows in Fig. 3C). SMI-32 positive cell bodies tended to congregate outside of blobs; however, the basal dendrites from some of these cells were seen to cross into blob territory.

Figure 4 shows another example of the relationship between CO staining and SMI-32 labeling in V1 from a different monkey. As with the previous example, the majority of SMI-32 labeled neurons in the superficial layers were located within CO interblobs (Fig. 4E,F). This example also illustrates the point that not all SMI-32 positive neurons are found within interblobs. In some cases, labeled neurons were clearly located within a CO blob. Therefore, these findings demonstrate a tendency rather than an absolute rule for SMI-32 labeling to be located outside of CO blobs. This same pattern of results was found in three additional hemispheres (not shown).

Examination of consecutive sections through the superficial layers (Fig. 5) revealed that the pattern of SMI-32 labeling was present first in layer I (Fig. 5A). At high magnification it was clear that the labeling in layer I was largely due to apical dendrite shafts that extended from cells located in deeper layers. The pattern of SMI-32 labeled somata became obvious as soon as the pattern of CO blobs emerged (Fig. 5B). The SMI-32 pattern was found throughout layers II/III but was most obvious near the middle of these layers, where the blob pattern was clearest; ~200–300 µm below the cortical surface (Fig. 5C). Throughout the superficial layers, SMI-32 labeling was heaviest outside of CO blobs and consistently resembled a honeycomb. As the pattern of CO blobs faded, so too did the pattern of SMI-32 labeling.

To quantify these results, a calculation of neuronal density was made for SMI-32 labeling inside and outside of CO blobs (see methods). Approximately 10% of immunoreactive cells were within 25 µm of a blob/interblob border and were not clearly inside or outside of a blob. Therefore, these border cells were not included in our density analysis. A total of 2047 cells from five hemispheres were included in our sample, 1721 (~84%) of these were clearly located within interblobs, and 132 (~6%) were found within blobs. Because blobs take up less area than interblobs (~50% of the total area), we calculated the density of cells per area of cortex within both regions. Our density analysis (Fig. 6) revealed that there were significantly more SMI-32 positive neurons located within CO interblobs compared to blobs ( t-test, P < 0.001). The average density inside a blob was 27 neurons/mm² (SEM = 3.6) while the average density within an interblob was 116 neurons/mm² (SEM = 12.1). Using a binomial distribution analysis, we also calculated the probability that the observed distribution of SMI-32 labeled cells relative to CO blobs could have occurred by chance. For each of the hemispheres we examined, the probability that these results occurred by chance was <0.0001.

Figure 1. Adjacent coronal sections of squirrel monkey V1 labeled for CO (A) and SMI-32 (B). As demonstrated in previous studies, the layers richest in CO (IVA, IVβα and IVββ) were weakly labeled for SMI-32. Scale bar = 500 µm.

CO staining followed procedures outlined previously (Wong-Riley, 1979; Horton, 1984; Murphy et al., 1995). Sections cut for CO reactivity were mounted on gelatin-coated glass slides and air dried overnight. The next day, sections were incubated in phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4) that contained a mixture of cytochrome C oxidase, catalase and DAB. Sections were reacted at 40°C in an incubator until the maximal contrast between blobs and interblobs was obtained (~8 h). Sections were then dehydrated in a series of graded alcohols, defatted in xylenes, and then coverslipped with DPX (BDH Labs, Poole, UK).
Discussion

We examined the distribution of SMI-32 labeling in the superficial layers from tangentially cut sections of V1 and compared this to the pattern of CO blobs. SMI-32 positive neurons were more frequently located within interblobs and less often within blobs.

We are confident that our observed SMI-32 immunoreactivity is specific for the targeted antigen for the following reasons. (i) The pattern of reactivity for SMI-32 that we observed in coronal sections is consistent with previous findings that used the same antibody (Hof and Morrison, 1995; Chaudhuri et al., 1996; Kogan et al., 2000; Fenstemaker et al., 2001). (ii) In agreement with past reports (Sternberger and Sternberger, 1983; Hof and Morrison, 1995), our labeling was primarily observed within the perikarya and dendrites of large pyramidal cells. (iii) Control sections that were reacted in the absence of primary antibody were devoid of label.

The pattern of SMI-32 labeling observed here may be related to the distribution of calcineurin labeling in the superficial layers of V1. Calcineurin is a calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein phosphatase that influences the phosphorylation state of cytoskeleton proteins (Goto et al., 1985). In coronal sections of V1, calcineurin and SMI-32 have similar laminar labeling patterns, and both primarily target pyramidal neurons (Goto and Singer, 1994; Hof and Morrison, 1995). Our results reveal another similarity, namely that, like calcineurin (Goto and Singer, 1994), SMI-32 expression is heaviest within CO interblobs. That these two anatomical features overlap in the visual cortex is in agreement with the role that calcineurin has in the dephosphorylation of cytoskeleton proteins (Goto et al., 1985).

Our finding of an accumulation of non-phosphorylated neurofilament protein (labeled by SMI-32) within interblobs helps to explain the overlapping patterns of calcineurin and SMI-32 expression.

The SMI-32 antibody labels large pyramidal neurons (Meynert cells) within the infragranular layers of V1 (see Fig. 1). It has previously been shown that Meynert cells are non-uniformly distributed across the infragranular layers such that they are largely located outside of CO blobs (Fries, 1986; Payne and Peters, 1989). Only 3% of Meynert cells fall within blob regions, with the remaining cells located either along blob borders or within interblobs (Fries, 1986). Our results demonstrate the same pattern in the superficial layers, with the majority of supragranular SMI-32 positive cells being located outside of blob centers.

A non-uniform distribution of SMI-32 labeling has been shown to be related to the distribution of calcineurin labeling in the superficial layers of V1. Calcineurin is a calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein phosphatase that influences the phosphorylation state of cytoskeleton proteins (Goto et al., 1985). In coronal sections of V1, calcineurin and SMI-32 have similar laminar labeling patterns, and both primarily target pyramidal neurons (Goto and Singer, 1994; Hof and Morrison, 1995). Our results reveal another similarity, namely that, like calcineurin (Goto and Singer, 1994), SMI-32 expression is heaviest within CO interblobs. That these two anatomical features overlap in the visual cortex is in agreement with the role that calcineurin has in the dephosphorylation of cytoskeleton proteins (Goto et al., 1985).

Our finding of an accumulation of non-phosphorylated neurofilament protein (labeled by SMI-32) within interblobs helps to explain the overlapping patterns of calcineurin and SMI-32 expression.

The SMI-32 antibody labels large pyramidal neurons (Meynert cells) within the infragranular layers of V1 (see Fig. 1). It has previously been shown that Meynert cells are non-uniformly distributed across the infragranular layers such that they are largely located outside of CO blobs (Fries, 1986; Payne and Peters, 1989). Only 3% of Meynert cells fall within blob regions, with the remaining cells located either along blob borders or within interblobs (Fries, 1986). Our results demonstrate the same pattern in the superficial layers, with the majority of supragranular SMI-32 positive cells being located outside of blob centers.

A non-uniform distribution of SMI-32 labeling has been shown to be related to the distribution of calcineurin labeling in the superficial layers of V1. Calcineurin is a calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein phosphatase that influences the phosphorylation state of cytoskeleton proteins (Goto et al., 1985). In coronal sections of V1, calcineurin and SMI-32 have similar laminar labeling patterns, and both primarily target pyramidal neurons (Goto and Singer, 1994; Hof and Morrison, 1995). Our results reveal another similarity, namely that, like calcineurin (Goto and Singer, 1994), SMI-32 expression is heaviest within CO interblobs. That these two anatomical features overlap in the visual cortex is in agreement with the role that calcineurin has in the dephosphorylation of cytoskeleton proteins (Goto et al., 1985).

Our finding of an accumulation of non-phosphorylated neurofilament protein (labeled by SMI-32) within interblobs helps to explain the overlapping patterns of calcineurin and SMI-32 expression.

The SMI-32 antibody labels large pyramidal neurons (Meynert cells) within the infragranular layers of V1 (see Fig. 1). It has previously been shown that Meynert cells are non-uniformly distributed across the infragranular layers such that they are largely located outside of CO blobs (Fries, 1986; Payne and Peters, 1989). Only 3% of Meynert cells fall within blob regions, with the remaining cells located either along blob borders or within interblobs (Fries, 1986). Our results demonstrate the same pattern in the superficial layers, with the majority of supragranular SMI-32 positive cells being located outside of blob centers.
Figure 3. High magnification photographs of SMI-32 immunoreactivity (A and C) and CO staining (B and D). The pattern of SMI-32 was comprised of dark neuronal somata and dendritic processes, as well as apical dendrites from deeper neurons that created a punctate pattern outside of blobs (arrows in B). At this magnification, individual SMI-32 positive cells could be seen to lie primarily outside of blobs. Scale bars = 250 µm (A and B) and 100 µm (C and D).

Figure 4. Another example of the relationship between CO blobs (A) and SMI-32 labeling (F) in V1 from a different monkey. The regions defined by contours generated around CO blobs (C) were within regions that were light for SMI-32 labeling (D). Individual SMI-32 positive neurons were plotted as black dots superimposed onto the adjacent CO section (E). Comparison of these two patterns shows that SMI-32 labeled cells fall preferentially within inter-blob regions (F). Scale bar = 500 µm.
observed in the superficial layers of macaque monkey V1; however, this pattern was not reported to have a consistent spatial relationship to CO blobs (Fenstemaker et al., 2001). The observed lack of correlation between these two markers in the macaque may be the consequence of a less distinct blob pattern. In squirrel monkey V1, the pattern of CO blobs is clearer, more punctate, and better demarcated compared to macaque blobs (Horton, 1984). This may make it difficult to determine a spatial relationship between SMI-32 and CO in macaque without a quantitative analysis.

Neurons reactive for SMI-32 are mostly large in size and pyramidal in shape. This raises the possibility that the pattern of SMI-32 expression in the superficial layers is due to a non-uniform distribution of pyramidal neurons. Although pyramidal cells inside and outside of CO blobs do not differ in their soma size, spine density, or basal dendritic field structure (Hubener and Bolz, 1992), the distribution of pyramidal cells with respect to the CO pattern has not been studied quantitatively. A non-uniform distribution of pyramidal cells in the superficial layers of V1 could explain the inhomogeneous SMI-32 expression. If pyramidal cells were unevenly distributed so that the geniculate recipient zones (blobs) contained fewer of them, SMI-32 expression would be expected to be greatest outside of blobs.

Similar to primate V1, the rat primary somatosensory cortex (SI) has a distinct anatomical and physiological compartmentalization. Within SI there are patches of neurons – called barrels – that stain darkly for CO (Land and Simons, 1985) and each receives a projection, via the thalamus, from only one vibrissa (Woolsey and Van der Loos, 1970). The regions of cortex that separate barrels, known as septa, exhibit weaker staining for CO (Land and Simons, 1985) and thus give rise to a pattern of dark patches known as barrel fields. Interestingly, SMI-32 labeling is heaviest outside the CO-rich barrels and exhibits greatest expression within septa (Pegado et al., 1996). These results are similar to our findings and support the notion that SMI-32 labeling is complementary to the pattern of CO and thalamic inputs.

In V1, SMI-32 labeling is weak in the regions of layer IV (IVA, IVcα and IVcβ) and layers II/II (blobs) that receive geniculate input, however, not all geniculo-recipient layers show weak expression. Layer V1 receives a sparse geniculate input (Hubel and Wiesel, 1972; Lund, 1988) and exhibits heavy SMI-32 labeling. Therefore, not all layers that receive geniculate input express weak SMI-32. Perhaps the arrangement and degree of geniculate input influences the patterns of CO and SMI-32 in complementary ways. This issue could be addressed with a comprehensive analysis of the relationship between the pattern

Figure 5. A sequential series of sections reacted for CO (left column) or SMI-32 (right column). The sections start at the top of layer I (row A), move through layers II/III (rows B, C and D) and end in layer IVA (row F). SMI-32 labeling was apparent in the most superficial sections examined and consisted of apical dendrite shafts (row A). Sections taken from layers II/III (rows B, C and D) revealed a distribution of SMI-32 labeled cells that clustered outside of CO blobs. Sections sampled from deep layer III and layer IVA show weak SMI-32 labeling with the distribution of cells not showing a strong correlation with the CO pattern (E and F). Scale bar = 200 µm.

Figure 6. Quantification of the spatial relationship between SMI-32 labeling and CO blobs. The distribution of SMI-32 labeled cells was plotted from a 5 mm² area of V1 for each of the five hemispheres we examined. In this graph, the density of labeled cells inside of blobs and interblobs is plotted. The density of SMI-32 positive neurons is significantly greater within interblobs (P < 0.001; t-test). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.
of geniculate input and the expression of CO and SMI-32 in layer V1.

The growing number of reports that document anatomical differences between blobs and interblobs indicate that these are features of V1 that can be distinguished by more than simply the level of CO. The anatomical features that make blobs and interblobs unique are likely the same features that endow them with the ability to perform different physiological functions. Although blob and interblob neurons have distinct physiological properties (Livingstone and Hubel, 1984; Landisman and Ts’o, 2002), little is known about how their anatomical features are related to their unique functions. A better understanding of the anatomical differences between blobs and interblobs could provide valuable information toward understanding their role in the visual system.
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