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Abstract

Gene order in prokaryotes is conserved to a much lesser extent than protein sequences. Only some operons, primarily those that encode physically interacting proteins, are conserved in all or most of the bacterial and archaeal genomes. Nevertheless, even the limited conservation of operon organisation that is observed provides valuable evolutionary and functional clues through multiple genome comparisons. With the rapid growth in the number and diversity of sequenced prokaryotic genomes, functional inferences for uncharacterised genes located in the same conserved gene neighborhood with well-studied genes are becoming increasingly important. In this review, we discuss various computational approaches for identification of conserved gene strings and construction of local alignments of gene orders in prokaryotic genomes.

THE UNITS OF GENOME ORGANISATION IN PROKARYOTES: OPERONS, GENE PAIRS AND DIRECTONS

Study of gene location in the genome is one of the classic areas of genetics. Non-random associations between genes have been observed ever since the first genetic maps were constructed, and explicit analysis of genome rearrangements was pioneered by Dobzhansky and Sturtevant in the 1930s.1 However, sequencing of numerous prokaryotic and eukaryotic genomes during the 1990s put analysis of gene order on a new footing by allowing direct and comprehensive comparative analysis of gene locations in genomic sequences. The biological significance and evolutionary dynamics of gene co-localisation are substantially different in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Operons, groups of adjacent, co-expressed genes that often encode functionally linked proteins, represent the principal form of gene co-regulation in prokaryotes (Figure 1).2-4 Some of the operons, particularly those that encode subunits of multiprotein complexes, such as ribosomal proteins, are shared by phylogenetically distant bacterial genomes or even between archaea and bacteria (Figure 2).5-7 This is due, in part, to conservation of these operons over long stretches of evolutionary time, perhaps even since the last universal common ancestor of all modern life forms, and, in part, to horizontal spread of operons among prokaryotes.8 In eukaryotes, operons have been detected in nematodes and some protists,9 but most eukaryotic genes form autonomous transcription units and are expressed largely independently from each other.10

As discussed below in more detail, information about co-localised prokaryotic genes (gene neighbourhoods) can be used for functional inferences. Prediction of functional coupling between genes is based on conservation of gene clusters between genomes. If the function of one gene in a conserved gene cluster is known, the function of a
neighbouring gene (presumably from the same operon) can be inferred through the ‘guilt by association’ principle. For example, co-localisation of a predicted transcriptional regulator (COG1959) with genes potentially involved in assembly of redox protein complexes (Figure 1B) in several prokaryotic genomes suggests that this regulator specifically modulates the expression of genes for the subunits of these complexes. The utility of gene neighbourhood analysis for functional prediction can be further augmented when this analysis is combined with analyses of gene fusion events, expression arrays data, protein–protein interactions, metabolic pathways, phylogenetic profiles and other aspects of genomic context.

A clear peak at short distances between genes in the same operon contrasts a flat length distribution of inter-operonic distances, and this property was used for predicting operons in *Escherichia coli*. For this purpose, sets of genes transcribed in the same direction, with no intervening gene transcribed in the opposite direction, and separated by relatively short non-coding spacers, were clustered into ‘directions’. This straightforward approach yielded 812 directions with more than one gene. Despite its remarkable simplicity, the direction approach correctly identified 75 per cent of the known operons in the *E. coli* genome and therefore seems to be a reasonably reliable method for prediction of operons.

**CENTRAL DEFINITIONS OF GENE NEIGHBOURHOOD ANALYSIS**

**Orthologous genes**

To compare gene orders in different genomes, one needs, first, to establish orthologous (or, in simpler analysis schemes, homologous) relationships between genes. Orthologues are defined as homologous genes that derive by vertical descent from a single ancestral gene in the last common ancestor of the compared species. Paralogues, in contrast, are homologous genes that, at some stage of evolution of the respective gene family, have evolved by duplication of an ancestral gene. Orthologous genes of regulatory sites they contain. Spacers between unidirectional genes may include both a terminator for the upstream gene, and a promoter and additional signals, such as transcription factor binding sites and enhancers/silencers, for the downstream gene; spacers between convergent genes contain exclusively terminators; and spacers between divergent genes have only promoters and other upstream transcriptional signals. In prokaryotes, regions separating adjacent unidirectional genes represent a mixture of inter- and intra-operonic spacers, whereas convergent and divergent gene pairs contain only inter-operonic spacers.
can be identified by various means. One approach is to use existing databases of orthologues, eg Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COGs) (Table 1).26–28 The COGs were constructed from the results of all-against-all BLAST comparison of proteins encoded in complete genomes by detecting consistent sets of genome-specific best hits (BeTs). The COG construction procedure did not rely on any preconceived phylogenetic tree of the included species except that certain obviously related genomes (for example, two species of mycoplasmas or pyrococci) were grouped prior to the analysis, to eliminate strong
Another approach to identification of probable orthologues involves using pairwise genome comparisons and detecting pairs of orthologous genes as reciprocal BeTs. Both these methods are predicated on the assumption that orthologous genes are most similar among all compared pairs of genes. Reciprocal BeT approach is less reliable but has the advantage of being applicable to any pair of newly sequenced genomes regardless of whether or not they have already been included in the COGs or other similar databases.

**Functionally related and evolutionarily conserved gene clusters**

When considering gene co-localisation in genomes, one should distinguish between two connected but distinct conceptual frameworks: clusters of functionally related genes and evolutionarily conserved gene clusters. The former concept deals with functions of genes and therefore involves a degree of arbitrariness, especially in cases when gene functions have not been characterised in detail. The latter notion is based on identification of conserved gene strings in distantly related genomes and is more amenable to formal treatment. An additional important distinction exists between gene strings shared by two or more genomes of relatively close species simply because there was not enough time since their divergence from a common ancestor for recombination to obliterate the ancestral gene order, and functionally important gene strings that are maintained by purifying selection. Functional inferences based on gene order conservation are legitimate only when the analysed genomes are completely ‘saturated’ by recombination events. There is little doubt that such saturation had been already reached in the case of taxonomically distant species, such as archaea and bacteria. However, for more closely related genomes, such as those of different bacteria from the same lineage, the exact boundary at which purely different bacteria from the same lineage, the exact boundary at which purely

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STRING</td>
<td><a href="http://www.bork.embl-heidelberg.de/STRING/">www.bork.embl-heidelberg.de/STRING/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAPPER</td>
<td>pedant.gsf.de/snapper/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RegulonDB</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cifn.unam.mx/Computational_Genomics/regulondb/">www.cifn.unam.mx/Computational_Genomics/regulondb/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COGs</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG/">www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEGG</td>
<td><a href="http://www.genome.ad.jp/kegg/">www.genome.ad.jp/kegg/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcoCyc</td>
<td>ecoyc.org/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERGO</td>
<td><a href="http://ergo.integratedgenomics.com/ERGO/">http://ergo.integratedgenomics.com/ERGO/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing genes, genome context analysis</td>
<td><a href="http://www.integratedgenomics.com/online_material/osterman/index.html">www.integratedgenomics.com/online_material/osterman/index.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td><a href="http://www.bork.embl-heidelberg.de/~korb/SHOT/">http://www.bork.embl-heidelberg.de/~korb/SHOT/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
‘historical’ conservation of gene order becomes negligible is hard, if not impossible, to determine.

In this review, we discuss approaches to gene order analysis that are based on both the functional and the evolutionary aspects of gene clustering in genomes. Several explanations for clustering of functionally related genes have been proposed:8,9

- The co-regulation model postulates that clustering of functionally related genes is maintained by selection because co-regulation of their expression from a single promoter is beneficial for the organism. This model is implicit in the original description of operons, which was developed primarily from studies on the genes encoding lactose utilisation in *Escherichia coli*, the lacZYA operon (Figure 1A).2–4 These genes are co-regulated, being induced by lactose, and this principle works for many other operons, eg those that encode proteins involved in utilisation of other nutrients.

- The Natal model proposes that clusters of functionally related genes originate by tandem duplications.

- The Fisher model postulates that co-localisation of co-adapted genes reduces the frequency of deleterious recombination events disrupting these complexes.

- The molarity model proposes that gene clustering results in beneficial high local concentrations of interacting proteins.

- The selfish operon model suggests that operons escape elimination by invasion of new genomes.

According to this last concept, gene clusters behave similarly to selfish genetic elements, such as transposons, with the clustering being initially beneficial to the genes themselves, not to the host organisms.8,32 Genes that form a cluster obviously have a greater chance than dispersed genes to propagate via joint horizontal transfer; according to the selfish operon hypothesis, this simple fact, rather than any functional adaptations, is the main cause of the long-term survival of operons. This concept most readily applies to gene clusters, which have no essential functions but are advantageous under specific conditions, eg acquisition of the lacZYA operon is beneficial for bacteria in a lactose-containing medium. The selfish operon hypothesis is not incompatible with the co-regulation concept. Indeed, it seems that the two can be easily reconciled by postulating that horizontal transfer of an entire operon is favoured by selection over transfer of individual genes because, in the former case, gene co-expression and co-regulation are preserved.33 Dissemination of operons via horizontal transfer appears particularly plausible for operons (including lacZYA), in which the regulator is encoded next to the regulated genes (Figure 1). However, such operons are relatively uncommon, and for those operons that are not adjacent to the regulator gene, preservation after horizontal transfer becomes problematic owing to probable absence of a compatible regulator in the recipient organism. In general, it appears that a combination of co-regulation with the selfish operon mechanism provides the most plausible explanation for the wide spread of operons in prokaryotes, whereas the factors emphasised by other hypotheses are of minor importance at best.

**CONCEPTS AND METHODS OF GENE NEIGHBOURHOOD ANALYSIS**

**General principles and problems**

Gene order at a level above operons is poorly conserved, and genome comparison diagonal plots, in which points indicate orthologues, appear completely disordered even for species
Operons tend to be more conserved than non-specific gene strings

Errors of genome annotation, missed genes, frameshifts

Global and local alignments

that belong to the same prokaryotic lineage, eg *E. coli* and *Haemophilus influenzae*, two members of the gamma-subdivision of Proteobacteria.5,29 Operons, which typically consist of three to four genes, tend to be substantially more conserved in evolution than non-operonic gene strings. Hence the two modes of gene order evolution in prokaryotes: the constrained and, consequently, relatively slow intra-operon gene rearrangement as opposed to the rapid shuffling of operons.

Comparative analysis of gene orders is complicated by numerous errors in genome annotations, the most common ones being incorrect assignment of translations starts, falsely predicted genes and missed genes, and frameshifts.34–37 Furthermore, many parasitic bacteria, eg *Mycobacterium leprae* and *Rickettsia prowazekii*, have numerous pseudo-genes in their genomes,38–40 which may be hard to recognize, resulting in ambiguities in errors in identification of orthologues. Given these and other problems, upon which we will touch in the more technical discussion below, it is not surprising that, despite sustained effort of many research groups over several years, there is so far no single satisfactory strategy for comparative analysis of gene orders in prokaryotes. In this review, we critically discuss the principal computational approaches employed gene order analysis and illustrate their achievements with biologically important results of comparative genomics.

Operons tend to be more conserved than non-specific gene strings

Comparison of gene orders in genomes bears obvious similarities to the more familiar comparison of nucleotide and amino acid sequences. The irony here is that, historically, gene order analysis was invented before the very idea of a molecular sequence came to the fore;1 however, in the genomic era, computational methods for sequence comparison obviously took the driver’s seat. In each of these situations, the basic procedure involves comparison and alignment of strings of symbols drawn from a fixed alphabet by using a chosen scoring system (1 for a match and 0 for a mismatch in the simplest case). Apart from this central common theme, however, there are substantial differences between the comparison of molecular sequences and gene orders. In sequence analysis, the alphabet is small and universal: 4 bases in nucleic acids and 20 amino acids in proteins. By contrast, in gene order analysis, the alphabet is typically large and unique for each pair of compared genomes because usually it consists of all orthologous genes. Detection of orthologues itself depends on sequence comparison, typically, of protein sequences. Thus, gene order analysis is naturally viewed as a meta-procedure with respect to sequence analysis and, accordingly, in addition to its own problems and caveats, inherits those of sequence comparison. The second major difference between sequence analysis and gene order analysis is that, in the former, sequences are normally treated as collinear; permutations are rare and special procedures to handle them are not deemed critically important. In contrast, at the genome level, gene shuffling resulting in numerous permutations is extremely common and cannot possibly be disregarded in any comparison procedure. Hence, comparison of gene orders presents challenges above and beyond those that are already familiar to computational biologists from the experience of sequence analysis.

Theoretically, analysis of gene order conservation is similar to alignment of other biological sequences (DNA or protein) in that either global or local alignments can be constructed.51,42 However, the global alignment approach, which is not practicable even for distantly related protein sequences, is not applicable to prokaryotic genome alignment at all (except, possibly, pairs of very closely related isolates of the same microbial strain) owing to a large number of deletions, insertions, translocations and inversions that occur during evolution.

The evolutionary fluidity of
prokaryotic chromosomes makes comparative analysis of gene order in distantly related genomes a non-trivial task. Several methods have been proposed and tested for comparing gene orders in pairs of genomes and in multiple genomes, and detecting local gene order conservation; these methods differ in the amount of gene insertion/deletion and local rearrangement that they allow.

**Genomic dot-plots and alignments**

A simple way of comparing two sequences or two gene orders is to construct a dot-plot. Examples of genomic dot-plots are shown in Figure 4. In these comparisons, each dot corresponds to a pair of orthologous genes and the projections of a dot on the two axes are the respective locations of these orthologues in the compared genomes. If the two genomes are fully collinear, there will be a perfect diagonal line of dots. In practice, however, even closely related species have undergone various recombination events (deletions, insertions, duplications, translocations and inversions) since the time of their divergence from the common ancestor, which causes deviations from the diagonal pattern (Figure 4A,B,C). As an alternative to depiction of orthologous genes only, it is possible to include in dot-plot analysis all gene pairs that show sequence similarity above a selected threshold. Obviously, this yields, in general, a richer, more complicated picture because many paralogous genes and even gene strings are detected (Figure 4D). The genomic dot-plot approach is particularly useful for comparative analysis of gene orders in not too distantly related species. Dot-plots of the conserved protein sequences between each pair of such species produce a distinct X-shaped pattern (Fig. 4), which was dubbed an X-alignment. The key feature of these alignments is that they show symmetry around the replication origin and terminus; that is, the distance between particular conserved gene and the replication origin (or terminus) is conserved between closely related species. This suggests that large chromosomal inversions reversed the genomic sequence symmetrically around the origin of replication; such symmetrical inversions appear to be a common feature of bacterial genome evolution.

**Pairwise and template-based multiple alignment of gene orders**

Itoh and coworkers compared gene orders in known operons from *E. coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* with corresponding gene strings in 11 complete genome sequences. The degree of variation depended on the genomes examined, notably increasing with the increase of the evolutionary distance between the respective bacteria. It was suggested that shuffling of gene order was virtually neutral in long-term evolution. Kanehisa and coworkers combined information on biochemical pathways extracted from the KEGG database (Table 1) with pairwise and multiple alignments of gene orders. Their approach to the construction of such alignments is based on graph analysis: the genome was transformed into a graph with genes as nodes, and the pathway was represented as a separate graph with gene products as nodes. A simple method was developed to identify local similarities between two graphs (correlated clusters), allowing for gaps and mismatches of nodes and edges. This method was applied to a comparison of completely sequenced genomes and the KEGG metabolic pathways. A tendency for formation of correlated clusters called FRECs (Functionally Related Enzyme Clusters) was revealed. However, this tendency varied considerably depending on the organism. The fraction of enzymes included in FRECs was close to 50 per cent for *B. subtilis* and *E. coli*, but was below 10 per cent for *Synechocystis*. The information from FRECs was used to refine orthologue group tables in KEGG. A similar approach for...
A systematic analysis of gene order conservation among prokaryotes was performed using the LAMARCK program, which constructs gapped local alignments of gene orders (Table 1); the statistical significance of the produced local alignments was assessed using Monte Carlo simulations. This study showed that only 5–25 per cent of the genes in bacterial and archaeal genomes belong to gene strings (probable operons) shared by at least two genomes, once closely related species are excluded. Sets of local alignments were generated for all pairs of completely sequenced bacterial and archaeal genomes, and for each genome a so-called template-anchored multiple alignment was constructed. In this type of alignment,
each gene in the template genome is overlaid with the orthologous genes from the local alignments (conserved gene strings) identified in each of the other analysed genomes. This seems to be the best attainable surrogate for a multiple alignment of gene orders given the pervasive permutation problem (Figure 5).

The majority of the conserved gene strings detected during this analysis were previously identified operons, with the ribosomal superoperon being the top-scoring string in most genome comparisons. However, in some of the bacterial–archaeal pairs, the superoperon is rearranged to the extent that other operons, primarily those subject to horizontal transfer, show the greatest level of conservation, such as the archaeal-type H+-ATPase operon or ABC-type transport cassettes. The potential of using template-anchored multiple-genome alignments for predicting functions of uncharacterised genes was quantitatively assessed. Functions were predicted or significantly clarified for approximately 90 COGs (~4 per cent of the total of 2,414 analysed COGs). The most significant

**Figure 5:** Segments of a template-anchored, gene-by-gene genome alignment (template Escherichia coli). The first column shows the position of the respective gene in the template genome (E. coli genes were numbered from 1 to 4,279) and the second column shows the gene name. Black circles in the rest of the columns show the presence of the respective gene string in the corresponding pairwise genome comparison. Black diamonds indicate positions with gaps or mismatches in the gene strings.

Abbreviations: bsu Bacillus subtilis, mtu Mycobacterium tuberculosis, hin Haemophilus influenzae, nme Neisseria meningitidis, rpr Rickettsia prowazekii, hpy Helicobacter pylori, cje Campylobacter jejuni, syn Synechocystis PCC6803, dra Deinococcus radiodurans, aae Aquifex aeolicus, tma Thermotoga maritima, bbu Borrelia burgdorferi, tpa Treponema pallidum, cpn Chlamydia pneumoniae, ctr Chlamydia trachomatis, mnn Mycoplasma pneumoniae, mge Mycoplasma genitalium, uur Ureaplasma urealyticum, aer Aeropyrum pernix, afu Archaeoglobus fulgidus, pyr Pyrococcus abyssi, mja Methanococcus jannaschii, mth Methanobacterium thermautotrophicum
predictions were obtained for the poorly characterised archaeal genomes; these included a previously uncharacterised restriction-modification system, a nuclease-helicase combination implicated in DNA repair, and the probable archaeal counterpart of the eukaryotic exosome. The latter prediction has been recently validated by experimental detection of an exosome-like complex in the archaeon *Sulfolobus solfataricus*.

**Gene context**

A single gene can be used as a query to study recurrent presence of other genes in a surrounding neighbourhood in multiple genomes. Snel and coworkers developed STRING (Search Tool for Recurring Instances of Neighboring Genes) (Table 1), a tool to retrieve and display the genes with which a query gene repeatedly co-occurs. This tool employs the COG database and additional, unsupervised sets of putative orthologues as the source of information on gene conservation, and performs iterative search for recurring genomic neighbourhoods. The resulting genomic context of the query gene is integrated with additional information on its phyletic profile and visualised in several formats.

**Conserved gene pairs**

Systematic comparisons of bacterial and archaeal genomes revealed numerous conserved pairs of adjacent genes. In some studies, a pair of genes was considered to be evolutionarily conserved if the respective genes were transcribed in the same direction and separated by zero, one or two genes. This relaxed definition of a conserved gene pair was adopted because numerous rearrangements, deletions and insertions have been found in operons whose characteristic size is three to five genes. Several lines of evidence suggested that conserved pairs of unidirectional genes belong to conserved operons. Firstly, very few, if any, conserved pairs of convergent or divergent genes were detected in phylogenetically distant genomes; this is most compatible with the notion that conservation of many unidirectional pairs has to do with co-expression and co-regulation. Secondly, short distances that typically separate genes in conserved unidirectional pairs are in good agreement with this hypothesis because short spacers are usually observed within operons. The distribution of distances between conserved unidirectional gene pairs in *E. coli* was compared with the distribution of distances between genes in documented *E. coli* operons from the RegulonDB database (Table 1). There was no significant difference between the two distributions; furthermore, none of the conserved gene pairs belonged to different documented *E. coli* operons and, for 81 per cent of the conserved operons, both genes belonged to the same documented operon. These observations suggest that the set of conserved gene pairs is a good approximation of the set of genes from actual operons.

**Analysis of gene neighbourhoods in prokaryotic genomes based on conserved gene pairs**

Bork and coworkers proposed the concept of ‘über-operon’, a set of genes whose functional and regulatory contexts tend to be conserved despite numerous rearrangements. The conglomerate of operons encoding ribosomal proteins, the largest group of genes whose order is partially conserved in all prokaryotic genomes, is the paragon of an über-operon. It has to be emphasised that an über-operon does not necessarily portray the arrangement of the given set of genes in any extant or ancestral genome; instead, the composition and order of genes included in an über-operon seem to reflect multiple, alternative pathways of evolution.

By combining the pairwise interactions between proteins, as predicted by the conserved co-occurrence of the respective genes in operons, Snel and coworkers...
built networks of relationships between proteins. The complete network contained 3,033 orthologous protein sets from 38 genomes. The network consisted of one giant component, containing 1,611 genes, and of 516 small clusters that, on average, contained only 2.7 genes. These small clusters had a homogeneous functional composition and thus apparently represented functional modules. Analysis of the giant component revealed that it was a scale-free, small-world network with a high degree of local clustering. It consisted of locally highly connected subclusters joined by linker proteins. The linker proteins tended to have multiple functions or were involved in multiple processes and had an above average probability of being essential. By splitting up the giant component at these linker proteins, 265 subclusters that tended to have a homogeneous functional composition were identified.

Rogozin and coworkers further developed the über-operon concept by delineating extended gene neighbourhoods by combining the results of gene order comparisons in multiple prokaryotic genomes. A flow chart of the procedure for construction of gene neighbourhoods from conserved gene pairs is shown in Figure 6. The idea behind this approach is that different genomes contain different, overlapping parts of evolutionarily and functionally connected gene neighbourhoods and, by generating a ‘tiling path’ through these overlaps, the entire neighbourhood can be reconstructed (Figure 7). The comparative-genomic approach used in this work was deliberately inclusive and aimed at detection of large, complex gene neighbourhoods. Accordingly, many of the resulting objects are complicated conglomerates of numerous, overlapping gene arrays. Most of these arrays, let alone the larger neighbourhoods, are not represented, in their entirety, in any particular genome. The very fact that the detected neighbourhoods are branched structures consisting of overlapping gene arrays indicates that they are neither reconstructions of an ancestral gene order nor functional domains in any particular genome, although some of the constituent genes might meet each of these definitions. Taken as a whole, each neighbourhood represents the repertoire of alternative configurations of genes within a distinct set of genes, which form various (predicted) operons. Overlapping portions of these neighbourhoods are, to a varying extent, conserved during evolution, which confers functional relevance on the entire neighbourhoods.

Systematic analysis of evolutionarily conserved gene neighbourhoods showed that most of them consist predominantly of genes united by a coherent functional theme, but also include a minority of genes without an obvious functional connection to the main theme. Although some of the latter genes might have unsuspected roles related to the main theme, others might be maintained within conserved gene arrays because of the advantage of expression at the level that is typical of the given neighbourhood. This phenomenon was designated ‘genomic hitchhiking’. In this study, the largest conserved neighbourhood included 79 genes (COGs) and consisted of overlapping, rearranged ribosomal protein superoperons; apparent genomic hitchhiking is particularly common in this neighbourhood and other neighbourhoods that consist of genes coding for translation machinery components (Figure 2).

**Collinearity-free approach**

A new computational method, SNAP (similarity-neighbourhood approach), for finding functionally related gene sets from genomic context has been recently developed. The novel feature of SNAP is that it does not rely on detection of conserved, collinear gene strings. Instead, a similarity-neighbourhood graph (SN-graph), which is constructed from the chains of similarity and neighbourhood relationships between
orthologous genes in different genomes and adjacent genes in the same genome, was introduced. An SN-cycle was defined as a closed path on the SN-graph. It has been demonstrated that SN-cycles derived from prokaryotic genome comparisons were substantially non-random and apparently functionally relevant. However, this approach is computationally intensive and is applicable to a limited number of genomes (<30). Context methods for functional annotation

Functional inferences

The most practically important consequence of gene order conservation is the possibility of functional prediction for uncharacterised genes. Comparative analysis of gene orders is one of the most powerful approaches among the so-called context methods of functional annotation of prokaryotic genomes. We have already mentioned some examples of such

IMPLICATIONS OF GENE ORDER CONSERVATION

Figure 6: Flow chart of the procedure for construction of gene arrays and clusters from conserved gene pairs. Shaded or hatched arrows indicate COGs that form conserved pairs and empty arrows indicate COGs or non-COG genes that do not form conserved pairs, but are allowed to insert between genes in a conserved pair.
predictions but it is worth briefly discussing additional cases yielded by more advanced methods for gene order comparison and/or by combination of gene order analysis and in-depth sequence comparison. For example, during a systematic analysis of conserved gene context in prokaryotic genomes, a previously undetected, complex, partially conserved neighbourhood consisting of more than 20 genes was discovered in most Archaea and some bacteria, in particular, the hyperthermophiles *Thermotoga maritima* and *Aquifex aeolicus*. The gene composition and gene order in this neighbourhood vary greatly among species, but all versions have a stable, conserved core that consists of five genes. One of the core genes encodes a predicted DNA helicase, often fused to a predicted HD-superfamily hydrolase (HD = histidine–aspartic acid), and another encodes a RecB family exonuclease; three core genes remain uncharacterised, but one of these might encode a nuclease of a new family. Two more genes that belong to this neighbourhood and are present in most of the genomes, in which the neighbourhood was detected, encode, respectively, another predicted HD-superfamily hydrolase (possibly a nuclease) of a distinct family and a predicted, novel DNA polymerase. The functional features of the proteins encoded in this neighbourhood suggest that they constitute a previously undetected DNA repair system, which is the first repair system largely specific for thermophiles to be identified. This hypothetical repair system might be functionally analogous to the bacterial-eukaryotic system of mutagenic translesion repair whose central components are DNA polymerases of the UmuC-DinB-Rad30-Rev1 superfamily, which typically are missing in thermophiles.

**Figure 7:** A cluster of gene arrays presented as an oriented graph. Nodes correspond to COGs, the COG numbers are indicated inside the circles. The edges show conserved gene pairs and the direction of transcription of the corresponding genes is shown by arrows. The grey circles and dotted arrows show the depicted cluster. The white circles and solid arrows show genes and gene pairs that are linked to individual COGs in the given cluster, but did not join it under the employed procedure. The number of genomes, in which the given pair is represented, is given for each edge, and the thickness of the edge is roughly proportional to this number. Definitions: COG0012, predicted GTPase (probably a translation factor); COG0193, peptidyl-tRNA hydrolase; COG0457, TPR-repeat-containing proteins; COG0462, phosphoribosylpyrophosphate synthetase; COG1207, N-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase; COG1825, ribosomal protein L25; COG1947, 4-diphosphocytidyl-2C-methyl-D-erythritol 2-phosphate synthase; COG3017, outer membrane lipoprotein (outer membrane biogenesis).
Another novel repair system in prokaryotes was predicted through a combination of detailed sequence analysis, phyletic profile examination and gene order comparison. This gene complex consists primarily of genes that are generally associated with eukaryotic, rather than prokaryotic, replication and repair, namely, ATP-dependent DNA ligase, the small, catalytic subunit of the archaeo-eukaryotic-type DNA primase, and the homologues of the eukaryotic DNA-binding protein Ku (Figure 8). In several genomes, this neighbourhood also includes an uncharacterised bacterial-specific gene, possibly coding for a novel nuclease. On the basis of the function of the Ku protein in eukaryotes, it has been predicted that these putative operons might encode an uncharacterised complex involved in double-strand break repair. This prediction was subsequently supported by demonstration of the cooperation between the mycobacterial homologue of Ku and ATP-dependent ligase encoded in the same neighbourhood in non-homologous DNA end joining. The characterisation of the entire complex and elucidation of the role of the primase homologue await further experiments.

Recently, Osterman and Overbeek conceptualized a promising strategy for prediction of missing enzymes in metabolic pathways by using information on gene clustering and protein fusions combined with patterns of gene presence–absence in microbial species. Missing links are identified by compiling evidence supporting the existence of a specific pathway in the analysed organism and revealing essential steps that cannot be connected to specific genes. Various techniques of genome context analysis are used to infer functional coupling between genes coding for the known enzymes of the given pathways and uncharacterised genes; as a result, a

**Figure 8:** Organisation of genes and potential operons in the genomic regions coding for protein components of the predicted novel DNA repair system. Genes are shown not to scale; the direction of transcription is indicated by arrows. Orthologous genes are shown by the same pattern. Abbreviations: ligase, ATP-dependent DNA ligase; primase, the small, catalytic subunit of the archaeo-eukaryotic-type DNA primase; Ku core, the homologues of the eukaryotic DNA-binding protein Ku; Bsu Bacillus subtilis, Afu Archaeoglobus fulgidus, Mtu Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Sco Streptomyces coelicolor, Mlo Mezorhizobium loti.
A list of candidate genes for the missing function(s) is produced. This approach was used for analysis of fatty acid biosynthesis in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Almost all essential components of the fatty acid biosynthesis complex can be projected from *E. coli* to *S. pneumoniae* except for the *fabI* gene encoding enoyl-ACP-reductase. A novel *S. pneumoniae* enoyl-ACP-reductase (gene *fabK*) was predicted on the basis of gene order conservation, and this prediction was confirmed experimentally.

**Functional signal analysis in prokaryotes**

Gene order could be a valuable source of information for analysis of functional cis-signals in prokaryotic species. Spacers between convergent genes contain exclusively terminators, and spacers between divergent genes contain only promoters and signals that regulate transcription initiation, such as operators. Recognition of transcription regulatory sites in bacterial genomes is a hard problem. Generally, there are no algorithms capable of making robust predictions even for well-studied sites. However, availability of complete bacterial genomes allows one to increase the reliability of predictions by combining comparative analysis and gene order conservation. This comparative approach is based on the assumption that sets of co-regulated genes are conserved in closely related bacteria. Thus, functionally relevant sites occur upstream of orthologous gene clusters, whereas false candidates are randomly scattered. This means not only that knowledge about regulation in well-studied genomes can be transferred to newly sequenced ones, but also that new members of regulons can be found.

**Phylogenetic analysis of prokaryotes based on gene order conservation**

Rearrangements continuously shuffle prokaryotic genomes, gradually breaking ancestral gene strings. Hence the natural idea to employ comparison of gene orders for phylogenetic reconstructions: in principle, the shorter the evolutionary distance between two genomes, the greater the extent of gene order conservation. The operonic organisation of a prokaryotic genome complicates the kinetics of this process. The apparent selective advantage of physical proximity for co-regulation makes some gene arrays less prone to break-up than others, thus extending the range of evolutionary distances over which gene order comparison is feasible. However, selective forces acting on operons make them sensitive to the influence of the environmental niche occupied by the organism at different times during its evolutionary history. Furthermore, some operons appear to be particularly prone to being transferred as a whole, in accord with the selfish operon hypothesis.

**Analysis of overlapping genes**

Overlapping coding regions in pro- and eukaryotic genomes are not necessarily artefacts, and some of them are evolutionarily conserved. It is well known that some unidirectional genes (Figure 3) overlap, typically by only a few nucleotides. Overlapping genes within operons might enable translational coupling and/or protection of mRNA from degradation by maintaining its association with ribosomes. Many of the overlapping convergent gene pairs (Figure 3) could represent real gene arrangements as suggested by comparative analysis. In contrast, overlapping divergent coding regions (Figure 3) are more likely to be artefacts than overlapping convergent and unidirectional genes because a proper accommodation of promoter sequences within coding regions would be extremely hard to achieve. However, numerous pairs of divergent overlapping genes were detected (I. B. Rogozin, unpublished observations). It appears likely that most, if not all of them are artefacts caused by incorrect identification of the start of the involved coding regions.

**Combining functional signal prediction and gene order conservation**

Phylogenetic trees based on gene order comparison
accentuating the effect of horizontal transfer on the tree topology.\textsuperscript{8}

To use gene order for phylogeny or similarity dendrogram construction, one has to choose a method for translating gene order data into a tree structure. This goal can be achieved by various means. Wolf and coworkers\textsuperscript{76} employed the COG database to identify pairs of genes whose physical proximity was conserved in several genomes. The presence–absence matrices of these gene pairs were analysed using Dollo parsimony and neighbour-joining methods, which produced essentially the same topology. Korbel and coworkers\textsuperscript{31} counted adjacent pairs of BeT-derived orthologues shared by two genomes, converted the fraction of such pairs to distance and used these distances to construct neighbour-joining or least-squares trees. This technique is available at the SHOT web server (Table 1).\textsuperscript{31}

Owing to the high rate of intragenomic rearrangements, the gene order trees are, at least in theory, especially suitable to resolving the phylogeny of closely related prokaryotic species.\textsuperscript{46} Generally, this approach behaves in a manner similar to the gene content methods (reviewed in Wolf et al.\textsuperscript{75}), providing a good separation between the highest taxa, such as archaea and bacteria, and keeping closely related species together, but offering poor resolution on intermediate distances. Both groups described the effect of horizontal gene transfer on these trees.\textsuperscript{74} Several additional approaches for phylogenetic reconstruction based on gene order comparison were recently reviewed by Sawa and coworkers.\textsuperscript{77}

**CONCLUSIONS**

Because of the rapid evolution of gene order in prokaryotes, the potential of genome alignments for prediction of gene functions is limited. Nevertheless, such predictions yield valuable information, which is often distinct from and substantially complements results obtained through protein sequence and structure analysis. Furthermore, the utility of gene order analysis is further enhanced by the progress of genome sequencing as additional genomes increase the coverage of each individual genome with conserved gene strings. However, straightforward functional inferences from gene order conservation should be made with caution, given the relatively common instances of genomic hitchhiking. On the whole, applications of methods for gene order comparison yield a wealth of functional and evolutionary information that should be interpreted within the more general framework of genome context analysis and evolutionary conservation.\textsuperscript{14,15,20,63}

The first tools for such integrated genomic context analysis have already been developed and can be used for rapid detection of potentially important links between genes (Table 1). This new generation of genome analysis tools includes STRING,\textsuperscript{78} ERGO\textsuperscript{67} and KEGG.\textsuperscript{79} The challenge for the future is to develop robust criteria for combining different aspects of genomic context for reliable prediction of functional associations.
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