Differential behavioural and neurochemical outcomes from chronic paroxetine treatment in adolescent and adult rats: a model of adverse antidepressant effects in human adolescents?
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Abstract

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor use is associated with increased risk of suicidal ideation in adolescent humans, yet the neuropharmacological basis of this phenomenon is unknown. Consequently, we examined the behavioural and neurochemical effects of chronic paroxetine (PRX) treatment in adult and adolescent rats. Rats received PRX in their drinking water (target dose 10 mg/kg) for 22 d, during which time they were assessed for depression- and anxiety-like behaviours. Subsequent ex-vivo analyses examined serum PRX concentrations, striatal neurotransmitter content, and regional serotonin and dopamine transporter (SERT, DAT) binding density. After 11–12 d treatment, PRX-treated adolescent rats showed a significant inhibition of social interaction while adults were unaffected. After 19–20 d treatment, adolescents failed to show an antidepressant-like effect of PRX treatment on the forced swim test (FST), while PRX-treated adults showed a typical decrease in immobility and increase in swimming. Two PRX-treated adolescents died unexpectedly after the FST suggesting a compromised response to physical stress. Despite their greater apparent adverse reaction to the drug, adolescents had significantly lower plasma PRX than adults at day 22 of treatment. Chronic PRX treatment had similar effects in adults and adolescents on striatal 5-HT (unchanged relative to controls) and 5-HIAA levels (decreased), while markers of dopaminergic function (DOPAC, HVA, DA turnover) were increased in adults only. SERT density was up-regulated in the amygdala in PRX-treated adolescents only while DAT density in the nucleus accumbens was down-regulated only in PRX-treated adults. These data suggest that the immature rat brain responds differently to PRX and that this might be of use in modelling the atypical response of human adolescents to antidepressants. The age-specific PRX-induced changes in dopaminergic markers and SERT and DAT binding provide clues as to the neural mechanisms underlying adverse PRX effects in adolescent humans.
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Introduction

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) have long dominated the pharmacological treatment of adult depressive disorders (Mant et al. 2004). While initially developed for adults, SSRIs have also become a first-line treatment for adolescents with depression (Dean et al. 2006). Depressive disorders occur in approximately 4–8% of adolescents (Birmaher et al. 1998; Kapornai & Vetro, 2008) and are associated with high rates of self-harm, suicidal ideation and attempts; school dropout; psychosocial, vocational and academic impairment; and persistent depression in adulthood (Birmaher et al. 1998; Kapornai & Vetro, 2008; Mann et al. 2006; Weissman et al. 1999). Fast and
effective treatment of adolescent depression is therefore of great importance, yet pharmacological approaches are often problematic. Many antidepressants show minimal efficacy in young people (Tsapakis et al. 2008; Whittington et al. 2004), and SSRIs have been associated with worsening of depression and increased risk of suicidal ideation and behaviour in adolescents (Mann et al. 2006; Olsson et al. 2006). A black box warning appears on all SSRIs in the USA, notifying prescribers and consumers of this risk (Stone et al. 2009). While these effects occur with many SSRIs (Bridge et al. 2007; Hammad et al. 2006), paroxetine (PRX) is arguably the worst offender (Robertson & Allison, 2009).

The reason for these effects in adolescents is unknown, although several possible causes have been proposed, including non-adherence to medication and subsequent withdrawal symptoms; activating side-effects; and metabolic factors (Caballeró & Nahata, 2005). However, few studies have explored potential neurobiological causes. The serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT) and dopamine (DA) systems, in particular, are implicated in the causality of depression and suicide, are modified by SSRI treatment, and undergo dramatic reorganization during adolescence, suggesting a possible involvement of these systems in treatment-emergent suicidality.

Depression and suicide have been associated with underactivity of the 5-HT (Mann et al. 2001; Ryding et al. 2008), noradrenaline (NA) (Brunello et al. 2003) and DA (Yadid & Friedman, 2008) systems and antidepressant drugs are thought to act to restore normal functionality of these systems (Millan, 2004). SSRIs selectively bind the serotonin transporter (SERT), inhibiting 5-HT reuptake and enhancing synaptic 5-HT (Frazer, 1997). However, this acute increase in 5-HT is rapidly attenuated by homeostatic activation of 5-HT_{1A} autoreceptors, which inhibits 5-HT release (Hensler, 2003; Newman et al. 2004). Thus, the therapeudic efficacy of SSRIs is delayed 2–4 wk until down-regulation of these, and other, serotonergic receptors occurs (Delago, 2004; Yamauchi et al. 2006).

Although SSRIs generally have little affinity for DA receptors or the DA transporter (DAT) (Frazer, 1997), they have both acute and chronic effects on dopaminergic function (Dunlop & Nemeroff, 2007). Specifically, dopaminergic activity appears to be temporarily attenuated by acute SSRI treatment, which may contribute to the delay in therapeutic efficacy (Di Matteo et al. 2008; Prisco & Esposito, 1995), and then enhanced by chronic treatment, contributing to the relief of DA-related symptoms of depression such as anhedonia (Di Giovanni et al. 2008).

The aforementioned brain changes associated with SSRI treatment have largely been determined from the study of adult animals and human subjects and may not accurately reflect the impact of these drugs on the immature brain. Instead, these drug effects may be modified by interaction with developmental changes occurring during adolescence. Such developmental effects may be explored through the use of adolescent animals. The adolescent period in rats occurs from approximately post-natal day (PND) 28 until PND 55, and is broadly analogous to the corresponding adolescent period in humans (Spear, 2007). Stereotypical adolescent behavioural characteristics in both species include greater risk-taking, novelty-seeking, and social interaction (Crews et al. 2007) and elevated susceptibility to stress (McCormick et al. 2010). Similarly, adolescence in both species is a period characterized by neural maturation and reorganization (Paus, 2005), increases in neurogenesis and synaptic pruning (Hodes et al. 2009; Paus, 2005) and changes in serotonergic (Spear, 2000) and dopaminergic (Crews et al. 2007) functionality. Compared to adult animals, adolescents have higher synaptic 5-HT and elevated 5-HT receptor and SERT density (Murrin et al. 2007). Striatal DA receptors, DAT and DA turnover are also elevated in early adolescence and decline gradually to adult levels during maturation (Crews et al. 2007).

In order to explore developmental differences in antidepressant response, the present study investigated differential changes in anxiety- and depression-like behaviours during chronic PRX administration in adult (PND 70) and adolescent (PND 28) rats, and associated developmental differences in plasma PRX concentration, SERT and DAT density and striatal monoamines.

**Method**

**Subjects**

The subjects were 32 adolescent (PND 28, 77–118 g) and 32 adult (PND 70, 313–447 g) experimentally naive male albino Wistar rats (Animal Resource Centre, Australia). Rats were housed in age- and treatment-matched groups (four adults or eight adolescents per cage) with food and water freely available, except for rats given PRX for whom water was replaced with PRX solution. The colony room was maintained at 22 °C on a 12-h reversed light/dark cycle (lights on 21:00 hours), with all behavioural testing conducted during the dark phase. All experimentation was approved by the University of Sydney Animal Ethics Committee in accordance with the
Rats were randomly assigned into four groups ($n = 16$): Adult/PRX, Adult/Con, Adolescent(Ado)/PRX and Ado/Con, such that half of each developmental cohort received PRX solution in place of standard drinking water. Administration via drinking water has previously been successfully employed in our laboratory (Thompson et al. 2004) and was chosen due to the short half-life of PRX (Owens et al. 2000). Paroxetine hydrochloride was obtained from Sequoia Research Biochemicals (UK). A target dose of 10 mg/kg was selected on the basis of previous literature showing antidepressant and neurochemical effects of this dose in adult rats (Carlson et al. 1996; Sillaber et al. 2008), and to approximate therapeutic plasma concentrations in humans (DeVane, 1999). Prior to target dose administration, a half-dose (5 mg/kg) was administered for 3 d in accordance with recommendations for the treatment of children and adolescents with SSRIs (Carlson et al. 1996; Sillaber et al. 2008), and to approximate therapeutic plasma concentrations in humans (DeVane, 1999). To avoid potential effects of PRX on fluid intake, a half-dose of PRX was given for 3 d to adapt to PRX administration, and then the rats were switched to the target dose for 19 d. Fluid intake was recorded daily and body weight every 3 d.

**Behavioural tests**

A schematic of the experimental procedure is shown in Table 1. Treatment-emergent suicidality is most prevalent during the early stages of antidepressant treatment (Jick et al. 2004), and a possible association exists between these symptoms and the short-term anxiogenic effects of SSRIs (Harada et al. 2008). In order to assess whether the response to PRX differs between adolescents and adults in these early stages of treatment rats were exposed to a battery of anxiety tests [emergence, social interaction (SI), and novelty-suppressed drinking (NSD) tests] during the second week of the drug administration period. NSD was conducted towards the end of this week, when it was feasible that antidepressant effects may be emerging. A test of antidepressant efficacy, the forced swim test (FST), was conducted at the end of the drug administration period when adolescent rats were aged PND 46–47. This timing was chosen to mimic the time-course of the antidepressant response (Delago, 2004) and to prevent an impact of swim stress on any subsequent behavioural tests.

**Emergence test (day 9)**

The emergence test was conducted in a 120 x 120 x 60 cm wooden arena with a black floor, three white walls and one black wall. A 40 x 24 x 17 cm black wooden hide box, with a hinged red Perspex lid, was placed centrally against the black wall. Two spotlights with 150 W PAR-78 globes illuminated the arena to produce a bright open-field aversive to rats. Rats were placed inside the hide box at the start of the 5-min testing period. TrackMate v. 1.0 software (Australia) was used for automatic scoring of behaviours, including: (a) latency to emerge, (b) open-field time, and
(c) risk assessment (time spent with part, but not all, of the head/body protruding from the hide box). The arena was cleaned with 50% ethanol after each test session. Order of testing was counterbalanced by treatment group.

Social interaction (SI) test (days 11–12)

The SI test was conducted in a 120 × 120 × 60 cm black wooden arena illuminated by a 40 W red lamp. Age- and treatment-matched pairs of rats of approximately equal body weight, but from different home cages, were placed together in the arena for 10 min. ODlog software (MacroPod software; http://www.macropodsoftware.com) was used for manual scoring of social behaviours, including: (a) following/chasing: one rat following the other within a distance of two body-lengths, (b) anogenital interactions, (c) adjacent interactions: including play-fighting, climbing over/under, and adjacent lying, (d) head-to-head interactions, and (e) total SI: time spent in all of the above categories. The apparatus was cleaned with 50% ethanol between test sessions.

Novelty-suppressed drinking (NSD) (day 13)

A novel paradigm, developed in our laboratory, was employed for the NSD test, loosely based on that previously reported (Dulawa & Hen, 2005). The general paradigm examined consumption of a novel palatable beverage under anxiogenic conditions of bright light, white noise and a novel apparatus. This test employed a lickometer apparatus built in our laboratory (Hargreaves & McGregor, 2007), consisting of 16 separate 28 × 19 × 18 cm drinking chambers. Two 5-mm metallic lick tubes, each connected to a 60 ml vertical syringe reservoir, were extended through the back wall and allowed for delivery of 0.07 ± 0.005 ml aliquots of fluid. Rats licked for diluted (33% v/v) Schweppes raspberry-flavoured cordial for 20 min under a fixed-ratio 3 reinforcement schedule. Illumination was achieved using two Par78 150 W floodlights and continuous white noise was generated by a radio tuned off-station (~90 dB). The number of licks performed by each rat was recorded by custom software written using Labview software (National Instruments, USA). Other behaviours scored included: (a) latency to perform 10 licks (this criterion was chosen to control for very short latencies due to isolated investigative licks early in the session, or accidental activation of the lickometer apparatus by the rat upon entry to the chamber), and (b) total cordial consumption.

Forced swim test (days 19–20)

Rats (n = 8/group, randomly selected) were exposed to the modified Porsolt FST as previously described (Detke & Lucki, 1995). Testing was conducted over 2 d, with a 15-min pretest on day 1 and a 5-min test 24 h later. Rats were placed in a clear Perspex cylinder (46 cm high, 22 cm diameter) containing water (24 ± 1 °C) to a depth of 30 cm. The room was illuminated with a 40 W red lamp, and miniature video cameras relayed images to a video recorder and computer in an adjacent room. An observer, blind to group assignment, manually scored behaviours during the 5-min test session using ODlog software. Scoring was conducted by dividing the test period into 5-s intervals and recording the dominant behaviour (swimming, climbing, immobility) during each interval, as recommended for detection of SSRI-induced behavioural changes (Cryan et al. 2002). Tubes were cleaned and refilled with fresh water between trials. After completion of the session, rats were immediately removed from the chambers, gently towelled and placed in a cage for drying.

Neurochemical analysis

On day 22 of drug administration, the 32 rats (n = 8/group) that did not experience the FST were euthanized by decapitation. Brains were removed from day 22 of drug administration and placed in a cage for drying. Rats (n = 8/group, randomly selected) were exposed to the modified Porsolt FST as previously described (Detke & Lucki, 1995). Testing was conducted over 2 d, with a 15-min pretest on day 1 and a 5-min test 24 h later. Rats were placed in a clear Perspex cylinder (46 cm high, 22 cm diameter) containing water (24 ± 1 °C) to a depth of 30 cm. The room was illuminated with a 40 W red lamp, and miniature video cameras relayed images to a video recorder and computer in an adjacent room. An observer, blind to group assignment, manually scored behaviours during the 5-min test session using ODlog software. Scoring was conducted by dividing the test period into 5-s intervals and recording the dominant behaviour (swimming, climbing, immobility) during each interval, as recommended for detection of SSRI-induced behavioural changes (Cryan et al. 2002). Tubes were cleaned and refilled with fresh water between trials. After completion of the session, rats were immediately removed from the chambers, gently towelled and placed in a cage for drying.

Forced swim test (days 19–20)

Rats (n = 8/group, randomly selected) were exposed to the modified Porsolt FST as previously described (Detke & Lucki, 1995). Testing was conducted over 2 d, with a 15-min pretest on day 1 and a 5-min test 24 h later. Rats were placed in a clear Perspex cylinder (46 cm high, 22 cm diameter) containing water (24 ± 1 °C) to a depth of 30 cm. The room was illuminated with a 40 W red lamp, and miniature video cameras relayed images to a video recorder and computer in an adjacent room. An observer, blind to group assignment, manually scored behaviours during the 5-min test session using ODlog software. Scoring was conducted by dividing the test period into 5-s intervals and recording the dominant behaviour (swimming, climbing, immobility) during each interval, as recommended for detection of SSRI-induced behavioural changes (Cryan et al. 2002). Tubes were cleaned and refilled with fresh water between trials. After completion of the session, rats were immediately removed from the chambers, gently towelled and placed in a cage for drying.

Neurochemical analysis

On day 22 of drug administration, the 32 rats (n = 8/group) that did not experience the FST were euthanized by decapitation. Brains were removed and the left and right striatum manually dissected out over ice, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C. Samples were then processed for biogenic amine content by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with electrochemical detection as described previously (Clemens et al. 2005) with slight modification.

Biogenic amines were separated using a Phenomenex Synergi Polar-RP 80A (250 × 4.6 mm) reversed-phase column coupled with a 1-mm Optiguard C18 pre-column maintained at 30 °C. The mobile phase consisted of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 3.0), 0.74 mM PIC B-8 octane sulfonic acid (Waters, Australia), 0.3 mM sodium EDTA and methanol (18% v/v). The flow rate was 0.7 ml/min.

SERT and DAT autoradiography

From day 22 of drug administration the remaining PRX-treated rats (n = 8/group) underwent drug washout with standard drinking water for 5 d. Drug washout was conducted prior to SERT/DAT autoradiography due to possible competition between the radioligand used and exogenous PRX remaining in the animal (Hirano et al. 2005). Five days was deemed...
appropriate, with animals being drug free for 15 half-lives of PRX (Owens et al. 2000).

Subsequently, rats were euthanized by decapitation. Trunk blood was collected and brains removed, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C. Coronal sections (20 μm) were cut on a Microm cryostat, and mounted onto polysine-coated slides (LabServ, Australia). [3H]RTI-55 (Perkin Elmer, USA) was used to assess binding density for SERT and DAT as described previously (Kindlundh-Hogberg et al. 2007). SERT binding was determined at the level of the hippocampus and central amygdala (Bregma −2.7 mm), while DAT was assessed within the striatum (Bregma +1.3 mm).

Slides were apposed for 4 d to Kodak Biomax MR film in the presence of standard H[3]C microscales (American Radiochemical Company, USA). Autoradiographs were developed using Kodak developer and fixer. Films were scanned using a Bio-Rad GS-800 calibrated densitometer and analysed using ImageJ software (NIH, USA). Standard curves were calculated for conversion of optical density to nCi/mg radioactivity concentration, using calibrated microscales.

**Serum PRX determination**

Trunk blood samples were collected in pre-chilled lithium-heparin blood tubes immediately following decapitation. Serum was separated from cells by centrifugation (3300 g, 4 °C, 15 min) and PRX content determined using a solid-phase extraction (SPE) column and HPLC with ultraviolet detection as described previously (Li et al. 2004) with slight modification.

An SPE system, consisting of SPEC 3ML MP3 (15 mg) microcolumns (Varian, Australia) connected to a Vac Elut vacuum manifold, was used for sample extraction. Five μl of the resulting solution was then automatically injected into the previously described HPLC system. PRX and the internal standard, clomipramine, were separated using a Waters Symmetry C8 5-μm (2.1 × 150 mm) microbore reverse-phase column coupled with a 1-mm Optiguard C8 pre-column. A Shimadzu SPD-M10Avp diode array detector (Kyoto, Japan) monitored at 295 nm was used for quantification.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed by between-subjects or mixed-model ANOVA followed by planned contrasts comparing (a) Ado PRX vs. Con, and (b) Adult PRX vs. Con. Analyses were conducted using SPSS 15 for Windows (SPSS Inc., USA) with significance levels set at 0.05.

**Results**

**Behavioural effects**

**Body weight**

Mixed-model ANOVA revealed no overall effects of PRX on body weight throughout the treatment period (main effect: p > 0.05; Fig. 1). As expected, adult rats weighed more than adolescents [F(1, 60) = 1238.01, p < 0.001], and the main effects were modulated by significant effects of day of drug administration [day main effect: F(6, 360) = 1684.63, p < 0.001; day × age interaction: F(6, 360) = 371.09, p < 0.001; day × treatment interaction: F(6, 360) = 4.16, p < 0.001; day × age × treatment interaction: F(6, 360) = 2.86, p < 0.05]. Further comparisons investigating these interactions revealed no differences in body weight between treatment groups of the same age either before or during PRX administration, except on day 6 when PRX-treated adults weighed less than age-matched controls [F(1, 60) = 4.26, p < 0.05].

**Fluid intake**

Overall, PRX-treated rats consumed less fluid than controls [F(1, 8) = 71.94, p < 0.001]. Although adults consumed more than adolescents [F(1, 8) = 45.73, p < 0.001], the reduction in intake due to PRX was greater for adult than adolescent rats [F(1, 8) = 14.08, p < 0.01]. Further comparisons revealed that intake was significantly higher in Adult/Con than Adult/PRX rats at all time-points following the introduction of PRX (p < 0.05) although no difference in intake was present prior to PRX administration. In adolescents,
fluid intake did not differ between treated and untreated groups before or during PRX administration, except on day 21 when PRX reduced intake \[ F(1, 8) = 6.93, p < 0.05 \]. Averaged over all days, Adult/Con rats consumed 47.33 ± 2.42 ml/rat.d, which was significantly more than Adult/PRX rats (28.00 ± 3.1 ml/rat.d), \[ F(1, 8) = 82.66, p < 0.001 \]. In contrast, Ado/Con rats consumed 27.97 ± 4.4 ml/rat.d, which did not differ from the 22.46 ± 0.39 ml consumed by Adolescent/PRX rats.

**Emergence test**

Overall, PRX was anxiogenic on the emergence test, decreasing open-field time \[ F(1, 60) = 8.75, p < 0.01; \] Fig. 2a] and increasing latency to emerge \[ F(1, 60) = 8.05, p < 0.01; \] Fig. 2b]. PRX treatment reduced open-field time in adolescents \[ F(1, 60) = 4.84, p < 0.05 \] and increased latency to emerge in adults \[ F(1, 60) = 4.38, p < 0.05 \]. No differences in risk assessment time were detected in either age group as a result of PRX administration.

**Social interaction**

Overall, PRX-treated rats spent less time in SI than control rats \[ F(1, 28) = 9.76, p < 0.01; \] Fig. 3a]. In adolescents, PRX significantly reduced total SI \[ F(1, 28) = 20.40, p < 0.001; \] Fig. 3a], following \[ F(1, 28) = 7.90, p < 0.01; \] Fig. 3b], adjacent interactions \[ F(1, 28) = 30.34, p < 0.001; \] Fig. 3c] and head-to-head interactions \[ F(1, 28) = 9.51, p < 0.01; \] Fig. 3d]. Conversely, there was no effect of PRX on adult social behaviours, or on anogenital interactions in either age group.

**Novelty-suppressed drinking**

PRX was anxiogenic on the NSD test, increasing latency to reach criterion (10 licks) \[ F(1, 60) = 4.19, p < 0.05; \] Fig. 4a]. These anxiogenic effects were somewhat more pronounced in adolescents, who displayed a greater inhibition of consumption in response to PRX than adults [interaction: \[ F(1, 60) = 6.15, p < 0.05; \] Fig. 4b]. However, overall, neither age nor treatment altered overall consumption. There were no age or interaction effects on latency to criterion.

**Forced swim test**

Data from one Adult/PRX rat was lost due to computer malfunction. An antidepressant effect of PRX was apparent in adult rats, with increased swimming \[ F(1, 25) = 4.39, p < 0.05; \] Fig. 5a] and decreased immobility \[ F(1, 25) = 5.04, p < 0.05; \] Fig. 5b] in PRX-treated compared to control adults. PRX had no effect on swimming in adults. Conversely, there were no differences between control and PRX-treated adolescents on swimming, climbing or immobility. In addition, the FST was associated with two unexpected fatalities in adolescents treated with PRX, with one rat found deceased immediately following the pre-test swim, and the other discovered deceased in the home cage the following morning.

**Neurochemical analysis**

Results of neurochemical analysis are presented in Table 2. Two-way ANOVA revealed a reduction in 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) \[ F(1, 28) = 42.89, p < 0.001 \] and 5-HT turnover \[ F(1, 28) = 38.93, p < 0.001 \] in PRX-treated rats of both ages compared to control rats. There was no overall effect of age on 5-HIAA or 5-HT turnover, or any two-way interaction on either measure. 5-HT was not affected by either variable.

Analysis of dopaminergic markers revealed lower DA \[ F(1, 28) = 10.04, p < 0.01 \], higher DA turnover \[ F(1, 28) = 11.43, p < 0.01 \] and a trend towards lower dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC) \[ F(1, 28) = 4.01, p = 0.055 \] in adolescent compared to adult rats. There

**Fig. 2.** Effects of paroxetine (PRX, 10 mg/kg) on anxiety-like behaviours in the emergence test (5-min duration, conducted on day 9 of the drug administration period). (a) Time spent in the open field, (b) latency to emerge from the hide box into the open field. Data are presented as time (s) in the open field and latency (s) to emerge (mean ± S.E.M.). *Significantly different from age-matched controls (p < 0.05).
Fig. 3. Paroxetine (PRX, 10 mg/kg) differentially regulates social interaction (days 11–12 of drug administration) in adult and adolescent rats. PRX-treated adolescent (n = 16/group), but not adult (n = 16/group), rats show significant reductions in time spent in (a) total social interaction, (b) following, (c) adjacent interactions, and (d) head-to-head interactions compared to age-matched controls. Data are presented as total time spent in social behaviours listed (mean ± S.E.M.). Significantly different from age-matched controls: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

Fig. 4. Effects of paroxetine (PRX, 10 mg/kg) in adult and adolescent rats (n = 16/group) in the novelty-suppressed drinking test (day 13 of drug administration). (a) Latency to perform 10 licks. (b) Total cordial consumption (ml). Data are presented as latency (s) and total consumption (ml) (mean ± S.E.M.). * Significant overall treatment effect (p < 0.05).

Fig. 5. Exposure to paroxetine (PRX, 10 mg/kg) regulates behaviour on the forced swim test (days 19–20 of drug administration) in adult (n = 8/group), but not adolescent (n = 6–8/group) rats. Number of 5-s counts spent with (a) swimming, and (b) immobility as the dominant behaviour. Data represent mean ± S.E.M. * Significantly different from age-matched controls (p < 0.05).
were no overall effects of treatment on any dopaminergic markers. However, PRX had differential effects in adults and adolescents, as demonstrated by significant interaction effects for DOPAC \( F(1, 28) = 5.10, p < 0.05 \), homovanillic acid (HVA) \( F(1, 28) = 8.28, p < 0.01 \) and DA turnover \( F(1, 28) = 6.58, p < 0.05 \).

Further analysis revealed higher HVA \( F(1, 28) = 6.53, p < 0.05 \) and DA turnover \( F(1, 28) = 7.75, p = 0.01 \) in PRX-treated than in control adults, with a numerical increase in DOPAC \( F(1, 28) = 2.71, p = 0.11 \). There were no such effects in adolescents. Overall, DA did not vary according to treatment or treatment \( \times \) age interaction.

There were no age, treatment or interaction effects for NA.

### SERT and DAT Autoradiography

There were age-specific effects of PRX on SERT density in the basolateral amygdala (BLA) [interaction: \( F(1, 24) = 5.22, p < 0.05 \)], with SERT density increased in PRX-treated adolescents \( F(1, 24) = 5.28, p < 0.05 \), but not in adult rats (Table 3; Fig. 6). There were no observable effects of drug or developmental stage on SERT binding in the CA3 region of the hippocampus.

DAT binding density in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) core was differentially altered by PRX in adult and adolescent rats [interaction: \( F(1, 23) = 7.84, p = 0.01 \)], despite the absence of an overall age or treatment effect. Further comparisons revealed a significant decrease in NAc DAT in adults \( F(1, 23) = 6.24, p < 0.05 \) but not adolescents. There were no effects on DAT in the medial and lateral caudate putamen (CPu).

### Plasma PRX Levels

Analysis of serum samples from PRX-treated rats (no washout) revealed significantly lower PRX concentrations in adolescents (105.19 ± 17.49 nmol/l) than in adults (308.59 ± 80.52 nmol/l), \( F(1, 14) = 6.09, p < 0.05 \); Fig. 7]. Samples collected following the 5-d washout showed no detectable PRX.

### Discussion

Antidepressant treatment of adolescents with depression is very common (Dean et al. 2006), yet many studies report minimal efficacy and increased incidence of suicidal ideation in adolescents treated with SSRIs (Bridge et al. 2007; Whittington et al. 2004). In agreement with these findings, we report that PRX is associated with no antidepressant efficacy and greater adverse effects in adolescent than in adult rats, despite lower serum PRX concentrations in adolescents. We also provide evidence for age-specific changes in neurochemistry and SERT and DAT binding.

Adult/PRX rats displayed decreased immobility and increased swimming, indicative of a SSRI-induced antidepressant response (Bylund & Reed, 2007). However, there was a conspicuous lack of antidepressant-like effects of chronic PRX in adolescent rats in the FST. This agrees with recent reports of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Paroxetine</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Paroxetine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>734.7 (108.3)</td>
<td>787.1 (48.5)</td>
<td>852.4 (81.6)</td>
<td>763.5 (62.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT</td>
<td>355.8 (14.5)</td>
<td>343.0 (23.2)</td>
<td>366.9 (30.8)</td>
<td>369.7 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HIAA</td>
<td>598.1 (60.5)</td>
<td>370.2 (12.3)**</td>
<td>613.9 (30.0)</td>
<td>388.1 (9.5)*****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT turnover</td>
<td>1.67 (0.13)**</td>
<td>1.11 (0.08)*****</td>
<td>1.72 (0.12)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.02)*****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>8259.3 (455.1)</td>
<td>8359.8 (469.3)</td>
<td>7326.7 (350.9)*</td>
<td>6789.4 (271.8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPAC</td>
<td>1785.3 (131.7)</td>
<td>2070.9 (130.7)b</td>
<td>1816.8 (143.4)</td>
<td>1548.0 (72.3)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVA</td>
<td>765.6 (62.4)</td>
<td>968.1 (80.0)*</td>
<td>947.6 (40.3)</td>
<td>828.7 (25.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA turnover</td>
<td>0.09 (&lt;0.01)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.01)**</td>
<td>0.13 (&lt;0.01)</td>
<td>0.12 (&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, Noradrenaline; 5-HT, serotonin; 5-HIAA, 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid; 5-HT turnover = 5-HIAA/5-HT; DA, dopamine; DOPAC, dihydroxyphenylacetic acid; HVA, homovanillic acid; DA turnover = HVA/DA. Monoamine concentrations and turnover ratios were analysed over the left and right striatum following euthanasia on day 22 of the drug administration period.

Units of measurement are ng/g wet tissue. Data represent mean (± S.E.M.), \( n = 8 \)/group.

* \( p < 0.05 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \), *** \( p < 0.001 \), paroxetine vs. control group by age.

* Indicates significant overall effect of age \( (p < 0.05) \).

b Indicates significant age \( \times \) treatment interaction effect \( (p < 0.05) \).
age-specific lack of SSRI efficacy in rodents in the FST and novelty-suppressed feeding (NSF) paradigms (Mason et al. 2009; Oh et al. 2009). It should, however, be acknowledged in the present study that adolescents showed lower overall levels of immobility and high levels of swimming regardless of drug treatment and this may have precluded detection of an anti-depressant response due to a ceiling effect. An anti-depressant response of adolescents on the FST may have become apparent with a higher dose of PRX given to adolescents.

It is also important to acknowledge that although adolescents and adults received equivalent doses of PRX, mean plasma levels in adults were almost 3-fold those seen in adolescents. Therefore differential effects of PRX in adolescents in the FST might also reflect the lower systemic PRX levels achieved in adolescents. The cytochrome P450 enzymes 2D6 and 3A4, which are largely responsible for SSRI metabolism, are elevated during childhood and adolescence causing accelerated hepatic metabolism of the parent drug (Caballero & Nahata, 2005). As PRX has no active metabolites (Titier et al. 2003), antidepressant efficacy is lost as the drug is broken down. However, despite developmental differences plasma PRX levels in both adolescent and adult rats were well within the therapeutic range for humans (10–600 ng/ml; DeVane, 1999), making it arguable whether the PRX concentration in adolescents was insufficient for therapeutic efficacy.

As the FST is not sensitive to the clinically observed 2–4 wk delay in antidepressant efficacy (Cryan et al. 2002), we also examined PRX effects on the NSF – a variant of the popular NSF task – as a measure of efficacy. In contrast to the FST, NSF mimics the time-course of the antidepressant response and is only responsive to chronic antidepressant treatment (Bechtholt et al. 2006). We found no evidence for PRX-induced anxiolytic effects in this paradigm on day 13 of drug treatment, finding greater latency to drink a palatable cordial solution in PRX-treated rats of both ages. Despite no age differences in the effect of PRX on latency, the reduction in consumption appeared subtly greater in adolescent rats, suggesting that the anxiogenic effect of PRX may be somewhat more pronounced in adolescents than in adults. Suppression of consumption is unlikely to be attributable to anorexic effects of the drug, since PRX appears to be free of such effects (Frazier, 1997; Keller et al. 2001) and we saw no evidence of weight loss in adolescent PRX-treated rats in the current study. Rather, the present results can be argued to be consistent with clinical observations of increased anxiety in many humans during the early stages of SSRI treatment (Goodman et al. 2007) and in various animal models of anxiety (Dulawa & Hen, 2005; Koks et al. 2001) with anxiolytic effects appearing after 3–4 wk (Bechtholt et al. 2006; Oh et al. 2009). It is possible that these anxiogenic effects may resolve more quickly in adults than in adolescents; in one study 28 d of fluoxetine administration was anxiogenic in juvenile mice, but anxiolytic in adults (Oh et al. 2009).

A more robust demonstration of elevated anxiety in PRX-treated adolescents was apparent in the profound

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain region</th>
<th>Adult</th>
<th>Paroxetine</th>
<th>Adult</th>
<th>Paroxetine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bregma −2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3</td>
<td>3.612 (0.17)</td>
<td>4.122 (0.30)</td>
<td>3.372 (0.38)</td>
<td>3.687 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLA</td>
<td>5.123 (0.24)</td>
<td>4.778 (0.24)</td>
<td>4.612 (0.33)</td>
<td>5.573 (0.33)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bregma +1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAc core</td>
<td>3.159 (0.10)</td>
<td>2.804 (0.05)*</td>
<td>2.680 (0.15)</td>
<td>2.941 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial CPu</td>
<td>5.137 (0.10)</td>
<td>5.081 (0.16)</td>
<td>4.732 (0.18)</td>
<td>4.970 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral CPu</td>
<td>7.303 (0.22)</td>
<td>7.461 (0.26)</td>
<td>6.728 (0.25)</td>
<td>7.189 (0.24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CA3, field CA3 of the hippocampus; BLA, basolateral amygdala; NAc, nucleus accumbens; CPu, caudate putamen. SERT and DAT densities were analysed after 22 d paroxetine treatment, followed by a 120-h drug-free washout period. Transporter density was determined using [125I]RT1-55 quantitative autoradiography. Units of measurement are [125I]RT1-55 specific binding (nCi/mg). Data represent mean (±S.E.M.), n = 5–8/group. *p < 0.05, paroxetine vs. control group by age.

Table 3. Regional densities of serotonin transporter (SERT) and dopamine transporter (DAT)
Si deficits seen in these rats compared to their untreated counterparts. In adolescent male rodents (PND 30–40), play-fighting is the dominant social activity (Pellis & Pellis, 1997). As the Si test was conducted in adolescents at PND 38, the reduction in Si in PRX-treated adolescents probably arose from minimization of play (evidenced by the reduction in adjacent interactions and following/chasing). This is of particular concern given the importance of social play in the development of normal adult sexual behaviour (de Jong et al. 2006; Thor & Holloway, 1984). Deficits in social play are key features of childhood depression (Luby, 2009), and have been observed in animal models of genetic susceptibility to depression such as the Wistar Kyoto rat (Malkesman & Weller, 2009). However, it must be acknowledged that baseline social behaviour was greater in adolescent than in adult rats, perhaps providing a greater opportunity to observe inhibitory drug effects. On the other hand, these age-specific inhibitory effects might be seen to be all the more remarkable given the manifestly lower PRX serum levels seen in adolescents compared to adults.

The sudden death of two PRX-treated adolescent rats following the FST pre-test session suggests that PRX may produce a compromised response to physical stress in adolescents. While the precise cause of death is unclear, the potency of PRX at the muscarinic acetylcholinergic receptors (Richelson, 1996) may adversely affect cardiac function. Such potential cardiac effects are underlined by the recently discovered link between PRX use in pregnancy and cardiac malformations in infants (Bar-Oz et al. 2007). Future experiments exploring cardiotoxicity during adolescent PRX administration might usefully explore such possibilities.

Subtle, yet possibly important, differences were seen in the neurochemical response to chronic PRX treatment in adult and adolescent rats. There were no age-specific effects of PRX on serotonergic markers, with decreases in 5-HIAA and 5-HT turnover in both adults and adolescents. These effects are well documented in the human and animal literature (Miura et al. 2007; Thompson et al. 2004) and are thought to result from the SSRI-induced inhibition and/or down-regulation of SERT (Frazer, 1997; Hirano et al. 2005), which reduces 5-HT reuptake, preventing intracellular metabolism of 5-HT to 5-HIAA. There were, however, age-specific effects of PRX on the DA system, with general dopaminergic up-regulation in PRX-treated adults and opposite effects in adolescents. SSRI-induced up-regulation of the DA system has been previously reported in adult rodents (Carlson et al. 1996; Sekine et al. 2007; Tanda et al. 1994) and probably occurs indirectly via desensitization/down-regulation of 5-HT$_{AC}$ receptors, which releases the DA system from inhibition (Di Giovanni et al. 2008;
interactions via 5-HT tone in PRX-treated adults mediated by 5-HT/DA.

The mechanisms underlying these effects are by no means universal (Gobbi et al. 1997; Hrdina & Vu, 1993) and may be an artefact arising from the short washout periods used in these studies. Competition between the ligand employed for detection of SERT binding and SSRIs necessitates an adequate washout to avoid ‘drug on board’ effects. In addition, there is some evidence to suggest that the effects of SSRIs on SERT may be short-lived (Hirano et al. 2005). The up-regulation in adolescent rats seen in the present study agrees with observations of SERT up-regulation in the frontal cortex of peri-adolescent rats given subchronic fluoxetine (Wegerer et al. 1999). This may contribute to adverse behavioural effects: increased membrane SERT would probably increase 5-HT reuptake, reducing synaptic 5-HT and perhaps thereby causing increased anxiety and decreased SI (Curtman et al. 2002).

There is little consistent evidence on effects of SSRIs on DAT density, with some studies suggesting up-regulation of DAT (Kugaya et al. 2003), but not others (Chen & Lawrence, 2003). Here we report decreased DAT binding with PRX administration in adult but not adolescent rats in the NAc, a key region of the brain’s reward system. The mechanisms underlying these effects are unknown, although it may be a compensatory response to up-regulation of dopaminergic tone in PRX-treated adults mediated by 5-HT/DA interactions via 5-HT_{1A} or 5-HT_{2C} receptor subtypes (Alex & Pehek, 2007). Interestingly, adolescents may not experience the SSRRI-induced down-regulation of 5-HT_{2C} receptors experienced in adults (Carrey et al. 2002), potentially preventing up-regulation of DA tone and subsequent effects on DAT.

In conclusion, the present study suggests that PRX is less efficacious and associated with more adverse effects in adolescent compared to adult rats. These conclusions are moderated somewhat by the marked baseline differences in behaviour seen in drug-free adolescent rats in the SI test and FST, and also by the more efficient metabolism of PRX seen in adolescents. Future comparisons of adult and adolescent antidepressant responsiveness might also find it difficult to avoid these constitutive developmental differences that cloud the interpretation of adolescent drug effects. Nonetheless, the age-specific alterations in dopaminergic tone and SERT and DAT density in response to PRX give insight into possible causes of the differential behavioural response to PRX reported in our study. In particular, this study points to the possibility that atypical modulation of adolescent dopaminergic and serotonergic systems by SSRIs may underlie some of the adverse reactions to these drugs frequently seen in young persons.
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