A lymphomagenic role for HIV beyond immune suppression?
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Introduction

Although the risk for AIDS-defining cancers declined dramatically after the introduction of combined antiretroviral therapy (cART), the occurrence of lymphomas in the HIV setting has not decreased to the same extent. In fact, lymphomas still represent the most common type of cancer in HIV-infected individuals. Cofactors related to immunodeficiency such as oncogenic viruses, chronic antigenic stimulation, and cytokine overproduction are thought to be the main drivers of HIV lymphomagenesis, although the current scenario does not convincingly explain the still-high incidence of lymphomas and the occurrence of peculiar lymphoma histotypes in HIV-infected patients under cART. Recent findings are challenging the current view of a mainly indirect role of HIV in lymphoma development and support the possibility that HIV may directly contribute to lymphomagenesis. In fact, mechanisms other than immune suppression involve biologic effects mediated by HIV products that are secreted and accumulate in lymphoid tissues, mainly within lymph node germinal centers. Notably, HIV-infected patients with lymphomas, but not those not affected by these tumors, were recently shown to carry HIV p17 protein variants with enhanced B-cell clonogenic activity. HIV p17 protein variants were characterized by the presence of distinct insertions at the C-terminal region of the protein responsible for a structural destabilization and the acquisition of novel biologic properties. These data are changing the current paradigm assuming that HIV is only indirectly related to lymphomagenesis. Furthermore, these recent findings are consistent with a role of HIV as a critical microenvironmental factor promoting lymphoma development and pave the way for further studies that may lead to the design of more effective strategies for an early identification and improved control of lymphomas in the HIV setting.
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immunodeficiency disorders (<5% of cases).4,14 Table 1 lists the different types of HIV-associated lymphomas, as defined by World Health Organization (WHO), together with the major categories of lymphoid proliferations occurring in HIV-infected patients, including multicentric Castleman disease (MCD).15 The most common lymphomas arising in HIV-infected individuals include Burkitt lymphoma (BL) and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). Classic Hodgkin lymphoma (cHL) is also common in the HIV setting, although the global incidence of this malignancy is lower than that of BL and DLBCL. Other lymphomas occurring in HIV-infected patients include primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) and its solid variants, lymphoma associated with Kaposi sarcoma herpesvirus (KSHV)-related MCD, and plasmablastic lymphoma (PBL) of the oral cavity type (Table 1). These 3 types of lymphomas rarely occur in the general population. These lymphomas are more specific to HIV infection,14,16 suggesting they are characterized by special pathogenic features that make them more likely to develop in the HIV setting.

Intriguingly, some lymphomas also occurring in the general population frequently show distinct cytological and histological characteristics when they arise in HIV-infected patients.4,15 In fact, HIV-associated BL may show plasmacytoid features that are only rarely displayed by HIV-unrelated cases. Moreover, different morphological variants of DLBCL include a variant composed of centroblasts with multiple nucleoli (the centroblastic variant); a more frequent variant composed of immunoblasts with a single prominent nucleolus (the immunoblastic variant); and a third variant with large tumor cells having anaplastic nucleus (the anaplastic variant). Immunoblastic lymphoma tends to occur in HIV-infected patients with more advanced HIV disease compared with centroblastic lymphoma. DLBCL primarily involving the central nervous system commonly belongs to the immunoblastic variant and represents the DLBCL variant associated with HIV infection, the incidence of which showed the most important decrease after the introduction of cART.

Although the incidence of HIV-associated DLBCL declined after the introduction of cART, DLBCL remains the main type of cancer in HIV-infected people.17,18 Curiously, the incidence of cHL has increased and the incidence of other HIV-associated lymphomas such as BL, PEL, and PBL of the oral cavity type remains stable. The still high incidence of lymphomas despite the immunoreconstitution promoted by cART strongly suggests that factors other than HIV-related immunosuppression are probably still acting as lymphomagenic factors in the HIV setting.4

Table 1. Categories of HIV-associated lymphomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV EBV (Latency)</th>
<th>KSHV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hodgkin lymphoma</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL-plasmacytoid</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLBCL/BL plasmacytoid</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEL and its solid variants</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBL of the oral cavity type</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCD-associated LBCL</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCD</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+, positive in 100% of cases; —, negative in 100% of cases; —/+, positive in less than 50% of cases; +/-, positive in more than 50% of cases; IBL, immunoblastic lymphoma.

Well-recognized pathogenic pathways

Immunodeficiency states usually increase susceptibility to cancer as a result of reduced immune surveillance and enhanced chances for virus-driven oncogenesis.19,20 The viral contribution to the development of HIV-associated malignancies has been extensively studied, but only 2 oncogenic viruses (ie, Epstein Barr virus [EBV] and KSHV) have been pathogenically associated with specific lymphoma types occurring in the HIV setting.4,21,22 Table 2 lists the lymphoid proliferations occurring in HIV-infected patients that carry infection by EBV and/or KSHV. Importantly, however, HIV-associated lymphomas are frequently associated with single or multiple genetic lesions,21,23 as shown by Table 3.24,25 Moreover, HIV is thought also to contribute to lymphomagenesis through induction of chronic B-cell activation, as a result of HIV-mediated immune dysfunction.21 Therefore, the pathogenesis of HIV-associated lymphomas is considered the result of the concerted action of different factors, mainly including impaired immune surveillance, genetic alterations, viral infection, and chronic B-cell activation.

Regardless of the relationship between pathogenic factors and specific types of HIV-associated lymphomas, there are no significant differences at the genetic level, according to the involvement of the c-MYC oncogene and other genetic abnormalities within the morphological spectrum of BL.26-28 In contrast, EBV is present in 50% to 70% of plasmacytoid variant BL, but only in 30% of classic BL variants.16 Interestingly, studies of HIV-associated BL cases suggest that microRNAs may be involved in the pathogenesis of some of the EBV-infected BLs lacking MYC translocation.29,30 Also, DLBCL in HIV-infected patients is quite different from DLBCL occurring in the general population, because HIV-associated DLBCL displays more frequent association with EBV, along with expression of EBV-encoded latent membrane protein-1 (LMP-1).4 DLBCL can be divided into germinal center (GC) (mainly belonging to the centroblastic variant) and post-GC center (mainly belonging to the immunoblastic variant) subtypes, although the clinical significance of this subclassification in HIV setting remains to be investigated.31 An immunoblastic/plasmablastic derivation seems to be common to several DLBCLs displaying a variable expression of plasmacytoid differentiation markers and post-GC phenotypes, as shown by occurrence of immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region gene mutations and lack of BCL6 protein expression.10 PELs are consistently associated with KSHV infection and clonal immunoglobulin gene rearrangements, which often contain somatic hypermutations. However, PEL tumor cells do not carry recurrent alterations in oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes.32,33 Gene expression profiling studies comparing EBV-infected and EBV-uninfected PELs have found differences in a significant number of genes in the MAPK pathway, suggesting pathogenic differences according to the EBV status.35 In plasmablastic lymphomas of the
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HIV does not directly infect lymphoma cells, and according to the current view, this virus is believed to contribute to lymphomagenesis through 2 main indirect mechanisms: induction of a chronic B-cell activation, favored by HIV-mediated immune dysfunction, and loss of immunoregulatory control of oncopogenic herpesviruses, such as EBV and KSHV (Table 2). Recent evidence, however, indicates that now is probably the time to revisit this assumption in light of data suggesting that HIV may contribute to lymphomagenesis by acting directly on B lymphocytes as a critical microenvironmental factor. Indeed, HIV itself and various HIV-encoded proteins, including gp120, may trigger and sustain the aberrant activation of B lymphocytes that characterizes HIV+ patients. The underlying chronic antigenic stimulation and inflammation may lead to a poly- or oligo-clonal expansion of dysregulated B lymphocytes, which is also favored by the abnormal production of B-cell growth-promoting cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-10.35 Uncontrolled persistent stimulation of B lymphocytes may favor the emergence of monoclonal B-cell proliferations that are at increased risk of acquiring critical genetic alterations, ultimately leading to lymphoma development. Notably, CD40 ligand (CD40L), a costimulatory molecule expressed by activated T lymphocytes, can be inserted at the surface of HIV-1 particles when budding from activated CD4+ T cells, and CD40L-bearing HIV virions were shown to strongly activate B cells, thus mimicking a physiological stimulation.32,43 As part of CD40L-triggered events, hyperactivated B cells express activation-induced cytide deaminase (AID), a DNA editing enzyme mediating immunoglobulin class switch recombination and somatic hypemutation.44 In addition to introducing point mutations in both immunoglobulin and nonimmunoglobulin genes (ie, BCL-6), AID can also induce chromosome translocations involving oncogenes that are critical for the development of B-cell lymphomas.44,45 In particular, aberrant AID expression is thought to be responsible for the c-MYC/immunoglobulin heavy chain recombination observed in GC-derived lymphomas, such as BL.45

Intriguingly, mice transgenic for a defective HIV-1 provirus lacking part of the gag-pol region showed overexpression of the HIV proteins p17, gp120, and nef and development of B-cell lymphomas,46 supporting a pathogenic role for aberrant expression of HIV proteins and B-cell stimulatory cytokines in lymphomagenesis. Moreover, about 30% of mice transgenic for the Tat (transactivator of transcription) protein of HIV were shown to develop lymphomas of B-cell origin.47 Being released by HIV-infected cells within lymphoid tissues, Tat may act as a microenvironmental factor able to functionally interact with and enter into B lymphocytes, leading to deregulation of the pRb2/p130 oncosuppressor protein.48 Of particular pathogenic relevance is the ability of Tat to enhance the production of the growth-promoting IL-6 and IL-10 cytokines,49,50 to upregulate the expression levels of the DNA repair β-polymerase,51 and to promote angiogenesis.52 Notably, HIV gp120 and matrix protein p17 accumulate and persist in the lymph nodes of HIV-1-infected patients, even under cART, in the absence of any detectable HIV replication.53 Figure 2 shows the persistence of HIV-encoded p24 and p17 proteins in the germinal centers of lymphoid follicles in HIV-infected patients under cART. These findings are consistent with the possibility that HIV may act as a local lymphomagenic factor through the effects mediated by different proteins secreted within lymphoid tissues, or even persisting at extranodal sites.

Nevertheless, lymphomas occur in a relatively limited proportion of HIV-infected patients, indicating that the presence of gp120, p17, and Tat per se is not sufficient to drive lymphomagenesis, being that these proteins probably are expressed in all patients. Recent evidence has, however, suggested a new possible scenario in which the well-known HIV genome hypervariability could favor the generation of

### Table 3. Germinal center- or postgerminal center-derived lymphomas in HIV-infected individuals: immunodeficiency level, phenotypic features, and genetic abnormalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histotype</th>
<th>Germinal center</th>
<th>Postgerminal center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenotypic features</td>
<td>BCL-6</td>
<td>MUM1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL-6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUM1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD138</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic abnormalities</td>
<td>BCL-6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL-6</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53</td>
<td>50-60%</td>
<td>Rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYC</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>50-60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immunodeficiency increases from mild (left) to severe (right) across the table. -, absent; +, present; +/-, usually present; —/+, usually absent.

oral cavity type, typical surface markers of mature B cells are usually downregulated, whereas those associated with plasma cells are upregulated. Similarly, transcription factors associated with B cells are downregulated, whereas the terminal differentiation program is consistently expressed. EBV appears to be highly associated (60%-75% of cases) with this lymphoma.36-38 A consistent association with KSHV has been found in almost all HIV-infected patients with MCD.15,39 The cells harboring KSHV in MCD have been called plasmablasts. These cells may be found in large sheets thought to represent frank plasmablastic lymphomas.40 In HIV-infected patients, nearly all cases of cHL are associated with EBV infection and express a type II latency (Table 2). HIV-associated cHL displays biological peculiarities when compared with cHL occurring in the general population. In particular, HIV-associated cHL is characterized by an unusually large proportion of tumor cells, the so-called centroblastic from immunoblastic subtypes of DLBCL. Another pathway is associated with HIV-associated PEL.32
HIV-encoded protein variants. Distinct variants with enhanced B-cell activating and growth-promoting properties are potentially able to increase the risk of developing lymphoma. In particular, it has been demonstrated that, unlike the prototype clade B p17 and p17 derived from HIV-infected individuals without lymphoma, p17 variants derived from an Ugandan HIV-1 strain A1 or from HIV-related lymphoma were shown to activate the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway and increase the proliferation and clonogenicity of B cells. These effects were mainly mediated by interaction with CXCR2, a functional cellular receptor for p17. Notably, stimuli physiologically found within germinal center microenvironment, such as soluble or cell-bound CD40L, as well as EBV infection or expression of the LMP-1 EBV oncoprotein, were shown to upregulate CXCR2 expression, making B lymphocytes permissive to p17-mediated effects. In turn, clonogenic p17 variants may upregulate LMP-1 expression, thus creating a pathogenic loop (Figure 3). This mechanism is highly relevant for EBV-driven lymphomagenesis in the HIV setting, considering that LMP-1 prevents apoptosis of B lymphocytes that are unable to produce functional B-cell receptors or that present a high load of prelymphomatous genetic alterations in their genome. This possible scenario is particularly intriguing for the pathogenesis of HIV-associated cHL (Figure 3), which, for still obscure reasons, is invariably EBV-infected and LMP-1 positive, whereas only 40% of HIV-unrelated cases are EBV-infected.

p17 variants with enhanced clonogenic activity for both EBV+ and EBV− B-cells were recently shown to carry insertions at the C-terminal region, which were shown to specifically induce a structural destabilization of the protein. As a consequence, these particular p17 variants may acquire peculiar biologic properties more conducive to lymphomagenesis. The generation of these variants may occur more frequently in HIV-infected patients with lymphoma, who show an higher intrapatient p17 sequence diversity compared with HIV-infected individuals without lymphoma. The possibility that p17 variants with enhanced lymphomagenic potential may be selected within lymphoid tissues of HIV patients with lymphoma constitutes an attractive hypothesis that deserves to be investigated.

**Conclusion and perspectives**

Recent data are changing the current paradigm assuming that HIV is only indirectly related to lymphomagenesis. The emerging scenario from these new data suggests that HIV may promote...
lymphomagenesis not only as a consequence of its ability to sustain a chronic B-cell activation and to impair immune control of oncogenic herpesviruses but also through a direct contribution, possibly mediated by HIV-encoded proteins, particularly p17 variants. Available findings stimulate further studies aimed at assessing whether distinct molecular signatures within lymphoma-derived

**Figure 2. HIV-released proteins within lymphoid follicles.** Persistence of HIV-encoded proteins in the GC of lymphoid follicles in patients under cART. Histological sections from lymph node (A-C) and nasopharynx (D-E) were immunolabeled for the capsid p24 (B and D) and the matrix p17 (C,E) proteins. HIV p24 and p17 deposited in the GCs show similar distribution in the biopsy sites and display a dendritic pattern. Matrix p17 accumulates and persists within lymphoid tissues of HIV-infected patients in both lymph nodes and extranodal sites, where this protein may exert its pathogenic effects on B cells. Images were acquired with the Olympus DotSlide Virtual microscopy system, using an Olympus BX51 microscope equipped with PLAN APO 2×0.08 and UPLAN Sapo 40×0.95 objectives. Images were assembled using Adobe Photoshop 6 (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA).

**Figure 3. Proposed model of the pathogenesis of EBV-associated and EBV-unrelated lymphomas of HIV-infected patients.** In a systemic background of immune suppression variably mitigated by cART, B lymphocytes are chronically activated by persistent antigenic stimulation, HIV virions bearing CD40L, HIV-released proteins (gp120, p17, Tat, etc), and various cytokines. Uncontrolled B-cell activation or EBV infection may upregulate CXCR2, an IL-8 receptor that may also serve as cellular receptor for HIV p17 and its variants. Triggering of CXCR2 by distinct p17 protein variants accumulated within nodal or extranodal lymphoid tissues may enhance B-cell clonogenicity and growth, thus increasing the likelihood of critical genetic alterations (chromosomal translocations involving c-MYC, Bcl-6 mutations, etc), which are also promoted by AID expression. In EBV-infected B cells, p17 variants may upregulate LMP-1, the major EBV oncoprotein, which further contributes to the development of EBV-associated lymphomas in this setting. In conditions of profound immune suppression, LMP-1 can be expressed by lymphoma cells, as it is the case of immunoblastic DLBCL, whereas the expression of this immunogenic EBV protein is silenced as immune escape mechanism in lymphomas associated with mild immunosuppression such as EBV-associated BL. HIV p17-mediated upregulation of LMP-1 may also contribute to the development of cHL in this setting.
p17 gene sequences may serve as biomarkers potentially useful to more precisely define HIV-infected individuals at increased risk of developing lymphomas, including those associated with EBV. From a therapeutic point of view, strategies aiming at neutralizing lymphomagenic p17 proteins may be useful for the prevention of lymphoma development in at-risk patients, and may be also beneficial for the treatment of overt lymphomas in the HIV setting.
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