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ABSTRACT
An indigenous mixed microbial culture isolated from an effluent treatment section of a coke oven plant has been studied for its m-cresol biodegradation capacity under aerobic batch reactor operation. The culture, after acclimatization could biodegrade up to 700 mg/L of m-cresol. The m-cresol concentration in the present study was at 50 mg/L and then ranged from 100 to 700 mg/L with step up concentration of 100 mg/L. Both biodegradation kinetics and microorganism growth kinetics were studied and kinetic parameters were estimated. The result showed that m-cresol was an inhibitory-type substrate and the inhibition effect became predominant after 200 mg/L of initial m-cresol. The specific growth rate of microorganisms increased up to 200 mg/L of m-cresol as sole carbon source, and then started decreasing. The kinetic data obtained in this study have been fitted to different substrate inhibition models (Haldane, Han-Levenspiel, Edward, Luong, Aiba, Teissier, Yano-Koga). Among all models, Han-Levenspiel and Luong were best fitted for this study (root mean square error = 0.001349). In addition, the variation of observed yield coefficient Yx/s with initial m-cresol concentration was investigated. The values of kinetic constants estimated by the models proved that the mixed culture used in the study had good potential for m-cresol degradation.
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INTRODUCTION
 Phenolic compounds are common water pollutants from various industrial waste streams, such as polymeric resin producing companies, coal gasification plants, oil refining and coke oven industries, fibreglass units, pharmaceuticals, explosive manufacturers and varnish industries (Juang & Tsai 2006; Yan et al. 2006). They are also included in the list of priority organic pollutants of the US Environmental Protection Agency (Yan et al. 2006). Among all phenolic compounds, cresols are the major toxic organic pollutants that remain at the top of the list for the inherent difficulties they pose during their degradation. m-cresol is an isomeric phenol, with a methyl substituent at the meta position relative to hydroxyl group of phenol. In addition to being highly toxic and a potential carcinogen, cresol causes adverse effects on the central nervous system, lungs, kidneys and
liver. Therefore wastewater containing m-cresol requires careful handling before discharge to receiving water bodies.

Some research has been done on m-cresol biodegradation by pure culture (Yan et al. 2006; Yao et al. 2011). Investigation of the degradation of high concentrations of m-cresol using mixed culture is rare. In spite of their toxic properties, o-cresol, m-cresol and p-cresol are utilized by a number of organisms as their sole carbon and energy source, under aerobic conditions, even at very high concentration (Fialova et al. 2004). Yan et al. (2006) have studied biodegradation of m-cresol up to a concentration of 320 mg/L under aerobic conditions in a batch system using Candida tropicalis. Their estimates of kinetic parameters using the Haldane model showed that the culture had a high Ks (half saturation constant) value (866 mg/L) indicating low affinity of the organism to degrade m-cresol, and a low Ki (substrate inhibition constant) value (4.42 hr⁻¹) indicating less resistance of the organism towards substrate inhibition. Yan et al. (2010) also showed that mutated C. tropicalis degrades m-cresol more rapidly than the wild type strain. The mutated strain has a higher μmax (maximum specific growth rate) value than wild type. Their study also showed that when m-cresol was fed to the culture in presence of phenol, then inhibition imposed by m-cresol was stronger than that of phenol. Saravaran et al. (2008) investigated phenol and m-cresol degradation in bi-substrate mode by an indigenous mixed microbial culture, predominantly Pseudomonas sp. This culture could degrade up to 600 mg/L of each substrate. The kinetics and interaction studies among the substrates had shown that m-cresol was inhibitory to the culture to some extent, but phenol had strong inhibitory effect on m-cresol degradation at lower concentration ranges and vice versa.

The present study deals with an indigenous mixed microbial culture that can degrade m-cresol as sole carbon and energy source, after acclimatization. The study also aims to find m-cresol biodegradation rates and the kinetic constants by fitting the specific growth rate data in different substrate inhibition models and finding the best-fitted model for the present data.

The present study is better than the biodegradation studies done on m-cresol so far (Yan et al. 2006; Saravaran et al. 2009; Yao et al. 2011). Since specific single bacteria are seldom available in nature and also difficult to maintain in the field, it is urged that biodegradation study of phenolic compounds should be carried out in presence of mixed populations of bacteria. Yan et al. (2006) studied the biodegradation of m-cresol for a lower concentration range (0-320 mg/L) than the present study (50-700 mg/L). Although the cultures selected by Saravaran et al. (2009) and Yao et al. (2011) could biodegrade up to 900 and 1,200 mg/L of m-cresol, respectively, the Ks value obtained by both were higher than that obtained in the present study. As a low Ks value indicates high affinity of organism towards substrate, it can be said that the mixed culture used in the present study is potentially very useful for m-cresol biodegradation.

The substrate inhibition models chosen along with their mathematical forms are described below. The earliest model on microbial growth kinetics, the Monod model (1949), relates growth rate of microorganisms to the concentration of a single growth-controlling substrate represented by the following equation:

\[ \mu = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}}S}{K_s + S} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( \mu \) is specific growth rate of mixed microbial culture (hr⁻¹) = (1/X)(dX/dT), \( S \) is limiting substrate concentration (mg/L), \( \mu_{\text{max}} \) is maximum specific growth rate of the culture (hr⁻¹) and \( K_s \) is the half saturation constant (mg/L). Different working groups (Kumar et al. 2005; Nuhoglu & Yalcin 2005) have proposed several mathematical models to express culture growth and substrate utilization. Microbial growth can be modelled by the simple Monod equation (Kovar & Egli 1998). However, this equation becomes unsatisfactory for growth in the presence of some inhibitory substances. In such situations, Haldane models are normally used to represent the growth in both lower and higher concentration of inhibitory substance. The Haldane or Andrews model (1968) has the form (Wang & Loh 1999):

\[ \mu = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}}S}{K_s + S + (S^2/K_i)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( K_i \) is the substrate inhibition constant (mg/L). Due to its significance it was widely adopted by most researchers. Aiba et al. (1968) proposed a model to express microbial growth rate as given by:

\[ \mu = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}}S \exp\left(-\frac{S}{K_i}\right)}{K_s + S} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)
Yano & Koga (1969) proposed a model based on a theoretical study on the dynamic behaviour of single vessel continuous fermentation, subject to growth inhibition at high concentration of rate-limiting substrate, e.g. acetic acid fermentation from ethanol, gluconic acid fermentation from glucose. The model form is given as:

$$\mu = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}}}{K_s + S + (S^2/K_1) + (S^3/K_2)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $K_1$, $K_2$ are positive constants. Similarly, Edward (1970) proposed a kinetic model (Equation (5)), which was a modified form of the Haldane model. But he found that his model did not perform better than the Haldane model:

$$\mu = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}} S(1 + (S/K))}{S + K_s + (S^2/K_{si})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $K_{si}$ is the substrate inhibition constant (mg/L) and $K$ is the constant. The Teissier model to predict substrate inhibition at higher substrate concentration (Edward 1970) is given as:

$$\mu = \mu_{\text{max}}[\exp(-S/K_i) - \exp(-S/K_s)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The model proposed by Luong (1987), as represented in Equation (7), appeared to be useful for representing the kinetics of substrate inhibition. Although the proposed model is of the generalized Monod type, it accounts for substrate stimulation at both low and high concentrations. The model has the capability to predict the values of $S_m$, the maximum substrate concentration, above which the growth is completely inhibited:

$$\mu = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}} S}{S + K_s} \left[1 - \frac{S}{S_m}\right]^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Han & Levenspiel (1988) proposed a model (Equation (8)) to express substrate degradation rate. This model involves a delay function, which has an exponential form and incorporates the critical product or substrate concentration corresponding to the inflection point on the growth curve:

$$q = \frac{q_{\text{max}}}{S + K_s} \left[1 - \frac{S}{S_m}\right]^{n}\left[1 - \frac{S}{S_m}\right]^{m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $q$ is the specific substrate degradation rate ($h^{-1}$), $q_{\text{max}}$ the maximum specific substrate degradation rate ($h^{-1}$), $S_m$ the critical inhibitor concentration (mg/L) above which the reactions stops, and $m$ and $n$ are the empirical constants.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Microorganisms and culture acclimatization conditions**

The mixed microbial sludge was collected from a coke oven effluent treatment plant in Durgapur, West Bengal, India. The existing effluent treatment plant is operated on the principle of a suspended growth biological reactor facilitated with an extended aeration system. Effluents from coke ovens contain high to moderate concentrations of different phenolic compounds including m-cresol. So, the collected sludge was assumed to contain some organisms that could degrade phenolic compounds and thus chosen for the present study. The indigenous mixed microbial culture was first acclimatized to m-cresol, so that, microbes could produce m-cresol degrading enzymes in laboratory conditions. For acclimatization of sludge with m-cresol, first the culture was grown at very low concentration of m-cresol (5 mg/L) in a 250 mL conical flask containing 100 mL of mineral salt (MS) medium with 100 mg/L of glucose and 100 mg/L of beef extract, under continuous stirring (110 rpm). The composition (mg/L) of MS medium is: (NH₄)₂SO₄ 230, CaCl₂ 7.5, FeCl₃ 1.0, MnSO₄·H₂O 100, MgSO₄·7H₂O 100, K₂HPO₄ 500, KH₂PO₄ 250 (pH 7.0 ± 0.2). Then glucose and beef extract concentration were gradually decreased by 20 mg/L in every batch and supplemented by increased concentration of m-cresol. Batch process was used for sludge acclimatization. After 3 months of acclimatization, the sludge was changed to MS medium with m-cresol as sole carbon and energy source up to a concentration of 700 mg/L.

**Analytical procedure**

$m$-cresol concentration was analytically estimated by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Shimadzu) equipped with an ultraviolet-visible (UV-VIS) detector and C18 column. The mobile phase used was an acetonitrile and water mixture (60:40). The flow rate of the eluent was
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set to 1 mL/min and the detection wavelength was 275 nm. The biomass growth in the sample was monitored by measuring its absorbance at 600 nm wavelength using a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Shimadzu). Then biomass concentration was calculated from a standard graph plotted as dry cell mass of microbial culture vs. optical density measured at 600 nm (Saravaran et al. 2008). All the chemicals and other reagents were purchased from Merck®, India.

**Batch biodegradation study**

$m$-cresol biodegradation experiments using the mixed microbial culture were carried out in a 3 L capacity bioreactor with air supplied by a mini air compressor for necessary aeration. Compressed air was fed to the reactor through an air filter at 2.5 L/min. In every batch the total volume of synthetic wastewater was 1 L. Experiments were performed in a batch reactor containing MS medium with $m$-cresol as sole carbon and energy source. An inoculum of 60 mL was added to the bioreactor for each set of experiments by direct transfer, under aseptic conditions, of freshly $m$-cresol-acclimatized culture to MS medium with $m$-cresol at different concentrations. Initial $m$-cresol concentration in the MS medium for the biodegradation kinetics study was: 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600 and 700 mg/L. Samples were withdrawn at predetermined time intervals, after which, the biomass concentration and the residual $m$-cresol concentrations were analysed. For each initial concentration of $m$-cresol, experiments were carried out in triplicate under identical conditions and the average values are reported. All the experiments were done until the concentration of residual $m$-cresol in the reactor was found to reach equilibrium concentration at the specific time. For each reaction batch, specific growth rates of the culture have been calculated and fitted in several substrate inhibition models, as described in the Introduction.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Effect of initial $m$-cresol concentration on its own biodegradation**

Figure 1 shows the time course profile of $m$-cresol biodegradation by the mixed culture. It was seen that the mixed culture could degrade up to 700 mg/L $m$-cresol completely in almost 73 hr. The time taken by the mixed culture to degrade $m$-cresol depended on its initial concentration (Figure 1). It was found that the biodegradation rate increased with the increase in $m$-cresol concentration up to 500 mg/L, but then started decreasing. A maximum rate ($dS/dθ$) of 10 mg/(L.hr) was obtained at initial $m$-cresol concentration of 500 mg/L. At concentration of 600 mg/L, the degradation rate was 9.677 mg/(L.hr). The rate was less than 9.677 mg/(L.hr) for 50 and 700 mg/L.

**Effect of $m$-cresol concentration on the growth of the culture**

Higher $m$-cresol concentrations had an inhibitory effect on microbial growth. The growth profile of the culture at different initial $m$-cresol concentrations is shown in Figure 2. It was observed that $m$-cresol concentration below 200 mg/L showed almost no inhibitory effect, as the lag phase of growth was very short. For concentrations higher than 200 mg/L, the lag phase was longer. This resulted in a longer degradation time for higher initial concentration (Figure 1). At initial concentrations...
above 200 mg/L, there was distinct substrate inhibition. Yan et al. (2010) showed substrate inhibition in mutated C. tropicalis culture with initial m-cresol concentration above 50 mg/L. Wang et al. (2009) also reported substrate inhibition above 50 mg/L of m-cresol as seen by the decrease in the specific growth rate of Candida albicans PDY-07 under anaerobic conditions. It can be concluded that the mixed microbial culture used in the present study had greater resistance to substrate inhibition by m-cresol compared to results reported in the literature for m-cresol biodegradation by pure culture. The mixed culture had greater potential to degrade m-cresol of higher concentration more efficiently than the pure cultures. In the present study, the specific growth rate of the culture increased (highest $\mu = 0.06345 \text{ hr}^{-1}$) up to initial m-cresol concentration of 200 mg/L (Figures 3 and 4). For initial concentration higher than 200 mg/L, specific growth rate decreases and became lowest at 700 mg/L ($\mu = 0.0306 \text{ hr}^{-1}$) of m-cresol.

**Variation of yield coefficient with initial m-cresol concentration**

The batch experiment data for biomass growth and m-cresol degradation at different initial m-cresol concentrations were used to calculate the yield coefficient Yx/s. At one value of initial m-cresol concentration (X – Xo) divided by (So – S) for the entire batch period gives the value of yield coefficient. Figure 5 shows the variation of yield coefficient with initial m-cresol concentration. The yield coefficient decreased with the increase in initial m-cresol concentration. The yield coefficient varied marginally when initial m-cresol concentration was below 300 mg/L, but decreased drastically above this concentration. This occurred because there was no or very little inhibition by substrate on the growth of the culture below 300 mg/L of initial m-cresol. But as the initial substrate concentration increased, the substrate inhibition played a major resistive role on the growth of the culture and thus sharply decreased the value of the yield coefficient. Similar results of decreasing Yx/s with
increase in substrate concentration in the inhibitory region have been reported previously (Singh et al. 2008).

**Exploration of best-fit kinetic model for m-cresol biodegradation**

Figure 1 shows that the pattern of m-cresol removal throughout the respective biodegradation period is similar for each initial m-cresol concentration. Figures 3 and 4 show the comparative plots of experimental specific growth rates ($\mu = (1/X)(dX/dT)$) and the rates predicted by the models as given by Equations (1)–(8) and solved by MATLAB® 7.1. The trend of experimental specific growth rates with initial m-cresol concentration shows that $\mu$ increases as the m-cresol concentration increases, rises to a peak value and finally decreases. The value of maximum specific growth rate was found to be equal to 0.06345 hr$^{-1}$ and it is achieved at initial m-cresol concentration of 200 mg/L (Figures 3 and 4). In the present study, the growth kinetic (substrate inhibition) models (except Monod) were fitted well to the experimental data. The Han-Levenspiel and Luong models fitted reasonably well as determined by the root mean square error (RMSE = 0.001349) calculated between experimental and the model predicted specific growth rate values. The biokinetic constants of growth of the culture obtained from these models along with RMSE between experimental and predicted rate values are shown in Table 1. The Han-Levenspiel and Luong models predicts marginal differences in both $K_s$ and $\mu_{\text{max}}$ values, but do not differ in RMSE calculated between experimental and model predicted specific growth rates. These models also predicts the critical substrate concentration ($S_m$) value, at which specific growth rate fall to zero (1,111 and 1,109 mg/L, respectively). Here the $S_m$ value from both models agreed well with the experimental results. The $K_s$ value predicted by the Han-Levenspiel (18.13 mg/L) and Luong models (16.97 mg/L) are very low. A low value of $K_s$ indicates high affinity of the culture towards the substrate indicating possible high rate of m-cresol degradation by this culture. Another study by Saravaran et al. (2009) on biodegradation of m-cresol as sole carbon source showed that mixed microbial culture could biodegrade up to 900 mg/L. The Luong and Han-Levenspiel models were fitted well for their study. But compared to the present study, the $K_s$ value found by them for the Luong (94.50 mg/L) and Han-Levenspiel (77.75 mg/L) models were higher. From the $K_s$ point of view, the culture used in the present study is a potential mixed culture to degrade m-cresol at its high concentration. The difference in the models predicted kinetic constant values for the present experiment is, perhaps, due to the fact that the two models were originally developed for systems containing a different microorganism and substrate. Table 2 shows the comparison of biokinetic
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**Table 1** List of kinetic coefficients predicted by different substrate inhibition models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{max}}$ (hr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$K_s$ (mg/L)</th>
<th>$K_i$</th>
<th>$K_i$</th>
<th>$K_s$</th>
<th>$S_m$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haldane</td>
<td>0.1204</td>
<td>44.07</td>
<td>296.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.003659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han-Levenspiel</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>18.13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,109</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luong</td>
<td>0.08411</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.001349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>98.23</td>
<td>80.56</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.005204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aiba</td>
<td>0.1001</td>
<td>28.49</td>
<td>644.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.002532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teissier</td>
<td>0.08282</td>
<td>34.03</td>
<td>774.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.003337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yano and Koga</td>
<td>0.09394</td>
<td>26.09</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>K1 = 784.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.002694</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The kinetics of \( m \)-cresol degradation were studied under aerobic conditions in a batch reactor using an indigenous mixed microbial culture, isolated from an effluent treatment section of a coke oven plant. The culture could grow and biodegrade \( m \)-cresol up to 700 mg/L. However, \( m \)-cresol exhibited inhibition to growth rate above 200 mg/L of its initial concentration. Specific growth rates of the culture under different initial \( m \)-cresol concentration from 50 to 700 mg/L have been calculated. By fitting specific growth rates on suitable substrate inhibition models, biokinetics constant that are necessary to understand the kinetics of biodegradation process were evaluated by MATLAB\textsuperscript{©} 7.1 software. RMSEs between the experimental specific growth rates and the model predicted values have been calculated for different substrate inhibition models. It is observed that the models that best fit the present study are the Han-Levenspiel and Luong models which have the lowest RMSE value of 0.001349 and predict reasonable kinetic coefficient values. Therefore, the mixed culture used in the present work is a potential culture that can be used for \( m \)-cresol biodegradation under aerobic conditions in real life wastewater treatment.
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### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Microbial strains</th>
<th>Type of cresol</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>Concentration range (mg/L)</th>
<th>( \mu_{\text{max}} ) (hr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Ks (mg/L)</th>
<th>Ki (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Maeda et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Mixed culture</td>
<td>( o )-Cresol</td>
<td>Slurry bioreactor</td>
<td>30–600</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>125.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Acuna-Arguelles et al. (2003)</td>
<td>Mixed culture</td>
<td>( p )-Cresol</td>
<td>Series batch system</td>
<td>Multisubstrate each 100</td>
<td>1.0044</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yan et al. (2006)</td>
<td><em>Candida tropicalis</em></td>
<td>( m )-Cresol</td>
<td>Batch system</td>
<td>0–320</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Huchinson &amp; Robinson (1988)</td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas putida</em></td>
<td>( p )-Cresol</td>
<td>Bubble column fermenter</td>
<td>0–200</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>R. Singh et al. (2008)</td>
<td><em>Gliomastix indicus</em> MTCC 3869</td>
<td>( p )-Cresol</td>
<td>Batch reactor</td>
<td>10–700</td>
<td>0.8009</td>
<td>42.37</td>
<td>43.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yao et al. (2011)</td>
<td><em>Lysinibacillus cresolivans</em></td>
<td>( m )-Cresol</td>
<td>Batch system</td>
<td>0–1,200</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>426.25</td>
<td>51.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Saravanan et al. (2009)</td>
<td>Mixed culture</td>
<td>( m )-Cresol</td>
<td>Batch system</td>
<td>0–900</td>
<td>0.6819</td>
<td>79.14</td>
<td>204.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>Mixed culture</td>
<td>( m )-Cresol</td>
<td>Batch reactor</td>
<td>50–700</td>
<td>0.1204</td>
<td>44.07</td>
<td>296.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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