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Maiztequi, J. I. (1979). Efficacy of immune plasma in infection at any age. Approximately 80% of the patients belong to the paediatric age group and more than half of these children are less than two years old. Vaccines effective against all types of the three principal pathogens— Haemophilus influenzae, Neisseria meningitidis, and Streptococcus pneumoniae—and immunogenic at any age including young infants, are the ultimate goal in order to reduce or even eliminate morbidity and mortality of this infection (Schaad, 1982, 1984). However, the currently available capsular polysaccharide preparations are far from such ideal vaccines (Mäkelä, 1982; Douglas et al., 1983; Lepow & Gold, 1983; Hill, 1983; Peltola et al., 1984). Close contacts of patients with invasive H. influenzae type b or meningococcal disease show a higher prevalence of nasopharyngeal carriage and are at considerably increased risk of contracting the disease (The Meningococcal Disease Surveillance Group, 1976; Ward et al., 1979; Shapiro, 1982). Effective eradication of the nasopharyngeal bacteria by antimicrobial agents is possible. These facts explain the interest in chemoprophylaxis for the prevention of secondary cases in close contacts of patients with bacterial meningitis.

The key issue in the management of contacts remains clear education as to the need for close and careful surveillance because chemoprophylaxis will never result in absolute prevention of secondary cases. Throat or nasopharyngeal cultures do not contribute to the identification or management of contacts (Schaad, 1982, 1984).

The attack rate in close contacts of patients with meningococcal disease (meningitis or meningococcaemia) is 400 to 1200 times that of the general population (The Meningococcal Disease Surveillance Group, 1976; Shapiro, 1982; Peltola, 1983). Young children are at greatest risk, but secondary meningococcal disease occurs in all age groups. The candidates for prophylaxis are persons of all ages after contact with a case of invasive meningococcal infection within the week prior to the onset of disease in the household, day-care centre, military barracks or chronic care institution, and after intimate contact such as mouth-to-mouth resuscitation or kissing. Normal contact at work, at school, and by medical personnel does not represent a significant risk and does not require prophylaxis. Since the index patient often continues to be colonized with meningococci despite clinical cure and may therefore reintroduce the organism to his close contacts, the patient should probably also receive adequate chemoprophylaxis before discharged from the hospital. Before the emergence of sulphonamide-resistant meningococci, prophylaxis with sulphonamides was clearly effective both in preventing disease and eradicating the carrier state (Glasgow, 1980). Today, in non-endemic areas, approximately 25% of meningococci causing meningitis are resistant to sulphonamides. Penicillin, ampicillin, eryth-
Concerning the eradication of nasopharyngeal colonization of meningococci, it is important to note that many antimicrobial agents are not effective against H. influenzae in vitro. Most studies of rifampicin have been ineffective in eliminating nasopharyngeal carriage. These include ampicillin, cefaclor, ceftriaxone-sulfisoxazole, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim-rifampicin. The majority of studies of rifampicin at 20 mg/kg orally in a single daily dose (maximum, 600 mg) for four days report a 90 to 95% efficacy in the eradication of nasopharyngeal H. influenzae type b colonization in household, day-care centre and institutional contacts (Shapiro & Wald, 1980; Murphy et al., 1983). In a multicentre field trial coordinated by the US Centers for Disease Control, household and day-care centre contacts were randomized to receive rifampicin prophylaxis or placebo. There was a significant diminution in secondary cases among rifampicin recipients—no case among the 1112 rifampicin treated contacts versus four secondary cases among the 765 placebo-treated contacts (Band et al., 1984). Based on this single preliminary experience the American Academy of Pediatrics (Committee on Infectious Diseases) formulated recommendations for the use of rifampicin prophylaxis of contacts of patients with H. influenzae type b colonization (AAP, 1984). Over 50% of secondary cases occur in the first week after hospitalization of the index patient. In the age group of less than two years and of two to four years, the attack rates were 200- to 400-fold higher than endemic rates in open populations.

At present chemoprophylaxis of H. influenzae type b meningitis is a hotly debated issue. Recent reports from the United States demonstrated that household and day-care centre contacts of H. influenzae type b meningitis are at increased risk of developing the infection (Ward et al., 1979; Granoff & Daum, 1980; Band, 1981; Shapiro, 1982). In a preliminary experience the American Academy of Pediatrics (Committee on Infectious Diseases) formulated recommendations for the use of rifampicin prophylaxis of contacts of patients with H. influenzae type b meningitis (AAP, 1984). This latest policy does not now include day-care centre and nursery school contacts (individual approach) and is concentrated on all contacts in households where there are children (other than the index case) less than four years old.

There are several factors inherent in rifampicin prophylaxis which in the opinion of many specialists in paediatric infectious diseases must exclude its routine recommendation at the present time (Shapiro, 1982; Daum & Halsey, 1982; Mann & Hull, 1983; Murphy et al., 1983; Schaad, 1984): (1) Extended controlled experience on both epidemiology and rifampicin prophylaxis is needed from different countries including Western Europe, where day-care centres and nursery schools are less popular than in North America. (2) Failure of prophylaxis and recolonization at one to four weeks after rifampicin administration are most common in young children who are at greatest risk for disease. (3) Emergence of rifampicin-resistant H. influenzae type b strains after prophylaxis does occur. (4) Very large numbers of contacts should theoretically receive chemoprophylaxis in order to reduce secondary cases optimally. (5) Prevention and eradication of colonization may abate the physiological immunizing effect of inapparent infection. (6) Possible side effects and drug costs of rifampicin represent important logistic problems for widespread use of this agent.
Based on these six points it is concluded, that routine use of rifampicin for prevention of secondary *H. influenzae* type b disease in close contacts is not indicated. Close and careful surveillance of the exposed children is essential. Prophylactic rifampicin may be prescribed for all household contacts (as soon as possible) and the index patient (before hospital discharge) if there are household members of less than four years of age and if there are special circumstances such as an already damaged child, profound fear or extremely crowded conditions.
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**Hypoprothrombinemia caused by cephalosporins**

Cephalosporin-induced hypoprothrombinemia has been reviewed about two years ago in this Journal (Smith & Lipsky, 1983). New information has rapidly accumulated since then, and many problems which allowed only speculation one year ago, can now be discussed on the basis of firm evidence. This leading article addresses the problem of whether cephalosporins cause hypoprothrombinemia by interfering with colonic microflora or with hepatic metabolism of vitamin K.

Clinical observations (Holt, Gorrochategui & Perez, 1981; Pakter et al., 1982; Schwigon &