

Gestational Diabetes

State of the Union

DONALD R. COUSTAN, MD

In November 1990 investigators and clinicians from around the world met in Chicago to participate in the Third International Workshop-Conference on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Representatives of various interested organizations, including the American Diabetes Association, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, American Academy of Pediatrics, National Diabetes Advisory Board, Centers for Disease Control, and Diabetic Pregnancy Study Group of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes, were active participants. The proceedings were published as a supplement to *Diabetes* in the December 1991 issue. It would be impossible to review each of the 41 published articles in this brief commentary, but anyone interested in the current issues and controversies surrounding gestational diabetes should have a copy because it is a major source of information for years to come. A review of the highlights of the summary and recommendations, which comprise the last article in the supplement, should convey a sense of the issues that were dealt with.

The proceedings were dedicated to the memories of two very significant contributors to these meetings, and to our understanding of diabetic pregnancy in general. Dr. Norbert Freinkel, who had planned the first two International Workshop-Conferences, died ~1 yr before this

most recent meeting. His death was a tragic loss for the medical and scientific communities, and a Memorial Symposium was held in his memory at the conference. Dr. Ronald Kalkhoff, cochairman of the workshop-conference, died shortly after the meeting was completed.

Four panels were formed to consider possible recommendations in the areas of 1) diagnosis and prevalence, 2) perinatal implications, 3) long-range implications, and 4) management strategies. Once the panels had reached consensus, their reports were presented to the entire group of >250 attendees. Somewhat lively discussions ensued, which led to a number of revisions.

With regard to screening and diagnosis of gestational diabetes, the group reaffirmed its support of universal screening with a 50-g 1-h glucose challenge at 24–28 wk, but acknowledged that the 7.8-mM (140-mg/dl) threshold for further testing was arrived at by consensus and may miss a proportion of individuals with gestational diabetes. Lowering the threshold to detect these additional cases would, however, entail a substantial increase in the number of full glucose tolerance tests to be performed, therefore the group did not alter the threshold recommendation. Similarly, the group acknowledged that the diagnostic thresholds for the 100-g 3-h oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), con-

verted from the O'Sullivan and Mahan (1) criteria, which were recommended at previous conferences, probably overcorrected for method changes. Because, as described herein, there was a consensus favoring the collection of data leading to the formulation of new criteria for gestational diabetes, the group decided not to recommend lowering the current criteria at the present time.

The panel made some practical recommendations, including the suggestion that a plasma glucose value ≥ 11.1 mM (200 mg/dl) in a situation that is not a formal OGTT or a truly fasting plasma glucose ≥ 7.8 mM (140 mg/dl) suggests the diabetic state and warrants further investigation. This investigation might consist of fasting and postprandial glucose measurements. If such tests are normal, then a formal OGTT may be in order. Furthermore, the panel recognized that the recommendation for screening at 24–28 wk should not preclude earlier testing if there is a strong index of suspicion. As in the previous set of recommendations, the use of test strips and reflectance meters for screening and diagnostic testing was discouraged because of insufficient precision and accuracy.

The panel devoted most of its time to outlining the need for prospective carefully controlled studies, with well-defined outcome criteria, to derive thresholds for the 75-g OGTT to diagnose gestational diabetes. It is hoped that international use of the same glucose challenge will allow for comparability of data between the pregnant and nonpregnant state, and among different populations. Most importantly, those in attendance strongly believed that future diagnostic criteria should be based on objective measures of pregnancy outcome.

The panel on Perinatal Implications noted that with the current approaches to management fetal death rates in gestational diabetic pregnancies appear to be no higher than those in the general population. They devoted most of their discussions to perinatal morbidity, in particular macrosomia. They cited increasing

FROM THE BROWN UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, WOMEN AND INFANTS HOSPITAL OF RHODE ISLAND, PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND.

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO DONALD R. COUSTAN, MD, BROWN UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, WOMEN AND INFANTS HOSPITAL OF RHODE ISLAND, 101 DUDLEY STREET, PROVIDENCE, RI 02905-2401.

