

Impact of speciation on removal of manganese and organic matter by nanofiltration

Annalisa De Munari and Andrea I. Schäfer

ABSTRACT

The removal of manganese and humic acid (HA) by two nanofiltration membranes, TFC-SR2 and TFC-SR3, was investigated in order to highlight the influence of speciation on manganese and HA retention. Manganese speciation and complexation with HA were modelled to understand how speciation could affect NF removal mechanisms. The behaviour of the two membranes was dramatically different in terms of manganese retention. Manganese retention for TFC-SR3 was higher and dominated by size exclusion. Manganese retention for TFC-SR2 varied with pH. At pH 7 (i.e. the pH of most natural waters) manganese retention for TFC-SR2 was about 45% versus 90% for TFC-SR3, with fluxes of about 75 and 25 l m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively. Both membranes showed very high retention of HA (about 80%); therefore they are suitable for surface waters where no salt removal is required. Manganese deposit on both membranes was generally low (<10%), but increased at pH 10 and 12 as manganese deposited as precipitated MnCO₃, and the membranes showed a yellow-brownish layer.

Key words | humic acids, manganese, nanofiltration, speciation

Annalisa De Munari (corresponding author)

Andrea I. Schäfer

School of Engineering,
University of Edinburgh,
Edinburgh EH9 3JL,
UK

Tel.: +44 (0) 131 650 7860;

Fax: +44 (0) 131 650 6781

E-mail: A.De-Munari@ed.ac.uk

INTRODUCTION

Manganese is one of the most abundant elements on Earth and it occurs in surface water and groundwater naturally and as a result of human activities. Manganese is considered harmful to public health at concentrations higher than 0.4 mg l⁻¹. A concentration of manganese exceeding 0.1 mg l⁻¹ creates aesthetic problems of undesirable metallic taste and coloured water at the consumer tap and several countries have set their regulatory limits to 0.05 mg l⁻¹ (WHO 2004).

In Scotland, surface waters constitute the majority of the water supply and often contain a high concentration of natural organic matter (NOM), as well as iron and manganese (Grose *et al.* 1998). Water quality data collected by Scottish Water during 2004, 2005 and 2006 at 524 raw water sample points throughout Scotland showed that iron and manganese exceeded the Scottish maximum permissible values (0.05 mg l⁻¹) more frequently than

aluminium, arsenic, fluoride, lead and nitrite. In particular, manganese was detected at concentrations up to 20 mg l⁻¹ (Allen 2008).

Manganese is known to form complexes with humic acids (HA) and tannic acids (TA) (Guy & Chakrabarti 1976; Lee 1983; Chiswell & Mokhtar 1987; Nifant'eva *et al.* 1999). HA represent the largest portion of NOM. NOM is a precursor of disinfection by-products, such as trihalo-methanes, which are considered carcinogenic (Jacangelo *et al.* 1995). HA are complex and heterogeneous mixtures of high to low molecular species containing both aromatic and aliphatic components with primarily carboxylic and phenolic functional groups. Most HA groups dissociate at pH > 4 and have a negative charge and are insoluble at pH < 2 (Hayes *et al.* 1989).

Removal of manganese together with organic matter is therefore of primary importance in order to comply with

regulations and ensure that problems of coloured water do not occur. Manganese is conventionally removed by filtration preceded by vigorous oxidation or as a part of the clarification process. Oxidation of manganese to precipitated MnO_2 is an autocatalytic process and requires strong oxidants (ozone, chlorine or potassium permanganate) and a catalyser (manganese ore or filter sand that has to be pre-treated with manganese). The process is difficult to start-up, the filterability of MnO_2 is low and when manganese concentration is higher than 5 mg l^{-1} too much solid is produced that shortens filtration cycles and makes the process unprofitable. Furthermore, process control is difficult for waters of variable quality, such as surface waters (Ellis *et al.* 2000). The traditional clarification process has proven to be insufficient for manganese removal; moreover, the presence of dissolved organic matter increases the coagulant demand and further decreases the removal (Mijatovic *et al.* 2004; Potgieter *et al.* 2005a). In Scotland, manganese exceeded its regulatory limit more frequently than the other inorganic elements (included iron) in sample points at the outlet of conventional water treatment plants, indicating insufficient removal to achieve the desired standard (Allen 2008).

Removal of manganese alone or with organic matter by microfiltration (MF) and ultrafiltration (UF) processes has been investigated in several studies, as summarized in Table 1. Soluble manganese passes through MF and UF membranes and pre-treatments that precipitate manganese are necessary to achieve effective removal. Strong oxidation has been shown to be the most effective pre-treatment but this increases the cost of the process, both in economical and environmental terms.

Nanofiltration (NF) membranes have been applied in recent decades for the removal of specific inorganic compounds (such as arsenic, uranium and iron) and organic matter (Van der Bruggen & Vandecasteele 2003). However, only a limited number of studies have examined removal of manganese by NF membranes (Molinari *et al.* 2001; Lastra *et al.* 2004; Potgieter *et al.* 2005b). Lastra *et al.* (2004) studied manganese and organic removal in the effluent of a pulp mill, characterized by organic content and temperature much higher than those found in natural waters. As a consequence, available results cannot be applied to water treatment. Molinari *et al.* (2001) performed manganese

removal tests with 'tap water', but information on water and membrane characteristics was not provided. Potgieter *et al.* (2005b) used NF membranes for removal of iron and manganese in the presence of dissolved organic matter in a South African river. Manganese concentrations in the river water were very low ($0.15\text{--}0.29 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$), probably similar to the instrument detection limit, increasing the detection error in the membrane permeate. Different samples with different solute concentrations were used, meaning that results were not comparable in a consistent way. The Fyne process using NF PCI membranes has been reported to work satisfactorily for the removal of organic matter, manganese and iron in North America and Scotland (Grose *et al.* 1998; Pearson 2001; Wittmann & Thorsen 2004). However, the obtained removal rates were site and condition dependent and they cannot be generalized. This invites a systematic approach to elucidate underlying mechanisms applicable to water treatment.

The results obtained in the reviewed studies are dependent on the feed water characteristics and on the particular conditions in which the experiments were carried on and they cannot be easily compared. In these studies NF was shown to be a suitable process for manganese removal; however its removal mechanisms were not consistently investigated and membrane characteristics (morphology and charge) affecting retention were not taken into account. Furthermore, the impact of manganese speciation and complexation with organic matter was not taken into consideration. Although NF is known to remove divalent co-ions, removal is solute specific because of solute speciation and different interaction with the membranes. Relating solute removal and flux to solution pH has been shown to be mandatory for understanding removal mechanisms by NF membranes, as the variation of solution pH affects not only membrane characteristics but also the different forms (species) at which solutes exist (Childress & Elimelech 2000). Despite this, the number of NF studies in which a detailed knowledge of solute speciation is related to the membrane removal mechanisms is limited.

In consequence, the objectives of this study were to:

- (1) Model manganese speciation in presence of HA.
- (2) Investigate and quantify the influence of pH and speciation on removal of manganese and HA by NF.

Table 1 | Summary of manganese removal studies with MF and UF membranes

Feed water	Source	Process	Pretreatment	Mn Removal	Reference
Mn	Artificial	MF	Aeration pH level of 9.7 H ₂ O ₂ + UV irradiation	Not effective 97% Not effective	Abdur Rahman <i>et al.</i> (2000)
Mn	Artificial	MF	H ₂ O ₂ + UV irradiation at pH 9.3 Mn sand	95% after 50 h Over 95%	Teng <i>et al.</i> (2001)
Mn	Natural groundwater	MF	Fluidised-bed with Cl ₂ oxidation Fluidised-bed with biological oxidation Fixed-bed with biological oxidation	90% 87% 100%	Takizawa <i>et al.</i> (2001)
Mn and Fe	Artificial Natural groundwater	MF	Oxidation + KMnO ₄	96%	Ellis <i>et al.</i> (2000)
Mn and Fe	Artificial	MF/ UF	Aeration KMnO ₄ ClO ₂ NaClO	Not effective Not effective Not effective 90%	Jimbo & Goto (2001)
Mn	Artificial	UF	Polyacrylic acid dosed to form Mn complexes	Almost 100% at pH 9, 5% at pH 4	Han <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Mn	Artificial	UF	None	14.7–17.4%	Kabsch-Korbutowicz & Winnicki (1996)
Mn and HA	River water	MF	Biological oxidation PAC + biological oxidation	90% but bacteria not effective at water temperature < 5°C	Suzuki <i>et al.</i> (1998)
Mn and HA	Artificial	UF	None	17–38%	Kabsch-Korbutowicz & Winnicki (1996)
Mn, Fe and NOM	Dam water spiked with MnCl ₂ and FeCl ₂	UF	Chlorination (3 mg l ⁻¹ of Cl ₂)	31%	Choo <i>et al.</i> (2005)

(3) Explain the mechanism of manganese and HA removal by two NF membranes.

In order to study manganese and HA removal, TFC-SR2 and TFC-SR3S NF membranes were selected and experiments were carried out at pH ranging from 4 to 12. TFC-SR2 and TFC-SR3 present attractive characteristics for manganese and HA removal, since they have high organics retention and partial retention of inorganics. Speciation of

manganese and complexation of manganese and HA were modelled to interpret retention results.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Experiments were conducted in ultra-pure water obtained by PuraLab Ultra (Elga LabWater, UK). All chemicals were



Figure 1 | Stirred cells filtration system.

of analytical grade. Manganese as MnCl_2 was purchased from Fisher Scientific UK and HA from Sigma Aldrich UK. Characteristics of Aldrich HA can be found in the literature (Malcom & MacCarthy 1986; Kim *et al.* 1990). Manganese was used at a concentration of 5 mg l^{-1} and HA of 5 mg Cl^{-1} , as these are representative concentrations for Scottish waters (Allen 2008). Electrolyte background solution consisted of 1 mM NaHCO_3 and 20 mM NaCl , both supplied by Fisher Scientific. pH was adjusted with 1 M HCl and NaOH (Fisher Scientific, UK). Nitric acid for sample conservation was purchased from Aristar VWR International, UK.

Stirred cell filtration system

Experiments were performed with an apparatus consisting of three stainless steel stirred cells in parallel, described elsewhere (Neale 2009) and depicted in Figure 1. Cells had a volume of 990 ml and a diameter of 70 mm , resulting in a membrane area of 38.5 cm^2 . The cells were pressurized with filtered lab air; the pressure was kept constant and

automatically measured every minute with a pressure transducer (Omega Engineering, UK). Temperature inside the cells and permeate collected on an electronic balance (Advancer Pro, Ohaus, UK) were measured every minute. A digital magnetic stirrer (Fisher Scientific, UK) was used at speed of 300 rpm .

Membranes

Two proprietary thin-film composite (TFC) membranes made by a thin layer of polyamide cast on a layer of microporous polysulfone, TFC-SR2 and TFC-SR3, supplied by Koch Membrane, UK, were selected for this study (Koch Membrane System Fluid System Datasheets, http://www.kochmembrane.com/support_nf_lit.html). All experiments were performed with one batch flat sheet membrane sample provided by the manufacturer and a new membrane was used for each experiment. Membrane characteristics are summarized in Table 2. Permeability was calculated as the average of all measurements performed in this study. Pore radius and molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) were determined using the methodology described by Nghiem *et al.* (2004).

The membrane contact angle has been measured using the sessile drop method with a contact angle measuring instrument (Easy Drop, Kruss, Germany). Point of zero charge was measured with an electrokinetic analyser (EKA, Anton Paar KG, Graz, Austria) with the same background electrolyte used in the experiments (1 mM NaHCO_3 and 20 mM NaCl). TFC-SR2 and TFC-SR3 membranes are amphoteric; that is, they have ionizable carboxylic and amine groups and their surface zeta potential is positive at pH lower than the point of zero charge owing to the protonation of amine groups ($\text{=NH}_2 \rightarrow \text{=NH}_3^+$) and

Table 2 | Characteristics of TFC-SR2 and TFC-SR3 membranes

	MWCO (g mol^{-1})	Pore radius (nm)	Retention [†] MgSO_4 (%)	Retention [†] NaCl (%)	Average permeability [‡] ($\text{l h}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ bar}^{-1}$)	Point of zero charge [§] (pH)	Contact angle (°)
TFC-SR2	486	0.52	95	21	16.1	4.25 ± 0.01	61.5 ± 2.5
TFC-SR3	167	0.38	99.4	30	5.7	3.84 ± 0.01	48.5 ± 1.4

^{*}Koch Membrane System Fluid Datasheets (http://www.kochmembrane.com/support_nf_lit.html).

[†] 20 mM NaCl ; pressure: 5 bar .

[‡]Average of all measurements performed in the study.

[§]Background electrolyte 1 mM NaHCO_3 and 20 mM NaCl .

negative at higher pH, owing to the deprotonation of carboxylic groups ($\equiv\text{COOH} \rightarrow \equiv\text{COO}^-$) (Childress & Elimelech 1996). The two membranes showed similar surface charge characteristics, with similar point of zero charge (at pH 4.25 for TFC-SR2 and pH 3.84 for TFC-SR3) and similar zeta potential at pH 12 (-26.7 ± 9.2 for TFC-SR2 and -26.8 ± 5.5 for TFC-SR3), while TFC-SR2 was slightly more positively charged at pH 3.5 (2.2 ± 4.7 for TFC-SR2 and 1.2 ± 5.7 for TFC-SR3).

Experimental protocol

The experimental protocol was as follows: the membrane was thoroughly rinsed with ultra-pure water, stored in ultra-pure water overnight and compacted for an hour at 8 bar (800 kPa). Pure water flux was measured at 5 bar for 30 min before each experiment. Feed solution (500 ml), prepared the day before and stirred overnight at 100 rpm, was placed in the cell and pressurized at 5 bar. Permeate was collected in three aliquots of 40 ml each (24% recovery). Pure water flux was measured at 5 bar for 30 min after the experiment.

Three types of experiment were conducted:

1. Mn^{2+} only: feed solution contained 5 mg l^{-1} of Mn^{2+} and background electrolyte. A stock solution of 100 mg l^{-1} of Mn^{2+} was acidified and stored at $<4^\circ\text{C}$.
2. HA only: feed solution contained 5 mg Cl^{-1} of HA and background electrolyte. A stock solution of 100 mg Cl^{-1} of HA was wrapped in aluminium foil and stored at $<4^\circ\text{C}$ for not more than 2 weeks.
3. Mn^{2+} and HA: feed solutions contained 5 mg l^{-1} of Mn^{2+} , 5 mg Cl^{-1} of HA and background electrolyte.

Error bars were determined by considering pure water flux variation due to the use of different membrane samples, variation in temperature and pressure during different experiments and within the same experiment and error in determining solute concentration due to error in pipette, in balance, volumetric flask and instrumental error. Pure water flux variations were found to be 4.3% for TFC-SR2 and 8.5% for TFC-SR3, the instrumental error for ICP-OES (inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy) was 3.6% and for total organic carbon (TOC) was 5.8%, while the other factors had lower variations. Therefore,

variation in pure water flux was used to determine variation in retention and deposit for the membranes, with the exception of experiments with HA using TFC-SR2 where error due to TOC was considered instead.

Analytical methods

Samples and blanks were analysed for manganese with ICP-OES (Perking Elmer Optima 5,300 DV, UK) after being acidified with nitric acid to pH <2 for conservation (Standard Methods 1998). Calibration standards were made using ICP multi-element standard and manganese standard (Merck, Germany) and verified using a certified reference material, ICP multi-element standard solutions VI (CertiPUR, Germany). TOC was measured with a TOC $V_{\text{CPH/CPN}}$ Shimadzu analyser in a non-purgeable organic carbon mode. Calibration standards were made using potassium hydrogen phthalate (Acros Organics, UK). Samples were analysed for TOC on the same day of the experiments. Ultraviolet absorbance at 254 nm was measured with a UV Visible Spectrophotometer Cary 100 (Varian, UK). Samples were analysed within a few hours from collection. Conductivity and pH measurements were conducted using a pH/Cond 340i meter (WTW, Germany).

Speciation modelling

Visual MINTEQ 2.5 (US Environmental Protection Agency 1991) was used in this study to model both manganese speciation and manganese and HA complexation as a function of pH. Modelling was carried out for the experimental conditions Mn^{2+} fixed at 5 mg l^{-1} , 20 mM of background electrolyte, pH from 4 to 12 and pressure fixed at 5 bar. It was assumed that no redox reaction for Mn(II) occurred during the experiments, despite the presence in the stirred cells of dissolved oxygen at concentrations higher than in a solution in equilibrium with the atmosphere. The oxidation reaction of Mn(II) into Mn(IV) is very slow (days) in the absence of catalytic effects and aeration alone has been shown to be insufficient to precipitate manganese as MnO_2 , the dominant form of Mn(IV) (Handa 1970; Chiswell & Mokhtar 1986). As a consequence, Mn (II) was considered the only oxidation state for manganese during the experiments.

The NICA-Donnan model present in Visual MINTEQ 2.5 (US Environmental Protection Agency 1991) was chosen as it has been extensively validated over experimental data for several elements, such as H^+ , Ca^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Al^{2+} (Benedetti *et al.* 1995; Christl *et al.* 1995; Kinniburgh *et al.* 1999; Pinheiro *et al.* 2000) and Fe^{3+} (Weber *et al.* 2006a,b). Detailed description of the NICA-Donnan model can be found in the literature (Kinniburgh *et al.* 1999; Merdy *et al.* 2006). NICA sub-model simulates specific metal-NOM binding and uses two binding functions corresponding to the carboxylic and phenolic groups of HA. A continuous distribution of binding sites types is assumed. Donnan sub-model simulates non-specific binding due to the electrostatic forces caused by the net negative charge of dissociated carboxylic and phenolic groups. The organic matter is considered as a gel phase with homogeneous charge and potential distribution and counter-ions are assumed to accumulate in the gel volume. The two sub-models are interrelated, as the concentration of ions accumulated electrostatically competes with the ions bound specifically, and they were used simultaneously. As specific experimental data with manganese ions were not available in the literature, NICA-Donnan model parameters derived by Milne *et al.* (2003) were used. Manganese parameters were estimated using the variation of the hydrolysis behaviour as an indication of the likely binding capacity of humic substance (Milne *et al.* 2003).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Manganese and HA speciation

The distribution of manganese species alone or in the presence of HA was modelled as a function of pH. Modelling the complexation of metals with HA presents several difficulties, due to the heterogeneous and complex nature of humic binding sites, the presence of electrostatic interactions and the competing binding of protons as they interact with the same sites as metals ions (Merdy *et al.* 2006).

Figure 2(a) and (b) shows the percentage of manganese in solution and manganese precipitate in the absence and presence of HA. Figure 2(c) details the concentration of




Figure 2 | Manganese speciation (a) as percentage of total manganese TOTMn; (b) in the presence of HA; (c) as logarithmic of the activity in the absence of HA. Mn concentration: 5 mg l^{-1} , HA concentration: 5 mg Cl^{-1} , pressure: 5 bar, background electrolyte 1 mM NaHCO₃ and 20 mM NaCl.

dissolved manganese, expressed as logarithmic of the activity, versus pH in the absence of HA. Dissolved manganese species in the presence of HA showed the same trends, albeit with slightly different concentrations (results not reported).

At $\text{pH} < 7$, dissolved manganese is mainly present as Mn^{2+} , MnHCO_3^+ , MnOH^+ and MnCO_3 (aq). MnCO_3 precipitation starts at pH 7.1 (dashed line in Figure 2(c)) and it is complete at pH 9.6. Figure 2(a) and (c) is in agreement with published investigations on the chemistry of manganese in natural waters (Chiswell & Mokhtar 1986, 1987).

In the presence of HA, the distribution of dissolved manganese species does not vary greatly and Mn^{2+} , MnHCO_3^+ , MnOH^+ and MnCO_3 (aq) remain the dominant dissolved manganese species (results not presented). As shown in Figure 2(b), MnCO_3 starts precipitating at pH 7.2 and the precipitation is completed at pH 8.5. The presence of HA does not influence the pH at which manganese starts precipitating, but lowers the pH at which the maximum quantity of precipitate is present from pH 9.6 to 8.5. Furthermore, in the presence of HA, there is 35% less precipitation of MnCO_3 as the manganese forms complexes with HA.

The speciation graph obtained in the presence of HA must be used with caution, given the number of assumptions and the use of parameters that have not been experimentally validated. However, the results are in general agreement with the literature. Organic matter was shown to influence the solubility of inorganic compounds (Lee 1983) and in particular to increase metal ion solubility through binding with dissolved organic matter (Kinniburgh *et al.* 1999; Schäfer 2001; Weber *et al.* 2006b). The increase of metal-HA complexes with pH was observed for Fe^{3+} (Weber *et al.* 2006b) and Ca^{2+} (Hong & Elimelech 1997) and this is due to the availability of carboxyl functional groups of HA at higher pH (Hong & Elimelech 1997).

Membrane flux

Figure 3 shows the ratio of pure water flux after (J) and before (J_0) the experiments versus pH . Water flux decline after the experiments was not observed, showing that membrane fouling did not occur. Lack of fouling was attributed to the short duration of the experiments, the low concentrations of the solutes and the relatively low flux obtained in the stirred cells. The absence of fouling allowed relating the obtained retention results directly to the membrane characteristics and solute speciation, without




Figure 3 | Ratio of pure water flux after (J) and before (J_0) experiments as a function of pH . Mn concentration: 5 mg l^{-1} , HA concentration: 5 mg Cl^{-1} , pressure: 5 bar, background electrolyte 1 mM NaHCO_3 and 20 mM NaCl .

considering membrane and solute modification due to the formation of the fouling layer.

In some cases, especially for TFC-SR3, water flux after the experiments was enhanced, resulting in a flux ratio greater than one. This phenomenon was observed in a study by Hong & Elimelech (1997) and was attributed to the hydrophilization of the membrane surface by adsorbed solutes. Adsorption of negative solutes, such as HA, can increase the membrane negative charge, thus its hydrophilicity. However in this study, flux enhancement also occurred for TFC-SR3 when Mn^{2+} alone was present, indicating that ions can also enhance permeability. As shown in Figure 3, TFC-SR2 experienced a slight flux ratio increase at higher pH as a result of pore opening with increased charge repulsion, while no trend could be observed for TFC-SR3.

During the experiments the permeability was relatively constant for both membranes (Figure 4), if variability in flux is taken into account (4.3% for TFC-SR2 and 8.5% for TFC-SR3, error bars not shown for clarity). This was also observed by Schäfer (2001) for other TFC membranes. When electrolyte only was used, TFC-SR2 showed a slight flux increase at pH 8, while maximum flux for TFC-SR3 was obtained at pH 6. This is in contrast with the Donnan exclusion theory that predicts maximum flux and minimum electrolyte retention at the membrane zero point of charge (at around pH 4 for both membranes, see Table 2).




Figure 4 | Permeability of TFC-SR2 and TFC-SR3 as a function of pH. Mn concentration: 5 mg l^{-1} , HA concentration: 5 mg Cl^{-1} , pressure: 5 bar, background electrolyte 1 mM NaHCO_3 and 20 mM NaCl .

Solute retention and precipitation

Manganese and HA retention was calculated for HA only, manganese only and when both were present (Figure 5). Manganese retention was higher for TFC-SR3 membrane in agreement with salt retention reported by the manufacturer and NaCl retention determined in this study (Table 1). Furthermore, manganese retention by TFC-SR3 (Figure 5(b)) did not show high variation with pH (error in calculating manganese retention 7.8%) and it was high (94.7%) at lower pH when manganese is mainly present as dissolved Mn^{2+} (Figure 2). Hydrated radius for Mn^{2+} has been calculated as 0.44 nm (Nightingale 1959), while the calculated pore radius of TFC-SR3 was 0.38 nm (Table 2). Hydrated radius has been shown to be a better predictor of ion passages through membranes than crystal radius and Stokes radius (Tansel *et al.* 2006). When the hydrated radius is larger than the membrane pore radius, as for TFC-SR3, steric hindrance effects are believed to be predominant (Schaep *et al.* 1998). As a consequence, ions are hindered from entering the pores and size exclusion is the main removal mechanism.

Manganese retention by TFC-SR2 (see Figure 5(a)) was high at pH 4 (92.2%) and at pH 10–12 (99.1%) with a minimum (37.3%) at pH 8. At pH 10 and 12 manganese is predominantly present as visible MnCO_3 (s) that precipitated on the membrane and manganese removal was mainly due to size exclusion. Between pH 7 and 4

almost all manganese is present as soluble Mn^{2+} . High manganese retention at pH 4 cannot be explained by steric hindrance, as the hydrated radius of Mn^{2+} (0.44 nm) is smaller than the average pore size of TFC-SR2 (0.52 nm), nor by charge repulsion forces between the membrane surface and the solute, as TFC-SR2 has point of zero charge at pH 4.25 (Table 2). Minimum retention of ions is expected in correspondence to the point of zero charge of the membrane surface, when the repulsion forces are minimum (Mänttari *et al.* 2006). In this study, instead, minimum retention for TFC-SR2 occurred at pH 8, higher than the point of zero charge of the membrane surface.

Childress & Elimelech (2000) observed minimum retention of NaCl at the point of zero charge of the




Figure 5 | Retention of (a) TFC-SR2 and (b) TFC-SR3 as a function of pH. Mn concentration: 5 mg l^{-1} , HA concentration: 5 mg Cl^{-1} , pressure: 5 bar, background electrolyte 1 mM NaHCO_3 and 20 mM NaCl .

membrane pores, which they showed to be higher than the point of zero charge of the membrane surface. When pores are charged, the electrostatic repulsion between the membrane functional groups causes the pore to expand; at their point of zero charge the pore size would not be reduced, flux would be maximum and salt retention minimum. They inferred that, for 'loose' porous membranes, pore charge could be more important than surface charge in controlling flux and salt retention. Pore charge becomes important when solutes are not hindered from entering the pores. When the hydrated radius is smaller than the pore radius, ions can enter the pores and electrostatic and friction forces within the pores acquire importance (Pontalier *et al.* 1997). This mechanism might be confirmed by the experimental results for TFC-SR2, as the flux is maximum at pH 8 (Figure 4) where manganese retention is minimum (Figure 5(a)). This mechanism might also explain the increase of retention at pH 6 and 4, where the pores will be positively charged.

Considering the errors in HA retentions due to flux variation effects and TOC instrumental error (6.9% for TFC-SR2 and 11.4% for TFC-SR3), retention of HA was high for both membranes (about 80%) and did not present a specific trend with pH. These results were confirmed by retention calculated by analysing samples with ultraviolet absorbance at 254 nm (results not presented). The ratio $UV_{254\text{ nm}}/TOC$ (SUVA) was calculated as an indication of the aromaticity of the samples and SUVA retention was determined as a function of pH. Both membranes showed high aromaticity retention (83–93% for TFC-SR2 and 80–100% for TFC-SR3) and no trend with pH could be observed. The presence of manganese did not affect HA retention. Also manganese retention was not affected by the presence of HA, as is evident by the same trend of the curves for Mn^{2+} only and for Mn^{2+} and HA in Figure 5. Even though several studies documented the formation of complexes between manganese and HA (Guy & Chakrabarti 1976; Lee 1983; Chiswell & Mokhtar 1987; Nifant'eva *et al.* 1999) and the NICA-Donnan model predicted that 37% of manganese in solution would complex to HA at pH above 7.2 (Figure 2), a significant enhancement of manganese and HA retention when both elements were present was not observed. In the case of the TFC-SR3 membrane, high retention was already achieved because of size exclusion mechanisms,

while for TFC-SR2, precipitation might overlap with complexation effects.

It can be concluded that, at pH 7 (i.e. the pH of most natural waters), the behaviour of the two membranes was dramatically different in terms of manganese retention. TFC-SR3 presented retention above 95%, due mainly to size exclusion, while TFC-SR2 presented retention of about 45%. At pH 7 both membranes had high retention of HA (about 80%) showing them to be particularly suitable for surface waters. To achieve a drinking water quality of 0.05 mg l^{-1} with the feedwater concentration of 5 mg l^{-1} a retention of 99% is required. This can only be achieved at pH 10 and 12 for both membranes.

Mass deposit on the membrane

Mass deposit on the membranes was determined to substantiate the results obtained in the previous section. The deposit of manganese and HA on the membranes was calculated with the following mass balance equation:

$$M_D = V_F C_F - V_P C_P - V_C C_C \quad (1)$$

where M_D is amount of solute in the deposit, V_F , V_P and V_C are the volume of feed, permeate and concentrate, respectively, and C_F , C_P and C_C are the concentration of feed, permeate and concentrate, respectively.

As shown in Figure 6, the deposit of solutes was low, generally less than 10%. However, when Mn^{2+} only was present in solution, manganese deposit was higher for pH 10 and 12, as manganese deposited as precipitated $MnCO_3$, and the membranes showed a yellow-brownish layer (Figure 6 (a) and (b)). For both membranes, manganese deposit at high pH was generally less when HA were present. Considering the high error associated with the HA mass deposit calculation (10.0%), HA deposit with and without manganese can be considered negligible for both membranes. This result agreed with mass deposit calculations obtained using UV absorbance data (results not presented) and visual observations, confirming that fouling did not occur during the experiments.

The short duration of the experiments and the low concentration of the solutes did not allow fouling conditions to occur. However, in longer filtration operation the presence of HA and manganese precipitation at high




Figure 6 | Percentage of (a) manganese deposit and (b) HA deposit on TFC-SR2 and TFC-SR3 as a function of pH. Mn concentration: 5 mg l^{-1} , HA concentration: 5 mg l^{-1} , pressure: 5 bar, background electrolyte 1 mM NaHCO_3 and 20 mM NaCl.

pH is likely to decrease membrane flux and reduce membrane performance including a decrease of solute retention. Further studies are required to investigate this phenomenon.

CONCLUSIONS

This study investigated the removal of manganese and HA by two NF membranes, TFC-SR2 and TFC-SR3, as a function of pH.

- The two membranes showed different behaviour with respect to manganese retention.
- Both membranes showed high retention of HA (about 80%).

- Solute deposit on both membranes was speciation dependent.

TFC-SR3 had higher manganese retention (about 96%) for all the investigated pH conditions and this was attributed to size exclusion. Manganese retention for TFC-SR2 varied with pH: higher retention was obtained at pH 10 and 12, owing to manganese precipitation as insoluble MnCO_3 , and at pH 4, while lower retention was observed at pH 6 and 8. At pH 7 (i.e. the pH of most natural waters) manganese retention for TFC-SR2 was about 45%, versus 90% for TFC-SR3. For both membranes, the manganese regulatory limit in the permeate (0.05 mg l^{-1}) was only reached at pH 10 and 12 (99% retention). Both membranes appeared to be particularly suitable for surface waters where NOM is present. On both membranes, HA deposit was negligible and manganese deposit was generally low ($<10\%$), but increased at pH 10 and 12 as manganese deposited as precipitated MnCO_3 , and the membranes showed a yellow-brownish layer.

The complexation model showed formation of manganese-HA complexes at pH values above 7. However, significant enhancement of manganese and HA retention when both elements were present was not observed during the experiments, as manganese retention was not affected by the presence of HA and vice versa. In the case of the TFC-SR3 membrane, high retention was already achieved owing to size exclusion mechanisms, while for TFC-SR2, precipitation might overlap with complexation effects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Koch Membranes for kindly providing the membranes used during the experiments, Dr Alexander Bismarck and Dr Kingsley Ho for assistance with zeta potential and contact angle measurements, Helfrid Rossiter for assistance with the ICP-OES analysis, Laura Richards and Dr Peta Neale for useful discussion, Matthew Bower (DWQR) and Richard Allen (Scottish Water) for providing data and information on Scottish membrane plants. Thanks are also extended to the Drinking Water Quality Regulator for Scotland and the School of Engineering of The University of Edinburgh for funding the PhD studentship for De Munari.

REFERENCES

- Abdur Rahman, M., Huang, J. Y., Iwakami, Y. & Fujita, K. 2000 Pursuing the effect of aeration, pH increment, and H₂O₂ coupled with UV irradiation on the removal efficiency of manganese by microfilter membrane. *Water Sci. Technol.* **41**(10–11), 25–31.
- Allen, R. 2008 Analytical data supplied courtesy of Scottish Water (2004–2006).
- Benedetti, M. F., Milne, C. J., Kinniburgh, D. G., Van Riemsdijk, W. H. & Koopal, L. K. 1995 Metal ion binding to humic substances: application of the non-ideal competitive adsorption model. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **29**(2), 446–457.
- Childress, A. E. & Elimelech, M. 1996 Effect of solution chemistry on the surface charge of polymeric reverse osmosis and nanofiltration membranes. *J. Memb. Sci.* **119**(2), 253–268.
- Childress, A. E. & Elimelech, M. 2000 Relating nanofiltration membrane performance to membrane charge (electrokinetic) characteristics. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **34**(17), 3710–3716.
- Chiswell, B. & Mokhtar, M. B. 1986 The speciation of manganese in freshwaters. *Talanta* **33**(8), 669–677.
- Chiswell, B. & Mokhtar, M. B. 1987 Speciation of manganese in fresh water-I: use of EPR studies. *Talanta* **34**(3), 307–311.
- Choo, K.-H., Lee, H. & Choi, S.-J. 2005 Iron and manganese removal and membrane fouling during UF in conjunction with prechlorination for drinking water treatment. *J. Memb. Sci.* **267**(1–2), 18–26.
- Christl, I., Milne, C. J., Kinniburgh, D. G. & Kretzschmar, R. 1995 Relating ion binding by fulvic and humic acids to chemical composition and molecular size. 2. Metal binding. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **29**(2), 2512–2517.
- Ellis, D., Bouchard, C. & Lantagne, G. 2000 Removal of iron and manganese from groundwater by oxidation and microfiltration. *Desalination* **130**(3), 255–264.
- Grose, A. B. F., Smith, A. J., Donn, A., O'Donnell, J. & Welch, D. 1998 Supplying high quality drinking water to remote communities in Scotland. *Desalination* **117**(1–3), 107–117.
- Guy, R. D. & Chakrabarti, C. L. 1976 Studies of metal-organic interactions in model systems pertaining to natural waters. *Can. J. Chem.* **54**(1), 2600–2611.
- Han, S.-C., Choo, K.-H., Choi, S.-J. & Benjamin, M. M. 2007 Modeling manganese removal in chelating polymer-assisted membrane separation systems for water treatment. *J. Memb. Sci.* **290**(1–2), 55–61.
- Handa, B. K. 1970 Chemistry of manganese in natural waters. *Chem. Geol.* **5**, 161–165.
- Hayes, M. H. B., MacCarthy, P., Malcom, R. L. & Swift, R. S. 1989 *The Search for Structure: Setting the Scene in Humic Substance II*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Hong, S. & Elimelech, M. 1997 Chemical and physical aspects of natural organic matter (NOM) fouling of nanofiltration membranes. *J. Memb. Sci.* **132**(2), 159–181.
- Jacangelo, J. G., DeMarco, J., Owen, D. M. & Randtke, S. J. 1995 Selected processes for removing NOM: an overview. *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.* **95**, 64–77.
- Jimbo, Y. & Goto, K. 2001 Iron and manganese removal by a membrane filtration system. *Water Sci. Technol. Water Supply* **1**(5/6), 357–364.
- Kabsch-Korbutowicz, M. & Winnicki, T. 1996 Application of modified polysulfone membranes to the treatment of water solutions containing humic substances and metal ions. *Desalination* **105**(1–2), 41–49.
- Kim, J. I., Buckau, G., Dushner, H. & Psarros, N. 1990 Characterization of humic and fulvic acids from Gorleben groundwater. *Fresen. J. Anal. Chem.* **338**(1), 245–252.
- Kinniburgh, D. G., van Riemsdijk, W. H., Koopal, L. K., Borkovec, M., Benedetti, M. F. & Avena, M. J. 1999 Ion binding to natural organic matter: competition, heterogeneity, stoichiometry and thermodynamic consistency. *Colloids Surf. A* **151**(1–2), 147–166.
- Lastra, A., Gómez, D., Romero, J., Francisco, J. L., Luque, S. & Álvarez, J. R. 2004 Removal of metal complexes by nanofiltration in a TCF pulp mill: technical and economic feasibility. *J. Memb. Sci.* **242**(1–2), 97–105.
- Lee, J. 1985 Complexation analysis of fresh waters by equilibrium diafiltration. *Water Res.* **17**(5), 501–510.
- Malcom, R. L. & MacCarthy, P. 1986 Limitation in the use of commercial humic acids in water and soil research. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **20**(9), 904–911.
- Mänttari, M., Pihlajamäki, A. & Nyström, M. 2006 Effect of pH on hydrophilicity and charge and their effect on the filtration efficiency of NF membranes at different pH. *J. Memb. Sci.* **280**(1–2), 311–320.
- Merdy, P., Huclier, S. & Koopal, L. K. 2006 Modeling metal-particle interactions with an emphasis on natural organic matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **40**(24), 7459–7466.
- Mijatovic, I., Matosic, M., Hajduk Cerneha, B. & Bratulic, D. 2004 Removal of natural organic matter by ultrafiltration and nanofiltration for drinking water production. *Desalination* **169**(3), 223–230.
- Milne, C. J., Kinniburgh, D. G., Van Riemsdijk, W. H. & Tipping, E. 2003 Generic NICA-Donnan model parameters for metal-ion binding by humic substances. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **37**(5), 958–971.
- Molinari, R., Argurio, P. & Romeo, L. 2001 Studies on interactions between membranes (RO and NF) and pollutants (SiO₂, NO₃⁻, Mn⁺⁺ and humic acid) in water. *Desalination* **138**(1–3), 271–281.
- Neale, P. 2009 *The Influence of Solutes-Solutes Interactions in Membrane Filtration*, PhD Thesis, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.
- Nghiem, L. D., Schäfer, A. I. & Elimelech, M. 2004 Removal of natural hormones by nanofiltration membranes: measurement, modeling and mechanisms. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **38**(6), 1888–1896.

- Nifant'eva, T. I., Shkinev, V. M., Spivakov, B. Y. & Burba, P. 1999 Membrane filtration studies of aquatic humic substances and their metal species: a concise overview. Part 2. Evaluation of conditional stability constants by using ultrafiltration. *Talanta* **48**(2), 257–267.
- Nightingale, E. R. 1959 Phenomenological theory of ion solvation. Effective radii of hydrated ions. *J. Phys. Chem.* **63**(9), 1381–1387.
- Pearson, D. 2001 Surface-water systems use membranes to remove disinfection by-product precursors. *Memb. Technol.* **134**, 4–7.
- Pinheiro, J. P., Mota, A. M. & Benedetti, M. F. 2000 Effect of aluminum competition on lead and cadmium binding to humic acids at variable ionic strength. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **34**(24), 5137–5143.
- Pontalier, P.-Y., Ismail, A. & Ghoul, M. 1997 Mechanisms for the selective rejection of solutes in nanofiltration membranes. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **12**(2), 175–181.
- Potgieter, J. H., McCrindle, R. I., Sihlali, Z., Schwarzer, R. & Basson, N. 2005a Removal of iron and manganese from water with a high organic carbon loading. Part I: the effect of various coagulants. *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.* **162**(1), 29–59.
- Potgieter, J. H., Potgieter-Vermaak, S. S., Modise, J. & Basson, N. 2005b Removal of iron and manganese from water with a high organic carbon loading. Part II: the effect of adsorbent and nanofiltration membranes. *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.* **162**(1), 61–70.
- Schaep, J., Van der Bruggen, B., Vandecasteele, C. & Wilms, D. 1998 Influence of ion size and charge in nanofiltration. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **14**(1–3), 155–162.
- Schäfer, A. I. 2001 *Natural Organics Removal Using Membranes: Principles, Performance and Cost*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater* 1998 20th edition, American Public Health Association/American Water Works Association/Water Environment Federation, Washington, DC.
- Suzuki, T., Watanabe, Y., Ozawa, G. & Ikeda, S. 1998 Removal of soluble organics and manganese by a hybrid MF hollow fiber membrane system. *Desalination* **117**(1–3), 119–129.
- Takizawa, S., Fu, L., Pradhan, N., Ike, T., Ohtaki, M. & Ohgaki, S. 2001 Pretreatment processes for membrane filtration of raw water containing manganese. *Water Sci. Technol.: Water Supply* **1**(5–6), 341–348.
- Tansel, B., Sager, J., Rector, T., Garland, J., Strayer, R. F., Levine, L., Roberts, M., Hummerick, M. & Bauer, J. 2006 Significance of hydrated radius and hydration shells on ionic permeability during nanofiltration in dead end and cross flow modes. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **51**(1), 40–47.
- Teng, Z., Yuan Huang, J., Fujita, K. & Takizawa, S. 2001 Manganese removal by hollow fiber micro-filter. Membrane separation for drinking water. *Desalination* **139**(1–3), 411–418.
- US Environmental Protection Agency 1991 *MINTEQA2/PRODEFA2, A Geochemical Assessment Model for Environmental Systems: Version 3.0 User Manual*. USEPA, Athens, Georgia.
- Van der Bruggen, B. & Vandecasteele, C. 2003 Removal of pollutants from surface water and groundwater by nanofiltration: overview of possible applications in the drinking water industry. *Environ. Pollut.* **122**(3), 435–445.
- Weber, T., Allard, T. & Benedetti, M. F. 2006a Iron speciation in interaction with organic matter: modelling and experimental approach. *J. Geochem. Explor.* **88**(1–3), 166–171.
- Weber, T., Allard, T., Tipping, E. & Benedetti, M. F. 2006b Modeling iron binding to organic matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **40**(24), 7488–7493.
- WHO 2004 *Manganese in Drinking-water, Background Document for Development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality*. WHO, Geneva.
- Wittmann, E. & Thorsen, T. 2004 Water treatment. In: Schäfer, A. I., Fane, A. G. & Waite, T. D. (eds) *Nanofiltration Principles and Applications*. Elsevier, Oxford, UK, pp. 169–240.

First received 1 July 2009; accepted in revised form 4 October 2009