

## Letters to the Editor

### Aspartame Consumption and Incidence of Hematopoietic and Brain Cancers

**In Response:** As Dr. Samuels points out, some individuals may consume large amounts of aspartame through food or pharmaceutical items containing small doses per serving (e.g., <10 mg per piece of chewing gum; ref. 1). Aspartame consumption for the majority of the public, however, comes largely from artificially sweetened beverages, which contain much higher amounts of aspartame per serving (180 mg per 12-oz can of diet soda, around 40-90 mg per cup of fruit drinks or iced tea, or 35 mg per table-top packet added to hot coffee or tea) than other food or pharmaceutical items (2). Diet soda alone accounts for over 70% of aspartame sales in the United States (3). Therefore, although we may have missed a small fraction of people in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study cohort who consume large amounts of aspartame through items other than artificially sweetened beverages, it is unlikely that consideration of consumption by these individuals would have altered the overall null association we found for aspartame and hematopoietic and brain cancers (2).

Dr. Samuels notes the importance of looking at high consumption rather than average. In the NIH-AARP cohort, 3,867 participants reported consuming 1,200 mg or more aspartame daily (equivalent to seven or more 12-oz cans of diet soda), which is the lowest dose that was followed by doubled hematopoietic cancers in female rats in a recent study (4, 5). The rates of hematopoietic ( $n = 12$  cases) and brain cancers ( $n = 3$  cases) among our study participants with high consumption, however, were not elevated. We found similarly null risk estimates, with tighter confidence intervals, for consumers of 600 mg or more aspartame (three or more 12-oz cans of diet soda) with no evidence of a dose response (2).

Dr. Samuels notes that the NIH-AARP Study cohort participants were AARP members who were 50 years of age or older at the beginning of the study, thus not representative of the entire U.S. population exposed to aspartame. It is theoretically possible that aspartame would cause cancer in younger people, but not in those older than 50 years, but few human carcinogens show that pattern. Within our cohort, effects were similar at ages 50 to 59, 60 to 69, and 70 to 76 years. Also, as Dr. Samuels points out, we excluded certain individuals from the analysis to reduce potential bias (2). Including them ( $n = 52,887$ ), however, did not change the null associations.

The food frequency questionnaires used in our study, and in most other large epidemiologic studies, were targeted to "usual" dietary intake over the previous year. There is reasonable evidence, however, that diets remain consistent over long periods (6-8); that is, those who reported relatively high and low consumption of diet soda over the previous year were probably high and low consumers for much longer than the previous year. Therefore, the dietary assessment does not neglect dietary intake at younger ages: It is simply not directly measured in prior years. Nevertheless, as we explicitly acknowledge in our article and have discussed elsewhere (9), food frequency questionnaires do measure dietary intake with error, and we cannot rule out the possibility that a modest, but real, relation between aspartame and cancer has been attenuated in our data.

Additional data are always useful, of course, but we respectfully disagree with Dr. Samuels' assessment of the current literature. Numerous animal studies to date by independent investigators have not determined aspartame to be carcinogenic (10-12), and other human studies have not yielded any positive findings (13-15). Similarly, the European Food Safety Authority (16) and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (17) concluded from their review of Soffritti et al.'s data (4, 5) that the increased number of hematopoietic and other cancers in the aspartame-treated group of rats was probably due to experimental conditions other than aspartame. Animal laboratory and epidemiologic studies each have their strengths and limitations. The observation of no association within the NIH-AARP epidemiologic study does not by itself eliminate as possible the hypothesis raised by Soffritti et al., but it contributes prospective cohort data to the evaluation of aspartame safety. In the end, it is the totality of evidence that matters.

Unhee Lim  
Patricia Hartge  
Lindsay M. Morton  
Arthur Schatzkin  
*Nutritional Epidemiology Branch,  
Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics,  
National Cancer Institute, NIH,  
Department of Health and Human Services,  
Rockville, Maryland*

### References

- Butchko HH, Stargel WW, Comer CP, et al. Aspartame: review of safety. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 2002;35:S1-93.
- Lim U, Subar AF, Mouw T, et al. Consumption of aspartame-containing beverages and incidence of hematopoietic and brain malignancies. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006;15.
- Position of the American Dietetic Association: use of nutritive and nonnutritive sweeteners. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2004;104:255-75.
- Soffritti M, Belpoggi F, Esposti DD, et al. Aspartame induces lymphomas and leukaemias in rats. *Eur J Oncol* 2005;10:107-16.
- Soffritti M, Belpoggi F, Esposti DD, et al. First experimental demonstration of the multipotential carcinogenic effects of aspartame administered in the feed to Sprague-Dawley rats. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006;114:379-85.
- Goldbohm RA, van't Veer P, van den Brandt PA, et al. Reproducibility of a food frequency questionnaire and stability of dietary habits determined from five annually repeated measurements. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1995;49:420-9.
- Jain M, Howe GR, Harrison L, et al. A study of repeatability of dietary data over a seven-year period. *Am J Epidemiol* 1989;129:422-9.
- Post GB, de Vente W, Kemper HCG, et al. Longitudinal trends in and tracking of energy and nutrient intake over 20 years in a Dutch cohort of men and women between 13 and 33 years of age: The Amsterdam growth and health longitudinal study. *Br J Nutr* 2001;85:375-85.
- Schatzkin A, Kipnis V, Carroll RJ, et al. A comparison of a food frequency questionnaire with a 24-hour recall for use in an epidemiological cohort study: results from the biomarker-based Observing Protein and Energy Nutrition (OPEN) study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2003;32:1054-62.
- Flamm WG. Increasing brain tumor rates: is there a link to aspartame? *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 1997;56:105-6.
- Koestner A. Increasing brain tumor rates: is there a link to aspartame? *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 1997;56:107-9.
- National Toxicology Program USDoHaHS. Toxicity studies of aspartame (CAS NO. 22839-47-0) in FVB/N-TgN(v-Ha-ras)/Led(Tg.AC) hemizygous mice and carcinogenicity studies of aspartame in B6.129-Trp53tm1Brd(N5)

- haploinsufficient mice. NTP Technical Report (NIH publication no. 03-4459) 2003.
13. Gurney JG, Pogoda JM, Holly EA, et al. Aspartame consumption in relation to childhood brain tumor risk: results from a case-control study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1997;89:1072-4.
  14. Mayne ST, Risch HA, Dubrow R, et al. Carbonated soft drink consumption and risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2006; 98:72-5.
  15. Gallus S, Giordano L, Altieri A, et al. Cigarette smoking and risk of Hodgkin's disease. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2004;13:143-4.
  16. The European Food Safety Authority. Opinion of the Scientific Panel AFC related to a new long-term carcinogenicity study on aspartame. *EFSA J* 2006; 356:1-44.
  17. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Statement of European Aspartame Study. CFSAN/Office of Food Additive Safety; 2007.

---

Copyright © 2007 American Association for Cancer Research.  
doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-0492