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The use of medical imaging techniques to make a very precise surgical guide for implant placement is described. This template is the combination of a currently used template and a very simple mechanical system designed to transfer a preoperatively defined implant position onto the surgical site. With the planning software, the practitioner determines the implant position according both to the ideal position dictated by the final restorative prosthesis and the available volume of bone. The surgical template then communicates the actual implant position to the surgical site. The template can be used not only in critical anatomical situations but also in placing the implant in an ideal position on bone because it eliminates possible manual placement errors and matches planning to prosthetic requirements.

INTRODUCTION

Much has been written about surgical templates for oral implants, which are recommended for accurate placement of the implant on the surgical site while taking into account both the final restorative prosthesis (i.e., the biomechanical and aesthetic requirements) and the internal anatomy (i.e., bone volume and the position of vital structures).

These templates are produced in two steps. A study prosthesis is first made on diagnostic casts. Then this prosthesis is duplicated and used as a radiological guide that includes radiopaque material that allows visualization of the tooth position on the radiological exam. Once this ideal prosthetic tooth position and its axis are defined, a computerized tomography (CT) exam is performed with the radiological guide in the mouth. After examination of the CT images, the practitioner defines the final axis to be transferred to the site during surgery. Thus the final axis is a compromise taking into account the ideal prosthetic axis and the residual bone available. The radiological guide can be used without modification or can be transferred onto a...
surgical guide\textsuperscript{2} for this transfer. The surgical template can also be built at this stage\textsuperscript{3} without a radiological guide.

When there is a discrepancy between the planned prosthetic trajectory and the possible residual bone trajectory, which is a frequent occurrence,\textsuperscript{4} the transfer of the predefined position to the stent or directly to the site requires manual measurement, although the CT scan provides encoded parameters, reducing the accuracy of the transfer of the planned placement. For this reason, reformatted CT and three-dimensional CT planning are not considered to provide relevant predictability for implant size and anatomical complications.\textsuperscript{5,6} Consequently, many researchers propose using medical imaging technology to help the surgeon in transferring the planned positions to the surgical site with greater accuracy.\textsuperscript{7-9}

This paper presents drilling templates that associate the ease of conventional template use and the accuracy provided by medical imaging technology. Afterward, some of the potential ramifications are discussed.

**TECHNIQUE**

Following a complete examination of the patient, the restorative dentist makes a study prosthesis on diagnostic casts, which represents the final restorative prosthesis. After satisfactory testing in the patient’s mouth, the study prosthesis is duplicated in acrylic resin and then serves as a scanning template. A linear path is drilled through the main axis of the resin tooth. A gutta-percha cone is inserted to relate the ideal prosthetic axis so that it is clearly visible on the X-ray radiograph. A cube made of acrylic resin is then attached to the scanning template so that when it is in the mouth the cube is outside and in front of the lip of the same maxilla. To make this cube, resin is poured to affix two titanium tubes in the desired, highly precise position (Fig 1). CT scans are acquired with the template in the patient’s mouth and images are directly input to an imaging PC computer.

The preoperative plan is done using custom-designed Cadimplant software (Praxim, Grenoble, France). The position of the planned fixture is visualized on three planes: the axial slice and two reformatted views. One of these latter two views is perpendicular to the arch of the jaw, the other is tangential, and they both not only intersect with but pass by the fixture axis. Thus the implant is precisely located in the three-dimensional volume; there is no approximation of the calculated position. Only the axial plane intersects the implant axis. This is a significant improvement over previous techniques because it gives a precise evaluation of the implant dimensions to be transferred. To our knowledge no other planning tools, such as the software mentioned in Demey and Vrielinck\textsuperscript{8} and the commercial package for Sim/Plant (Colombia Scientific, Inc, Columbia, Md), provide these features. They provide only precalculated plans perpendicular to the axial slice.

At the beginning of the planning procedure, the two reformatted planes are initialized with the gutta-percha axis (Fig 2). Taking into account the anatomical structures, the practitioner can interactively change the position of the fixture on each plane. Simulation is carried out in real time. Recalculation of the other planes is instantaneously performed so that cross-sectional slices are always passing through the implant (Fig 3). When there are several implants, the practitioner works on one implant and then proceeds to the next one with the images of the previously planned implant remaining on the axial slices. If necessary, their position can be changed until the result is satisfactory. To improve the relative position of implants in relation to one another, the practitioner can also use the three-dimensional view showing both the shape of the jaw, the ideal prosthetic axis, and the implant materialized by a cylinder (Fig 4).

Once the final positions of the implants are defined, the scanning template must be drilled in these exact positions. Thus the scanning template is firmly attached to a drilling machine...
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by placing the resin cube on a dedicated device into the drilling machine and by passing two metal shafts through the two titanium tubes (Fig 5). Although it is easy to extract the track of the titanium tubes in the resin cube from the CT images, they are used by the entire system to establish a mathematical link between the CT images and the drilling machine so that the position of the planned implant is drilled on the template with high precision at the desired diameter. The error was less than 0.2 mm in translation and 1.1° in rotation in an in vitro assessment.

The resin cube is then separated from the template, which then becomes a conventional template. Metal tubes are used as bur guides and are inserted through the hole of the template previously realized by the drilling machine. During surgery, after reflexion of the mucoperiosteal flap, the surgical template is placed intraorally, supported by residual teeth or by the hard palate area. The metal tubes are pushed until they make contact with bone. A small-diameter twist drill bur is inserted through the tube guide for the first osteotomy. The diameter of this drill is 0.1 mm less than the internal diameter of the metallic tube. The stent is then removed, the osteotomy is completed to the desired diameter, and the implant is inserted (Figs 6, 7).

FIGURE 5. The scanning template is drilled on the predefined implant positions by a drilling machine. The resin cube in front of the template is firmly attached to the machine with the help of the titanium tubes.

FIGURE 6. The scanning template is transferred onto a conventional surgical template. In front of the incisor the resin cube has been removed. The first osteotomy is done by inserting a small-diameter twist drill through the tube guide.

FIGURE 7. Implants in place. Notice the exposed threads on implant 14. This problem is easily solved by bone grafting. This particularity was predictable with the presurgical plan (Fig 4) and illustrates how precise this technique is.

FIGURE 4. When there are several implants to plan, the three-dimensional view (bottom left), the axis of the implants (yellow and green lines) can be useful for interimplant properties. It is also possible to mix the shape of the jaw with the implants.
systematizing treatment success when considering esthetics and biomechanics. For esthetic success, the correct position and angulation of the implant regarding the supported prosthetic tooth is of utmost importance to obtain a gingival contour similar to that of the neighboring teeth. The Cadimplant system presented here increases the control of biomechanical situations, which is important for long-term success because the actual transfer (1) minimizes both the discrepancy between the abutment and the center of the supported prosthetic crown and the angulation of the implant, depending on the anatomical volume available; and (2) allows difficult procedures such as the actual transfer of a planned tripod.

In short, this template increases the input of the final prosthesis in the surgical treatment. Combining the ability to alter soft and hard tissue architectures and using a very precise template allows the surgical procedure to adhere to the dictates of the final restorative prosthesis.

After further assessment, it is hoped that the introduction of imaging technology in oral implant surgery will modify surgical protocols toward minimally invasive procedures. Reflection of the mucoperiosteal flap will probably be able to be avoided.
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