

Specifically, several studies have found that levels of circulating CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ Tregs are inversely correlated with acute or chronic GVHD.³⁻⁶ In acute GVHD, Tregs were found to express lower levels of granzyme A and chemokine receptors (eg, CCR5, CXCR3), suggesting that Treg-suppressive function and target tissue homing may also be impaired.⁷ In chronic GVHD, impaired thymic generation of naive Tregs (CD45RA⁺) and increased apoptosis sensitivity of proliferating memory/effector Tregs (CD45RA⁻) selectively alter Treg homeostasis and contribute to the inability to maintain adequate numbers of Tregs relative to conventional effector CD4⁺T cells (Tcons).^{8,9}

Using new methods to assess gene expression in single cells, Dong et al now present a close-up view of Tregs in human thymus, umbilical cord blood, normal adult blood, and during early reconstitution post-HSCT.¹ Characterizing CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs as naive (CD45RA⁺HLADR⁻), activated (CD45RA⁺HLADR⁺), and memory (CD45RA⁻HLADR⁻) subpopulations, they define a “Treg core” gene expression signature that not only distinguishes CD4⁺ Tregs from CD4⁺ Tcons but also differentiates each Treg subset. Single cells within each Treg subpopulation show remarkable stability of Foxp3⁺ expression and lack of IL-2 expression, and also exhibit considerable heterogeneity in expression of various transcription factors, signaling molecules, and homing receptors. At the single-cell level, expression of interferon- γ or interleukin-17A (IL-17A) was very infrequent, suggesting little overlap with Th1 or Th17 lineages. In patients with acute GVHD, overall Treg frequencies and “Treg core” signature appeared to be preserved but there was a marked decrease in the naive Treg subpopulation with preservation of the activated Treg pool. However, residual activated Tregs retained suppressive capacity in *in vitro* assays.

What are some of the implications? Diversity is a hallmark of the adaptive immune system. The heterogeneity described within circulating Treg subpopulations is reminiscent of that seen in CD4⁺ Tcons. While this report likely identifies only a fraction of the overall diversity *in vivo* that also includes T-cell receptor diversity, activation state, cytokine milieu, and target

organ homing, etc, even this limited view is indicative of the extensive complexity and diversity of immune regulators that appear to match the diversity of immune effectors. Furthermore, the timing of naive Treg deficiency, that may precede acute GVHD, suggests that impairment of Treg thymic neogenesis is an early event. This is not entirely unexpected because the thymus has been shown to be an acute GVHD target organ, resulting in a decline in T-cell receptor excision circle (TREC⁺) T cells and an oligoclonal T-cell repertoire that is observed even in grade 1 acute GVHD.

There may also be therapeutic implications. The observed stability of Treg Foxp3⁺ expression and limited capacity for Th1 and Th17 cytokine secretion are potentially reassuring when considering adoptive Treg therapy, given the proinflammatory milieu in GVHD and its potential for inducing Treg lineage conversion to effector Tcons. However, adoptive Treg survival, activity, and lineage stability in the inflamed host receiving immunosuppressive agents for GVHD remain to be determined in the clinic. Additionally, low-dose IL-2 can induce clinical responses, augment Tregs *in vivo*, and restore Treg homeostasis in chronic GVHD due to enhancement of Treg thymic neogenesis, proliferation, survival, and function.^{9,10} A similar impact on acute GVHD may be possible and should be considered. More speculatively, a combination of adoptive Treg infusion plus low-dose IL-2 may offer synergy in GVHD control. Treg-based GVHD therapies may also offer therapeutic potential in other disorders of impaired peripheral tolerance (eg, autoimmunity, solid organ transplantation). More Treg close-ups posttransplantation are in order.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: J.K. received research funding from Otsuka, Millennium, and Prometheus Laboratories, provided consulting services to Takeda and Eleven Biotherapeutics, was on the advisory boards for Millennium and Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, and received honoraria from OptumHealth. The remaining author declares no competing financial interests. ■

REFERENCES

- Dong S, Maiella S, Xhaard A, et al. Multiparameter single-cell profiling of human CD4⁺FOXP3⁺ regulatory T-cell populations in homeostatic conditions and during graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. 2013;122(10):1802-1812.
- Ohkura N, Kitagawa Y, Sakaguchi S. Development and maintenance of regulatory T cells. *Immunity*. 2013;38(3):414-423.
- Zorn E, Kim HT, Lee SJ, et al. Reduced frequency of FOXP3⁺ CD4⁺CD25⁺ regulatory T cells in patients with chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. 2005;106(8):2903-2911.
- Rieger K, Lodenkemper C, Maul J, et al. Mucosal FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cells are numerically deficient in acute and chronic GVHD. *Blood*. 2006;107(4):1717-1723.
- Rezvani K, Mielke S, Ahmadvadeh M, et al. High donor FOXP3-positive regulatory T-cell (Treg) content is associated with a low risk of GVHD following HLA-matched allogeneic SCT. *Blood*. 2006;108(4):1291-1297.
- Miura Y, Thoburn CJ, Bright EC, et al. Association of Foxp3 regulatory gene expression with graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. 2004;104(7):2187-2193.
- Ukena SN, Velaga S, Geffers R, et al. Human regulatory T cells in allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. 2011;118(13):e82-e92.
- Matsuoka K, Kim HT, McDonough S, et al. Altered regulatory T cell homeostasis in patients with CD4⁺ lymphopenia following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *J Clin Invest*. 2010;120(5):1479-1493.
- Matsuoka K, Koreth J, Kim HT, et al. Low-dose interleukin-2 therapy restores regulatory T cell homeostasis in patients with chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Sci Transl Med*. 2013;5(179):179ra143.
- Koreth J, Matsuoka K, Kim HT, et al. Interleukin-2 and regulatory T cells in graft-versus-host disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(22):2055-2066.

© 2013 by The American Society of Hematology

CLINICAL TRIALS & OBSERVATIONS

Comment on Leizorovicz et al, page 1724

Superficial venous thrombosis: cause for concern

Barbara A. Konkle^{1,2} ¹PUGET SOUND BLOOD CENTER; ²UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

In this issue of *Blood*, Leizorovicz et al show that progression of superficial venous thrombosis of the lower extremity is associated with a significant risk of

deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism regardless of proximity to the saphenofemoral venous junction.¹

Our approach to the evaluation and treatment of superficial venous thrombosis (SVT) has evolved over the past few decades. Until recently, it was generally believed that the course of SVT was benign and appropriately treated with local measures and/or antiinflammatory medications. However, we now know that up to 29% of patients presenting with acute SVT of the lower extremity have associated deep vein thrombosis (DVT) or symptomatic pulmonary embolism (PE).^{2,3} These findings have changed practice recommendations for patients presenting with acute SVT.⁴

Given the thrombotic complications in patients with SVT, clinical trials to evaluate treatment approaches have been conducted. Three randomized trials separately evaluated 2 low-molecular-weight heparins (LMWHs) and fondaparinux compared with placebo or ibuprofen.⁵⁻⁷ While the dosing intensity and duration of treatment varied, patients randomized to the LMWHs or fondaparinux treatment arms in each study had a decrease in thrombus extension, and longer treatment (30 or 42 days) was associated with a significant decrease in DVT, PE, and/or thrombus extension compared with the control populations.

In the Comparison of Arixtra in lower Limb Superficial vein Thrombosis with placebo (CALISTO) trial, 3002 patients with acute SVT (≥ 5 cm by ultrasound) of the lower extremity were randomized in a double-blind fashion to receive fondaparinux (2.5 mg/day) or placebo injection for 45 days.⁵ In the treatment arm there was an 85% reduction in the composite endpoint of symptomatic PE, DVT, recurrent SVT, or extension of SVT to within 3 cm of the saphenofemoral junction (SFJ). In the placebo arm, 5 patients developed PEs and 18

developed DVT compared with no PEs and 3 DVT in the treatment arm. However, the cost effectiveness of this treatment approach has been questioned, with a cost of \$500 000 per quality-adjusted life year gained compared with no treatment.⁸

Our ability to define a higher-risk population could result in a more targeted and thus cost-effective approach to treatment of acute SVT. To that end, Leizorovicz et al used data on the 1500 patients randomized to placebo treatment in the CALISTO trial to further evaluate patients with SVT extension. In the placebo group subsequent thrombotic complications occurred more frequently if the involved veins were above the knee, if the subject had experienced venous thrombosis previously, or if the thrombus extended to within 10 cm of the SFJ. Symptomatic extension occurred in 7.3% of the placebo group (109/1500) compared to 1.1% of the fondaparinux-treated group (17/1502). Ninety-two percent of patients with extension had initial SVT involving the greater saphenous vein. Thus, extension into the SFJ, an accepted risk factor for deep venous propagation of SVT, would seem a likely risk factor for further complications.

In the report published in this issue of *Blood*, Leizorovicz et al performed a post hoc analysis of data from patients in the placebo arm of the CALISTO trial who experienced SVT extension by day 77, whether ≤ 3 cm or >3 cm from the SFJ. Surprisingly, there was no difference between the groups in incidence of subsequent DVT or PE, which occurred in approximately 9% of each group. There was also no difference in overall use of medical resources between the 2 groups.

Thus, patients with SVT extension are at significant risk of thrombotic complications, and proximity to the SFJ in patients with acute SVT of the greater saphenous vein

should not be used as an indicator of greater risk of subsequent complications. Patients presumed to be at highest risk of complications were excluded from the initial CALISTO trial, including patients presenting with thrombus within 3 cm of the SFJ; those with cancer, recent SVT, or DVT/PE; and those with any condition favoring bleeding. Optimal treatment approaches for these patient populations, as well as for patients with upper extremity SVT, have yet to be determined.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The author's spouse holds stock in GlaxoSmithKline. ■

REFERENCES

1. Leizorovicz A, Becker F, Buchmüller A, Quéré I, Prandoni P, Decousus H, for the CALISTO Study Group. Clinical relevance of symptomatic superficial-vein thrombosis extension: lessons from the CALISTO study. *Blood*. 2013;122(10):1724-1729.
2. Decousus H, Quéré I, Presles E, et al; POST (Prospective Observational Superficial Thrombophlebitis) Study Group. Superficial venous thrombosis and venous thromboembolism: a large, prospective epidemiologic study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010;152(4):218-224.
3. Galanaud JP, Genty C, Sevestre MA, et al; OPTIMEV SFMV investigators. Predictive factors for concurrent deep-vein thrombosis and symptomatic venous thromboembolic recurrence in case of superficial venous thrombosis. The OPTIMEV study. *Thromb Haemost*. 2011;105(1):31-39.
4. Kitchens CS. How I treat superficial venous thrombosis. *Blood*. 2011;117(1):39-44.
5. Decousus H, Prandoni P, Mismetti P, et al; CALISTO Study Group. Fondaparinux for the treatment of superficial-vein thrombosis in the legs. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(13):1222-1232.
6. Rathbun SW, Aston CE, Whitsett TL. A randomized trial of dalteparin compared with ibuprofen for the treatment of superficial thrombophlebitis. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2012;10(5):833-839.
7. Cosmi B, Filippini M, Tonti D, et al; STEFLUX Investigators. A randomized double-blind study of low-molecular-weight heparin (parnaparin) for superficial vein thrombosis: STEFLUX (Superficial ThromboEmbolism and Fluxum). *J Thromb Haemost*. 2012;10(6):1026-1035.
8. Blondin M, Righini M, Bounameaux H, Veenstra DL. Fondaparinux for isolated superficial vein thrombosis of the legs: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Chest*. 2012;141(2):321-329.

© 2013 by The American Society of Hematology