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Background: Loss of imprinting (LOI) of the insulin-like growth factor-II (IGF2) gene, an epigenetic alteration associated with expression of the normally silent maternal allele, was observed first in Wilms tumor. Although LOI has subsequently been detected in most adult tumors, the biologic role of LOI in cancer remains obscure. We analyzed the imprinting status of Wilms tumors with respect to pathologic subtype, stage, and patient’s age at diagnosis and examined the expression of genes potentially affected by LOI. Methods: Of 60 Wilms tumors examined, 25 were informative for an Apal polymorphism in the IGF2 gene, allowing analysis of allele-specific gene expression, and could be classified by pathologic subtype. Gene expression was measured quantitatively by real-time polymerase chain reaction, and pathologic analysis was blinded for genetic status. All statistical tests were two-sided. Results: We observed LOI of IGF2 in nine (90%) of 10 Wilms tumors classified as having a pathologic subtype associated with a later stage of renal development and in only one (6.7%) of 15 Wilms tumors with a pathologic subtype associated with an earlier stage of renal development (P < .001). LOI was associated with a 2.2-fold increase (95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.6-fold to 3.1-fold) in IGF2 expression (P < .001). Children whose Wilms tumors displayed LOI of IGF2 were statistically significantly older at diagnosis (median = 65 months; interquartile range [IQR] = 47–83 months) than children whose tumors displayed normal imprinting (median = 24 months; IQR = 13–35 months; P < .001). Conclusions: These data demonstrate a clear relationship between LOI and altered expression of IGF2 in Wilms tumors and provide a molecular basis for understanding the divergent pathogenesis of this cancer. Analysis of LOI could provide a valuable molecular tool for the classification of Wilms tumor. [J Natl Cancer Inst 2001;93:1698–703]

Genomic imprinting is an epigenetic alteration in DNA; i.e., it is stably transmitted during cell division, but it does not involve DNA sequence per se. Imprinting of a specific parental allele of a gene in the gamete or zygote leads to the silencing of that allele in somatic cells of the offspring. Thus, imprinting can lead to the complete or partial silencing of one parental allele; consequently, imprinted genes do not follow traditional Mendelian rules of inheritance. Loss of imprinting (LOI) is a common alteration in cancer that typically involves the activation of the normally silent maternal allele of the insulin-like growth factor-II (IGF2) gene, which encodes an important autocrine growth factor in cancer. However, LOI can also involve silencing of the normally active copy of growth-inhibitory genes, such as p57KIP2, a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor. Tumors with LOI of IGF2 display biallelic, rather than monoallelic, expression of the IGF2 gene. Although LOI of IGF2 presumably promotes tumorigenesis by increasing IGF2 expression, that has not been proven previously.

LOI of IGF2 was first described by us and other investigators to occur in Wilms tumor (1–3), the most common solid tumor of childhood. Subsequently, we and others (4–24) have found that LOI of IGF2 is one of the most common molecular alterations in cancer, including other embryonal tumors of childhood, such as hepatoblastoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, and Ewing’s sarcoma, and major malignancies in adults, such as uterine, cervical, esophageal, prostate, lung, and germ cell tumors. However, despite the prevalence of this alteration, the biologic role of LOI in cancer remains obscure.

Wilms tumor provides a unique opportunity to address the biologic role of LOI in cancer for several reasons. First, it is the first tumor in which LOI was observed (1,2). Second, Wilms tumors are characterized by two distinct subgroups that are distinguishable both by pathology and by the precursor lesions, termed “nephrogenic rests,” from which the tumors arise (25). Third, Wilms tumor was the first type of tumor examined by Knudson to formulate his two-hit hypothesis of cancer to explain the bimodal age distribution of children at diagnosis (26). We, therefore, hoped to relate LOI to the known epidemiology of Wilms tumor.

Intralobar nephrogenic rest (ILNR)-like Wilms tumors contain heterologous elements, such as smooth muscle, cartilage, and adipocytes, and are often associated with ILNRs that arise within the interior of the renal lobe early in fetal development. In contrast, perilobar nephrogenic rest (PLNR)-like Wilms tumors lack these heterologous elements and are often associated with...
PLNRs that arise at the periphery of the renal lobe later in fetal development (25).

We hypothesized that, if LOI of IGF2 is important in Wilms tumorigenesis, LOI might distinguish the two subtypes of Wilms tumors, perhaps providing molecular insight into the pathogenesis of this malignancy. We, therefore, examined the relationship between LOI and the pathologic subtype and stage of Wilms tumor and the patient’s age at diagnosis. We also addressed the relationship between LOI in cancer and the abnormal expression of IGF2 and other imprinted genes in the same chromosomal region, including p57KIP2, that might also be affected by LOI, by quantifying and comparing their expression in tumors with LOI that in tumors with normal imprinting.

**Materials and Methods**

**Tissue, DNA, RNA, and Complementary DNA**

We obtained snap-frozen surgical specimens of 99 Wilms tumors and matched normal kidneys from the same patients from the National Wilms Tumor Study tissue bank (Alberta, Canada) and the Cooperative Human Tissue Network (Columbus, OH). Pathology reports and information on Wilms tumor stage and the patient’s age at diagnosis, but no patient identifiers, were provided with the tissue samples. The Study pathologist (E. J. Perlman) examined all of the tissue samples to verify their identity and homogeneity. PLNR lesions were isolated by microdissecting matched normal kidney tissue.

Homogenized tissue samples were digested overnight at 55 °C with 10 mg/mL proteinase K, and genomic DNA was isolated from them with the use of the Puregene DNA Isolation Kit (Genta Systems, Research Triangle Park, NC) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and resuspended in 10 mM Tris–HCl and 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0). Total RNA was isolated from homogenized tissue samples with the use of the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and resuspended in 50 μL ribonuclease (RNase)-free water. Twenty-four microliters of RNA was digested with 3 U of Amplification Grade Deoxyribonuclease 1 (Life Technologies, Inc.) and a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA).

**Quantitation of Allele-Specific IGF2 RNA Expression to Determine Imprinting Status**

We determined the imprinting status of IGF2 by measuring the relative abundance of the two alleles of IGF2 with the use of cDNA derived from Wilms tumor and normal kidney specimens and the Hot-Stop polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method followed by 

**Mutational Analysis of the WT1 Gene**

The coding region of WT1 that encompasses exons 1 through 10 was amplified in two overlapping fragments by reverse transcription (RT)–PCR with the use of 50 ng of cDNA as a template and two sets of oligonucleotide primer pairs. Primers 2F (5'-CCAGTTTCAGGAGACCTGGTGTGGGTGTTTCA-3') and 2R (5'-GTATTGGGGATCTGGGATTCTGG-3') were used to produce WT1 fragment 2, which contains the transactivation domain; primers 3F (5'-GCCACCTTAAAGGAGTGTCTGGGACG-3') and 3R (5'-CCTTGGAGTGGGGATAGAATCTGGC-3') were used to produce WT1 fragment 3, which contains the zinc finger domain. The following conditions were used for PCR amplification: 95 °C for 2 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 seconds, 60 °C for 30 seconds, and 72 °C for 1 minute. Because of its high GC content, exon 1 of WT1 was amplified from genomic DNA in a PCR reaction mixture that included 10% dimethyl sulfoxide and oligonucleotide primers 1F (5'-GGTCCCTACAGCAGCCAAGAGCGAGCGAGA-3') and 1R (5'-GATGGCAGATAGGCGGGTGTGGTCTATGGG-3'). Amplification conditions were 95 °C for 2 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 seconds, 57 °C for 30 seconds, and 72 °C for 45 seconds. PCR products were resolved by gel electrophoresis, eluted from the gel, and sequenced with the use of BigDye Terminator Cycle sequencing chemistry (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Fragment 1 was sequenced with the use of primers 1F and 1R, fragment 2 was sequenced with the use of primers 2F and 2R, and fragment 3 was sequenced with the use of primers 3F, 3R, and 3aR (5'-GTATGGACTCCTGGTTGGGGATCTGGG-3').

**Real-Time Quantitative PCR Analysis to Measure Gene Expression**

Real-time quantitative PCR was performed in triplicate in 96-well plates; each reaction consisted of 12.5 μL of Taqman Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 2.5 μL of 900 mM forward and reverse primers, 2.5 μL of 200 mM Taqman probe, and 5 μL of cDNA. Sequences of the primers and probes that were designed to span and detect intron–exon boundaries of IGF2 were as follows: 5'-CCCCTCGCCACCTGGTGT-3' (forward primer), 5'-CTATATGGGAAGACTTGCCCA-3' (reverse primer), and 1F (5'-CCGAGGAACTTTCCGCCAGGATAAGC-3') and 2F (5'-CTGCTGTCCATGACATCC-3'). Each sample was amplified under optimized conditions, with fluorescence quantified on an ABI Prism 7700 Sequence Detection System. Statistical analysis was performed with the use of the microsatellite marker D11S922 (AFM217yb10, UniSTS). Genomic DNA (100 ng) was PCR amplified including a fluorescently labeled primer. PCR products were separated on an ABI Prism 377 Sequencer and analyzed with Genotyper Software (Applied Biosystems).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical significances of differences were assessed by two-sided Fisher’s exact tests (for proportions) or permutation tests with the use of the 
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ous variables). In the permutation tests [see (28)], we shuffled (randomized) group status relative to the quantitative variable and calculated a two-sample t statistic. This procedure was repeated for a total of 10,000 replicates. The cited P value was the proportion of the replicates for which the absolute value of the t statistic based on randomized data exceeded the absolute value of the observed t statistic. We used permutation tests to avoid assumptions of normality. However, we calculated confidence intervals (CIs) by using the normal assumptions; this inconsistency is not a concern, because the P values obtained by the permutation tests were virtually indistinguishable from those calculated via the t distribution. All statistical tests were two-sided and were performed with the statistical software R (52), version 1.3.1 (see: http://www.R-project.org).

RESULTS

Relationship Between LOI and Wilms Tumor Pathology

To analyze imprinting of IGF2, we first identified 60 Wilms tumors that could be classified as ILNR-like or PLNR-like. Of these, 36 tumors were heterozygous for a transcribed Apal polymorphism (T) in IGF2, allowing us to distinguish between the two alleles of the gene and subsequently to determine their imprinting status. Using a quantitative PCR assay (27), we analyzed imprinting of these 36 tumors. Tumors with normal imprinting (n = 21) showed essentially monoallelic expression of IGF2 (i.e., a >5:1 ratio of either of the two alleles), whereas tumors with LOI (n = 15) showed equal biallelic expression of the two alleles of IGF2. The tumors also underwent pathologic evaluation by the chief pathologist for the National Wilms Tumor Study (E. J. Perlman). The tumors were scored as objectively as possible, with the use of a double-blinded analysis and conservative criteria (i.e., presence or absence of heterologous elements and presence or absence of nephrogenic rests) to classify them as ILNR-like or PLNR-like. Currently, only approximately 60% of Wilms tumors can be classified into these two groups with the use of conventional histologic approaches; thus, a molecular tool that could improve this rate of classification would be of great value clinically. We found that 25 of the 36 tumors informative for IGF2 imprinting could be classified as being either ILNR-like or PLNR-like. It was remarkable that there was virtually complete segregation of ILNR-like and PLNR-like tumors, depending on the imprinting status, with nine (90%) of 10 PLNR-like tumors showing LOI but only one (6.7%) of 15 ILNR-like tumors showing LOI (Fig. 1). Examples of ILNR-like tumors with normal imprinting and PLNR-like tumors with LOI are shown in Fig. 1. This difference in the IGF2-imprinting status between these two pathologic subtypes of Wilms tumors was statistically significant (P < 0.001; Fisher’s exact test), indicating a fundamental biologic difference between Wilms tumors with LOI of IGF2 and those with normal imprinting. LOI was not associated with the stage of Wilms tumor and, thus, was not related to tumor progression.

Wilms tumor was used originally as a model of Knudson two-hit kinetics (26,29) for tumor suppressor genes because mutations (e.g., in WT1) and consistent LOH, particularly of chromosome 11p, were observed in these tumors (30,31). Because we found that a subtype of Wilms tumor displayed epigenetic alterations (i.e., LOI), we naturally asked which of the 36 tumors showed classic genetic changes (i.e., LOH and WT1 mutations). The frequency of LOH of 11p is approximately 30% in Wilms tumor, and 11p LOH represents the majority of LOH in Wilms tumor (31,32). Although the presence of LOH suggests loss of a tumor suppressor gene following Knudson’s model (29,31), the absence of LOH does not rule that out. Nevertheless, analysis of LOH should give an indication of the type of tumors that show conventional genetic alterations (LOH). Among the 35 Wilms tumors that could be scored as either ILNR-like or PLNR-like and that were informative for polymorphisms allowing analysis of LOH, nine (26%) showed LOH of 11p and 26 (74%) did not (data not shown). Remarkably, all nine (100%) of the tumors that showed LOH of 11p were ILNR-like, whereas only 15 (58%) of the 26 tumors that showed no LOH of 11p were ILNR-like (P = 0.03; Fisher’s exact test). Furthermore, none of 12 PLNR-like tumors showed LOH. This is consistent with an earlier observation that tumors with ILNRs are associated with LOH (33). Therefore, just as PLNR-like tumors are predominantly associated with LOI, ILNR-like tumors are predominantly associated with LOH.

To determine whether there was overlap between those tumors with abnormal imprinting and those with WT1 mutations, we also examined Wilms tumors directly for the presence of specific inactivating mutations in WT1. We found that only one (6%) of 16 tumors had such a mutation (data not shown). This frequency of mutated WT1 in patients with Wilms tumors is consistent with that reported in a previous study (34). The WT1 mutation that we identified was a tandem duplication of 46 nucleotides inserted within the stop codon, and it resulted in a codon frameshift that would be expected to generate a WT1-like protein containing 83 additional amino acids at the C-terminus. This mutation occurred in an ILNR-like tumor that displayed LOH of 11p. Thus, there was virtually complete segregation between, on the one hand, PLNR-like tumors and LOI and, on
Relationship Between LOI and Gene Expression in Wilms Tumors

Despite extensive evidence that LOI occurs in a variety of cancers, it has been difficult to directly link altered expression of specific genes to LOI because of problems related to the quantification of relative levels of specific messenger RNAs (mRNAs). We, therefore, designed real-time quantitative PCR assays to quantify the mRNA expression levels of eight genes that have been directly or indirectly linked to Wilms tumor in samples of Wilms tumor. We assayed the expression of the following genes: IGF2, WT1, p57KIP2, TSSC3, TSSC4, TSSC5, TSSC6, and TAPA1. p57KIP2, which encodes a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, is rarely mutated in Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome (35,36), a genetic disorder that predisposes individuals to Wilms tumors. TSSC3, TSSC4, TSSC5, TSSC6, and TAPA1 are genes that lie within a region of chromosome 11, 11p15, that has been shown by microcell-mediated gene transfer to harbor a tumor suppressor gene (37). Previous studies (6,8,38,39) have not demonstrated a statistically significant difference in the expression of any of these genes in Wilms tumor or other cancers in a comparison of tumors with and without LOI of IGF2. However, those studies used techniques, such as conventional semiquantitative RT–PCR, northern blots, and RNase protection assays, that lack the sensitivity of real-time quantitative PCR (40) and also failed to link changes in IGF2 expression with imprinting status in Wilms tumors (38,39).

We examined 28 Wilms tumor samples that were informative for the IGF2 Apol polymorphism, i.e., in which we could determine the imprinting status of IGF2, and found a 2.2-fold (95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.6-fold to 3.1-fold) increase in IGF2 expression in tumors with LOI compared with those with normal imprinting of IGF2 (Table 1). Whereas the magnitude of the difference in IGF2 expression was small, it was both statistically significant (P < .001) and consistent with the activation of the normally silent allele in tumors with LOI. None of the other genes showed a statistically significant difference in expression in tumors with LOI compared with those with normal imprinting of IGF2 (Table 1), suggesting that the alteration in IGF2 expression is specific for Wilms tumors with LOI and indicating a second fundamental difference in the biology of Wilms tumors with and without LOI.

Relationship Between LOI and Patient’s Age at Diagnosis of Wilms Tumor

Patients with Wilms tumor show a bimodal distribution in the age of onset of their tumors, but this has not been fully explained previously. We found a striking difference between the median age at diagnosis of patients who had Wilms tumors with LOI of IGF2 and the median age of patients who had Wilms tumors with normal imprinting. Patients whose tumors showed LOI had a median age at diagnosis (interquartile range [IQR]) of 65 months (47–83 months), which was greater than twice that of patients whose tumors showed normal imprinting, who had a median age at diagnosis (IQR) of 24 months (13–35 months). This difference in median age of occurrence was statistically significant (P < .001), and it indicates a third fundamental difference in the biology of Wilms tumors in these two groups of patients with and without LOI in their tumors. The relationship of LOI to age was not related to tumor progression, since it was independent of the stage of Wilms tumor (data not shown).

Table 1. Relationship between loss of imprinting of IGF2 and gene expression in Wilms tumor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold change in gene expression in Wilms tumors with LOI versus Wilms tumors with normal imprinting†</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IGF2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.6 to 3.1</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAPA1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8 to 1.2</td>
<td>.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSSC3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4 to 2.2</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSSC4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.7 to 2.0</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSSC5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6 to 2.0</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSSC6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4 to 1.8</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.8 to 2.2</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p57KIP2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4 to 1.5</td>
<td>.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IGF2 = insulin-like growth factor-II gene; LOI = loss of imprinting; CI = confidence interval.†Fold change in gene expression, with the expression in tumors with LOI divided by expression in tumors with normal imprinting. Expression of each was determined by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction and normalized to β-actin gene expression.‡Statistical significance was determined with the use of a permutation test.
According to Knudson’s model, bilateral Wilms tumors arise in younger individuals than do unilateral tumors because individuals with bilateral tumors have a germline mutation of a tumor suppressor gene and those with unilateral tumors have no such germline mutation (26,29). While that is true, the model does not account for the fact that bilateral Wilms tumors are uncommon [7% incidence overall (46) and only one bilateral case among our study subjects] and it does not explain the observed bimodal age distribution of an unselected group of Wilms tumor patients in the general population, i.e., those with primarily unilateral tumors. Our results support the somewhat provocative study of Breslow and colleagues (46,48) that analyzed Knudson’s model. Breslow et al. (47) observed that patients with PLNRs develop tumors at a later age than those with ILNRs. Given that rest-associated tumors constitute a minority of those for which we had imprinting data and that such tumors did not alone account for the bimodal age distribution, we suggest that distinguishing between tumors with or without LOI validates observations by Breslow et al. and provides a biologic foundation for them.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates a striking relationship between LOI and Wilms tumor biology that may distinguish the tumors by their pathology, the premalignant lesions associated with them, and the epidemiology and the genetics of Wilms tumors. Finally, because only approximately 60% of Wilms tumors can presently be classified into ILNR-like or PLNR-like subtypes by histologic examination, this study should provide a valuable molecular tool for Wilms tumor evaluation, similar to that provided by the molecular studies of B-cell lymphoma (49).
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