Arm-leg pressure gradients on late follow-up after coarctation repair

Possible causes and implications
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Seventeen years after coarctation repair, 36 patients were studied by magnetic resonance imaging and exercise testing to measure residual anatomical stenosis and hormonal response to exercise, and to evaluate their effect on arm-leg gradients and on exercise hypertension. The systolic arm pressure, leg pressure and arm-leg gradient were measured at rest and during exercise. Active renin and catecholamines were measured in the plasma at rest and after peak exercise. On magnetic resonance imaging 18 patients had residual stenosis of less than 30% (group I) and 18 had residual stenosis of equal to or more than 30% (group II). At peak exercise, the arm pressure was 235 (133–296) mmHg in group I and 241 (157–286) mmHg in group II (ns), the leg pressure was 138 (111–173) mmHg in group I and 114 (75–154) mmHg in group II (P=0·002). The adrenaline increase from rest to exercise was 32·7 ± 9·1 pg·ml⁻¹ in the patients with exercise hypertension and 3·1 ± 4·7 pg·ml⁻¹ in the patients who remained normotensive during exercise (P=0·02). In conclusion, residual anatomical stenosis leads to a pressure drop in the legs, which influences the arm-leg gradient. Arm hypertension is not related to anatomical narrowing but to interaction of enhanced sympathetic nerve activity and structural and functional abnormality of the precoarctation vessels.

(Eur Heart J 1996; 17: 1572–1575)
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Introduction

Long-term complications after coarctation resection mainly consist of hypertension of the upper body at rest or on exercise, and residual stenosis, which is usually evaluated non-invasively by measuring systolic arm-leg pressure gradients. However, there is still some controversy about the relationship of the arm-leg gradient to anatomical narrowing. Moreover, the relationship of arm hypertension to the arm-leg gradient has led to the assumption that late hypertension after coarctectomy is caused by residual anatomical stenosis. We studied the interaction of isthmic anatomy and hormonal response to exercise after coarctation repair and evaluated its effect on arm-leg gradients and on arm hypertension.

Methods

Thirty-six patients aged 13–35 (mean 23) years were studied 10–29 (mean 17) years after coarctation repair. Age at operation varied from 1 month to 15 years (mean 6 years). Patients with a bicuspid aortic valve and with mild stenosis were included in the study. None of them was taking cardiac or antihypertensive medication and all were leading a normal life. According to the guidelines of the institutional ethical committee, the study participants or their parents were carefully informed and oral consent was obtained.

After 10 min of supine resting, simultaneous blood pressure measurement of the arm and leg was performed using an automated oscillometric device (Colin BP 8800 Carbamed Rüegge, Baden, Switzerland) with a cuff of adequate size for the upper arm and the ankle. Blood samples for determination of active renin and catecholamines were taken from an indwelling catheter, which had been placed 15 min earlier in the antecubital vein of the arm not used for blood pressure recordings. The samples were collected without the need of a tourniquet and were stored on ice in chilled tubes. The pressure cuffs were left in place and the patients were elevated to 45°, in order to perform leg exercise similar to that normally undertaken in the upright position. They then underwent a graded exercise test on an electronically braked bicycle ergometer (Ergoline computerergometer 900L, Bitz, Germany) according to the James protocol. The peak exercise blood pressure...
of the arm was measured using the RR method, by temporary replacement of the pressure cuff with a computerized microphone (Ergoline ergometrics 900, Bitz, Germany). A second blood sample was taken at peak exercise. Towards the end of exercise the patients were set back to the supine position while they were still pedalling and exercise was stopped at exhaustion. Within 30 s of exercise conclusion blood pressure of the arm and leg was measured simultaneously to determine the exercise leg pressure and arm-leg gradient. Blood samples were centrifugated at 4°C and frozen at -20°C until analysis.

In a second session, magnetic resonance imaging of the thoracic aorta was performed in the supine position with a 1.5 T MR scanner (Magnetom SP 4000 Siemens) using the body coil and ECG triggering. Transverse and oblique sagittal T1W SE images were obtained (TR 650 ms, TE 25 ms, matrix 128 × 256, 2 acquisitions, slice thickness 6 mm). Magnetic resonance imaging data were analysed by two independent observers and the aortic diameter was measured at the anastomosis and at the diaphragm level. Percent stenosis was calculated as 100 × (1-(diameter anastomosis/diameter 10 cm distal to the anastomosis))

Active renin was directly measured in the plasma by an immunoreactive assay sandwich technique using Renine Active Pasteur kits (ERIA Diagnostics Pasteur, Marnes La Coquette, France). Sensitivity: 1-5 pg. ml⁻¹, intra-assay and inter-assay variability less than 10%. Catecholamines were measured by radioimmunoassay after enzymatic and chemical derivatization using the Amicyl-Test, KATCOMBI kits (IBL, Hamburg, Germany). Sensitivity: 1-2 pg. ml⁻¹ plasma, intra-assay and inter-assay variability less than 15%.

The patients were classified into two groups according to the degree of isthmic stenosis on magnetic resonance imaging similar to the findings of Huysmans et al. late after coarctation repair. Group I: stenosis less than 30% (n=18), group II: stenosis equal to or greater than 30% (n=18).

**Table 1 Blood pressure data in group I and II (mean and full range)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood pressure</th>
<th>Group I (n=18)</th>
<th>Group II (n=18)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arm SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>132 (108-161)</td>
<td>131 (112-151)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>142 (118-170)</td>
<td>126 (105-153)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm-leg gradient (mmHg)</td>
<td>-4 (-23-3)</td>
<td>-10 (-18-39)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak exercise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>235 (133-296)</td>
<td>241 (157-286)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>180 (112-226)</td>
<td>189 (135-237)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm-leg gradient (mmHg)</td>
<td>75 (111-173)</td>
<td>75 (75-154)</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm-leg gradient (mmHg)</td>
<td>42 (0-65)</td>
<td>75 (11-128)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 summarizes blood pressure data at rest and during exercise in groups I and II. The groups were similar as regards exercise performance. At rest there was no statistical difference in systolic arm pressure between the groups, but systolic leg pressure was significantly lower and the arm-leg gradient significantly higher in group II than in group I (P=0.002 and P=0.002). During and 30 s after exercise there was no statistical difference in systolic arm pressure between the groups. However, 30 s after exercise the systolic leg pressure was significantly lower and the arm-leg gradient significantly higher in group II than in group I (P=0.002 and P=50.0008).

Active plasma renin was 12.6 ± 7 pg. ml⁻¹ in group I and 5.1 ± 1 pg. ml⁻¹ in group II (ns) at rest. At exercise the values rose to 19.4 ± 12 in group I and 16.4 ± 9 in group II (ns). Plasma adrenaline at rest was 24.8 ± 4 pg. ml⁻¹ in group I and 23.8 ± 2 pg. ml⁻¹ in group II (ns). At exercise there was a rise to 58.2 ± 11 pg. ml⁻¹ in group I and to 50.6 ± 10 pg. ml⁻¹ in group II (P=5ns). Plasma noradrenaline at rest was 217 ± 37 pg. ml⁻¹ in group I and 219 ± 29 pg. ml⁻¹ in group II (ns). At exercise there was a rise to 304 ± 31 pg. ml⁻¹ in group I and to 359 ± 52 pg. ml⁻¹ in group II (ns).

The patients were divided into two further groups according to the blood pressure response to exercise. For young subjects, hypertension at exercise is defined as follows: systolic arm pressure over 225 mmHg at a working intensity of 230 watt for males and over 210 mmHg at a working intensity of 150 watt for females. Using these criteria, we defined two groups: exercise normotensive patients (n=9) and exercise hypertensive patients (n=27). There was no difference in maximal work output. kg⁻¹, exercise heart rate and exercise time between the exercise hypertensive and the
exercise normotensive patients. The systolic arm blood pressure at peak exercise was 180 (range 133–204) mmHg in the exercise normotensive and 258 (range 216–296) mmHg in the exercise hypertensive patients (P<0.001). The amount of residual stenosis on magnetic resonance imaging was 31% (range 16–43%) in the exercise normotensive and 27% (range 0–63%) in the exercise hypertensive patients (ns). The plasma adrenalin at rest showed no difference between the exercise normotensive and exercise hypertensive patients. After exercise, the plasma adrenalin was higher in the exercise hypertensive patients, but failed to reveal statistical significance because of the wide range of individual values. However, the individual increase in plasma adrenalin after exercise was significantly higher in the exercise hypertensive (32.7 ±9.6 pg. ml\(^{-1}\)) than in the exercise normotensive patients (31 ±4.7 pg. ml\(^{-1}\)) (P=0.02) (Table 2).

Age at operation and time interval from repair to study had no influence on systolic pressures at rest or during exercise.

Discussion

Blood pressure response to exercise, which is measured to assess surgical outcome after coarctation repair, is characterized by systolic hypertension of the arms\(^1\). As restenosis is thought to be one of the main causes of this hypertension, measurement of systolic arm–leg pressure gradients at rest or at exercise are routinely used for non-invasive evaluation of residual stenosis\(^2\). However, blood pressure measurement of the legs during exercise is influenced by technical problems due to movement artifacts. Therefore simultaneous arm and leg pressure is usually measured 1–2 min after termination of exercise. Significant pressure changes occur in these 2 min of recovery and leg pressure as well as arm–leg gradients recorded with such a delay cannot be considered as true exercise values\(^3,4\). Our patients, however, exercised in a 45° position with fixed cuffs, which allowed us to obtain the first blood pressure results within 30 s of the end of ergometry.

Leg blood pressure at rest and at exercise was significantly lower in group II, with residual isthmic stenosis equal to or above 30%, than in group I. Therefore, impairment of blood flow from residual stenosis is responsible for a pressure drop in the legs, as has already been suggested by others\(^5,6\). As the systolic arm pressures did not differ between groups I and II, but as the arm–leg gradients were significantly higher in group II, we conclude that part of the gradient is caused by the changes of leg pressures, which in their turn are dependent on residual stenosis. Accordingly, the relationship of arm–leg gradients to anatomical stenosis is mainly determined by the pressure drop in the legs. Arm hypertension, however, seems not to be dependent on anatomical narrowing, since the magnetic resonance imaging results did not differ between exercise hypertensive and exercise normotensive patients. Similar
findings were described by Hanson et al., who studied residual anatomical changes after coarctation repair by angiography\textsuperscript{[1]}. Together with the hypertensive response, we observed a significantly higher increase of plasma adrenaline after exercise in exercise hypertensive patients. Recently, Ross et al. studied 35 patients 17 years after coarctation resection. In a hypertensive group of 19 patients they found elevated plasma norepinephrine and renin activity and concluded that enhanced sympathetic nervous output contributes to hypertension after coarctation repair\textsuperscript{[13]}. Since plasma adrenaline is usually a more reliable parameter than plasma noradrenalin for the evaluation of sympathetic nerve activity\textsuperscript{[14]} and adrenaline has been shown to act as cotransmitter on sympathetic nerve endings\textsuperscript{[15]}, our results support the idea that enhanced sympathetic nerve activity is involved in late hypertension after coarctectomy. The difference in plasma renin after exercise compared to the results reported by Ross et al. may be due to the great individual variability of this hormone and to the difference of exercise protocol and method of hormone measurement in both studies.

The metabolic demand of working muscles during exercise is triggered by sympathoadrenal activity and an increase of plasma adrenaline\textsuperscript{[14]}. In addition, enhanced sympathetic nerve activity later after coarctation repair may be caused by functional changes of the baroreceptors due to structural abnormalities of the precocarctation vessels\textsuperscript{[16]}. Thus, late hypertension after coarctation repair could result from the interaction of augmented sympathetic activity and of functional abnormalities of the precocarctation vessels\textsuperscript{[17]-\textsuperscript{20]}.

In conclusion, residual anatomical stenosis produces a gradient caused by lowered arterial pressure in the legs. Arm hypertension, however, as part of the pressure gradient at exercise seems not to depend on residual narrowing, but on increased sympathoadrenal activity. Exercise hypertension after coarctation repair does not lead to reintervention unless there is a significant gradient across residual stenosis.
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