Controls on erosion in the western Tarim Basin: Implications for the uplift of northwest Tibet and the Pamir
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ABSTRACT

We present here bulk sediment major element chemistry, Nd and Sr isotope ratios, and detrital apatite fission-track (AFT) and U-Pb zircon ages to characterize the provenance of the southwestern Taklimakan Desert (northwest China) and the three major rivers draining this region. We establish the spatial and temporal controls on erosion and sediment transport in the modern Tibetan rain shadow. The Hotan River drains the North Kunlun block and is characterized by zircon populations at 160–230 Ma and 370–520 Ma. The Yarkand River shares these grains with the Hotan, but also has a very prominent zircon population at 40–160 Ma, which is common in Karakoram basement, indicating heavy sediment flux from these ranges to that drainage. This implies a strong control on erosion by topographic steepness and precipitation mediated through glaciation. Our zircon data confirm earlier studies that indicated that the Taklimakan sand is derived from both the Kunlun and Pamir Mountains. AFT ages are younger in the Hotan River than in the Kashgar River, which drains the Pamir, and in both are younger than in the Transhimalaya and parts of the western edge of the Tibetan Plateau. Exhumation is estimated at ~1000 m/m.y. in the North Kunlun and ~500 m/m.y. in the eastern Pamir, which have been exhuming more slowly than the western ranges in the recent past. Holocene aggradation terracing was dated using quartz optically stimulated luminescence methods and is mostly associated with times of fluctuating climate after 4 ka, with phases of valley filling dated at 2.6, 1.4, and 0.4 ka. The heights and volumes of the terraces show that sediment storage in the mountains is not a significant buffer to sediment transport, in contrast to the more monsoonal Indus system directly to the south. South of the Mazatag Ridge a significant eolian deposit accumulated ~500 yr ago, but this has been deflated in more recent times. Comparison of the modern river data with those previously measured from Cenozoic foreland sedimentary rocks shows that no sediment similar to that of the modern Yarkand River is seen in the geologic record, which is inferred to be younger than 11 Ma, and probably much less. Uplift of the North Kunlun had started by ca. 17 Ma, somewhat after that of the Pamir and Songpan Garze of northwestern Tibet, dated to before 24 Ma. Sediment from the Kunlun reached the foreland basin between 14 and 11 Ma. North Kunlun exhumation accelerated before 3.7 Ma, likely linked to faster rock uplift.

INTRODUCTION

Sediments eroded from mountain chains can potentially provide a relatively continuous record of how such mountains develop, long after the bedrock sources themselves have been eroded away. These sedimentary records allow us to understand whether feedbacks exist between climate, surface processes, and the tectonic evolution of the mountains and provide a key complement to the study of the bedrock sources. Climate-tectonic interactions have been investigated in the Himalaya, the Cascades (northwestern United States), Taiwan, and other regions where precipitation is relatively high and where a link between precipitation and erosion has been established over a variety of time scales (Clift et al., 2008; Dadson et al., 2003; Reiners et al., 2003; Whipple, 2009; Wobus et al., 2003).

Establishing if there is a potential linkage between precipitation and erosion becomes more complicated in regions where rainfall is limited but that host significant amounts of deposited sediments. In these cases, other processes, such as rock uplift or seismic shaking, may dominate in controlling erosion (Burbank et al., 2003; Wallis et al., 2018), although this remains unresolved. In this study we address whether solid Earth tectonic forces, precipitation, or topography are controlling the patterns and rates of erosion around the west Tarim Basin (western China). In this area, rivers are eroding sediment from the Tian Shan, Pamir, and Kunlun and delivering it to the central parts of the basin to the north and east (Fig. 1). We target three neighboring river catchments that have contrasting characteristics that allow these factors in controlling erosion to be assessed. One river is more seismically active (Kashgar River), one drains steep, glaciated terrain with more precipitation (Yarkand...
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In this study we analyzed sediments from three major rivers feeding the Tarim Basin using a variety of geochemical (major and trace element compositions, Sr and Nd isotope ratios), geochronological (U-Pb zircon dating), and thermochronological (apatite fission tracks) methods to examine from where sediments are derived within each catchment. We do this to understand what processes might be controlling erosion in this relatively dry but tectonically active environment. Each river has its own unique geographic setting, with the Kashgar River draining the eastern Pamir, the Yarkand River draining the northern Karakoram and Kunlun, while the Hotan River receives material mostly from the northern Kunlun alone (Fig. 2). By better understanding what is controlling modern erosion in these rivers, we constrain how the ancient stratigraphic record can be used to reconstruct the evolving erosion and uplift of the northwestern Tibetan Plateau, building on earlier studies of the exposed Cenozoic sequences (Cao et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2000, 2010). Although long understood to be much younger than the topographic uplift of southern Tibet (Tapponnier et al., 2002), the uplift history of the northern plateau remains controversial, yet it is agreed that the development of its present high altitude has important impacts on the development of the Asian monsoon system (Kutzbach et al., 1993; Molnar et al., 1993; Tada et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2015). Better documentation of the uplift history of the northern Tibetan Plateau is required in order to constrain its role in controlling continental climate regimes.

We also examine the role of climate in buffering sediment flux over millennial time scales through the storage of sediment in valley fills and its release during episodes of incision and the formation of alluvial terraces downstream. In the monsoon-dominated Himalaya, such terracing is driven by changes in precipitation, with more sediment supply and valley filling during wetter times and incision during drier periods (Bookhagen et al., 2006). Here we test to see whether the same relationship holds in a much drier, weakly monsoonal climate.

Figure 1. Shaded topographic map of the western Tarim Basin showing the locations sampled for this study. Topographic data are Shuttle Radar Topography Mission data plotted by GeoMapApp software. Earthquake locations are shown from the U.S. Geological Survey catalogue, magnitude 5 and greater (larger dots indicate larger magnitude). Depths of earthquakes are shown by colored scale. Yellow stars show optically stimulated luminescence sampling locations. Green stars show locations of samples with geochemical data and U-Pb zircon dates; green stars with red outlines show locations of samples that also have apatite fission-track data. Black lines show the river networks targeted in this study, with the red lines showing the boundaries of these catchments in the mountains. White shaded regions show the extent of major glaciers.
GEOLOGICAL SETTING

Plate Tectonic Setting

The Tarim Basin represents a relatively stable tectonic block within the otherwise strongly deforming zones of western China and northwest Tibet. Mountain building in this region is usually interpreted as a direct response to India-Asia collision, starting sometime during the Paleogene. The exact timing remains controversial (Alitchson et al., 2007; Najman et al., 2010), although there is increasing evidence that uplift may have begun much earlier than was originally conceived (Kapp et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2012). The Tarim Basin is surrounded by a number of tectonic blocks, which have been assembled as a result of subduction predating the final India-Asia collision. Many of these blocks were rifted from Gondwana and subsequently accreted to the southern margin of Asia during the late Paleozoic–Mesozoic as a result of closure of the Paleo- and then Neotethys Oceans (Sengor and Natal’i’in, 1996; Yin and Harrison, 2000).

Mountain uplift has radically changed the topography and style of sedimentation across the area (Tada et al., 2010). From latest Cretaceous to early Paleogene, much of the western Tarim Basin was covered by a shallow sea, part of the Paratethys, an epicontinental sea found across much of central Asia, which began to retreat during the Eocene (Bosboom et al., 2011; Schulz et al., 2005). Shallow marine strata of this age are observed along the southwestern margins of the Tarim Basin where they are overlain by a series of clastic sedimentary rocks apparently eroded from the Kunlun to the south and now deformed into a series of thrust sheets that form the frontal ranges of the Kunlun (Cao et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2000, 2010).

Major Tectonic Blocks

Each of the major ranges that contribute sediment to the rivers and Taklimakan Desert discussed here has its own unique history that allows its erosional products to be identified and quantified in a mixed sediment. The Tian Shan and Kunlun are formed as a result of crustal thickening, with some localized strike-slip faulting (Avouac and Tapponnier, 1993). Sobel and Dumitru (1997) argued that thrusting became the dominant mode of deformation by ca. 25–20 Ma across the region, spanning the Pamir and Kunlun to the Tian Shan. The Pamir form a large, arcuate, north-propagating mountain belt that represents the along-strike equivalent of units found in the Karakoram and western Tibet (Robinson et al., 2004). This range is particularly well known as an example of ongoing continental subduction of Asian lithosphere toward the south under the Indian plate (Burtman and Molnar, 1993) and may represent a younger tectonic process not directly linked to initial India-Asia collision. The northern Pamir have been interpreted as a composite Paleozoic arc terrane correlative to the North and South Kunlun terranes of the western Kunlun Shan (Fig. 2) (Boulin, 1988; Kapp et al., 2007; Tapponnier et al., 1981; Yin and Harrison, 2000). Nonetheless, direct correlation between the Pamir and Tibetan Plateau is still controversial. The Pamir differ from the Himalaya and Tibet in the relative abundance of metamorphic domes that are especially common in the western Pamir but are also found to a lesser extent in the western parts of our field area in the Kunlun south of the city of Kashgar (Burtman and Molnar, 1993; Robinson et al., 2012). The Pamir domes are larger than those documented in Tibet and are dated as Cenozoic in their exhumation, which was especially rapid during the Miocene (Hubbard et al., 1999; Robinson et al., 2007).

The Karakoram represent a complicated tectonic block composed of Mesozoic–Cenozoic metamorphic rocks, as well as limited amounts of sedimentary rocks (Searle, 1991), together with a large Miocene batholith that intrudes the sequence and is associated with post-India-Eurasia collisional melting (Searle et al., 1989). The early magmatic history of the Karakoram involves Cretaceous
intrusive rocks related to an active continental margin along the southern margin of Asia (Searle et al., 1990), possibly equivalent to the Gangdese batholith in central south Tibet. The Karakoram are cut by a major strike slip fault whose timing and degree of motion have been controversial (Murphy et al., 2000; Peltzer and Tapponnier, 1988; Phillips et al., 2004). However, it is clear that this structure is right-lateral and documented to drive rapid rock uplift and exhumation to the present day in central parts of the Karakoram (Foster et al., 1994; Searle and Phillips, 2007), which form the southern edge of the Yarkand catchment.

**METHODOLOGY**

Each of the tectonic blocks mentioned above has its own unique geological history, which we can exploit to trace from where the sediments in the modern rivers are derived. The wide variety of rock compositions of different ages from each tectonic block (Fig. 3) produces mineral phases that contain unique chemistry and ages. When we analyze these in the modern river sediments, we have the chance to constrain the relative contribution that each block makes to the total sediment flux for each particular river. In doing so we can isolate regions producing the most sediment and thus determine what processes might control our observed rates of erosion.

We choose to employ bulk sediment Sr and Nd isotopes to determine the overall provenance of the sediment. Neodymium is a robust provenance proxy because this element is generally immobile during weathering and erosion (Goldstein et al., 1984). Furthermore, recent work has shown that the Nd content of sediment is largely controlled by the Nd-bearing phases monazite and allanite, which are not separated by density-related mineral sorting during transport and so can be considered immune to hydrodynamic processes (Garçon et al., 2013, 2014). In contrast, Sr isotopes may be more susceptible to change during transport and are also affected by chemical weathering and the presence of carbonate (Derry and France-Lanord, 1996). These caveats make Sr isotope compositions a less reliable provenance tool, but using them in combination with Nd isotopes can be effective. In addition, we use bulk sediment major element compositions to quantify the degree of chemical alteration as an independent technique to assess Sr isotope values and, to a lesser degree, sediment provenance.

Our analysis hinges on thermochronology of single detrital grains because these can identify and quantify individual end-member sources that are obscured in the bulk analysis. Detrital zircon U-Pb dating has become a popular and effective technique for evaluating sediment provenance in clastic systems because zircon is a common mineral in continental rocks and is chemically and mechanically durable enough to survive multiple cycles of erosion, transport, and sedimentation (Gehrels, 2014). Spot size of the laser employed limited analysis to grains >50 µm across. Yang et al. (2012) demonstrated that younger zircons were larger and/or more variable in size than older zircons in the Yangtze River, indicating a potential influence of transport on zircon size and age. Nonetheless, these authors concluded that the 63–125 µm size fraction yielded almost the same age distribution as the total zircon population, and accurately represents all significant age populations. Our analysis of the 63–125 µm fraction is thus representative of the bulk composition. Given that the rivers examined here are much shorter than the Yangtze (~170 km between the headwaters and the sample point in the Kashgar, ~450 km in the Yarkand, and ~150 km in the Hotan), grain size effects would be less significant because there would be less abrasion of zircon during shorter transport.

![Figure 3. Geological map of the western Tarim Basin, Kunlun, and eastern Pamir showing the potential source regions of sediment into the basin. Terrane-bounding faults from Figure 2 are shown in heavier line weight. K—Cretaceous, E.—Early.](https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/gsa/geosphere/article-pdf/13/5/1747/3995802/1747.pdf)
We also employ apatite fission-track thermochronology of detrital grains, when apatite was present in sufficient quantities, as a provenance proxy and to constrain rates of exhumation in the source regions. The low-temperature apatite fission-track method records cooling through ~60–125 °C over time scales of 1–10 m.y. (Green, 1989), so is particularly sensitive to exhumation driven by erosion and has been widely used in exhumation studies worldwide, including in northern Tibet (Duvall et al., 2012; Jolivet et al., 2001), the Pamir (Lukens et al., 2012; Sobel et al., 2006a, 2006b), and the Tian Shan (Sobel and Dumitru, 1997; Tang et al., 2015).

We also attempt to examine how Quaternary climate change may have impacted sediment transport, and to do this we sample fluvial terraces found within the valleys feeding the south side of the Tarim Basin, as well as from selected aeolian deposits within the Tarim Basin itself. Age control for Holocene deposits was determined using optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating of quartz in sediments. This technique dates the last time the sediment was exposed to sunlight, presumably during transport. It is widely applied to quartz-bearing sediment, largely deposited in the past 200 k.y. (Rhodes, 2011).

**SAMPLING**

Samples were taken for provenance and OSL dating from along the southwestern edge of the Tarim Basin. Sample locations are shown in Figure 1 and are listed in Table 1. Sediments containing fine to medium sand (>63 µm) were preferentially sampled for provenance analysis. This size fraction is ideal for single-grain mineral provenance techniques that are limited by an analytical laser spot size of >50 µm. We recognize that by only analyzing the >63 µm sediment we are not including the suspended load which may have a different provenance, possibly introducing bias to the bulk sediment provenance interpretation (Garzanti et al., 2011). However, our data are derived from a wide array of grain sizes >63 µm, which we argue contributes to an initial constraint on sediment generation patterns in this region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13062101</td>
<td>Yarkand River</td>
<td>Provenance</td>
<td>37°56.32’N</td>
<td>76°34.54’E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062201</td>
<td>Kargilik River basin</td>
<td>OSL</td>
<td>37°39.41’N</td>
<td>76°49.45’E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062202</td>
<td>Kargilik River basin</td>
<td>OSL</td>
<td>37°42.09’N</td>
<td>76°51.60’E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062203</td>
<td>Kargilik River basin</td>
<td>OSL</td>
<td>37°39.41’N</td>
<td>76°49.45’E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062401</td>
<td>Upper Hotan River</td>
<td>Provenance</td>
<td>37°5.90’N</td>
<td>79°57.59’E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062402</td>
<td>Dune sand</td>
<td>Provenance</td>
<td>38°27.22’N</td>
<td>80°52.06’E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062403</td>
<td>Lower Hotan River</td>
<td>Provenance</td>
<td>38°27.22’N</td>
<td>80°52.06’E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062501</td>
<td>Mazatag Mounds</td>
<td>OSL</td>
<td>38°37.07’N</td>
<td>80°27.23’E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062502</td>
<td>Mazatag Mounds</td>
<td>OSL</td>
<td>38°37.12’N</td>
<td>80°27.20’E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062503</td>
<td>Dune sand</td>
<td>Provenance</td>
<td>38°3.29’N</td>
<td>80°12.16’E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062701</td>
<td>Kashgar River</td>
<td>Provenance</td>
<td>39°27.88’N</td>
<td>75°52.51’E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062703</td>
<td>Kashgar River</td>
<td>OSL</td>
<td>39°27.88’N</td>
<td>75°52.51’E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: OSL—optically stimulated luminescence.
Figure 4. Field photographs showing the locations sampled for optically stimulated luminescence dating. (A, B) Mounds of windblown fine sand at Mazatag Ridge with trees stabilizing the top of the sediment (samples 13062501 and 13062502). (C) Fluvial terrace in the Kargilik River joins the Yarkand River in the Tarim Basin (sample 13062201). (D) Aerial photograph from Google Earth of the Kargilik River showing the locations of two terraces sampled upstream from that shown in C (samples 13062202 and 13062203; dots indicate the two sample locations). (E) Fluvial terrace from the Kashgar River just to the west of Kashgar (samples 13062701 and 13062703). (F) Aerial photograph of the Mazatag Ridge showing location of photographs A and B (black square) and the sand sea piled up on the north side.
Supplementary Information. Description of analyses under microscope. Please visit OSL. Photographs also provided of sediment samples.

Analytical data provided for U-Pb zircon dating and isotope compositions, U-Pb zircon dating, and apatite fission track analysis for bulk sediment chemistry, Sr and Nd isotopes. All data are better than 3%. All data are presented in Table 2.

### ANALYTICAL METHODS

Details regarding geochemical, provenance, and OSL analyses are provided in the Supplemental Information and are summarized here. Samples were analyzed for major and trace elements to provide a basic characterization of the material that was also analyzed with other isotopic and thermochronologic methods. Carbonate was removed prior to digestion. For elemental analysis all samples were treated with HCl and ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ·cm) before mixing 600 mg of sample with 3600 mg NH4NO3 and fused to glass-beads. Samples were then analyzed for Si, Al, Ti, Fe, Na, Ca, K, Mg, Ni, Cu, Zn, and rare earth elements. Samples were then analyzed for Sr, U, Pb, Th, U, Zn, and Sr by ICP-MS using a Themo Finnigan Elan 6000 at the Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment, Oldenburg, Germany. Major and trace element isotopic measurements were performed using the method of Clift et al. (2009). Total element recovery and accuracy were monitored by measurements of certified reference standards and the certified standard GSD-12, and were better than 95%. All data are presented in Table 2.

### RESULTS

Mineralogy

Samples were predominantly quartz-rich, well-sorted, and sub-angular to sub-rounded sands (Fig. S1 in the Supplemental information). The isotopic compositions of Sr and Nd were analyzed on a Thermo Neptun Plus Multicollector inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) at the Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment, Oldenburg, Germany. Isotopic results are reported in Table 2. Neodymium isotope analyses were corrected against the JNd-1 standard. We calculate the parameter εNd (DePaolo and Wasserburg, 1976) using a 143Nd/144Nd value of 0.512683 for the chondritic uniform reservoir (Jacobsen and Wasserburg, 1980).

Detrital zircons were dated using the U-Pb method at the London Geochronology Centre facilities at University College London (UK), using a New Wave Nd:YAG 193 nm laser ablation system, coupled to an Agilent 7700 quadrupole ICP-MS. Around 100–120 grains are considered generally sufficient for characterizing sand eroded from a geologically complicated drainage basin (Vermeesch, 2004). Results are presented in Table S1 in the Supplemental Information (see footnote 1).

Depositional ages of sediment in the terraces were determined by OSL dating of quartz sand following the single-aliquot regenerative dose method (Murray and Wintle, 2000). While OSL dating can be challenging in fluviatile environments, deposits from these settings can be accurately dated by selecting depositional facies most likely to have been reset by sunlight exposure (Fuchs and Owen, 2008; Rittenour, 2008; Wyshnytzky et al., 2015). We preferentially targeted well-sorted, horizontally bedded sand lenses from fluviatile deposits to reduce the influence of incomplete resetting (partial bleaching) of the luminescence signal. Samples were processed at the Utah State University Luminescence Laboratory (Logan, Utah, USA), and results are presented in Table 3. More information on sample processing, analysis, and equivalent dose distributions can be found in the Supplemental Information (see footnote 1).

### TABLE 2. BULK SEDIMENT MAJOR AND TRACE ELEMENT COMPOSITIONS, TOGETHER WITH ASSOCIATED Sr AND Nd ISOTOPE RATIOS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>SiO2 (%)</th>
<th>TiO2 (%)</th>
<th>Al2O3 (%)</th>
<th>Fe2O3 (%)</th>
<th>MnO (%)</th>
<th>MgO (%)</th>
<th>CaO (%)</th>
<th>Na2O (%)</th>
<th>K2O (%)</th>
<th>P2O5 (%)</th>
<th>As (ppm)</th>
<th>Ba (ppm)</th>
<th>Ce (ppm)</th>
<th>Co (ppm)</th>
<th>Cr (ppm)</th>
<th>Cu (ppm)</th>
<th>Ga (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13062501</td>
<td>Yarkand River</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062401</td>
<td>Upper Hotan River</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062402</td>
<td>Dune</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062403</td>
<td>Lower Hotan River</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062701</td>
<td>Kashgar River</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Explanation of methods used to obtain this data is in the Supplemental Information (see footnote 1). SD—standard deviation.
TABLE 3. DATA AND DEPOSITIONAL AGES FROM THE OPTICALLY STIMULATED LUMINESCENCE (OSL) ANALYSIS OF TERRACE SEDIMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of aliquots*</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>Th (ppm)</th>
<th>K (%)</th>
<th>Rb (ppm)</th>
<th>Cosmic contribution (Gy/k.y.)(^1)</th>
<th>Dose rate (Gy/k.y.)(^2)</th>
<th>Equivalent dose, De (Gy)(^3)</th>
<th>OSL age (ka) ± 2σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13062201</td>
<td>Kargilik River</td>
<td>19 (37)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>10.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.05</td>
<td>96.6 ± 3.9</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.26 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.61</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062202</td>
<td>Kargilik River</td>
<td>19 (45)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>12.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.04</td>
<td>88.0 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.29 ± 0.18</td>
<td>8.47 ± 1.26**</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062203</td>
<td>Kargilik River</td>
<td>17 (47)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.04</td>
<td>82.8 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.06 ± 0.16</td>
<td>4.27 ± 1.33</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062501</td>
<td>Mazatag Mounds</td>
<td>8 (43)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.04</td>
<td>77.6 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.56**</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062502</td>
<td>Mazatag Mounds</td>
<td>13 (34)</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.04</td>
<td>76.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.49**</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13062703</td>
<td>Kashgar River</td>
<td>26 (35)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.03</td>
<td>44.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.09</td>
<td>4.81 ± 2.11</td>
<td>2.76 ± 1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Samples analyzed following the single-aliquot regenerative-dose method (Murray and Wintle, 2000). See Supplemental Information (text footnote 1) for more information.

*Number of aliquots used for age calculation; number of aliquots measured in parentheses. Rejection of aliquots follows standard rejection criteria.

\(^1\)Contribution of cosmic radiation to the dose rate was calculated by using sample depth, elevation, and longitude and latitude following Prescott and Hutton (1994).

\(^2\)Total dose rate to quartz assumes 3 ± 3 wt% H\(_2\)O content of the sediments.

\(^3\)Equivalent dose (De) calculated using the minimum age model (MAM) of Galbraith and Roberts (2012) unless noted otherwise. Error on De is 2σ standard error.

\(^**\)De calculated using the central age model of Galbraith and Roberts (2012).

\(^11\)De calculated using the mean of the De data.
the results presented in Table S2 (see footnote 1) and in Figure 7. The upper Hotan River sample (13062401) shows a dominant young age peak at 3.7 ± 0.4 Ma, with a central age of just 9.9 Ma. Two other peaks are noted at 17.3 and 107 Ma, but these are much less abundant. The lower Hotan sample (13062403) yielded a less well-defined but similar age spectrum, with a central age of 28 ± 7.4 Ma and a prominent younger population detected at 5.3 ± 0.9 Ma. The dune sand has the oldest fission-track ages with a central age of 37.3 ± 7.5 Ma and a prominent peak of 8.1 ± 0.9 Ma, as well as two older populations clustered at 30.8 and 178 Ma.

**U-Pb Zircon Dating**

Four of the five sand samples yielded >110 grains for U-Pb dating, which is generally considered to be the threshold for an accurate statistical representation for a sediment derived from a complex source terrain (Vermeech, 2004). Only the Yarkand sample had significantly fewer than this number (43), and so our inferences based on those data must be considered less reliable. The age spectra for the samples are shown in Figure 8. We identify six prominent age populations that are common to many of the samples: 40–160 Ma, 160–230 Ma, 230–370 Ma, 370–520 Ma, 520–690 Ma, 690–900 Ma, and >900 Ma. There are very few grains older than 900 Ma or younger than 40 Ma. The Yarkand River is distinctive in showing a prominent population at 40–160 Ma that is not found in the other rivers. In contrast, the upper Hotan is unique in having a very abundant 160–230 Ma population, although this group is also seen at lower concentrations in the dune sand and the lower Hotan sample. The Kashgar River has prominent populations at 370–520 Ma and 520–690 Ma and is unique in our data set in having a sizable 690–900 Ma population (Fig. 8). The dune sand and lower Hotan samples have relatively similar age spectra, although the dune sand has a more abundant 230–370 Ma population.
Optically Stimulated Luminescence

The OSL ages reveal a relatively young set of terraces along the Kargilik River, with the oldest dating to 2.57 ± 0.46 ka and two younger, lower terraces at 1.40 ± 0.46 ka and 0.42 ± 0.19 ka respectively (Table 3). Other young ages of sedimentation are found in the Mazatag Mounds that represent deflated remnants of desert dunes on the south side of Mazatag Range. Depositional ages here are only 0.50 ± 0.20 ka. Finally, the terrace above the Kashgar River near Kashgar provides a depositional age of 2.76 ± 1.24 ka, close to the older terrace age in the Kargilik valley.

DISCUSSION

Sediment Provenance

Bulk Isotope Chemistry

We now use the data presented above to make inferences about the source of sediment in the modern river systems by comparing the new data presented here with existing bedrock data. Figure 6 shows that the upper Hotan River sample (13062401) has a Sr and Nd isotope composition that lies within the
range of bedrock and proximal moraine compositions from the Kunlun, albeit with higher-than-average \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) values. This relationship might be expected based on the modern drainage pattern of that river (Fig. 2). In contrast, the Yarkand River sample (130626101) plots at a similar \( e_{\text{Nd}} \) value but lower \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) value, within the range of the Karakoram basement values, as well as being close to those of basement of the Tianshuihai Massif. The tectonic zonation map (Fig. 2) indicates that the Tianshuihai Massif is composed of both Karakoram and Songpan Garze terranes. The Sr and Nd data suggest that most of the sediment in the Yarkand is being derived from its upper reaches and not from the northern parts of the catchment closer to the edge of the Tarim Basin itself. The Kashgar River sample (13062701) plots at a lower \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) value again, closer but still above the range of the various volcanic suites of the Ashikule Volcanic Group. The rocks of the Tuyon Basin lie within the Kashgar drainage but have much lower \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) values and higher \( e_{\text{Nd}} \) values, indicating that any erosion from those rocks is quite limited. Instead, sediment production appears to be dominated by sources isotopically similar to those supplying the Yarkand River.

Not surprisingly, the lower Hotan sample (13062403) and the dune sand sample (13062402) plot close together, consistent with significant reworking of desert sand into the river. The desert sand itself is intermediate between the Hotan and Yarkand samples in Sr and Nd, and has higher \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) values than earlier analyses from the Tuyon Basin. Our Nd and Sr data do permit the possibility that the Karakoram have been a source to the desert, although this is not required.

**Zircon U-Pb Evidence**

We can explore these conclusions further by consideration of the zircon U-Pb ages. The upper Hotan River is marked by a very prominent population at 160–230 Ma (Fig. 8). This population is not commonly found in the other rivers and given the extent of the drainage must be derived from the Kunlun, likely the North Kunlun terrane (Fig. 2). Although basement samples from this area have not yet shown this peak, this implies inadequate sampling of the basement to date. It is noteworthy that this 160–230 Ma group, although as yet unseen in bedrock analyses, exists as a very prominent population within Cenozoic sedimentary rocks preserved within the Kunlun (Bershaw et al., 2012). The Yarkand shares with the Hotan U-Pb age populations at 160–230 and 370–520 Ma, which is unsurprising given that this river also drains through the South and North Kunlun. However, the Yarkand contains a very prominent peak at 40–160 Ma, which is not seen in the other rivers but is common in Karakoram basement. This indicates that a large quantity of the sediment in the Yarkand River is derived from the Karakoram, consistent with bulk isotope data and the modern drainage geometry.
The Kashgar River differs from the other rivers in showing a significant 230–370 Ma group, as well as an older 690–900 Ma population, almost unknown in the other rivers or the Taklimakan Desert. The Kashgar River shares the strong 370–520 Ma group with the other studied rivers. This group is well known from basement samples in the Kunlun, which forms the southern side of that drainage, implying erosion from that region. The differences in the observed zircon ages and age frequencies between the Kashgar and Hotan Rivers would thus likely reflect the influence of sources to the north, within the Tian Shan.

As with the bulk isotope data, the downstream Hotan River and the dune sands share a similar pattern in U-Pb zircon ages. These two samples also share several populations with the upstream Hotan and Kashgar Rivers, but not with the Yarkand, implying that this river is not a major source of sediment to the Taklimakan Desert. This may indicate that the flux from the Yarkand is overwhelmed within the Tarim Basin by flux from other rivers, and/or that drainage from the Karakoram to the Tarim Basin has initiated quite recently. Our zircon data are consistent with the work of Rittner et al. (2016) in demonstrating that the Taklimakan dune sand reflects input from both the Kunlun and the Pamir.

Controls on Provenance

What controls the rates of erosion between the different river basins remains problematic because we do not have information on the volumes of material sourced by the Kashgar, Yarkand, and Hotan Rivers. The Kashgar River catchment is easily the most tectonically active (Fig. 1) but is otherwise unremarkable in terms of its topography or precipitation (Fig. 9). The Kashgar appears to be steeper and slightly wetter on its northern side compared to its southern side. Provenance constraints from U-Pb detrital zircons indicate that more sediment is being derived from north of the drainage (Fig. 6), raising both of these factors, topography and precipitation, as possible crucial constraints. The Yarkand River drains the steepest topography, especially in its headwaters close to the Karakoram, where it also experiences relatively high rates of precipitation. The combination of steep local topographic relief and precipitation, which encourages strong glacial development, may be the reason that this part of the catchment is so productive relative to the Kunlun. In contrast, the Hotan River is the driest catchment with only slightly higher rates of precipitation in its western reaches. It is also the most topographically reduced. However, because the Hotan River drains essentially only one major tectonic block, our data do not allow us to resolve what is controlling the distribution of erosion patterns within this drainage. In the other cases, however, there is a consistent link to climate, and especially precipitation, being the primary control over relative erosion rates within a given basin, even if tectonics is forming the topography against which orographic precipitation is occurring.

Figure 9. Annual mean rainfall and local topographic relief for the study area. (A) Annual rainfall values derived from Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission version 3B42 data from A.D. 1998 to 2009 at 0.25° x 0.25° resolution (http://www.geog.ucsb.edu/~bodo/TRMM/). (B) Mean local topographic relief calculated using a 20-km-radius moving window from void-filled Shuttle Radar Topography Mission 1990 Digital Elevation Model. Blue lines denote the stream network.
Paleo-Erosion Patterns

We apply our understanding of the erosion in the modern rivers to interpretation of ancient deposits to better constrain the erosion of the northern edge of the Tibetan Plateau. Cao et al. (2014) published a series of detrital zircon U-Pb ages from a section close to the modern Hotan River and used these to reconstruct the progressive exhumation of the northern edge of the Tibetan Plateau during the Cenozoic. In our reassessment, we assign depositional ages based on the stratigraphic correlation shown in Figure 10 and using the revised ages from Zheng et al. (2015), who employed a slightly different lithostratigraphy. The age of the base of the section is well defined as Eocene, based on marine fossils, while the top of the section, defined as the Xiyu Formation by Zheng et al. (2015), is dated at 11 Ma based on a volcanic ash deposit. We correlate these two sections with the revised depositional ages to aid in our understanding of evolving erosion patterns.

The oldest formation, the Keziluoyi, yielded strong zircon age peaks at ca. 300 and 450 Ma (Fig. 11) that are also observed in the Kashgar River as well as in the desert sands. However, the Keziluoyi Formation lacks the 690–900 Ma population found in the Kashgar River. It seems likely that the Keziluoyi Formation had similar sources to those now supplying the desert sand, implying erosion both from the Pamir and from the Kunlun.

We employ multidimensional scaling (MDS) (Fig. 12) to assess the similarity of ancient and modern sediments and their potential bedrock source terranes (Vermeesch, 2013). MDS is a standard statistical technique similar to principal component analysis, which can be used to create a plot measuring the similarity between sample zircon age spectra much like the Kolmogorov-Smirnov method that quantifies the distance between the empirical cumulative distribution functions of two samples. MDS plot axes are themselves are not physical parameters.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic quantifies a distance between the empirical distribution functions of two samples. Like the desert sand, the Keziluoyi plots between the Pamir-derived Kashgar and the upper Hotan Rivers. This implies that at least some parts of the northern plateau and the Pamir were already exposed between 24 and 30 Ma.

The overlying Anjuan Formation shows a strong provenance change, with a sharp increase in grains <100 Ma as well as the appearance of a significant 690–900 Ma population. New sources must have been exposed by that time (24 to <18 Ma), and this older zircon population indicates that these may have been located within the Pamir. This indicates that an established river drainage from that area must have been established and delivering (significant?) sediment to the southern edge of the Tarim Basin at that time, i.e., the early Miocene. Although Cao et al. (2014) argued that the young (<40 Ma) grains were coming to the Anjuan Formation from the Karakoram via a paleo–Yarkand River, we prefer a source in the western Pamir, based on the closer overall similarity of the U–Pb spectra (Fig. 12) and lack of ca. 60 Ma grains in the Anjuan Formation that are common in the Karakoram (Fig. 8) but absent in the western Pamir and the Anjuan Formation, at least at the 95% confidence level.

We think a drainage connection with the Karakoram at that time is unlikely, and we can conclude with confidence that if such a link did exist, then the amount of sediment supplied was very limited. The MDS diagram indicates that the Anjuan Formation has similarity with basement sources in the Cretaceous sedimentary rocks of the Kunlun as well as in the Songpan Garze (Fig. 12). This implies erosion from, sediment delivery from, and thus possible uplift in the northern plateau.
Kunlun, in addition to that seen in the Pamir at the time of sedimentation. This interpretation is in accord with the Apatite Fission Track (AFT) data from the Hotan River. The western Pamir no longer supply sediment to the Tarim Basin, so some drainage reorganization is required between the western and eastern Pamir since the early Miocene.

The overlying Pakabulake Formation (ca. 14 Ma) is characterized by the disappearance of zircon populations <100 Ma and 230–370 Ma, and another sharp decrease in the relative influence of 690–900 Ma zircons. The source of the Pakabulake Formation would appear to be mostly within the Kunlun and northern Tibetan Plateau, without significant sediment influx from the Pamir. Such a switch is probably at least partly linked to drainage capture away from the area of sedimentation rather than because the Pamir were not eroding. Comparison between the Pakabulake and overlying Artux Formations shows a significant provenance change between 14 and 11 Ma, particularly with the appearance of a very strong 160–230 Ma population. This is identical to that seen in the modern Hotan River and which we know to be derived from the north Kunlun Block. As a result, we infer the start of sediment flux from the North Kunlun material between 14 and 11 Ma is later than the start of exhumation implied by the ca. 17 Ma AFT age in the Hotan River. This lag may reflect drainage reorganization, with Kunlun sediment being deposited elsewhere between 17 and 11 Ma.

A regional evolution model for the foreland sequence is one of uplift propagating from south to north during the early–middle Miocene with most of the ranges we now see presently in existence by ca. 11 Ma. This is slightly earlier than the middle Miocene to late Miocene uplift implied by Cao et al. (2014) and Wang et al. (2003). It is also noteworthy that no sediment similar to that of the modern Yarkand River is seen in the ancient record, suggesting that this river system is relatively young and that the connection between drainage systems sourcing Karakoram material into the Tarim Basin is a rela-
tively recent development. This is consistent with the observation that grains <100 Ma are not found in Taklimakan Desert sands, which implies minimal delivery from such sources in the geological past.

**Regional Exhumation from Apatite Fission-Track Data**

Apatite fission-track (AFT) data can be used to look at regional exhumation rates within the drainage of the Hotan River and the sources to the Taklimakan Desert. If we assume a standard range of continual geothermal gradients of 25–30 °C/km and a simple cooling history, then we can use the age population of the sands to estimate average exhumation rates in the sources. The dominant population in the Hotan River clusters at 3.7 ± 0.4 Ma, which implies exhumation rates of 894–1333 m/m.y. if the base of the partial annealing zone is placed at 110 °C (Green, 1989). Our reported central age for the Hotan River is comparable to those reported from the eastern Karakoram at 3.3 ± 0.3 Ma and 7.4 ± 1.1 Ma (Wallis et al., 2016), but is older than those from the central Karakoram around K2 (Foster et al., 1994). Our AFT ages are much younger, and thus exhumation is calculated to be much faster, than in the Transhimalaya and at the southwestern edge of the Tibetan Plateau (Dortch et al., 2011; Kirstein et al., 2006; Munack et al., 2014).

This exhumation rate should reflect the average exhumation rate of North Kunlun bedrock sources that we identified above as the primary supplier to the Hotan River. Although there are two older AFT age populations, these are numerically much smaller and do not dominate the sediment flux. Together our detrital zircon and AFT data indicate that there has been rapid exhumation of at least parts of the North Kunlun since at least 3.7 Ma. However, we also note that there have been moderate rates of exhumation in parts of the Kunlun since ca. 17.3 Ma (185–297 m/m.y.), consistent with basement AFT work (Sobel and Dumitruc, 1997), but which occurred still much faster than long-term rates dating back to the Cretaceous. These older AFT ages are not common but do indicate significant regional unroofing back into the mid-Miocene. If these cooling events were triggered by rock uplift, then we could infer that uplift of the North Kunlun initiated by ca. 17 Ma and accelerated no later than 3.7 Ma. The later acceleration is consistent with tilting and facies changes seen in the middle to upper Miocene Xiyu Formation of this area that suggest major uplift starting after ca. 15 Ma (Wang et al., 2003; Zheng et al., 2000).

The AFT ages in the Tarim sands are also dominated by younger ages at ca. 8.1 ± 0.9 Ma, albeit slightly older than those in the Hotan River. This indicates that the average source of the Tarim dunes is exhuming a little more slowly than the Kunlun sources of the Hotan River. The combined zircon and Nd-Sr isotope data indicate that the desert sands are from both the Kunlun and the Pamir, which implies that the Pamir sources are exhuming more slowly than the Kunlun in the recent past. Average exhumation rates of the dominant ca. 8.1 Ma dune sand population are ~407–611 m/m.y. Rates are 105–165 m/m.y. for the fission-track age population clustered at ca. 30.8 Ma, if we consider both the uncertainty in the geothermal gradient and the uncertainty in the AFT population ages. Despite the uncertainties, exhumation rates of the average dunes, and thus the eastern Pamir, are slower than those seen in the Kunlun.

We can also compare these rates with regional exhumation rates in the western Pamir published by Lukens et al. (2012). That study did not include AFT data, but 40Ar/39Ar mica dating yielded a young population there of 13–21 Ma. If we use a closure temperature range of 350–420 °C (McDougall and Harrison, 1999) for the mica and our geothermal gradient of 25–30 °C/km, then we can estimate average exhumation rates of up to 1300 m/m.y. and as low as 560 m/m.y. in the western Pamir. This range is higher than the 407–611 m/m.y. range estimated from the Tarim sands, indicating that the eastern Pamir have been exhuming less rapidly than the western ranges. Furthermore, we note that although Lukens et al. (2012) emphasized that the Pamir are exhuming faster than western Tibet, our data from the Hotan River indicate that at least the North Kunlun are exhuming at comparable rates, i.e., ~894–1333 m/m.y. at least during the Plio-Pleistocene.

**Holocene Sediment Transport**

Examination of the alluvial terraces in the rivers draining the Kunlun yield a series of rather young valley-filling ages with no clear evidence for other higher and older terraces in the same valleys. In the Kargilik River we see evidence for aggradation at ca. 2.6, 1.4, and 0.4 ka. We find that in each case neither the height of the terrace is especially high (<15 m) nor the along-stream extent very great. This suggests that sediment storage within the mountains is not an important factor in buffering the total sediment flux from the mountain sources to the depocenter. A regional climatic trigger for valley aggradation and incision would explain the relative coincidence of terrace ages in the Kargilik and Kashgar Rivers at ca. 2.5 ka. In the more monsoonal sectors of the Himalaya, valley aggradation is usually associated with an increased sediment supply driven by a strong monsoon (BooKhan et al., 2006). In this more northern area, the monsoon has less influence and moisture is largely supplied via the Westerlies. This is likely one of the reasons that the strong aggradation recorded in the Himalaya over the early Holocene (10–8 ka) is not observed in this region. Climate records from western China are reflective of a complicated history, although some would argue for a dry and warm phase between 11 and ca. 7 ka followed by warm and wet phase between 7 and 4 ka, and that followed in turn by a fluctuating cool and dry period (Feng et al., 2008). However, such syntheses cover very wide areas with regional differences between the Kunlun and the Tian Shan being lost.

A typical view is that the Tarim Basin experienced a Holocene climatic optimum between 8 and ca. 5 ka that is not so different from the situation in the western Himalaya (Dixit et al., 2014; Wünnemann et al., 2010). However, there is a lack of agreement on the climatic evolution in western China. A study by Hartmann and Wünnemann (2009) from a lake deposit north of the Tarim Basin argued for an episode of dry conditions between 7.5 and 5.4 ka. This is followed by greater runoff and wetter conditions after 5.4 ka, with the final phase of drying after 4.0 ka. This lake record seems to indicate an extremely
variable monsoon within northwestern China over the Holocene as a result of interactions between the Indian summer monsoon and the winter Westerly Jet. Observations in the recent historical past indicate that the Asian summer monsoon is typically responsible for extreme rainfall events that are more likely to result in sediment transport in the Tarim Basin (Yatagai and Yasunari, 1998). Holmes et al. (2009) summarized proxy data over the Holocene from ice cores, tree rings, and lacustrine sediments that confirmed significant variability in climate both across the region and with altitude. Wünnemann et al. (2006) compiled lake records from this area and were able to identify times of significant desiccation, which they were able to date in the more recent past at 2.6–2.5, 1.9–1.1, and 0.5–0.3 ka, close to the ages of our terrace sediments from the Kargilik River.

Given the age uncertainties in the OSL dating (Table 3), it is not clear whether the valley aggradation was associated with wet periods or the drier intervals because both types of intervals were quite short lived. It is presumed that aggradation is related to increased sediment supply during wetter periods. Terracing is mostly associated with the time of fluctuating climate after 4 ka. Nonetheless, the height and volume of the terraces make it clear that sediment storage in the mountains is not a significant buffer to sediment transport, in stark contrast to the extensive terraces in the Indus River system (Böhme and Korup, 2013; Clift and Giosan, 2014). In contrast to river systems in more monsoonal areas, there is no suggestion that historic anthropogenic impacts via agricultural disruption have triggered intensified upstream erosion resulting in downstream valley aggradation (Jonell et al., 2016).

Within the Taklimakan Desert itself there are clearly short-term changes in the accretion and deflation of dune complexes. South of the Mazatag Ridge, a significant eolian deposit accumulated ca. 0.5 ka, but this has been deflated in more recent times. This period coincides with the dry period noted by Wünnemann et al. (2006). It is possible that the shift from drier to wet conditions estimated at ca. 0.3 ka may be linked to reorganization of regional winds, which resulted in the change from sediment accretion to erosion in that area. Although the Taklimakan Desert has the potential to be a major sediment buffer over longer time scales, the Holocene record we observe and that is reported in the existing literature indicates rapid sediment recycling over millennial time scales.

CONCLUSIONS

Combined radiogenic isotopes and U-Pb dating of detrital zircons from three rivers in the western Tarim Basin reveal the nature of the source terranes and the origin of the sediment in these rivers, as well as those of the dune fields of the Taklimakan Desert. The Yarkand, Hotan, and Kashgar Rivers all show unique provenance signatures. The Yarkand derives much of its sediment from steep, glaciated terrains, largely sourced from the Karakoram near the north flank of K2. This river appears to be relatively new because no sediments with similar U-Pb zircon ages are found within Cenozoic foreland basin strata from at least ca. 11 Ma. Zircon grains <100 Ma are essentially nonexistent in the Taklimakan Desert sands, implying a low net supply from the Karakoram via a paleo–Yarkand River. A combination of U-Pb zircon and isotope data confirm earlier studies that desert sands are derived largely from a mixture of Kunlun and Pamir sources (Rittner et al., 2016).

The Hotan River derives most of its material from the North Kunlun and shows a population of grains dated at 160–230 Ma that has not yet been discovered in the bedrock of that tectonic block. The Kashgar River appears to be deriving sediment from both the northern and southern flanks of that catchment, although with greater flux from the Tian Shan than from the Pamir. As in the Yarkand, this implies that precipitation is the key process controlling erosion in each river basin, although this is sometimes reinforced by tectonically generated topographic processes.

Apatite fission-track data from the Hotan River and desert dunes indicate rapid exhumation of the North Kunlun since 3.7 Ma following a period of more moderate but still significant exhumation in the middle Miocene, at least by ca. 17 Ma. These rates of exhumation are comparable to those found in the eastern Karakoram (Wallis et al., 2016), and are faster than those of much of the Transhimalaya and western Tibet (Dortch et al., 2011; Kirstein et al., 2006; Munack et al., 2014) but slower than those seen in the central Karakoram (Foster et al., 1994). Apatite fission-track–derived exhumation rates show that the eastern Pamir have been exhuming more slowly than the western Pamir. Examination of the Cenozoic foreland strata reveals a pattern that suggests a northward propagation in uplift and exhumation that is not clearly linked to climatic evolution. The oldest sediments of the Keliuyou Formation (24–30 Ma) are derived from the Pamir and partly from northern Tibet (Songpan Garze). The subsequent Anjuan Formation, which is younger than 18 Ma, shows erosion from the western Pamir but not the Karakoram as previously believed (Cao et al., 2014), as well as influxes from an uplifting Kunlun block. The overlying Pakabulake Formation (ca. 15 Ma) shows a loss of drainage from the Pamir, suggesting drainage reorganization possibly linked to headwater transfer from the eastern to western Pamir rivers and the re-routing of the Kashgar and associated Pamir rivers toward the north into their present geometries. The uppermost Artux Formation, dated to ca. 11 Ma, is dominated by erosion from the North Kunlun, which was actively uplifting at that time.

Collectively, the data indicate a long and relatively old uplift history for the northwestern margin of the Tibetan Plateau. Most recently, sediment appears to be transported directly from mountain sources into the Taklimakan Desert. Sediment dilution is significant because the rivers themselves recycle desert sediments soon after leaving their rocky headwater gorges, with the original bedrock source signals rapidly diluted as rivers flow farther into the Taklimakan Desert. There is little opportunity for sediment buffering in the headwater regions because of the limited terracing within we find limited terracing within the Kunlun valleys dating at ca. 2.6, 1.4, and 0.5 ka. These late Holocene terraces are unlikely linked to anthropogenic activities but instead reflect changing moisture mostly supplied by extreme South Asian summer monsoon events.
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