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ABSTRACT
The BT-Nurse system uses data-to-text technology to automatically generate a natural language nursing shift summary in a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). The summary is solely based on data held in an electronic patient record system, no additional data-entry is required. BT-Nurse was tested for two months in the Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh NICU. Nurses were asked to rate the understandability, accuracy, and helpfulness of the computer-generated summaries; they were also asked for free-text comments about the summaries. The nurses found the majority of the summaries to be understandable, accurate, and helpful (p<0.001 for all measures). However, nurses also pointed out many deficiencies, especially with regard to extra content they wanted to see in the computer-generated summaries. In conclusion, natural language NICU shift summaries can be automatically generated from an electronic patient record, but our proof-of-concept software needs considerable additional development work before it can be deployed.

INTRODUCTION
Medical professionals have access to increasing volumes of information about patients. This is particularly the case in the intensive care unit (ICU), where continuously monitored physiological data (eg, heart rate, oxygen saturation) and detailed records of observations and interventions are available.

Effective presentation and understanding of these data is important in real-time decision making, but is also very relevant during patient handover between clinicians where, despite an oral or written handover, the outgoing clinician may forget to mention important information, or the incoming clinician may not assimilate all the information presented during a brief exchange. In such cases the incoming clinician relies on the available data in order to fill in any gaps.

While large datasets are usually presented as graphs or tables, some studies have found that high-quality textual summaries can be more effective for decision-support in some circumstances.1 2 The summaries in these studies were carefully written by expert clinicians; this is only practical within a research context. However, as part of a larger project, BabyTalk,3 4 we have developed a Natural Language Generation system, BT-Nurse, which automatically generates English summaries of the electronically recorded patient data over a 12 h nursing shift, for a baby in a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU).

CASE DESCRIPTION
The NICU at the Edinburgh Royal Infirmary uses Clevermed’s Badger computer system to manage and display patient data. This system acquires and records several channels of continuous physiological data sampled once per second. A display is located beside each cot, and clinical staff routinely use this to enter additional information, including hourly physiological measurements, drugs and fluids administered, equipment settings, and care and treatment actions taken. Most of the data collected is preformatted but free-text entry is also available.

BT-Nurse analyses the patient data, decides which information is most important, and presents it as an English text; an extract is shown in figure 1A. Figure 1B shows a corresponding extract from a summary of the same shift data written by a research nurse. The summaries are structured according to physiological system (eg, respiratory); BT-Nurse only summarizes two of the 10 physiological systems, viz. respiratory and cardiovascular; it also reports on the patient’s current status and problems.

METHODS OF IMPLEMENTATION
BT-Nurse is constructed around a standard data-to-text “pipeline” architecture (figure 2),5 6 where information is processed sequentially by modules which communicate via a domain ontology which includes mechanisms for modeling uncertainty and incomplete knowledge:

1. Data Translation transforms data from the format stored in the Badger system to that required by the ontology. A limited amount of information is extracted from free-text, using simple parsing and keyword extraction techniques. For example, the text bolus of sodium chloride infusing would be mapped to an instance of a drug administration event in the ontology, with properties indicating the drug (sodium chloride) and the method (infusion).

2. Data Preprocessing tries to fill in some of the omissions and gaps which are inevitably present in real-world patient data. For example, if the time of an intubation has not been entered, BT-Nurse attempts to infer approximately when it happened by examining the hourly ventilation observations.
5. Document Planning and Realization

The comments were manually segmented, so that each segment addressed one specific aspect of the summary. This yielded 257 segments (125 for outgoing nurses and 112 for incoming nurses) that were reviewed by domain experts to assess their helpfulness and accuracy. The analysis showed that BT-Nurse was able to accurately capture important events during the shift, and that the summaries were found to be helpful by the nurses in terms of care planning.

EXAMPLE AND OBSERVATIONS

BT-Nurse was evaluated on-ward by nurses who read summaries about babies under their care. These summaries were generated on-demand toward the end of selected shifts using the live database and displayed to the nurse at the cot-side. They were generated in less than 1 min, with no noticeable impact on other users of the Badger system. No additional data-entry was required; all information was obtained from the Badger patient record.

After reading a summary, the outgoing nurse in charge of that specific baby was asked to rate its understandability, accuracy, and helpfulness in producing her own end-of-shift summary (were she to produce one), by indicating agreement, disagreement, or neutrality with respect to these statements. She was also invited to enter additional comments on any aspect of the summary. The protocol for incoming nurses was the same, except that the final question referred to helpfulness in care planning. Incoming nurses had a verbal handover with the outgoing nurse and also had access to the computerized charts; hence they could judge the accuracy and helpfulness of a generated summary. We could not directly compare BT-Nurse texts against human-written texts, using measures such as recall and precision, because NICU nurses do not write detailed textual shift handover reports.

We conducted 165 trials (defined as an evaluation by one nurse of one shift summary): 75 with outgoing and 92 with incoming nurses. A total of 148 summaries were produced for 31 individual babies. In 151 cases, a summary was seen by only one nurse (outgoing or incoming); in the other 17 cases the summary was seen by both nurses. On average, each baby was seen by 2.3 nurses. In 131 cases, a summary was seen by only one nurse. The comments were manually segmented, so that each segment addressed one specific aspect of the summary. This yielded 257 segments (125 for outgoing nurses and 112 for incoming nurses).
The segments were annotated independently by three of the authors to indicate which aspect of a summary each was concerned with (content, language, and overall) and which of a predefined set of labels for each category applied. We used Cohen’s $k$ statistic to calculate pairwise agreement between annotators on each dimension; using standard thresholds, we found tentative agreement in the content ($k=0.73$) and language ($k=0.66$) dimensions, and good agreement in the overall ($k=0.85$) dimension.

Most segments concerned the content of the summary (185), most of these (109) noting missing content. Many of these referred to information which was not intended to be included (eg, content about nutrition, which BT-Nurse did not handle, as noted above). However, even disregarding such segments, requests for more content were much more common than requests for less, suggesting that BT-Nurse was under-reporting. As an example, one nurse wrote that the “baby ... is VERY small, and ... it should be pointed out that the ETT is size 2.0.” BT-Nurse never reports ETT (endotracheal tube) size as usually this is not very important; however in some cases it is important and should be reported.

There were 46 segments concerning incorrect content; some were due to errors in the patient record data, but most were due to bugs in the software. For example, BT-Nurse sometimes listed current problems which in fact had been rectified; this was because of an error in reading the relevant database table.

There were only 11 segments about language, all of which were negative. Most of these criticisms reflected individual preferences. The small number of such segments raises the possibility that nurses only commented about language when they were unhappy with it; if this is true, then perhaps in most cases BT-Nurse’s language, which is stylistically quite different from the somewhat telegraphic style that typifies free-text comments in normal shift summaries, was “good enough”.

Among the 35 overall segments, eight concerned deficiencies from a high-level “narrative” perspective—for example, not describing causal links between observations and interventions, and not adequately describing the overall “big picture”.

There were also some very encouraging comments about how BT-Nurse summaries were helpful, such as “BT picked up the change in HR trend that I had not noticed”.

## DISCUSSION

Given that we did not have time to develop a system which generated complete shift summaries, we think it is very encouraging that 58% of the nurses regarded BT-Nurse texts as helpful, and 90% regarded them as understandable.

Most of the criticisms do not concern the underlying technology and could be addressed by expanding BT-Nurse so that it generates complete summaries (including systems that were omitted, such as nutrition), and by doing more on-ward debugging. In terms of technology, the biggest challenges are dealing with incomplete input data and generating good narrative texts. Data entered manually will always have omissions and mistakes and dealing with these robustly is a major challenge for any medical data-to-text system. Generating good narratives which include causal links and make the big picture clear is also a key data-to-text challenge; indeed, one could argue that such narrative aspects are perhaps the primary benefits of textual summaries over tabular/visual presentations. BT-Nurse’s medical knowledge base also needs to be expanded.

Data-to-text technology is very new, and systems have been developed in many areas, including weather forecasts, financial and statistical information, and engineering. Recent attempts to apply data-to-text in medical contexts have used input which is simple compared with BT-Nurse; these are akin to early automated interpretation and report generation systems for personality assessment based on questionnaire data.
responses. We are not aware of any previous medical system which is as ambitious as BT-Nurse in the amount and diversity of data summarized. BT-Nurse has shown that it is possible to use data-to-text technology to generate useful and helpful summaries of nursing shifts from a complex, state-of-the-art patient information system holding a large amount of heterogeneous data. Of course, BT-Nurse is a proof of concept, and would require considerable engineering effort before it could be realistically deployed, or indeed evaluated in a clinical trial which measured patient outcome instead of nurse’s perceptions. In particular, report accuracy needs to be higher, and comparable to the overall accuracy of the information in the patient record system. However, our evaluation, which involved a deployment of the system within its target environment and running on live, previously unseen data, shows that data-to-text systems can generate shift summaries from clinical data extracted from an electronic patient record.
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