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SUMMARY The aim of the present investigation was to determine the relative extent of geometric error and errors in point identification in postero-anterior roentgenography. In one series of tests a group of dry human skulls was used, and the same cephalometric landmarks were identified twice by two orthodontists, using postero-anterior roentgenographs, first using the dry skulls as such, and then the same skulls with metal markers inserted to show the exact locations of the cephalometric points. Consistency and normal variation in the reproducibility of head position in the cephalostat between repeated roentgenographs were studied by a photographic technique in a group of young healthy adults, measuring the extent of minor head movements. Geometric error was calculated using a computer-aided design program (CAD) by rotating the three-dimensional co-ordinates of the cephalometric landmarks and thus obtaining projection error in the frontal view.

Accuracy in cephalometric point identification was best in dental landmarks and vertical orientation of superior orbital margins. Geometric error was least when landmarks near the anterior midsagittal plane, such as upper and lower dental midlines or point gonion were compared with each other. Width measurements from frontal-view cephalograms are most sensitive to minor movements in head posture. Due to combined errors, the use of width measurements in facial asymmetry diagnosis should not be used since variance in errors in landmark identification can be larger than that in actual landmark location.

Introduction

Postero-anterior roentgenographs have been widely used to study craniofacial asymmetries (Letzer and Kronman, 1967; Shah and Joshi, 1978; Alavi et al., 1988; Peck et al., 1991; Schmid et al., 1991). The increasing trend towards bimaxillary orthognathic surgery has increased the practice of using projections other than the usual lateral-view roentgenographs (Jacobson, 1990; Proffit, 1991). Measurement of asymmetry from frontal-view roentgenographs is difficult, however, as pointed out by Persson (1973) and Cook (1980). Minor errors can arise from slight head rotation in the cephalostat and hence the midsagittal line of the skull may not be positioned as desired, perpendicular to the central X-ray. The situation becomes even more difficult when the aim is to measure degrees of asymmetry in subjects with severe asymmetries of basic structures, because in skulls with marked asymmetries it is difficult to find a straight reference line. Even so, use of a cephalostat for frontal-view roentgenography usually involves the assumption that the trans-porionic axis is perpendicular to the midsagittal line of the skull, which may not be true in all cases.

So far attempts to identify sources of errors in the frontal view have been limited to investigations of errors relating to cephalometric point identification (El-Mangoury et al., 1987). No attempt has been made to discover the extent of geometric error using different measuring points, or the relationship of geometric error to errors in point identification.

The aim of the present examination was to measure errors relating to incorrect identification of cephalometric points and to determine normal variation in head posture in a cephalostat between repeated recordings, and its effect on geometric error in frontal-view roentgencephalometry.
Subjects and methods

Dry human skulls (n = 20) with no pathological deformations from the same ethnic group were used for roentgencephalometric examination. The origins of the skulls have been described by Koski (1948). All skulls had complete or almost complete dentitions. Occlusions of the skulls were fixed rigidly to maximal intercuspation.

Reproducibility of head positioning in a cephalostat was measured with the cooperation of 20 healthy students of both sexes (12 female, 8 male, aged 22–36 years). The subjects were photographed repeatedly in the cephalostat, both in frontal and lateral projections.

Roentgencephalometric methods

Cephalograms of the dry skulls were taken in a cephalostat, using Trimax (Kodak) film. Exposures were made using a film-focus distance of 190 cm, the linear enlargement being 5.5 per cent for points situated near the transmeatal axis in frontal-view roentgenographs. The skulls were first roentgenographed in the cephalostat in the frontal view, and subsequently in the lateral view, with Frankfort plane horizontal in both projections. In the frontal view the central ray was directed perpendicular to the transmeatal axis and along the transmeatal axis for the frontal view. After taking the first set of roentgenographs, all points used in the analysis (Figures 1 and 2) were marked with metal wires or implants. All skulls were then roentgenographed a second time, using the same technique as before.

Reproducibility of head positioning

To test the reproducibility of head positioning in a cephalostat, the subjects were photographed twice, both in frontal and lateral projections and four photographs of each subject were taken in total. The interval between repeated photographs was 1 hour. Changes in head position were determined by using external fixed markers as measurement points on the nose and outer ear (Figures 3 and 4). Head tilt in the frontal view was measured by determining changes in interpupillary axis, the rigid headholder of the cephalostat and its ear-rods forming a reference (Figure 3). Changes in the head position were recorded by digitizing the measurement points, using the tangent to the left and right ear-rods as the x co-ordinate.

Analysis of methodological errors

To assess the effect of geometric error resulting from minor variations in head posture on total methodological error in postero–anterior roentgenography, cephalometric findings were analysed using a modification of the three-dimensional roentgenographic method developed by Eliasson et al. (1982) and Ahlqvist et al. (1986) using a computer-aided design (CAD) program.

The measurement points in the postero–anterior roentgenographs (Figure 1) of the dry skulls were first given digital coordinates in two
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Figure 2 Reference points on lateral-view roentgenographs: Extreme superior orbital margins; the intersections of the fronto-zygomatic sutures and the margins of the orbital cavity; extreme superior points of the condylar heads; anterior nasal spine; the lowermost tips of the buccal cusps of the maxillary molars; the upper incisal midpoint; the lower incisal midpoint; antegonial notches; the gonion. The sagittal location of each point was measured as a distance to a vertical line passing the ear-rods, parallel to the Frankfort horizontal line.

Figure 3 The change in head position in the frontal view was measured from the difference between two photographs where the ear-rods and the interpupillary axis served as the reference lines.

Figure 4 The change in head position in the lateral view was measured from the difference between two photographs where fixed markers on the nose and the outer ear on the left side were the measurement points, the rigid cephalostat serving as the reference. True three-dimensional angular change in head position was obtained using a computer-aided design program.

dimensions by two calibrated orthodontists (PP and JM). The researchers calibrated the method by practising together the procedure before the final analysis. Roentgenographs taken in the lateral projection in the same cephalostat as the poster-anterior roentgenographs were measured (Figure 2), and the location of the points in three dimensions could be determined, using \( x, y, z \) co-ordinates. The points were then rotated artificially using the computer program to calculate effects of changing head position on total geometric error (Figure 5). The geometric error was measured as the absolute change in the distance of the lateral co-ordinate of the cephalometric point to the midline when the head was rotated artificially around the vertical axis. The rotation around the vertical axis was studied here, since comparison of lateral discrepancies between sides of the face is most sensitive to this type of movement.

Statistical analyses

The significances of differences between means of errors for the different recording methods (roentgencephalometry with and without metal markers) were determined by using the \( t \)-test for paired observations. The use of the parametric test was based on the assumption that the sample was normally distributed.
The inter-examiner error in cephalometric measurements was determined by the formula: 
\[ s_{(\text{inter-examiner})} = \sqrt{\sum d^2/2n} \]
where \( d \) is the difference between repeated measurements and \( n \) is the number of determinations per each examiner.

The same basic formula was applied to determine the intra-examiner error for the measurement of the head position from photographs: 
\[ s_{(\text{intra-examiner})} = \sqrt{\sum d^2/2n} \]
where \( d \) is the difference between repeated measurements and \( n \) is the number of duplicate determinations per examiner. To assess the importance of the intra-examiner error, the error variance \( s^2 = [s_{(\text{intra-examiner})}]^2 \) was studied in relation to the variance for the entire material representing the biological variation (Mitgård et al., 1974).

The Statview II and Statworks programs for Apple Macintosh computer were used for statistical analyses. Geometric error was studied using the the Auto-CAD® (MS-DOS version) computer program to calculate effects of changing head position on total geometric error.

**Results**

The mean inter-examiner error was lowest in lateral co-ordinates of midline points describing the location of anterior nasal spine (ANS) and upper and lower incisal midlines (U,i., L,i.) and point pogonion (PogJ). In the vertical co-ordinates lowest errors were in the measurements of the bilateral location of superior orbital margins (Orb L,i., OrbR,i.) (Table 1).

The mean error was higher in other vertical parameters like in vertical coordinates of fronto-zygomatic suture (FissL.), Pog (PogL.), Condyle (CoL) and also in upper and lower incisal mid-points (U,i.L,i.) (Table 1).

When the inter-examiner error between the roentgenographs from plain skulls and those taken with the metal markers were compared, the error was significantly decreased, when using the metal markers, in the lateral location of superior orbital margin (\( P < 0.05 \)), in the vertical location of fronto-zygomatic suture (\( P < 0.05 \)), in the vertical location of point pogion (\( P < 0.01 \)), in the vertical (\( P < 0.001 \)) and horizontal locations (\( P < 0.01 \)) of condylar points.
and in the vertical ($P<0.01$) and horizontal ($P<0.05$) location of point antegonion ($t$-test).

In the analysis of reproducibility of head position in the cephalostat, the largest movement was measured in the rotation around the vertical axis (mean rotation 2.6 degrees, range 0.5–7.0 degrees), and the smallest movement in the head tilt as measured in the frontal view (mean tilt 1.4 degrees, range 0.0–3.0 degrees) (Table 2).

Table 2 Variation in head position (in degrees) in the cephalostat head holder between two recordings in three dimensions with an interval of 1 hour, and the intra-examiner error calculated from ten duplicate recordings of variables showing the head position. $S^2$ denotes the variance in the angulation showing the head position in the whole sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>x</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>range</th>
<th>s(intra-examiner)</th>
<th>$s$(intra-examiner)$^2$</th>
<th>% of $S^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head rotation (axial)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.5–7.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head tilt (lateral view)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.0–7.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head tilt (frontal view)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.0–3.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$ t $-test for paired observations.

An effect of head rotation around the vertical axis on the level of mean lateral geometric error at the recording points is presented in Figure 6 and in combination with the mean inter-examiner error in Figure 7. The lowest level of geometric error, measured as the change in the distance of the lateral coordinate of the point to midline, was recorded in landmarks near the anterior midsagittal plane, when upper and lower dental midlines and point menton were inserted in cephalometric landmarks.
compared with a vertical line in the anterior midsagittal area (a line passing the anterior nasal spine). The largest geometric errors were recorded in linear measurements of most laterally existing points (Figures 6 and 7).

Discussion

Roentgencephalometry is subject to various sources of error. Overall error can be broken down into components (Carlsson, 1967; Baumrind and Frantz, 1971a, b; Houston et al., 1986). The components include geometric errors and errors resulting from incorrect location of points. Errors in relation to point location in lateral view have variously been studied, and it has generally been concluded that the greatest error is due to false point identification while the geometric error and various technical radiographic errors tend to be smaller and in many cases can even be ignored (Baumrind and Frantz, 1971a, b; Houston et al., 1986; Ahlqvist et al., 1986). Van Aken (1963), nevertheless pointed to a special group of patients, those with skull asymmetry and rotation of the head, showing theoretically that the geometric error can exceed the other errors in lateral view if the asymmetry is great enough and if the patient is not positioned perpendicular to the true median plane of the skull.

The relationship between sources of error is, however, less evident for frontal projection and postero-anterior roentgenography. The variation in the inter-examiner point identification was in the present investigation relatively large and the degree of error varied greatly according to the point concerned, and to the vertical and horizontal orientations of the measured dis-
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**Figure 6** The level of lateral geometric error of cephalometric points (in mm) used in postero–anterior roentgenography in relation to the head rotation in the cephalostat. The value of mean head rotation ($\pm 1$ SD) refer to the data derivated from the study of variation in head position in the cephalostat head holder between two recordings (Table 2).
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**Figure 7** The level of inter-examiner error in the identification of cephalometric points used in postero–anterior roentgenography and the lateral geometric error ($\pm 1$ SD) due to mean head rotation in the cephalostat.
ERRORS IN FRONTAL ASYMMETRY DIAGNOSIS

When the level of identification error in frontal-view roentgenography is concerned, the level of error in some points is, however, considerably lower, e.g. in the lateral co-ordinate of anterior nasal spine and upper and lower incisal midlines and point pognion, and the use of metal markers did not increase accuracy of location of these landmarks. This may be because frontal-view recordings give the location of a single point whereas lateral-view recordings are often based on bilateral points which are measured as a single point or line, as in the case of mandibular gonial and condylar points. In the case of other skull and mandibular points in the frontal view, for example condylar points and fronto-zygomatic suture points, the level of error rises sharply, however, and general use of these points for accurate measurements cannot be recommended.

Geometric error due to head rotation in the cephalostat was greatest in relation to lateral co-ordinates of the most laterally located cephalometric points. The level of geometric error remains considerably low when dental and skeletal midline points located in the anterior midsagittal portion of the face are compared with each other. This is because errors in relation to measurement point and reference point were equal, and they can therefore be accepted, even though the absolute geometric error would be relatively high due to altered position of the head in the cephalostat. Thus the method suggested by Svanholt and Solow (1977) for measurement of dental and anterior facial midline asymmetries can be recommended, because it excludes many sources of errors which become evident when comparing, e.g., left and right facial areas.

In the diagnosis of asymmetries, geometric error can be minimized by comparing dental and skeletal horizontal lines as far as the levels compared are located in the anterior facial area. The use of comparisons between facial horizontal lines for examination of asymmetries have recently been suggested by Proffit (1991) in the treatment planning of orthognathic surgery. The results reported here support the use of such analyses.

Inter-examiner error in the identification of many cephalometric points in this study was relatively high. If one person had undertaken duplicate determinations, levels of error would probably have been less, as the individual way to identify and measure certain point is to some extent constant. In cephalometry this phenomenon can be included in systematic errors (Houston, 1983) due to its directional nature.

On the other hand, on the basis of the present findings, it is not recommended to make metric comparisons between the results of different cephalometric studies based on frontal view roentgenographs, because considerable difference between the results may originate from the individual way of point identification.

Width measurements from frontal-view cephalograms are most sensitive to minor movements in head posture and their use in asymmetry diagnosis are not recommended. Errors in point identification and geometric error together may be too great to make proper diagnosis of facial asymmetry. However, this does not lessen the need for use of frontal-view roentgenographs to study asymmetry, but asymmetry recorded in an individual should be regarded as relative to a reference point or line that may itself be asymmetrically positioned. This is obvious in the cases of severe asymmetries, where every structure in the craniofacial skeleton may to some extent be distorted and thus asymmetrically positioned. Diagnoses of asymmetry should be verified by means of clinical analysis because of the possibility that geometric error may be a major factor affecting the parameters. To reduce the effect of geometric errors in postero-anterior cephalometry, a reference line and measurement points should be chosen as close to each other transversely and sagittally as possible, e.g. near the anterior midsagittal plane.

Other diagnostic methods for asymmetric development need to be developed. The image-analysis methods used for lateral-view roentgenography could be used for frontal-view analysis, reducing errors relating to individual point identification. A computer-aided system to correct the errors arising from variations in head position in the cephalostat or asymmetric skull bases might be developed for use in examination of severely asymmetric patients.
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