

# Air Pollution across the Cancer Continuum: Extending Our Understanding of the Relationship between Environmental Exposures and Cancer

Judy Y. Ou<sup>1</sup>, Anne C. Kirchhoff<sup>1,2</sup>, and Heidi A. Hanson<sup>1,3</sup>



## ABSTRACT

Previous studies of the environment and cancer have focused on etiology, showing that extrinsic factors in the environment contribute to 70% to 90% of cancers. Cancer patients and survivors often continue to live in the same neighborhoods they resided in before their cancer diagnosis. Thus, patients and survivors are exposed to the same environmental contexts that likely contributed to their original cancer, but little is known about the health effects of

continued exposure to carcinogens after a cancer diagnosis. This commentary provides a summary of studies of the association between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and cancer mortality among patients and PM<sub>2.5</sub> and posttreatment morbidity among cancer survivors, and proposes new directions and opportunities for future research on such topics.

See all articles in this *CEBP Focus* section, “Environmental Carcinogenesis: Pathways to Prevention.”

## Introduction

In this special section of *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention*, there are several reports detailing the importance of environmental exposures on cancer etiology. The majority of research has focused on pollutants as a risk factor for new cancer development. However, cancer patients and survivors are exposed to environmental pollutants across their course of care and throughout survivorship. Environmental pollutants and other extrinsic factors contribute to an estimated 70% to 90% of cancers in humans (1), including ambient air pollution, which has been declared carcinogenic to humans (2). Since 2016, emissions of ambient air pollution across the United States have increased, and with it an additional estimated 10,000 deaths in geographic regions where air pollution has worsened (3, 4). The majority of cancer patients and survivors continue to live in the same place they resided in before their diagnosis (5). Their unchanged environmental context contains pollutants and other extrinsic factors that likely contributed to their initial cancer. We propose broadening the scope of understanding of air pollution's effect on cancer from cancer etiology to include examinations of the effects of air pollution on the health of cancer patients during treatment and through survivorship. We suggest three key research priorities that are important for understanding the relationship between air pollution, cancer morbidity, and cancer mortality.

## Priority #1—Disentangling the Relationship between Ambient Air Pollution, Cancer Survival, Tumor Aggressiveness, and Recurrence

PM<sub>2.5</sub> is the most often studied environmental carcinogen in the context of cancer patient mortality thus far (6–11). Exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> after diagnosis is associated with a significant increase in the risk for mortality among adult patients with lung, breast, kidney, bladder, and liver cancer even after controlling for socioeconomic status, race, and stage at diagnosis (6–13). In this issue, we report significant associations between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and mortality among adolescent and young adult patients with central nervous system, breast, melanoma, and colorectal cancers, and mortality in patients with pediatric lymphoma, lymphoid leukemia, and central nervous system tumors. However, little is known about the timing of PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure that may be most critical to patient outcomes or the biological mechanisms at play. Proposed mechanisms by which PM<sub>2.5</sub> may lead to cancer mortality are similar to those that induce or promote the original cancer, including genotoxic and epigenetic alterations, inflammation, xenogeneic effects or hormone dysregulation, or by reducing the immune system's ability to fight the cancer (6–10). These mechanisms may also reduce the tumor's sensitivity to cancer treatment, which is a novel mechanism unique to patients with cancer.

Studies of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and cancer mortality that incorporate molecular markers and measures of cancer aggressiveness can enrich our understanding of the underlying mechanisms leading to mortality. For example, comparing the association of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and mortality in patients with ER<sup>+</sup>, PR<sup>+</sup>, or HER2<sup>+</sup> breast cancer to mortality among patients with triple-negative breast cancers would provide more information about whether PM<sub>2.5</sub> is operating through hormonal pathways. Our article in this issue and other studies of adult cancers report that the association of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and mortality is higher among patients diagnosed with early-stage tumors (10, 11). Longitudinal measures of tumor aggressiveness would provide needed information about the effects of PM<sub>2.5</sub> on cancer progression in these early-stage tumors (14, 15). Studies of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and recurrent cancers are rare (11), but PM<sub>2.5</sub> may be associated with overall recurrence, more aggressive recurrent cancers, and mortality from these recurrent cancers. Studies should also expand

<sup>1</sup>Huntsman Cancer Institute, Cancer Control and Population Sciences, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah. <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah. <sup>3</sup>Department of Surgery, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah.

**Corresponding Author:** Judy Y. Ou, Huntsman Cancer Institute, 2000 Circle of Hope, Salt Lake City, UT 84103. Phone: 801-587-4014; Fax: 801-585-0900; E-mail: judy.ou@hci.utah.edu

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2020;29:1876–9

doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-19-1588

©2020 American Association for Cancer Research.

the types of pollutants studied to include the other five criteria air pollutants and air toxics.

## Priority #2—Quantifying the Additive and Synergistic Effects of Ambient Air Pollution and Cancer Treatment on the Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Outcomes of Cancer Survivors

Air pollution and cancer treatments are associated with morbidity and mortality from the same underlying pulmonary and cardiovascular diseases (Table 1), yet little is known about the effects of dual exposure to these two risk factors among cancer patients and survivors. Pulmonary and cardiovascular diseases are among the leading non-cancer causes of death among cancer patients and survivors (16–27). These diseases are a product of the pulmonary-toxic and cardiotoxic effects of chest radiation, surgeries, and certain chemotherapies used to treat cancer (18, 28). Because of these treatment-induced physiologic vulnerabilities, cancer survivors and patients may be more susceptible to pollution-related pulmonary or cardiovascular morbidity and mortality than the general public (29).

The idea that cancer treatments can produce significant physiologic vulnerability to environmental pollutants is novel. Our earlier case-crossover study was the first to examine effect modification of the association of PM<sub>2.5</sub> by cancer treatment in relation to childhood cancer survivor morbidity (29). We found that childhood cancer survivors treated with chemotherapy have significantly higher odds for a respiratory hospitalization after exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> than the general public. Young adult and older adult cancer patients also suffer from treatment-related pulmonary and cardiovascular diseases and

are at high risk for pulmonary and cardiovascular death (20, 30). For example, patients with breast cancer with triple-negative tumors are at extremely high risk for cardiovascular illness and death due to the chemotherapy and chest radiation used in their treatment (20, 30). Yet, no studies have examined effect modification or interaction of PM<sub>2.5</sub> or other pollutants by cancer treatments in relation to morbidity or mortality in adults.

Despite the potential for adverse effects, cancer treatments are necessary to save lives. In contrast, patient exposure to environmental pollutants is completely unneeded and preventable through the enforcement of air quality standards that protect the public. We advocate for more studies of the consequences of exposure to environmental pollutants on the noncancer morbidity and mortality among cancer patients and survivors, with a focus on effect modification of this association by different cancer treatments.

## Priority #3—Identifying Populations of Cancer Patients and Survivors with the Highest Risk for the Adverse Effects of Ambient Air Pollution

Racial and ethnic minorities often live in more polluted neighborhoods and have reduced cancer survival compared with non-Hispanic White populations (31–38). Clinical studies of racial and ethnic disparities in cancer survival and posttreatment morbidity acknowledge that individual differences, such as tumor biology and healthcare access, are important contributors to excess disease and death (31–38). Studies of the effects of the environment on cancer survivor health have primarily been focused on the built environment (e.g., street connectivity, healthy food availability; refs. 39–41) or the social environment as measured by ethnic enclaves, ethnic density, or racial residential segregation (42–44). These studies acknowledge that neighborhoods with a higher percent of racial and ethnic minorities have more exposure to traffic-based air pollution and air toxics, which is a pattern also found in nationwide studies reporting disproportionately higher exposure to environmental pollutants among minority populations (45–47). To our best knowledge, the direct association of pollution exposure with morbidity and mortality among cancer patients and survivors who are racial and ethnic minorities has not been addressed in any studies.

## Building Research Infrastructure to Meet These Priorities

To our best knowledge, no federal funding mechanisms from the NIH have been awarded to investigators studying the effect of environmental carcinogens on cancer patients or survivors. We conducted a search of research studies funded by the NIH with the words “air pollution” and “cancer” in the project terms, title, and abstract between fiscal years 2010 and 2020, including active and inactive projects. All but one of the 68 funded studies were limited to pollution and cancer etiology or studies of exposure assessment. The one study of the environment and cancer survival focused on racial segregation and racism as measures of the environment. At the same time, greater investments in surveillance of recurrent cancers, chemotherapy and radiation doses as reported electronic treatment records, and recording of cancer patient residential histories are needed to accomplish such research. Claims data merged with cancer incidence data, such as SEER-Medicare, may be a good resource for such research, but do not provide coverage of cancer patients under the age of 65. Because young

**Table 1.** Pulmonary and cardiovascular diseases associated with cancer therapies and air pollution.

Diseases reported among cancer survivors	Cancer therapies <sup>a</sup>	Air pollutants <sup>a</sup>
Pulmonary diseases		
Asthma	C (28)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (51–53)
Cough	C (18)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (52)
Restrictive lung disease	R (54)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (55)
Bronchitis	C (18)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> (56)
Pulmonary fibrosis	R, C (18, 28)	NO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> (57)
Pneumonia	R (18)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (58)
Cardiovascular diseases		
Ischemic heart disease	R (30)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (59)
Heart failure	R, C (28) (60)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> (61)
Hypertension	C (16, 20, 28)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> (62)
Arteriosclerosis		PM <sub>2.5</sub> (63)
Atherosclerosis	R, C (64, 65)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (66)
Coronary heart or artery disease	C (67)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (68, 69)
Heart failure	R, C (30)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (63)
Stroke	R, C, S (70, 71)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> (63)
Cardiac arrhythmia	R, C (20)	NO <sub>2</sub> (72–74)
Ischemic heart disease	R, C (30)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (59)
Myocardial infarction	R, C (28) (67)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> (63)
Cardiovascular mortality	R, C (19, 20)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (63)

Abbreviations: C, chemotherapy; NO<sub>2</sub>, nitrogen dioxide; O<sub>3</sub>, ozone; R, radiation; S, surgery; SO<sub>2</sub>, sulfur dioxide.

<sup>a</sup>References for evidence of the association are in parentheses.

adult patients with cancer and survivors of childhood cancers may be at risk for PM<sub>2.5</sub>-related health problems, new data sources to study these younger populations are needed. Although research along this topic may prove challenging, improved funding opportunities and data resources can facilitate the growth of studies that examine how environmental carcinogens and other pollutants are associated with the health outcomes of cancer patients and survivors.

As our understanding of the adverse effects of air pollution has grown, so has our knowledge of its particularly adverse effect in special populations. Those populations are currently defined by age (children and older adults), preexisting lung or heart disease, specific genetic polymorphisms, and low socioeconomic status (48). More studies are needed to determine whether cancer survivors should be considered a susceptible population. Cancer patients and survivors may be candidates for this consideration on the basis that cancer may increase their susceptibility to pollution-related mortality, and prior exposure to treatment with pulmonary and cardiotoxic therapies may also increase their risk for pollution-related morbidity.

The passing of health-based regulatory standards for the six criteria air pollutants and the recognition of air pollution as a carcinogen were significant victories for public health. Yet, new scientific studies are

continually needed to support evidence-based public health policies while pushing the boundaries of what we know. Identifying new vulnerable populations is one way to strengthen the case for better policies surrounding air pollution and other environmental pollutants linked to cancer mortality, aggressiveness, and recurrence (15, 49). Nearly 17 million people in the United States have ever been diagnosed with cancer and the number of people who survive cancer is growing with advances in detection and treatment (50). Although etiology is still vital to study, it is time to forge onward and examine environmental carcinogenesis across the full cancer continuum.

## Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NIH/NCI Cancer Center Support Grant (5P30CA042014; principal investigator: Cornelia Ulrich), NIH Academic Career Development Award (K07 CA230150; to H.A. Hanson), and the Huntsman Cancer Foundation.

Received December 30, 2019; revised March 10, 2020; accepted March 10, 2020; published first October 1, 2020.

## References

1. Wu S, Powers S, Zhu W, Hannun YA. Substantial contribution of extrinsic risk factors to cancer development. *Nature* 2016;529:43–7.
2. International Agency for Research on Cancer. Outdoor air pollution. IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum 2016;109:9–444.
3. Clay K, Muller NZ. Recent increases in air pollution: evidence and implications for mortality. Cambridge (MA): National Bureau of Economic Research; 2019. NBER Working Paper No. 26381. Available from: <https://www.nber.org/papers/w26381>.
4. Ingraham C. Air pollution is getting worse, and data show more people are dying. *The Washington Post*. 2019 Dec 19. Available from: <https://www.washingtonpost.com/business/2019/10/23/air-pollution-is-getting-worse-data-show-more-people-are-dying/>.
5. Muralidhar V, Nguyen PL, Tucker-Seeley RD. Recent relocation and decreased survival following a cancer diagnosis. *Prev Med* 2016;89:245–50.
6. Hu H, Dailey AB, Kan H, Xu X. The effect of atmospheric particulate matter on survival of breast cancer among US females. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2013;139:217–26.
7. Huo Q, Zhang N, Wang X, Jiang L, Ma T, Yang Q. Effects of ambient particulate matter on human breast cancer: is xenogenesis responsible? *PLoS One* 2013;8:e76609.
8. Tagliabue G, Borgini A, Tittarelli A, van Donkelaar A, Martin RV, Bertoldi M, et al. Atmospheric fine particulate matter and breast cancer mortality: a population-based cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2016;6:e012580.
9. Deng H, Eckel SP, Liu L, Lurmann FW, Cockburn MG, Gilliland FD. Particulate matter air pollution and liver cancer survival. *Int J Cancer* 2017;141:744–9.
10. Eckel SP, Cockburn M, Shu YH, Deng H, Lurmann FW, Liu L, et al. Air pollution affects lung cancer survival. *Thorax* 2016;71:891–8.
11. DuPre NC, Hart JE, Holmes MD, Poole EM, James P, Kraft P, et al. Particulate matter and traffic-related exposures in relation to breast cancer survival. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2019;28:751–9.
12. Turner MC, Krewski D, Diver WR, Pope CA III, Burnett RT, Jerrett M, et al. Ambient air pollution and cancer mortality in the cancer prevention study II. *Environ Health Perspect* 2017;125:087013.
13. Kim HB, Shim JY, Park B, Lee YJ. Long-term exposure to air pollutants and cancer mortality: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2018;15: pii: 2608.
14. Liu S, Li S, Du Y. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) enhance metastatic properties of breast cancer cells by activating Rho-associated kinase (ROCK). *PLoS One* 2010;5:e11272–e.
15. Demers A, Ayotte P, Brisson J, Dodin S, Robert J, Dewailly É. Risk and aggressiveness of breast cancer in relation to plasma organochlorine concentrations. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2000;9:161–6.
16. Fossa SD, Gilbert E, Dores GM, Chen J, McGlynn KA, Schonfeld S, et al. Noncancer causes of death in survivors of testicular cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007;99:533–44.
17. Carver JR, Shapiro CL, Ng A, Jacobs L, Schwartz C, Virgo KS, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical evidence review on the ongoing care of adult cancer survivors: cardiac and pulmonary late effects. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:3991–4008.
18. Mertens AC, Yasui Y, Liu Y, Stovall M, Hutchinson R, Ginsberg J, et al. Pulmonary complications in survivors of childhood and adolescent cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. *Cancer* 2002;95:2431–41.
19. Hoening MJ, Aleman BM, van Rosmalen AJ, Kuonen MA, Klijn JG, van Leeuwen FE. Cause-specific mortality in long-term survivors of breast cancer: a 25-year follow-up study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006;64:1081–91.
20. Aleman BMP, Moser EC, Nuver J, Suter TM, Maraldo MV, Specht L, et al. Cardiovascular disease after cancer therapy. *EJC Suppl* 2014;12:18–28.
21. Chen CL. Cardiovascular prevention in the cancer survivor. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 2015;17:484.
22. Doyle JJ, Neugut AI, Jacobson JS, Grann VR, Hershman DL. Chemotherapy and cardiotoxicity in older breast cancer patients: a population-based study. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:8597–605.
23. Jacob S, Pathak A, Franck D, Latorzeff I, Jimenez G, Fondard O, et al. Early detection and prediction of cardiotoxicity after radiation therapy for breast cancer: the BACCARAT prospective cohort study. *Radiat Oncol* 2016;11:54.
24. Theodoulou M, Seidman AD. Cardiac effects of adjuvant therapy for early breast cancer. *Semin Oncol* 2003;30:730–9.
25. Haugnes HS, Oldenburg J, Bremnes RM. Pulmonary and cardiovascular toxicity in long-term testicular cancer survivors. *Urol Oncol* 2015;33:399–406.
26. Huang TT, Chen Y, Dietz AC, Yasui Y, Donaldson SS, Stokes DC, et al. Pulmonary outcomes in survivors of childhood central nervous system malignancies: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2014;61:319–25.
27. Huang TT, Hudson MM, Stokes DC, Krasin MJ, Spunt SL, Ness KK. Pulmonary outcomes in survivors of childhood cancer: a systematic review. *Chest* 2011;140:881–901.
28. National Cancer Policy Forum; Board on Health Care Services; A Livestrong and Institute of Medicine Workshop; Institute of Medicine. Identifying and

- addressing the needs of adolescents and young adults with cancer: workshop summary. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2014.
29. Ou JY, Hanson HA, Ramsay JM, Leiser CL, Zhang Y, VanDerslice JA, et al. Fine particulate matter and respiratory healthcare encounters among survivors of childhood cancers. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019;16. pii: E1081.
  30. Boekel NB, Schaapveld M, Gietema JA, Russell NS, Poortmans P, Theuvs JC, et al. Cardiovascular disease risk in a large, population-based cohort of breast cancer survivors. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2016;94:1061–72.
  31. Cancer Disparities; [about 9 screens]. Available from: <https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/understanding/disparities#contributing-factors>.
  32. O'Keefe EB, Meltzer JP, Bethea TN. Health disparities and cancer: racial disparities in cancer mortality in the United States, 2000–2010. *Front Public Health* 2015;3:51.
  33. Bhatia S. Disparities in cancer outcomes: lessons learned from children with cancer. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2011;56:994–1002.
  34. Calaminus G, Joffe J. Germ cell tumors in adolescents and young adults. *Prog Tumor Res* 2016;43:115–27.
  35. Kahn JM, Keegan TH, Tao L, Abraham R, Bleyer A, Viny AD. Racial disparities in the survival of American children, adolescents, and young adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia, acute myelogenous leukemia, and Hodgkin lymphoma. *Cancer* 2016;122:2723–30.
  36. Kirchhoff AC, Lyles CR, Fluchel M, Wright J, Leisenring W. Limitations in health care access and utilization among long-term survivors of adolescent and young adult cancer. *Cancer* 2012;118:5964–72.
  37. Warner EL, Nam GE, Zhang Y, McFadden M, Wright J, Spraker-Perlman H, et al. Health behaviors, quality of life, and psychosocial health among survivors of adolescent and young adult cancers. *J Cancer Surviv* 2016;10:280–90.
  38. Ellis L, Canchola AJ, Spiegel D, Ladabaum U, Haile R, Gomez SL. Racial and ethnic disparities in cancer survival: the contribution of tumor, sociodemographic, institutional, and neighborhood characteristics. *J Clin Oncol* 2018;36:25–33.
  39. Wray AJD, Minaker LM. Is cancer prevention influenced by the built environment? A multidisciplinary scoping review. *Cancer* 2019;125:3299–311.
  40. Shariff-Marco S, Von Behren J, Reynolds P, Keegan TH, Hertz A, Kwan ML, et al. Impact of social and built environment factors on body size among breast cancer survivors: the pathways study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2017;26:505–15.
  41. Gomez SL, Shariff-Marco S, DeRouen M, Keegan THM, Yen IH, Mujahid M, et al. The impact of neighborhood social and built environment factors across the cancer continuum: current research, methodological considerations, and future directions. *Cancer* 2015;121:2314–30.
  42. McCullough LE, Flowers CR. Identifying and addressing disparities in survival outcomes for rural patients with cancer. *JAMA Network Open* 2018;1:e181243.
  43. Landrine H, Corral I, Lee JGL, Efrid JT, Hall MB, Bess JJ. Residential segregation and racial cancer disparities: a systematic review. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities* 2017;4:1195–205.
  44. Zhou Y, Bemanian A, Beyer KM. Housing discrimination, residential racial segregation, and colorectal cancer survival in southeastern Wisconsin. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2017;26:561–8.
  45. Hipp JR, Lakon CM. Social disparities in health: disproportionate toxicity proximity in minority communities over a decade. *Health Place* 2010;16:674–83.
  46. Pratt GC, Vadali ML, Kvale DL, Ellickson KM. Traffic, air pollution, minority and socio-economic status: addressing inequities in exposure and risk. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2015;12:5355–72.
  47. Grineski SE, Collins TW. Geographic and social disparities in exposure to air neurotoxins at U.S. public schools. *Environ Res* 2018;161:580–7.
  48. Mead MN. Who's at risk? Gauging susceptibility to air pollutants. *Environ Health Perspect* 2011;119:A176.
  49. Gray JM, Rasanayagam S, Engel C, Rizzo J. State of the evidence 2017: an update on the connection between breast cancer and the environment. *Environ Health* 2017;16:94.
  50. Miller KD, Nogueira L, Mariotto AB, Rowland JH, Yabroff KR, Alfano CM, et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2019. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2019;69:363–85.
  51. Pope CA III. Epidemiology of fine particulate air pollution and human health: biologic mechanisms and who's at risk? *Environ Health Perspect* 2000;108:713–23.
  52. Schwartz J. Air pollution and children's health. *Pediatrics* 2004;113:1037–43.
  53. American Academy of Pediatrics. Ambient air pollution: health hazards to children. *Pediatrics* 2004;114:1699–707.
  54. Armenian SH, Landier W, Francisco L, Herrera C, Mills G, Siyahian A, et al. Long-term pulmonary function in survivors of childhood cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:1592–600.
  55. de Jong K, Vonk JM, Zijlema WL, Stolk RP, van der Plaats DA, Hoek G, et al. Air pollution exposure is associated with restrictive ventilatory patterns. *Eur Respir J* 2016;48:1221–4.
  56. Hooper LG, Young MT, Keller JP, Szpiro AA, O'Brien KM, Sandler DP, et al. Ambient air pollution and chronic bronchitis in a cohort of U.S. women. *Environ Health Perspect* 2018;126:027005.
  57. Jones MG, Richeldi L. Air pollution and acute exacerbations of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: back to miasma? *Eur Respir J* 2014;43:956–9.
  58. Pirozzi CS, Jones B, VanDerslice JA, Zhang Y, Paine R III, Dean NC. Short-term effects of particulate air pollution exposure on incidence and severity of pneumonia. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2018;15:449–59.
  59. Pope CA, Muhlestein JB, May HT, Renlund DG, Anderson JL, Horne BD. Ischemic heart disease events triggered by short-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution. *Circulation* 2006;114:2443–8.
  60. Carver JR, Szalda D, Ky B. Asymptomatic cardiac toxicity in long-term cancer survivors: defining the population and recommendations for surveillance. *Semin Oncol* 2013;40:229–38.
  61. Shah AS, Langrish JP, Nair H, McAllister DA, Hunter AL, Donaldson K, et al. Global association of air pollution and heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2013;382:1039–48.
  62. Cai Y, Zhang B, Ke W, Feng B, Lin H, Xiao J, et al. Associations of short-term and long-term exposure to ambient air pollutants with hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hypertension* 2016;68:62–70.
  63. Bourdrel T, Bind MA, Béjot Y, Morel O, Argacha JF. Cardiovascular effects of air pollution. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2017;110:634–42.
  64. Shepard CW, Steinberger J. Premature atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in childhood cancer survivors. *Prog Pediatr Cardiol* 2015;39:59–66.
  65. Whitlock MC, Yeboah J, Burke GL, Chen H, Klepin HD, Hundley WG. Cancer and its association with the development of coronary artery calcification: an assessment from the multiethnic study of atherosclerosis. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2015;4. pii: e002533.
  66. Araujo JA. Particulate air pollution, systemic oxidative stress, inflammation, and atherosclerosis. *Air Qual Atmos Health* 2010;4:79–93.
  67. Haugnes HS, Wethal T, Aass N, Dahl O, Klepp O, Langberg CW, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors and morbidity in long-term survivors of testicular cancer: a 20-year follow-up study. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:4649–57.
  68. Ruckerl R, Ibald-Mulli A, Koenig W, Schneider A, Woelke G, Cyrus J, et al. Air pollution and markers of inflammation and coagulation in patients with coronary heart disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;173:432–41.
  69. Simkhovich BZ, Kleinman MT, Kloner RA. Particulate air pollution and coronary heart disease. *Curr Opin Cardiol* 2009;24:604–9.
  70. Dardiotis E, Aloizou A-M, Markoula S, Siokas V, Tsarouhas K, Tzanakakis G, et al. Cancer-associated stroke: pathophysiology, detection and management (review). *Int J Oncol* 2019;54:779–96.
  71. Dearborn JL, Urrutia VC, Zeiler SR. Stroke and cancer- a complicated relationship. *J Neurol Transl Neurosci* 2014;2:1039.
  72. Chen YC, Weng YH, Chiu YW, Yang CY. Short-term effects of coarse particulate matter on hospital admissions for cardiovascular diseases: a case-crossover study in a tropical city. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 2015;78:1241–53.
  73. Du Y, Xu X, Chu M, Guo Y, Wang J. Air particulate matter and cardiovascular disease: the epidemiological, biomedical and clinical evidence. *J Thorac Dis* 2016;8:E8–E19.
  74. Simkhovich BZ, Kleinman MT, Kloner RA. Air pollution and cardiovascular injury: epidemiology, toxicology, and mechanisms. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;52:719–26.