Secondhand Tobacco Smoke Exposure and Lung Adenocarcinoma In Situ/Minimally Invasive Adenocarcinoma (AIS/MIA)

Claire H. Kim¹, Yuan-Chin Amy Lee², Rayjean J. Hung³, Paolo Boffetta⁴, Dong Xie^{5,6}, Jason A. Wampfler⁶, Michele L. Cote⁷, Shen-Chih Chang¹, Donatella Ugolini^{8,9} Monica Neri¹⁰, Loic Le Marchand¹¹, Ann G. Schwartz⁷, Hal Morgenstern¹², David C. Christiani¹³, Ping Yang⁶, and Zuo-Feng Zhang¹

Abstract

The aim of this study was to estimate the effect of exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke on the incidence of lung adenocarcinoma in situ/minimally invasive adenocarcinoma (AIS/ MIA). Data from seven case-control studies participating in the International Lung Cancer Consortium (ILCCO) were pooled, resulting in 625 cases of AIS/MIA and 7,403 controls, of whom 170 cases and 3,035 controls were never smokers. Unconditional logistic regression was used to estimate adjusted ORs (OR_{adi}) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), controlling for age, sex, race, smoking status (ever/never), and pack-years of smoking. Study center was included in the models as a randomeffects intercept term. Ever versus never exposure to second-

hand tobacco smoke was positively associated with AIS/MIA incidence in all subjects ($OR_{adj} = 1.48$; 95% CI, 1.14-1.93) and in never smokers ($OR_{adj} = 1.45$; 95% CI, 1.00-2.12). There was, however, appreciable heterogeneity of OR_{adi} across studies (P = 0.01), and the pooled estimates were largely influenced by one large study (40% of all cases and 30% of all controls). These findings provide weak evidence for an effect of secondhand tobacco smoke exposure on AIS/MIA incidence. Further studies are needed to assess the impact of secondhand tobacco smoke exposure using the newly recommended classification of subtypes of lung adenocarcinoma. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev; 24(12); 1902-6. ©2015 AACR.

Introduction

In 2011, the multidisciplinary team of the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer, American Thoracic

¹Department of Epidemiology, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA), Los Angeles, California. ²Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah. ³Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute of Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada. ⁴The Tisch Cancer Institute and Institute for Translational Epidemiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York. 5Department of Thoracic Surgery, ShangHai Pulmonary Hospital, ShangHai, China. ⁶Mayo Clinic Cancer Center, Rochester, Minnesota. ⁷Karmanos Cancer Institute, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, Michigan. ⁸Internal Medicine, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy. ⁹Unit of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Clinical Trials, IRCSS Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria San Martino-IST-Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro, Genoa, Italy. ¹⁰Clinical and Molecular Epidemiology, IRCCS San Raffaele Pisana, Rome, Italy. ¹¹Cancer Epidemiology Program, University of Hawaii Cancer Center, Honolulu, Hawaii. ¹²Departments of Epidemiology and Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health, and Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan. 13 Department of Environmental Health, Harvard University School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention Online (http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/).

Corresponding Author: Zuo-Feng Zhang, Department of Epidemiology, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA), 71-225 CHS, Box 951772, 650 Charles E. Young Drive, South, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772. Phone: 310-825-8418; Fax: 310-206-6039; E-mail: zfzhang@ucla.edu

doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-15-0436

@2015 American Association for Cancer Research.

Society, and European Respiratory Society recommended replacing the bronchioloalveolar carcinoma (BAC) classification with adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS) and minimally invasive adenocarcinoma (MIA), due to the wide spectrum of clinical and histologic characteristics within BAC (1). AIS/MIA has distinct molecular, pathologic, clinical, and epidemiologic features (2-6). Similar to other types of lung cancer, AIS/MIA is positively associated with tobacco smoking (7-11). However, the estimated effect of tobacco smoking is weaker for AIS/MIA than for other types of lung cancer, including other types of adenocarcinoma (6, 11, 12).

To the best of our knowledge, the study by Bracci and colleagues (10) is the only published report on the association between secondhand tobacco smoke exposure and AIS/MIA. In that study, secondhand tobacco smoke exposure in ever smokers and never smokers combined was not found to be associated with AIS (OR $_{adj} = 0.95$; 95% confidence intervals; CI, 0.57-1.6 and $OR_{adj} = 1.1$; 95% CI, 0.60–2.1 among whites and non-whites, respectively). However, the analysis included only 95 cases among never smokers. The aim of the present analysis is to assess the association between secondhand tobacco smoke exposure and AIS/MIA using a larger, pooled dataset.

Materials and Methods

We pooled data from seven case-control studies participating in the International Lung Cancer Consortium (ILCCO). All studies with data on secondhand tobacco smoke exposure and at least five cases of AIS/MIA among never smokers were included in the analysis. These cancers were classified as BAC in the original



Table 1. Characteristics of lung AIS/MIA cases and controls by tobacco smoking status

| | All | | Never smokers | |
|---|--------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | Cases, n (%) | Controls, n (%) | Cases, n (%) | Controls, n (%) |
| Total | 625 | 7,403 | 170 | 3,035 |
| Study (reference) | | | | |
| Mayo Clinic (13, 14) | 247 (39.5) | 2,235 (30.2) | 67 (39.4) | 812 (26.8) |
| Harvard University (15) | 196 (31.4) | 1,513 (20.4) | 28 (16.5) | 479 (15.8) |
| Family Health Study (FHS; 16, 17) | 32 (5.1) | 912 (12.3) | 24 (14.1) | 534 (17.6) |
| University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA; 18) | 39 (6.2) | 1,038 (14.0) | 18 (10.6) | 470 (15.5) |
| Women's Epidemiology of Lung Disease (WELD; 19) | 59 (9.4) | 567 (7.7) | 16 (9.4) | 279 (9.2) |
| University of Hawaii (20) | 38 (6.1) | 587 (7.9) | 12 (7.1) | 224 (7.4) |
| Cancer of the Respiratory Tract Biorepository (CREST; 21) | 14 (2.2) | 551 (7.4) | 5 (2.9) | 237 (7.8) |
| Age (years) | | | | |
| Less than 50 | 65 (10.4) | 1,940 (26.2) | 28 (16.5) | 918 (30.2) |
| 50-59 | 117 (18.7) | 1,836 (24.8) | 28 (16.5) | 713 (23.5) |
| 60-69 | 213 (34.1) | 1,846 (24.9) | 49 (28.8) | 647 (21.2) |
| 70 or above | 230 (36.8) | 1,781 (24.1) | 65 (38.2) | 757 (24.9) |
| Sex | | | | |
| Male | 215 (34.4) | 3,607 (48.7) | 37 (21.8) | 1,125 (37.1) |
| Female | 410 (65.6) | 3,796 (51.3) | 133 (78.2) | 1,910 (62.9) |
| Race/ethnicity | | | | |
| White | 540 (86.4) | 6,123 (82.7) | 131 (77.1) | 2,428 (80.0) |
| Asian | 30 (4.8) | 328 (4.4) | 16 (9.4) | 164 (5.4) |
| Hispanic/Latino | 10 (1.6) | 224 (3.0) | 8 (4.7) | 100 (3.3) |
| Black | 24 (3.8) | 502 (6.8) | 7 (4.1) | 243 (8.0) |
| Other | 21 (3.4) | 226 (3.1) | 8 (4.7) | 100 (3.3) |
| Tobacco smoking | | | | |
| Never | 170 (27.2) | 3,035 (41.0) | | |
| Ever | 455 (72.8) | 4,368 (59.0) | | |
| Exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke | | | | |
| Never | 74 (11.8) | 1,520 (20.5) | 39 (22.9) | 880 (29.0) |
| Ever | 551 (88.2) | 5,883 (79.5) | 131 (77.1) | 2,155 (71.0) |

studies because the studies were conducted when the new classification was not yet in place. Details of each study have been reported previously (13–21). Each study used a structured questionnaire to collect epidemiologic data, including exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke at home and the workplace. There were some variations in the wording of the questions regarding exposure to secondhand smoke. For example, the Mayo Clinic study asked, "Were/are you regularly exposed to environmental (second-hand) cigarette smoke (from father, mother, or spouse)?" whereas the Harvard Study asked, "How often does someone smoke inside your home?" Other information included secondhand smoke exposure duration, intensity, and childhood exposure history. The pooled data consisted of 625 cases of AIS/MIA, of whom 170 were never smokers, and 7,403 controls, of whom 3,035 were never smokers.

Unconditional logistic regression was used to estimate OR and 95% CIs for the association between secondhand tobacco smoke exposure and the incidence of AIS/MIA. In order to mitigate sparse-data bias when estimating study-specific associations, we used the semi-Bayes method with a null-effect prior OR = 1 (95% CI, 0.25-4.00) for the effect of secondhand smoke on AIS/MIA incidence (22, 23). In addition to secondhand tobacco smoke exposure status (ever vs. never), we examined exposure location, duration, and childhood exposure status as predictors of AIS/MIA incidence. All models were adjusted for age (less than 50, 50-59, 60-69, or 70 years and above), sex, and race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, Asian, Hispanic/Latino, African American/black, or other). When examining ever smokers and never smokers combined, we also adjusted for tobacco smoking status (ever vs. never) and pack-years of smoking. To control for heterogeneity of effects across studies, study was included as a random effects intercept term in all models. We carried out stratified analyses by age (<65 years old vs. ≥65 years old) and sex. Stratification by race was not possible due to the limited sample sizes of non-whites. We used Cochran's Q test to assess heterogeneity of ORs across studies, age, and sex. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.4.

Results

The distributions of demographic characteristics and tobacco exposure status of the cases and controls are presented in Table 1. The cases were more likely than the controls to be 60 years old or above, female, white non-Hispanic, ever smokers, and ever exposed to secondhand tobacco smoke. The OR_{adj} for the estimated effect of tobacco smoking was 1.97 (95% CI, 1.62–2.39; results not shown).

Study-specific associations between secondhand smoke exposure and AIS/MIA incidence are presented in Table 2. Most of the studies lacked sufficient numbers of unexposed cases to produce stable estimates on their own. There was evidence of heterogeneity of effects across studies (P = 0.01 and P = 0.005 in the total sample and never smokers, respectively).

In the pooled analysis, exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke was associated with AIS/MIA with adjusted ORs of 1.48 (95% CI, 1.14–1.93) in the total sample and 1.45 (95% CI, 1.00–2.12) in never smokers (Table 3). When we excluded the largest study (by Mayo Clinic), the OR_{adj} was reduced to 1.30 (0.87–1.95) in the total sample and 1.21 (95% CI, 0.68–2.15) in never smokers (results not shown). The association between secondhand tobacco smoke and AIS/MIA in all subjects differed little by sex (P = 0.79) or age (P = 0.10),

Table 2. Study-specific associations between secondhand tobacco smoke exposure and lung AIS/MIA by tobacco smoking status

| Ever exposed to secondhand smoke | All | | | Never smokers | | |
|---|----------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| | Cases, n | Controls, n | OR ^a (95% PLL) | Cases, n | Controls, n | OR ^b (95% PLL) |
| Mayo Clinic | | | | | | |
| Never exposed | 47 | 721 | 1 (reference) | 21 | 386 | 1 (reference) |
| Ever exposed | 200 | 1,514 | 1.68 (1.22-2.37) | 46 | 426 | 1.70 (1.04-2.84) |
| Harvard University | | | | | | |
| Never exposed | 4 | 54 | 1 (reference) | 1 | 20 | 1 (reference) |
| Ever exposed | 192 | 1,459 | 1.41 (0.65-3.33) | 27 | 459 | 0.91 (0.31-3.16) |
| FHS ^c | | | | | | |
| Never exposed | 0 | 76 | 1 (reference) | 0 | 71 | 1 (reference) |
| Ever exposed | 32 | 836 | 2.66 (1.01-7.85) | 24 | 463 | 2.67 (1.01-7.90) |
| UCLA ^d | | | | | | |
| Never exposed | 14 | 307 | 1 (reference) | 11 | 199 | 1 (reference) |
| Ever exposed | 25 | 731 | 0.67 (0.34-1.41) | 7 | 271 | 0.59 (0.25-1.35) |
| WELD ^e | | | | | | |
| Never exposed | 5 | 76 | 1 (reference) | 4 | 53 | 1 (reference) |
| Ever exposed | 54 | 491 | 1.30 (0.60-3.12) | 12 | 226 | 0.91 (0.35-2.52) |
| University of Hawaii | | | | | | |
| Never exposed | 3 | 45 | 1 (reference) | 2 | 32 | 1 (reference) |
| Ever exposed | 35 | 542 | 0.98 (0.40-2.64) | 10 | 192 | 0.95 (0.34-2.87) |
| CREST ^f | | | | | | |
| Never exposed | 1 | 241 | 1 (reference) | 0 | 119 | 1 (reference) |
| Ever exposed | 13 | 310 | 2.56 (1.02-6.98) | 5 | 118 | 2.04 (0.67-6.58) |
| P _{heterogeneity} across studies | | | 0.010 | | | 0.005 |

aORs are adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, tobacco smoking status (ever/never), and pack-years of smoking. PLL: profile-likelihood limits.

although the magnitude of association was greater in the \geq 65 years age group ($OR_{adj} = 1.79$; 95% CI, 1.09-2.96 in never smokers) than in the <65 years group ($OR_{adj} = 1.30$; 95% CI, 0.78-2.14 in never smokers). Exposure location, duration, and childhood exposure were inconsistently associated with AIS/ MIA (Supplementary Table S1).

Discussion

This is the largest analysis examining the relationship between exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke and AIS/MIA. Contrary to the null associations reported in the study by Bracci and colleagues (10), our results provide weak evidence that exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke increases the risk of AIS/MIA.

However, our results must be interpreted with caution since there were several limitations in the present analysis. First, there was appreciable heterogeneity across studies, possibly due to varying degrees of misclassification of the exposure status. The positive association observed when all seven studies were pooled was largely reduced after the Mayo Clinic study was excluded from the analysis. The number of AIS/MIA cases was not sufficient to yield precise estimates of associations among never smokers or in stratified analyses. We did not observe

Table 3. Associations between exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke and lung AIS/MIA by tobacco smoking status

| | All | | | Never smokers | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------|--|
| Ever exposed to secondhand smoke | Cases, n | Controls, n | OR ^a (95% CI) | Cases, n | Controls, n | OR ^b (95% CI) | |
| All | | | | | | | |
| Never exposed | 74 | 1,520 | 1 (reference) | 39 | 880 | 1 (reference) | |
| Ever exposed | 551 | 5,883 | 1.48 (1.14-1.93) | 131 | 2,155 | 1.45 (1.00-2.12) | |
| Females | | | | | | | |
| Never exposed | 48 | 723 | 1 (reference) | 30 | 523 | 1 (reference) | |
| Ever exposed | 362 | 3,073 | 1.41 (1.02-1.95) | 103 | 1,387 | 1.37 (0.89-2.10) | |
| Males | | | | | | | |
| Never exposed | 26 | 797 | 1 (reference) | 9 | 357 | 1 (reference) | |
| Ever exposed | 189 | 2,810 | 1.61 (1.06-2.44) | 28 | 768 | 1.47 (0.74-2.91) | |
| <65 years old | | | | | | | |
| Never exposed | 38 | 937 | 1 (reference) | 24 | 560 | 1 (reference) | |
| Ever exposed | 237 | 3,697 | 1.41 (0.98-2.04) | 52 | 1,337 | 1.30 (0.78-2.14) | |
| ≥65 years old | | | | | | | |
| Never exposed | 36 | 583 | 1 (reference) | 15 | 320 | 1 (reference) | |
| Ever exposed | 314 | 2,186 | 1.64 (1.15-2.35) | 79 | 818 | 1.79 (1.09-2.96) | |

a ORs are adjusted for age (except for age-specific estimates), sex (except for the sex-specific estimates), race/ethnicity, tobacco smoking status, and pack-years of

^bORs are adjusted for age, sex, and race/ethnicity.

^cFHS: Family Health Study.

^dUCLA: University of California at Los Angeles.

eWELD: Women's Epidemiology of Lung Disease.

^fCREST: Cancer of the Respiratory Tract Biorepository.

bORs are adjusted for age (except for age-specific estimates), sex (except for the sex-specific estimates), and race/ethnicity.

monotonic associations between duration of secondhand smoke exposure and AIS/MIA, which may have been due to the limited sample size or misclassification of exposure duration. Information about the intensity of exposure to secondhand smoke was not available for most of the studies. Furthermore, there may have been uncontrolled residual confounding by other risk factors such as occupational exposures, family history of cancer, and diet.

A number of previous studies have investigated the associations between secondhand tobacco smoke exposure and the major histologic subtypes of lung cancer. In a recent pooled analysis of the ILCCO, the adjusted ORs for the association between secondhand smoke exposure and lung cancer among never smokers were 1.26 (95% CI, 1.10-1.44) for adenocarcinoma, 1.41 (95% CI, 0.99-1.99) for squamous cell carcinoma, 1.48 (95% CI, 0.89-2.45) for large cell carcinoma, and 3.09 (95% CI, 1.62-5.89) for small cell carcinoma (24). These results—especially that of adenocarcinoma—were comparable with those reported in previous meta-analyses by Hackshaw and colleagues (RR = 1.25; 95% CI, 1.07-1.46 for adenocarcinoma and RR = 1.58; 95% CI, 1.14-2.19 for squamous and small cell carcinomas combined) and by Boffetta (RR = 1.29; 95% CI, 1.15-1.37 for adenocarcinoma, RR = 1.38; 95% CI, 0.87-2.20 for squamous cell carcinoma, and RR = 1.47; 95% CI, 0.84-2.56 for small cell carcinoma; ref. 25, 26).

The international multidisciplinary classification for lung adenocarcinoma was developed to provide an integrated approach to classification "that will help to define categories that have distinct clinical, radiologic, molecular, and pathologic characteristics" (1). This improved classification may also lead to a better understanding of risk factors for lung adenocarcinoma subtypes. Exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke might be a risk factor for adenocarcinoma subtypes formerly classified as BAC. Future studies should continue to examine specific subtypes of adenocarcinoma with regard to their association with first- and second-hand tobacco smoke

References

- Travis WD, Brambilla E, Noguchi M, Nicholson AG, Geisinger KR, Yatabe Y, et al. International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer/American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society international multidisciplinary classification of lung adenocarcinoma. J Thorac Oncol 2011;6:244– 85.
- Koga T, Hashimoto S, Sugio K, Yoshino I, Mojtahedzadeh S, Matsuo Y, et al. Clinicopathological and molecular evidence indicating the independence of bronchioloalveolar components from other subtypes of human peripheral lung adenocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2001;7:1730–8.
- Read WL, Page NC, Tierney RM, Piccirillo JF, Govindan R. The epidemiology of bronchioloalveolar carcinoma over the past two decades: analysis of the SEER database. Lung Cancer 2004;45:137–42.
- 4. Raz DJ, He B, Rosell R, Jablons DM. Bronchioloalveolar carcinoma: a review. Clin Lung Cancer 2006;7:313–22.
- Arenberg DAmerican College of Chest Physicians. Bronchioloalveolar lung cancer: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (2nd edition). Chest 2007;132:306S-13S.
- Grover FL, Piantadosi S. Recurrence and survival following resection of bronchioloalveolar carcinoma of the lung—The Lung Cancer Study Group experience. Ann Surg 1989;209:779–90.
- Morabia A, Wynder EL. Cigarette smoking and lung cancer cell types. Cancer 1991;68:2074–8.
- Falk RT, Pickle LW, Fontham ET, Greenberg SD, Jacobs HL, Correa P, et al. Epidemiology of bronchioloalveolar carcinoma. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1992;1:339–44.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

P. Boffetta is a consultant/advisory board member for the FDA. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other authors.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: C.H. Kim, Y.-C. A. Lee, P. Boffetta, D. Ugolini, D.C. Christiani, P. Yang, Z.-F. Zhang

Development of methodology: C.H. Kim, P. Boffetta, D.C. Christiani, P. Yang, Z.-F. Zhang

Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): R.J. Hung, P. Boffetta, D. Xie, D. Ugolini, M. Neri, L. Le Marchand, A.G. Schwartz, D.C. Christiani, P. Yang, Z.-F. Zhang

Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): C.H. Kim, Y.-C. A. Lee, R.J. Hung, D. Xie, S.-C. Chang, H. Morgenstern, D.C. Christiani, P. Yang, Z.-F. Zhang

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: C.H. Kim, Y.-C. A. Lee, R.J. Hung, P. Boffetta, M.L. Cote, S.-C. Chang, D. Ugolini, M. Neri, L. Le Marchand, A.G. Schwartz, H. Morgenstern, D.C. Christiani, P. Yang, Z.-F. Zhang

Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): C.H. Kim, R.J. Hung, J.A. Wampfler, M. Neri, D.C. Christiani, P. Yang

Study supervision: P. Boffetta, P. Yang, Z.-F. Zhang

Grant Support

The FHS and WELD studies were supported by the Karmanos Cancer Institute and the National Institutes of Health (R01CA060691, R01CA87895, N01-PC35145, P30CA22453, and K07CA125203 to A.G. Schwartz). The UCLA study was supported by the NIH (DA11386, ES011667, and CA90833 to Z.F. Zhang; CA09142 to C.H. Kim) and Alper Research funds for Environmental Genomics (to Z.F. Zhang). The Harvard study was supported by the NIH (CA092824, CA74386, and CA090578 to D. Christiani). The Mayo Clinic (MAYO) studies were supported by the Mayo Foundation Fund and the National Institutes of Health (CA77118, CA80127, CA115857, and CA084354 to P. Yang). The Hawaii study was supported by the NIH (R01CA 55874 to L. Le Marchand). The CREST study was supported by the University of Genoa and Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC; to M. Neri). The ILCCO data management was supported by Cancer Care Ontario Research Chair of Population Studies (to R. J. Hung).

Received May 1, 2015; revised September 16, 2015; accepted September 28, 2015; published OnlineFirst October 26, 2015.

- Boffetta P, Jayaprakash V, Yang P, Asomaning K, Muscat JE, Schwartz AG, et al. Tobacco smoking as a risk factor of bronchioloalveolar carcinoma of the lung: pooled analysis of seven case-control studies in the International Lung Cancer Consortium (ILCCO). Cancer Causes Control 2011;22:73–9.
- Bracci PM, Sison J, Hansen H, Walsh KM, Quesenberry CP, Raz DJ, et al. Cigarette smoking associated with lung adenocarcinoma in situ in a large case-control study (SFBALCS). J Thorac Oncol 2012;7:1352–60.
- Tran HN, Li Y, Siu S, Baer D, Friedman GD, Udaltsova N, et al. Predictors of lung cancer: noteworthy cell type differences. Perm J 2013;17:23–9.
- Barsky SH, Cameron R, Osann KE, Tomita D, Holmes EC. Rising incidence of bronchioloalveolar lung carcinoma and its unique clinicopathologic features. Cancer 1994;73:1163–70.
- Yang P, Sun Z, Krowka MJ, Aubry MC, Bamlet WR, Wampfler JA, et al. Alpha1-antitrypsin deficiency carriers, tobacco smoke, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and lung cancer risk. Arch Intern Med 2008;168: 1097–103.
- de Andrade M, Ebbert JO, Wampfler JA, Miller DL, Marks RS, Croghan GA, et al. Environmental tobacco smoke exposure in women with lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2004;43:127–34.
- Xu LL, Wain JC, Miller DP, Thurston SW, Su L, Lynch TJ, et al. The NAD(P)
 H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 gene polymorphism and lung cancer: differential susceptibility based on smoking behavior. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2001;10:303–9.
- Schwartz AG, Yang P, Swanson GM. Familial risk of lung cancer among nonsmokers and their relatives. Am J Epidemiol 1996;144:554–62.

- 17. Wenzlaff AS, Cote ML, Bock CH, Land SJ, Schwartz AG. GSTM1, GSTT1 and GSTP1 polymorphisms, environmental tobacco smoke exposure and risk of lung cancer among never smokers: a population-based study. Carcinogenesis 2005;26:395-401.
- 18. Cui Y, Morgenstern H, Greenland S, Tashkin DP, Mao J, Cao W, et al. Polymorphism of Xeroderma Pigmentosum group G and the risk of lung cancer and squamous cell carcinomas of the oropharynx, larynx and esophagus. Int J Cancer 2006;118:714-20.
- 19. Schwartz AG, Wenzlaff AS, Prysak GM, Murphy V, Cote ML, Brooks SC, et al. $Reproductive factors, hormone use, estrogen \, receptor \, expression \, and \, risk \, of \,$ non small-cell lung cancer in women. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:5785-92.
- 20. Le Marchand L, Murphy SP, Hankin JH, Wilkens LR, Kolonel LN. Intake of flavonoids and lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2000;92:154-60.
- 21. Ugolini D, Neri M, Canessa PA, Casilli C, Catrambone G, Ivaldi GP, et al. The CREST biorepository: a tool for molecular epidemiology and trans-

- lational studies on malignant mesothelioma, lung cancer, and other respiratory tract diseases. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008;17: 3013-9.
- Sullivan SG, Greenland S. Bayesian regression in SAS software. Int J Epidemiol 2013;42:308-17.
- 23. Cole SR, Chu H, Greenland S. Maximum likelihood, profile likelihood, and penalized likelihood: a primer. Am J Epidemiol 2014;179:252-60.
- 24. Kim CH, Lee YC, Hung RJ, McNallan SR, Cote ML, Lim WY, et al. Exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke and lung cancer by histological type: a pooled analysis of the International Lung Cancer Consortium (ILCCO). Int J Cancer 2014;135:1918-30.
- Hackshaw AK, Law MR, Wald NJ. The accumulated evidence on lung cancer and environmental tobacco smoke. BMJ 1997;315:980-8.
- Boffetta P. Involuntary smoking and lung cancer. Scand J Work Environ Health 2002;28 suppl 2:30-40.